

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 14 (2006) 3275-3284

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry

Fragment-based drug discovery of carbonic anhydrase II inhibitors by dynamic combinatorial chemistry utilizing alkene cross metathesis

Sally-Ann Poulsen* and Laurent F. Bornaghi

Chemical Biology Group, Eskitis Institute for Cell and Molecular Therapies, Griffith University, Nathan Campus, Brisbane 4111, Australia

Received 10 November 2005; revised 20 December 2005; accepted 20 December 2005 Available online 20 January 2006

Abstract—A fragment-based drug discovery approach to the synthesis and identification of small molecule inhibitors of bovine carbonic anhydrase II (bCA II) is described. The classical bCA II recognition fragment is an aromatic sulfonamide (ArSO₂NH₂) moiety. This fragment was incorporated into a scaffold building block, which was subsequently derivatized by dynamic combinatorial chemistry utilizing alkene cross metathesis as the reversible reaction. Screening against bCA II was then carried out and the results allowed determination of the relative bCA II binding affinities of the cross metathesis products that contained the ArSO₂NH₂ fragment. A bCA II competitive binding assay validated these results with a representative number of pure compounds. The results for screening, without prior isolation of the active constituent, were in full agreement with those obtained for equilibrium dissociation constants (K_i 's) of pure compounds. Some of these compounds exhibited K_i 's in the low nanomolar range. Heterogeneous catalysis was shown to be very effective in this drug discovery application of dynamic combinatorial chemistry. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dynamic combinatorial chemistry (DCC) exploits reversible covalent reactions to synthesize libraries of molecules. Unlike conventional synthesis, DCC proceeds not to generate high yielding pure compounds, but rather to access diversity and enable amplification of the 'best binder' by interaction of dynamic combinatorial library (DCL) constituents with a target in a selfscreening protocol.^{1,2} Drug discovery applications of DCC require reaction conditions that do not disrupt the target's function or structure. Specifically, this means that: (i) reaction at a biologically relevant temperature, (ii) compatibility with aqueous media, (iii) reaction at (close to) physiological pH and (iv) compatibility with the target functional groups are each necessary to enable ligand amplification from DCLs when generated in the presence of a protein target.^{1,2} The concept of a pre-equilibrated DCL (pDCL) has also been described wherein the DCL is prepared in the absence

of the protein target, and the library screened post-synthesis for identification of components with affinity for the protein target.^{3,4} This approach may be applied when the stringent criteria just described cannot be met. While the pDCL approach does not permit the amplification of the best binder(s), it does still benefit from the ease and potentially greater diversity generated in DCC synthesis owing to reversible covalent reactions. There are now multiple examples of drug discovery from both DCLs generated in the presence of a protein target (through ligand amplification) and pDCLs generated prior to screening against the protein target.³⁻¹⁴ Each of these reported examples has drawn upon a pre-existing knowledge of the protein-small molecule system and collectively they represent a special application of frag-ment-based drug discovery optimization methodology.¹⁵ This manuscript describes our efforts towards fragmentbased drug discovery of carbonic anhydrase II (CA II) inhibitors utilizing DCC with alkene cross metathesis (CM) as the reversible reaction. CM has often been cited alongside transimination (of imines, oximes and hydrazones) and disulfide exchange as a promising reversible reaction for drug discovery applications of DCC. While examples of imine exchange, and to a lesser extent disulfide exchange, now abound this is not so for CM.²⁻¹⁴ There is only one reported example of CM operating

Keywords: Cross metathesis; Dynamic combinatorial chemistry; Carbonic anhydrase; Sulfonamide; Fragment-based drug discovery. *Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 7 3735 7825; fax: +61 7 3735

^{7656;} e-mail: s.poulsen@griffith.edu.au

^{0968-0896/\$ -} see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2005.12.054

close to the stringent criteria described above wherein Nicolaou and co-workers employed a biphasic reaction medium to link vancomycin monomers by CM in the presence of D-Ala-D-Ala type targets.¹⁶ The biphasic system was necessary as commercially available CM catalysts are not sufficiently water soluble to operate in a completely aqueous reaction medium. There are no reported DCC drug discovery applications of CM where the target is a protein.

The carbonic anhydrase (CA) family of Zn(II) metalloenzymes (EC 4.2.1.1) catalyzes the interconversion of CO_2 and HCO_3^- , a reaction that underpins many physiological processes associated with pH control, ion transport and fluid secretion.^{17,18} As a target for drug intervention, inhibitors of CA have been used clinically for the treatment of glaucoma, epilepsy and gastric ulcers.^{17,18} More recently, CA inhibition has been implicated as playing an important role in cancer tumour progression.^{19–21} Successful development of small molecule inhibitors of CA has been accomplished using a fragment-based drug discovery methodology wherein various aromatic or heteroaromatic sulfonamides (ArSO₂NH₂)--the classical bCA II recognition motif-are derivatized to optimise for CA affinity, CA isozyme selectivity (there are 16 human CA isozymes known) and desirable pharmaceutical properties.^{17,18} Clinically used CA inhibitors include acetazolamide, methazolamide, ethoxazolamide, dichlorophenamide, brinzolamide and dorzolamide (Fig. 1). Indisulam is in Phase II clinical trials as an anticancer agent to treat solid tumours (Fig. 1).²¹

The alkene metathesis reaction, using the carbene ruthenium catalysts developed by Grubbs, is well studied for conventional organic chemistry applications.^{22,23} The CM variant of this reaction generates alkenes that are either homodimers (from self-CM) or heterodimers. As well both trans or cis geometry about the newly formed alkene bonds is possible (Fig. 2). Despite the variable product distributions possible from CM, the general utility of this reaction has recently been extended by the development of a model that classifies alkene reactivity towards CM.²⁴ Classifications range from Type I alk-enes (those which undergo rapid self-CM) through to Type IV alkenes (those which are inert to CM). Based on this classification potentially large differences in the CM rate from structurally diverse alkene building blocks can be expected. This paper demonstrates that this need not translate into complications for DCC applications of CM that take advantage of the reversible nature of the reaction.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Design of building blocks

Building block 1, an allyl ester benzene sulfonamide, was prepared specifically as a scaffold building block to facilitate this study (Fig. 3A). The dual functionality of 1, possessing an aromatic sulfonamide moiety and an allyl substituent, was designed to support both fragment-based drug discovery (through provision of the bCA II recognition fragment for reliable bCA II affinity)



indisulam

Figure 1. Aromatic sulfonamides used clinically for therapeutic intervention with carbonic anhydrases.



Figure 2. Alkene cross metathesis reaction.

and evaluation of CM chemistry for DCC (through provision of a terminal alkene functionality). Ten additional building blocks A–J (each containing a terminal alkene functionality and therefore able to undergo CM with 1) were also synthesized (Fig. 3B). Building blocks A–G were derived from amino acids and contained either a tertiary N-allylamide moiety (A–C) or a vinyl benzene moiety (D–G). Building blocks I and J were aromatic allyl esters, while H was an aliphatic allyl ester. A–J were selected to introduce diversity onto the scaffold building block 1 and so enable exploration of potential secondary recognition interactions that may complement the wellknown bCA II interaction with an aromatic sulfonamide fragment.

2.2. Cross metathesis chemistry

Initial experiments were designed to demonstrate both the reversibility of the CM reaction and its utility for DCC. Heterogeneous catalysis has not before been applied to DCC, but appears well suited to facilitate the reaction start-stop requirements of drug discovery applications: simple filtration to remove the catalyst (stop sequence), and addition/re-addition of catalyst (start sequence). In principle, the start-stop sequence could be repeated many times without interfering with the overall DCL composition, unlike homogeneous catalysis that necessitates the 'permanent' addition of reagents to the DCL to achieve the same outcome. Grubbs first-generation catalyst was available commercially as an immobilized reagent and was employed in this study. CM of building blocks was performed by reaction with 20 mol% catalyst in 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCE) as solvent at 50 °C for 24 h. Negative ion ESI MS analysis permitted detection of CM products of interest, that is those containing the sulfonamide moiety (detected as an RSO₂NH⁻ anion).

For this set of experiments 2a (the *trans* self-CM product of 1) was chosen as starting material so as to eliminate the competing self-CM reaction of 1. We have previously reported the (non-CM) synthesis of the *trans* and *cis* isomers of **2ab**, compounds **2a** and **2b**, respectively (Fig. 3C).²⁵ The characterization data for **2ab** (prepared by CM) were consistent with those for the predominantly *trans* isomer **2a**. CM of **2a** (25 mM) with building block A (50 mM) resulted in the formation of heterodimer **1A**, as evidenced in the mass spectrum (Fig. 4, entry A). The addition of C to the equilibrated CM reaction then led to incorporation of C with

product 1C, in addition to 2a and 1A, all visible in the negative ion mass spectrum (Fig. 4, entry B). When building block C was added after removal of the immobilized metathesis catalyst (by filtration), then no incorporation of C occurred, even after 72 h of reaction (mass spectrum identical to Fig. 4, entry A). Upon re-addition of fresh catalyst full incorporation of C was observed (mass spectrum identical to Fig. 4, entry B). An extended sequence of start-stop cycles was also possible (data not shown). Identical experiments were repeated with a range of building blocks and all results confirmed that the CM reaction was indeed operating reversibly and that the start-stop methodology for initiation, subsequent quenching and re-initiation of the CM reaction was very effective.

2.3. Preparation and screening of pDCLs for bCA II affinity

To confirm the premise that the aromatic sulfonamide moiety was indeed a prerequisite for bCA II recognition, we first screened the simplest possible pDCLs generated by CM of our 11 building blocks. These reactions each contained one building block as starting material (1, A–J) and the only products were those from self-CM, being 2ab and AA-JJ (Fig. 5A). As these simple pDCLs were devoid of the heterodimer products 1A-1J, they also served as convenient controls for background bCA II affinity in subsequent DCLs that contained the homodimers 2ab and AA-JJ, as well as heterodimers 1A-1J. The pDCL reactions were prepared similar to that described above. The 12 pDCLs (11 from building blocks and one control containing only immobilized metathesis catalyst) were then assessed for bCA II enzyme binding by a fluorescence-based assay. This assay relies on the competition between the ligand 5-(dimethylamino)-1-naphthalenesulfonamide (DNSA) and the test compounds for the active site of bCA II.^{17,26,27} A complete description of the assay procedure has been described elsewhere.²⁸ Screening of each pDCL at 1 and 10 µM (based on theoretical CM product concentration) was carried out. The results for the $10 \,\mu\text{M}$ screen, expressed as percentage retention of the DNSA control binding, are presented in Figure 5B. With the exception of the pDCL from 1, which displaced 88% of DNSA, none of the pDCLs (including the control) contained molecules that displaced DNSA from the binding site of bCA II (100% DNSA retention). These results provided confirmation that the aromatic sulfonamide was a necessary fragment for bCA II



Figure 3. (A) Building block 1 with dual functionality: an aromatic sulfonamide moiety (bCA II recognition fragment) and a terminal alkene (for CM). (B) Building blocks A–J each contain a terminal alkene for CM. (C) Possible self-CM products from building block 1 (2ab is prepared by CM, 2a and 2b prepared as *trans*- and *cis*-isomers by an alternative synthesis²⁷).

recognition with only the self-CM product **2ab** (a bis-sulfonamide), and not self-CM products **AA** through to **JJ** (devoid of the bCA II recognition fragment), demonstrating affinity for bCA II. The remainder of this paper describes our DCC strategy for determining the relative bCA II affinities of the aromatic sulfonamide containing CM heterodimers **1A–1J** in the presence of the common background of **2ab** and the inactive homodimers **AA–JJ**.

The previous section demonstrated that only the symmetrical bis-sulfonamide **2ab** would be responsible for

the background bCA II binding affinity response in pDCLs generated from CM of 1 with A–J. To minimize the background bCA II affinity of **2ab** in DCLs, we elected to use 10 equivalents of A–J as the CM partner of 1 (Fig. 6A). The effect of this biased stoichiometry was to increase the proportion of the heterodimer CM products 1A–1J relative to the self-CM product **2ab** compared when equimolar amounts of 1 and A–J were reacted.²⁴ The negative ion ESI MS analysis revealed that the CM of 1 with A produced the homodimer **2ab** (<5%) but predominantly the heterodimer 1A (~90%), similarly for CM of 1 with building blocks B–J.



Figure 4. (A) ESI MS negative ion mass spectrum of the cross metathesis reaction of 2a and building block (A). (B) ESI MS negative ion mass spectrum following the addition of building block C to cross metathesis reaction shown in (A).

As **1A–1J** each contained the aromatic sulfonamide fragment, they were expected to exhibit some affinity for bCA II. The collective library was then screened at 1 and 10 μ M, the results for the 10 μ M screen, expressed as percentage retention of the DNSA from bCA II, are displayed in Figure 6B. The homodimerization self-CM reaction of 1 (to generate **2ab**) is included in Figure 6B for comparison.

The bCA II screening results presented in Figure 6B demonstrated that the CM products 1A-1J exhibited variable affinity for bCA II. These compounds each contained the core bCA II recognition fragment of 1, hence observed variations in affinity were likely to have arisen from secondary binding interactions introduced onto the aromatic sulfonamide fragment by the CM reaction. Analysis of the relative trends in affinity revealed that the most potent CM product was 1H with a terminal acetate group (77% displacement of DNSA), more potent than the bulkier ester analogues, benzoate (11) and *p*-phenyl benzoate (1J), with 45% and 37% displacement of DNSA, respectively. Analogues 1A and 1D. both derived from the amino acid valine, were the most potent of the N-allylamide (1A-1C) and vinylbenzene (1D-1G) series with 44% and 36% displacement of DNSA, respectively. Again the bulkier compounds, in this case those with the amino acid side chains of leucine (1B and 1E), phenylglycine (1F) and phenylalanine (1C and 1G), exhibited reduced bCA II affinity in comparison to the sterically smaller side chains.

As the bCA II screen was performed directly on the crude library, without isolation of the CM heterodimers **1A–1J**, we needed to validate that the bCA II affinity

was due to these heterodimers and not just the reduced presence of the bis-sulfonamide 2ab. We proceeded to synthesize the trans isomers of the ester building block series 1H-1J as pure entities. A determination of the bCA II equilibrium dissociation constants (K_i 's) was then carried out and results for affinities 2ab, 2a, 2b, **1H**, **1I** and **1J** are presented in Table 1. Each of the pure compounds exhibited affinity for bCA II in the low-mid nanomolar range, with K_i 's of 5.1, 4.9, 4.9, 9.3, 6.6 and 8.5 nM for 2ab, 2a, 2b, 1H, 1I and 1J, respectively. The relative order of K_i 's was $2ab \sim 2a \sim 1H > 1I > 1J > 2b$. These results were in full agreement with the relative affinities determined from screening the library where $2ab \sim 1H > 1I > 1J$ at 10 μ M. This agreement, although with a representative number of building blocks, was consistent with the observed trend of bCA II affinity obtained when assaying the library without purification or cleanup.

2.4. Synthetic chemistry

The synthesis of 1, 2a, 2b, 1I (*trans* isomer) and the tertiary *N*-allylamide building blocks (A–C) has been reported by ourselves elsewhere.^{25,29} Building block H was commercially available (Sigma–Aldrich, allyl acetate, catalogue number 18,524-8), while building blocks I and J were synthesized from allyl alcohol, and benzoic acid or *p*-phenyl benzoic acid, respectively, as previously described in the literature.^{30,31} New building blocks D–G were synthesized by standard peptide coupling conditions of the reagent *p*-vinylbenzoic acid with four different L-amino acid methyl esters using EDC and HOBT in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. Work-up involved partitioning the reaction mixture between



Figure 5. (A) Self-CM products AA–JJ generated in pDCLs prepared from building blocks A to J. (B) bCA II enzyme binding screen results at 10 μ M for self-CM pDCLs; control experiment is assay result from immobilized metathesis catalyst only.

CH₂Cl₂ and K₂CO₃; the CH₂Cl₂-soluble material was subjected to flash chromatography using EtOAc/hexane, yields ranging from 18% to 53%. The synthesis of **2ab** proceeded by the CM reaction of **1** using Grubbs second-generation catalyst in 1,2-DCE as solvent at 150 °C for 20 min in a microwave reactor, 29% yield. Synthesis of authentic *trans* isomers of **1H** and **1J** proceeded in a fashion similar to that reported by us for **1I**.²⁵

3. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the application of fragment-based drug discovery to inhibitors of bCA II is effective utilizing a pre-equilibrated DCC strategy together with CM as the reversible reaction. Specifically, the results demonstrated that with appropriate control experiments the observed trend of bCA II affinity obtained when assaying the library without purification was indeed a reflection of actual bCA II affinity. This result is significant as synthesis and purification of CM products is typically cumbersome, and if it can be avoided at the early stage of the drug discovery timeline (which is where DCC typically is placed) then it is highly

advantageous from the viewpoint of both cost and required effort. Finally, heterogeneous catalysis has not before been applied to DCC. Herein we have demonstrated the convenience and benefits of heterogeneous catalysis (over homogeneous catalysis) to facilitate the reaction start-stop requirements for drug discovery applications of DCC: simple filtration to remove the catalyst (stop sequence), and addition/re-addition of catalyst (start sequence).

4. Experimental

4.1. Chemistry

All synthetic reagents and anhydrous solvents used were purchased from commercial sources. Grubbs first-generation catalyst was available as an immobilized reagent (Fluka catalogue number 91501, on a polystyrene support, loading 0.1 mmol/g), while Grubbs second-generation catalyst was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (catalogue number 569747). All solvents used for chromatography were of Lab-Scan HPLC grade. Flash chromatography was performed on E. Merck Silica gel



Figure 6. (A) Preparation of pDCLs from 1 and each of A–J. (B) bCA II enzyme binding screen results at 10 µM for CM pDCLs; control experiment is assay result from immobilized metathesis catalyst only.

Table 1. bCA II enzyme binding assay results for 1, 2ab, 2a, 2b and 1H–1J and standard compounds expressed as K_i in nM

Compound	bCA II K_i^a (R ²)
1	8.6 (0.97)
2ab	5.1 (0.97)
2a	4.9 (0.97)
2b	9.3 (0.98)
1H	4.9 (0.96)
1I	6.6 (0.98)
1J	8.5 (0.95)
Acetazolamide	6.9 (0.96)
Sulfanilamide	1690 (0.97)

^a bCA II binding data utilizing competitive displacement of DNSA from bCA II, experiments performed in triplicate. K_d of DNSA was 0.3 μ M.

GF-60 grade silica (particle size 0.0400-0.063 mm). Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on 0.25 E Merck silica-gel plates (60-F-254). Analysis of TLC was conducted using standard short-wave UV, 5.0% v/v H₂SO₄/ethanol char, KMnO₄ and ninhydrin stains, where appropriate. Reaction yields less than <1 g were purified with Varian[™] mega bond eluted solid-phase extraction columns (normal-phase silica). NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on a Varian 400 MHz Unity INOVA or a Varian 200 MHz Gemini spectrometer. The ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced to the solvent peak for DMSO- d_6 or CDCl₃ at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.49 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.51 or $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.23 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.00, respectively. ESI MS were recorded on a Fisons VG platform quadrupole mass spectrometer with MassLynx data storage and management software. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion and negative ion modes, at cone voltages of ±30 to ±45 eV. HRMS (ESI) were recorded on a Bruker Daltonics Apex III 47e FTICR mass spectrometer equipped with an Apollo ESI source.

4.1.1. (2E,Z)-But-2-en-1,4-diyl-bis[(aminosulfonyl)benzoatel (2ab). To a solution of 1 (51 mg, 0.21 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (1 mL) in a pressure tube, sealed with a Teflon septum was added Grubbs second-generation catalyst (35 mg, 20 mol^{\log}). The pressure tube was introduced to the centre of a CEM Discover microwave oven and then heated to 150 °C for 20 min. On completion of the heating cycle the reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by solid-phase extraction on normal-phase silica sorbent, eluted with CH_2Cl_2 /methanol (3:1, v/v) to generate 2ab (14 mg, yield 29%) as a brown syrup. Characterization data were consistent with those of the trans product 2a.²⁵ ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 8.13-8.10 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.94-7.92 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.53 (br s, 4H, NH₂), 5.94–5.92 (m, 2H, =CH), 5.01–5.00 (m, 4H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 165.3 (CO), 148.8 (=CH), 132.9, 130.6, 128.9, 126.8 (ArCH), 61.8 (CH₂); ESI MS: *m*/*z* [M–H]⁻ 453.0.

4.1.2. **Synthesis** of methyl-3-methyl-2-[(4-vinylbenzoyl)aminolbutanoate (D) as a representative of building blocks D-G. A suspension of 4-vinylbenzoic acid (100 mg, 0.67 mmol), EDC (1.29 g, 0.67 mmol) and HOBT (72 mg, 0.54 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was prepared and left stirring at room temperature for 3 h. L-Valine methyl ester hydrochloride (0.224 g, 1.34 mmol) and DIPEA (0.864 g, 6.7 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred a further 18 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and washed with 2 M K₂CO₃ (5 mL) and saturated brine $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to afford a crude yellow oil, which

was purified by solid-phase extraction on normal-phase silica sorbent (eluted with EtOAc/hexane, 1:2, v/v) to give **D** in 53% yield (92 mg), as a white solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.98–7.56 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.48–7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.74 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{ma} = 17.6 \text{ Hz}, \; {}^{3}J_{mx} = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, = \text{CH}), \; 6.69 \text{ (br s, 1H,}$ NH), 5.87–5.79 (m, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{am}$ = 17.6 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{ax}$ = 0.8 Hz, =CH₂), 5.35 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{xm}$ = 11.0 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{xa}$ = 0.8 Hz, =CH₂), 4.78 (dd, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, α CH), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.35–2.22 (m, 1H, βCH), 1.02 (d, 3H, $J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, \gamma' \text{CH}_3), 0.98 \text{ (d, } 3\text{H}, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, \gamma \text{CH}_3);$ ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 172.8 (COCH₃), 167.0 (CONH), 141.0, 136.1, 133.4, 127.6, 126.5 (ArCH, =CH), 116.2 (=CH₂), 57.7 (OCH₃), 52.5 (aCH), 31.9 (β CH) 19.3, 18.3 (γ , γ' CH₃); ESI MS: *m*/*z* 262.4 $[M+H]^+$, 284.1 $[M+Na]^+$; HRMS (ESI) 284.1249. Calculated for $C_{15}H_{19}N_1O_3 \cdot Na^+$: 284.1257.

4.1.2.1. Methyl-4-methyl-2-[(4-vinylbenzoyl)amino]pentanoate (E). Preparation from L-leucine methyl ester hydrochloride. Yield 18%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.77–7.73 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.45–7.39 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.82–6.79 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.79–6.65 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{ma}$ = 17.4 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{mx}$ = 10.4 Hz, =CH), 5.81 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{am}$ = 17.4 Hz, =CH₂), 5.34 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{xm}$ = 10.4 Hz, =CH₂), 4.91–4.80 (m, 1H, αCH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.79–1.62 (m, 3H, βCH₂, γCH), 0.99 (d, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz, δ'CH₃), 0.95 (d, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz, δCH₃); 1³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 174.0 (COCH₃), 166.9 (CONH), 141.0, 136.1, 133.1, 127.6, 126.4, (ArCH, =CH), 116.2 (=CH₂), 52.6, 51.4 (αCH, OCH₃), 42.0 (βCH₂), 25.3 (γCH), 23.1, 22.3 (δ,δ'CH₃); ESI MS: m/z 276.1 [M+H]⁺, 298.7 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI) 298.1396. Calculated for C₁₆H₂₁N₁O₃·Na⁺: 298.1404.

4.1.2.2. Methyl-phenyl[(4-vinylbenzoyl)amino]acetate (F). Preparation from L-phenylglycine methyl ester hydrochloride. Yield 27%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.83–7.79 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51–7.33 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.30–7.27 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.74 (dd, 1H, ³J_{ma} = 17.6 Hz, ³J_{mx} = 10.8 Hz, =CH), 5.84 (d, 1H, ³J_{am} = 17.6 Hz, =CH₂), 5.81 (d, 1H, J = 7 Hz, α CH), 5.37 (d, 1H, ³J_{xm} = 10.8 Hz, =CH₂), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 171.7 (COCH₃), 166.5 (CONH), 141.2, 136.7, 136.1, 132.8, 130.6, 129.2, 128.8, 127.8, 127.6, 126.5 (ArCH, =CH), 116.4 (=CH₂), 57.1 (OCH₃), 53.7 (α CH); ESI MS: *m*/*z* 296.7 [M+H]⁺, 318.4 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI) 318.1091. Calculated for C₁₈H₁₇N₁O₃·Na⁺: 318.1101.

4.1.2.3. Methyl-3-phenyl-2-[(4-vinylbenzoyl)amino]propanoate (G). Preparation from L-phenylalanine methyl ester hydrochloride. Yield 32%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.73–7.69 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.45–7.41 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.31–7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.82–6.78 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.73 (dd, 1H, ³J_{ma} = 17.6 Hz, ³J_{mx} = 11.0 Hz, =CH), 5.84 (d, 1H, ³J_{am} = 17.4 Hz, =CH₂), 5.50 (d, 1H, ³J_{xm} = 10.8 Hz, =CH₂), 5.15–5.06 (m, 1H, α CH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.30–3.24 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 172.3 (COCH₃), 166.7 (CONH), 141.1, 136.1, 133.1, 129.5, 128.8, 127.6, 127.4, 126.5, (ArCH, =CH), 116.3 (=CH₂), 53.9, 53.7 (α CH, OCH₃), 38.1 (*C*H₂Ph); ESI MS: *m*/*z* 310.1 [M+H]⁺, 332.5 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI) 332.1261. Calculated for C₁₉H₁₉N₁O ₃.Na⁺: 332.1258.

4.1.3. Synthesis of (2E)-4-(acvloxy)but-2-envl-4-(aminosulfonyl)benzoate (1H). To a solution of (2E)-butene-1,4-diol (0.5 g, 5.7 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) was added acetic anhydride (0.756 g, 7.4 mmol) dropwise over 6 h. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then concentrated. The residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL), washed with 1 N HCl $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and saturated brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to afford the mono-acetyl intermediate (0.70 g, yield 95%), which was used without further purification. To a mixture of this intermediate (100 mg, 0.77 mmol) in DMF (2.5 mL) were added 4-carboxybenzenesulfonamide (78 mg, 0.39 mmol), 1.3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (80 mg, 0.39 mmol) and DMAP (25 mg, 0.21 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was diluted with EtOAc (25 mL), washed with 1N HCl $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ and saturated brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. Purification by solid-phase extraction on normal-phase silica sorbent (eluted with hexane/ EtOAc, 1:1, v/v) was carried out to generate 1H (73 mg, 60% yield) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 8.16-8.13 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.99-7.96 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.90-5.78 (m, 2H, =CH), 5.16 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 4.96–4.94 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.74– 4.72 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.06 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 171.0 (CO), 165.0 (CO), 146.5 (OCH₂CH=CH), 133.9 (OCH₂CH=CH), 130.6, 129.0, 127.7, 126.7 (ArCH), 61.7 (CH₂CH=), 60.2 $(CH_2CH=)$, 21.1 (CH₃); ESI MS: m/z [M-H]⁻ 311.91; HRMS (ESI) 312.0544. Calculated for $C_{13}H_{14}N_1O_6S_1^-$: 312.0547.

4.1.4. Synthesis of (2E)-4-(biphenyloxy)but-2-enyl-4-(aminosulfonyl)benzoate (1J). Biphenylcarbonyl chloride (0.125 g, 0.575 mmol) was added portionwise over 2 h to a solution of (2E)-butene-1,4-diol (1.20 g, 2.3 mmol) in pyridine (5 mL). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 72 h and then concentrated. The residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL), washed with 1 N HCl $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and saturated brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to afford the mono-biphenyl intermediate (0.142 g, yield 92%), which was used in the next step without purification. To a mixture of this intermediate (0.142 g, 0.53 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) were added 4-carboxybenzenesulfonamide (0.230 g, 1.15 mmol), 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.237 g, 1.15 mmol) and DMAP (25 mg, 0.17 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 8 h, then filtered through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated to give a clear oil. Purification by solid-phase extraction on normal-phase silica sorbent (eluted with CH₂Cl₂/ methanol, 20:1, v/v) was carried out to generate 1J (29 mg, yield 11%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 8.13-8.11 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.03-8.01 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.94-7.92 (m, 2H,

ArH), 7.81–7.79 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.73–7.71 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51–7.41 (m, 5H, ArH, NH₂), 5.95–5.94 (m, 2H, =CH), 5.03–5.00 (m, 4H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 166.0 (CO), 163.0 (CO), 148.8 (OCH₂CH=CH), 145.5 (OCH₂CH=CH), 132.9, 130.6, 130.5, 129.8, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 127.7, 126.7 (ArCH), 61.8 (CH₂CH=), 61.3 (CH₂CH=); ESI MS: *m*/*z* [M–H]⁻ 450.02; HRMS (ESI) 450.1020. Calculated for C₂₄H₂₀N₁O₆S₁⁻: 450.1016.

4.2. General procedure for preparation of pDCLs by CM

To a solution of each building block (25 mM) for self-CM or 1 (25 mM) and each of A-J (25 mM, 1 equiv) in 1,2-DCE (0.1 mL) was added immobilized Grubbs first-generation catalyst (20 mol%). The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 24 h before removal of the catalyst by filtration and analysis by ESI MS. ESI MS spectra were recorded on a Micromass VG Platform 2, single quadrupole instrument fitted with a linear electrospray source. The source was heated to 90 °C and the sampling cone voltage was 30 eV. Samples were prepared by dilution of the pDCL reaction with CH₃CN (1/10 dilution). Samples were introduced into the mass spectrometer source with an LC pump (Shimadzu LC-9A and mixing valve FCV-9AL.) at a rate of 1.0 mL/ min in CH₃CN/H₂O 80%/20%. Scanning was performed from 100 to 2000 m/z over 4 s and multiple scans were summed to obtain the final spectrum, which was processed using MassLynx V 3.4 software. Sample preparation for the bCA II enzyme assay was performed by serial dilution of the pDCL with water.

4.3. Biological assay

Compounds 2ab, 1H, 1I, 1J and all pDCL reactions were assessed for their ability to inhibit the binding of DNSA to bCA II (CA II from bovine erythrocytes, Sigma–Aldrich, catalogue number C2522, lot number 044K6064). Enzyme assays were carried out in 96-well microtitre plates (Nunc F96) in an assay volume of 250 µL. Each assay contained bCA II (140 nM); DNSA (3 μ M, equals 10 times the K_d value), incubation buffer (phosphate buffer, pH 7.2) and test compound in DMSO with triplicate determinations (at 15 concentrations for compound K_i determination or at 1 and 10 µM final concentration for pDCL screening). The final DMSO concentration in the assay was 1%, this concentration of DMSO did not decrease control binding. The assay was incubated for 4 h at 25 °C. Fluorescence measurements were carried out on a Varian Cary-Eclipse spectrophotometer in fluorescence mode using a multiwell plate reader at 25 °C (excitation wavelength of 290 nm, emission wavelength of 460 nm). Known compounds (acetazolamide and sulfanilamide) were used to characterize this assay procedure. Data were fitted to a sigmoidal dose-response equation using non-linear regression analysis (Graph-Pad Prism V4, San Diego, California, USA). The measurement of the K_d of DNSA was determined by titrating bCA II (140 nM in pH 7.2 phosphate buffer) with DNSA (100-3500 nM) and monitoring the fluorescence as described above. Data were fitted to an

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Australian Research Council (Grant Nos. F00103312 and DP0343419) and Griffith University for research funding.

References and notes

- 1. Lehn, J.-M. Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2455.
- Rowan, S. J.; Cantrill, S. J.; Cousins, G. R. L.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Stoddart, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 898.
- 3. Ramström, O.; Lehn, J.-M. ChemBioChem 2000, 1, 41.
- Bunyapaiboonsri, T.; Ramström, O.; Lohmann, S.; Lehn, J.-M.; Peng, L.; Goeldner, M. ChemBioChem 2001, 2, 438.
- 5. Huc, I.; Lehn, J.-M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1997, 94, 2106.
- 6. Nguyen, R.; Huc, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1774.
- Hochgürtel, M.; Kroth, H.; Piecha, D.; Hofmann, M. W.; Nicolau, C.; Krause, S.; Schaaf, O.; Sonnenmoser, G.; Eliseev, A. V. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 3382.
- Hochgürtel, M.; Biesinger, R.; Kroth, H.; Piecha, D.; Hofmann, M. W.; Krause, S.; Schaaf, O.; Nicolau, C.; Eliseev, A. V. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 356.
- Congreve, M. S.; Davis, D. J.; Devine, L.; Granata, C.; O'Reilly, M.; Wyatt, P. G.; Jhoti, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4479.
- Bunyapaiboonsri, T.; Ramström, H.; Ramström, O.; Haiech, J.; Lehn, J.-M. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 5803.
- Hong, L.; Williams, P.; Micklefield, J.; Gardiner, J. M.; Stephens, G. *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 753.
- 12. Zameo, S.; Vauzeilles, B.; Beau, J.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 965.
- 13. Pei, Z.; Larsson, R.; Aastrup, T.; Anderson, H.; Ramström, O. *Abstracts of Papers*, 230th ACS National Meeting, Washington, DC, United States, 2005.
- Ramström, O.; Lohmann, S.; Bunyapaiboonsri, T.; Lehn, J.-M. Chemistry—A European Journal 2004, 10, 1711.
- 15. Erlanson, D. A.; McDowell, R. S.; O'Brien, T. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 3463.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Hughes, R.; Cho, S. Y.; Winssinger, N.; Smethurst, C.; Labischinski, H.; Endermann, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3823.
- 17. Supuran, C. T.; Scozzafava, A. Curr. Med. Chem.-Immunol. Endocrinol. Metab. Agents 2001, 1, 61.
- Pastorekova, S.; Parkkila, S.; Pastorek, J.; Supuran, C. T. J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 2004, 19, 199.
- Scozzafava, A.; Owa, T.; Mastrolorenzo, A.; Supuran, C. T. Curr. Med. Chem. 2003, 10, 925.
- Pastorekova, S.; Casini, A.; Scozzafava, A.; Vullo, D.; Pastorek, J.; Supuran, C. T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, 14, 869.
- 21. Supuran, C. T. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 2003, 12, 283.
- 22. Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4413.
- 23. Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 7117.
- Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T.-L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11360.
- 25. Poulsen, S.-A.; Bornaghi, L. F.; Healy, P. C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 5429.

- 26. Sigal, G. B.; Whitesides, G. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 559.
- 27. Chen, R. F.; Kernohan, J. C. J. Biol. Chem. 1967, 242, 5813.
- 28. Poulsen, S.-A.; Davis, R. D.; Keys, T. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 510.
- 29. Poulsen, S.-A.; Bornaghi, L. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 7389.
- 30. Guo, Q.; Miyaji, T.; Hara, R.; Shen, B.; Takahashi, T. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 7327.
- 31. Crosignani, S.; Gonzales, J.; Swinnen, D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4579.
- 32. Cheng, Y.-C.; Prusoff, W. H. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1973, 22, 3099.