### Accepted Manuscript

Synthesis of bulky-tailed sulfonamides incorporating pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5*H*)-yl) moieties and evaluation of their carbonic anhydrases I, II, IV and IX inhibitory effects

Mohamed Fares, Radwa A. Eladwy, Alessio Nocentini, Soha R. Abd El Hadi, Hazem A. Ghabbour, Ashraf Abdel-Megeed, Wagdy M. Eldehna, Hatem A. Abdel-Aziz, Claudiu T. Supuran

PII:	S0968-0896(17)30176-1
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2017.02.037
Reference:	BMC 13567
To appear in:	Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry
Received Date:	26 January 2017
Revised Date:	15 February 2017
Accepted Date:	17 February 2017



Please cite this article as: Fares, M., Eladwy, R.A., Nocentini, A., Abd El Hadi, S.R., Ghabbour, H.A., Abdel-Megeed, A., Eldehna, W.M., Abdel-Aziz, H.A., Supuran, C.T., Synthesis of bulky-tailed sulfonamides incorporating pyrido[2,3-*d*][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-*a*]pyrimidin-1(5*H*)-yl) moieties and evaluation of their carbonic anhydrases I, II, IV and IX inhibitory effects, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* (2017), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc. 2017.02.037

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### Synthesis of bulky-tailed sulfonamides incorporating pyrido[2,3-*d*][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-*a*]pyrimidin-1(5*H*)-yl) moieties and evaluation of their carbonic anhydrases I, II, IV and IX inhibitory effects

Mohamed Fares <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Radwa A. Eladwy <sup>c</sup>, Alessio Nocentini <sup>d,e</sup>, Soha R. Abd El Hadi <sup>b</sup>, Hazem A. Ghabbour <sup>f,g</sup>, Ashraf Abdel-Megeed <sup>h</sup>, Wagdy M. Eldehna <sup>i</sup>, Hatem A. Abdel-Aziz <sup>j</sup>, Claudiu T. Supuran <sup>d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong 2522, New South Wales, Australia <sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Egyptian Russian University, Badr City, Cairo, 11829, Egypt

<sup>c</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Egyptian Russian University, Badr City, Cairo 11829, Egypt

<sup>d</sup> Department of NEUROFARBA, Section of Pharmaceutical and Nutraceutical Sciences, University of Florence, Polo Scientifico, Via U. Schiff 6, 50019 Sesto, Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy

<sup>e</sup>Università degli Studi di Firenze, Neurofarba Department., Sezione di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Laboratory of Molecular Modeling Cheminformatics & QSAR, via U. Schiff 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy <sup>f</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2457, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>g</sup> Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Mansoura, Mansoura 35516, Egypt.

<sup>h</sup> Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Assiut 71526, Egypt <sup>i</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh

33516, Egypt <sup>j</sup> Department of Applied Organic Chemistry, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, P.O. Box 12622, Egypt.

**Abstract.** Using celecoxib as lead, two novel series of sulfonamides incorporating the pyridotriazolopyrimidine scaffold have been synthesized and evaluated *in vitro* as inhibitors against four relevant human (h) carbonic anhydrases (CAs, EC 4.2.1.1), the cytosolic and ubiquitous hCA I and II as well as the transmembrane hCA IV and hCA IX. Most of the reported sulfonamides acted as efficient, low micromolar inhibitors of hCAI, II and IV, whereas they displayed higher efficacy in inhibiting the tumor-associated isoform hCA IX. Many derivates herein reported showed better hCA IX versus hCA II selectivity ratios compared to celecoxib or acetazolamide. Considering isoform IX is a validated target for the diagnosis and treatment of hypoxic tumors, discovery of selective CA IX inhibitors represents a promising step to unveil more effective anticancer therapies.

*Keywords:* Carbonic anhydrase, Tumour-associated isoforms, Pyridotriazolopyrimidine, Sulfonamide, Celecoxib.

\*Corresponding authors. e-mail: <u>ph.fares@yahoo.com (</u>M. Fares); Tel./fax:+39-055-4573729; e-mail: <u>claudiu.supuran@unifi.it</u> (CTS)

#### 1. Introduction:

Celecoxib I, a sulfonamide acting as dual cyclooxygenase – carbonic anhydrase (CA, EC 4.2.1.1) inhibitor,<sup>1</sup> was considered to be an attractive target for designing CA inhibitors (CAIs) which may possess a dual action on these two families of enzymes involved in various pathologies which cross-freact in some cases (e.g., glaucoma, cancer, arthritis).<sup>2-6</sup>. Celecoxib shows a nanomolar affinity for the cytosolic human (h) isoform hCA II (Ki of 21 nM), inhibiting even better the tumour associated enzymes hCA XI (Ki of 16 nM) and hCA XII (Ki of 18 nM).<sup>1</sup> These enzymes were recently validated as antitumor/antimetastatic targets with one compounds (SLC-0111) in Phase Ib/II clinical trials.<sup>2.3</sup> The X-ray crystal structure of celecoxib bound CA II (PDB code: 6cox) reported by one of our groups,<sup>1</sup> afforded the deep understanding of the groups important for interaction with the CA II active site. The sulfonamide group of I was observed to be coordinated to Zn<sup>+2</sup> in a tetrahedral coordination geometry, whereas the trifluoromethyl group occupied the hydrophobic pocket, located in one half of the active site.<sup>1a</sup> Unexpectedly, the *p*-tolyl moiety of the drug was observed to point towards the hydrophilic half of the active site, making favourable contacts with residues Asn67, Glu69 and Gln92.<sup>1a</sup>



Figure 1. Structure of some reported sulfonamide CAIs of types I-III.

The presence of the 2-phenyl substituent in the triazolobenzenesulfonamide derivative **II** (Figure 1) elicited moderate to strong inhibition of four CAs, namely, CA I, CA II, CA IX and CA XII, with  $K_I$  ranging between 0.041 to 0.542  $\mu$ M.<sup>7</sup> Modification of the phenyl group to a ferrocene based moiety, as in compound **III**, led to a decrease of the activity towards CA I and CA XII, while retaining a good inhibitory effect against CA II (Ki of 0.036  $\mu$ M) and CA IX (Ki of 0.065  $\mu$ M).<sup>7</sup>



**Figure 2:** Schematic representation of possible interactions with CA IX active site (PDB: 4q06)<sup>1a</sup> of celecoxib I (A); the newly designed scaffold, with suggested interactions with the enzyme active site (B), and the newly designed PTP derivatives bound to the Zn(II) ion within the CA active site (C).

An analysis of a modelling study of celecoxib bound to CA IX, showed a similar mode of interaction between the sulfonamide and the active site, as in the hCA II – celecoxib complex analyzed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2A). Our current model of designing selective CAIs is based on a scaffold that can be substituted with less polar groups (Ar<sub>1</sub> and Ar<sub>2</sub> in Figure 2B), in order to fill in the unoccupied hydrophobic pocket (Figure 2B). In view of the aforementioned facts and in continuation of our earlier work on the design of inhibitors of these enzymes,<sup>1-3</sup> it was envisaged to incorporate the bulky pyridotriazolopyrimidine (PTP) scaffold as tail to the benzene-sulfonamide motif. We aimed to exploit the unoccupied hydrophobic region shown in Figure 2A, within the active site of CA IX, hypothesizing that the aryls at position 6 and 8 of the PTP scaffold may interact in a favorable manner with the enzyme active site, as shown schematically in Figure 2C. We report herein the synthesis and the carbonic anhydrases inhibition evaluation of the new such derivatives, incorporating the bulky PTP scaffold.

#### 2. Results and discussion:

#### 2.1.Chemistry:

Our proposed synthetic methodology to the desired pyridotriazolopyrimidine scaffold containing sulfonamide moiety is depicted in Scheme 1, Scheme 2 and Scheme 3. The synthesis of the 6-amino-2-thiouracil (3) was accomplished as reported by refluxing ethyl cyanoacetate (1) with thiourea (2) in sodium ethoxide.<sup>8</sup> The condensation of the 6-amino-2-thiouracil (3) with different aromatic  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  unsaturated ketones,  $\beta$ -enaminones, diketones and

aldehydes was reported as convenient and efficient strategy to synthesize substituted pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines.<sup>9-13</sup> Quiroga *et al.*<sup>12</sup> reported that the reaction of the 6-amino-2-thiouracil (**3**) with different chalcones in refluxing DMF resulted in the formation of the pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines **5** and/or **6**. When the reaction performed under argon atmosphere for short period of time, it yielded mainly the 2-thioxo-2,3,5,8-tetrahydropyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidin-4(1*H*)-one derivative **6**. However, under exposure to air for long time in refluxing DMF, the oxidized isomer **5** was formed. In addition, the substitutions of phenyl groups of the chalcone affect the product selectivity where the electron withdrawing group preferentially favours the formation of the oxidized form **5**.<sup>12</sup>



**Scheme 1**: Prepatration of compounds **5**. Reagents and conditions: (i) NaOEt/Ethanol (ii) dry DMF /reflux 15h.

The synthesis of the key pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines **5a-j** was accomplished *via* reacting 6-amino-2-thiouracil **3** and different  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  unsaturated aromatic ketones **4a-j**<sup>14</sup> in refluxing dry DMF under air in 40-65% yields (Scheme 1). The structures assigned to compounds **5a-j** were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the synthesized pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines showed the presence of a singlet at  $\delta$  7.56-8.07 *ppm* integrated to the pyridine ring proton and two NH singlet signals at  $\delta$  12.23-12.41 and 12.90-13.10 *ppm* corresponding to two NHs of the pyrimidine ring. Furthermore, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **5a-c** revealed the signals of the pyridine H at  $\delta$  108.20-108.27 *ppm* and the signal of thioxo carbon at  $\delta$  175.41-175.43 *ppm*.

The hydrazonoyl chlorides **11a** and **11b** synthesis was started with the chlorination of the active methylene group of acetylacetone **7a** and ethyl acetoacetate **7b** using sulphuryl chloride to afford the  $\alpha$ -chloroacetyl derivatives **8a** and **8b**, as described by Alihn in 1878.<sup>15</sup> Then by applying Japp-Klingemann coupling of the aforementioned  $\alpha$ -chloroacetyl

derivatives (8a and 8b) with the diazonium salts of sulfanilamide 10, we obtained the acetyl derivative 11a and the ester derivative 11b in reasonable yields (65 and 72%, respectively) (Scheme 2).<sup>16</sup>



Scheme 2: Synthesis of derivatives 11. Reagents and conditions: (i) SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ 0 °C (ii) NaNO<sub>2</sub>/HCl (iii) CH<sub>3</sub>COONa/ 0 °C

The reaction of the pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine thiones and hydrazonoyl halides have been extensively studied.<sup>16-19</sup> This reaction proceeds *via* 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of hydrazonoyl chlorides with dipolarophile thiones.<sup>17</sup>

A literature review of this reaction almost exclusively prefers isomer **16** over **15** (Scheme 3). This suggest that the reaction proceeds through the cyclo-addition of carbon 2 and nitrogen 3 of the pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine thiones to the hydrazonoyl chloride derivative in the presence of a base (Scheme 3).<sup>17</sup> The reaction mechanism was postulated to start with S-alkylation of the thiouracil derivative to afford the thiohydrazones **12**. In the presence of a base, the nucleophilicity of the terminal hydrazone nitrogen increases and the compound undergoes a Chapman-like rearrangement to give the corresponding thiohydrazide **13**. The latter compound was cyclized *in situ* to afford **15** or **16**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compounds **16a-t** showed disappearance of the two NH singlet signals. The acetyl derivatives displayed singlet signals resonating at  $\delta$  2.63-2.68 ppm representing the acetyl CH<sub>3</sub> protons. Meanwhile, <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the ester analogs showed a typical triplet-quartet pattern of the ethyl protons at  $\delta$  1.24-1.31 and 4.42-4.43 *ppm*.



Scheme 3: Preparation of compounds 15 and 16. Reagents and conditions: (i) Dioxane, TEA, reflux, 6-14h.

Analysis of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the newly synthesized derivatives indicated that we preferentially synthesized isomer **16** rather than isomer **15**. It was reported that the chemical shift of the carbonyl carbon in pyrimidin-4-one derivatives is relying on the adjacent environment.<sup>20</sup> For instance, if the adjacent nitrogen is pyrrole type (sp<sup>3</sup>-hybridized) (as in **16**) the carbonyl carbon signals of the pyrimidinone ranged from 160 to 165 ppm. Nevertheless, if the neighbouring atom is a pyridine type (as in **15**) chemical shift of the carbonyl carbon usually appears at 170-175 ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of compounds **16a-t** revealed carbonyl carbon signals of the pyrimidinone at 159.90 and 163.21 ppm. This result is in line with previous reports of the reactions of hydrazonoyl halides with similarly condensed 2- thioxopyridopyrimidines.<sup>16-21</sup>

#### 2.2. Carbonic anhydrase inhibition:

All the synthesized derivatives **16a-16t** were evaluated for their efficacy in inhibiting four relevant CA isoforms, i.e., hCA I, II, IV and IX, by using the stopped flow carbon dioxide hydrase  $assay^{22}$ , in comparison to acetazolamide (**AAZ**) as a standard CAI.

In general, all the assayed compounds displayed good inhibitory action against the reported hCA isoforms, with  $K_I$  spanning from the low micromolar to the low-medium nanomolar range. In detail, the following structure–activity-relationship (SAR) can be gathered from the data reported in Table 1, for each tested isoform:

**Table 1**: Inhibition data of human CA isoforms hCA I, II, IV and IX with sulfonamides 16a-**16t,** celecoxib and the standard sulfonamide inhibitor acetazolamide (AAZ) by a stoppedflow  $CO_2$  hydrase assay.<sup>22</sup>



Compound	Structure	<b>R</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_2$	<b>Κ</b> <sub>1</sub> (μ <b>Μ</b> )			
				hCA I	hCA II	hCA IV	hCA IX
16a	Ι	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-H	3.79	0.59	3.00	0.18
16b	Ι	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-H	NA	3.54	4.62	3.26
16c	Ι	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-F	6.64	1.19	3.58	0.25
16d	Ι	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-F	9.35	4.66	4.90	3.24
16e	1	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-Cl	7.42	2.03	3.56	0.35
16f	Ι	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-Cl	9.16	3.65	4.70	1.46
16g	Ι	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	5.50	0.74	3.01	0.33
16h	Ι	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	7.37	1.58	NA	1.45
<b>16i</b>	Ι	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-NO <sub>2</sub>	5.52	0.86	2.92	0.33
<b>16</b> j	Ι	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-NO <sub>2</sub>	7.29	3.05	3.56	2.46
16k	II	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-H	6.78	0.73	4.79	1.12
161	II	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-H	6.82	1.41	NA	1.90
16m	II	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-F	2.65	0.35	2.45	0.19
16n	II	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-F	NA	1.48	3.96	1.62
160	II	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-Cl	NA	3.43	2.52	0.99

16p	II	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-Cl	2.64	1.71	4.33	0.39
16q	II	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	9.04	2.98	2.23	0.42
16r	II	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	0.85	0.72	4.25	0.38
16s	II	-COCH <sub>3</sub>	-NO <sub>2</sub>	3.02	0.50	0.20	0.16
16t	II	-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-NO <sub>2</sub>	8.71	1.75	2.98	1.10
Celecoxib				>10	0.021	0.29	0.02
AAZ				0.25	0.01	0.07	0.03

\*Mean from 3 different assays, by a stopped flow technique (errors were in the range of  $\pm 5-10\%$  of the reported values).

(i) The cytosolic isoform hCA I was inhibited by most sulfonamides herein reported in the low micromolar range (K<sub>1</sub>s between 2.64 and 9.35  $\mu$ M), with the exception of compounds **16b**, **16n** and **16o** which did not inhibit hCA I up to 100  $\mu$ M. Only derivative **16r** showed a submicromolar hCA I inhibitory efficacy (K<sub>1</sub>s 0.85  $\mu$ M). Such results ascribed to the prepared compounds better inhibitory efficacy than those of celecoxib, although worsened compared to the clinically used sulfonamide **AAZ**.

(ii) The physiologically dominant isoform hCA II was efficiently inhibited by all sulfonamides **16a-16t**, with K<sub>I</sub> values ranging between 0.35 and 4.66  $\mu$ M (Table 1). Despite the impossibility to draw a clear SAR, probably elicited by the rather flat inhibitory tendency revealed against hCA II, it is worth noting the submicromolar inhibitors **16a**, **16g**, **16i**, **16k**, **16m**, **16r** and **16s** (K<sub>I</sub>s between 0.35 and 86  $\mu$ M). All of them bear the acetyl group in position R<sub>1</sub> (except **16r**), thus highlighting the better impact of such a group on the binding efficacy, compared to the carboxymethyl moiety.

(iii) Likewise hCA I, most of the reported sulfonamides showed comparable effectiveness in inhibiting the membrane-associated isoform hCA IV, with  $K_I$  values spanning in the low micromolar range (2.23- 4.90  $\mu$ M), except **16h** and **16l** which did not inhibit hCA I up to 100  $\mu$ M. Only the nitro derivative **16s**, which appended the acetyl and the thienyl groups at the **PTP** scaffold, acted as a potent hCA IV inhibitor with a  $K_I$  of 0.20  $\mu$ M.

(iv) Finally, the tumor-associated isoform hCA IX was potently inhibited by the most of the reported sulfonamides with K<sub>I</sub> reaching the low-medium nanomolar range ( $0.16 - 3.26 \mu$ M). In particular, the acetyl bearing derivatives **16a**, **16c**, **16e**, **16g** and **16i** from series I and **16m** and **16s** from series II, displayed a nanomolar inhibitory profile (K<sub>Is</sub> of 0.18, 0.25, 0.35, 0.33, 0.33, 0.19 and 0.16  $\mu$ M, respectively) likened to the corresponding carboxyethyl analogues

( $K_{Is}$  of 3.26, 3.24, 1.46, 1.45, 2.46, 1.62 and 1.10  $\mu$ M, respectively). Conversely, only the carboxyethyl bearing compounds **16p** and **16r** demonstrated to be better hCA IX inhibitors ( $K_{Is}$  of 0.39 and 0.38  $\mu$ M) in comparison to the acetyl analogues **16o** and **16q** ( $K_{Is}$  of 0.99 and 0.42  $\mu$ M). Moreover, the data in Table 1 undeniably highlighted the positive effect on the inhibitory efficacy against hCA IX obtained by swapping the 4-Cl-phenyl group with the thienyl one.

(v) Despite the not enviable efficacy that sulfonamides **16a-16t** showed against the tumorassociated isoform (celecoxib and **AAZ** displayed  $K_{IS}$  of 0.02 and 0.03 µM), it is reasonable to focus the attention on the interesting selective profile they possess against hCA IX versus hCA II (Table 2). Indeed, it is satisfying to note that most of the reported compounds displayed a selectivity ratio hCA IX/hCA II from two-fold to fourteen-fold higher than celecoxib or **AAZ**. Only **16k**, **16l** and **16n** were non-selective hCA IX over hCA II inhibitors. On the other hand, all the acetyl **PTP** sulfonamides from the series I and **16k** and **16m** from series II were shown to possess much better selectivity for the tumor-associated isoform hCA IX over hCA IV, compared to the corresponding carboxyethyl analogues and **AAZ**, while exhibited comparable selectivity ratio with celecoxib. A different behaviour may be highlighted for the remaining derivatives of series II. In fact, the carboxyethyl bearing sulfonamides **16p**, **16r** and **16t** were more selective inhibitors of hCA IX over hCA IV in comparison to their acetyl analogues. In addition, **16p** and **16r** displayed selectivity ratio hCA IX/IV comparable to celecoxib, being four-fold better than **AAZ**.

(vi) As more than once highlithed here, the data reported in Table 1 clearly demonstrate that the replacement of the acetyl group in position  $R_1$  by the carboxyethyl moiety generally worsened the inhibition profiles of derivatives **16a-16t** depending on the substituents  $Ar_1$ ,  $R_2$ and the considered isoform. It is reasonable to hypothesize that such a diminished inhibitory efficacy of the carboxyethyl derivatives in comparison to the acetyl ones might derive from the steric hyndrance aroused by the **PTP**. Indeed, such a bulky core may lead to a rather rigid and fixed positioning of the tricyclic scaffold within the active site pocket, obviously depending on the substituents  $Ar_1$  and  $R_2$ . Hence, in most cases the replacement of the acetyl group with the bigger carboxyethyl moiety may cause clashes with amino acid residues from the hydrophobic pocket, worsening thus the inhibitory activity.

**Table 2**: Selectivity ratios for the inhibition of hCA IX over hCA II and hCA IX over hCA

 IV for the compounds 16a-16t reported in the paper:

	Selectivity ratio				
Compound	hCA IX/hCA II	hCA IX/hCA IV			
16a	3.35	16.90			
16b	1.08	1.42			
16c	4.68	14.12			
16d	1.44	1.51			
16e	5.78	10.14			
16f	2.49	3.21			
16g	2.23	9.12			
16h	1.09	NA			
16i	2.62	8.86			
16j	1.24	1.45			
16k	0.65	4.26			
<b>16</b> l	0.75	NA			
16m	1.84	12.83			
16n	0.91	2.44			
160	3.45	2.53			
16р	4.37	11.08			
16q	7.06	5.29			
16r	1.86	11.00			
16s	3.13	1.23			
16t	1.58	2.70			
Celecoxib	1.05	14.5			
AAZ	0.48	2.96			

#### 3. Conclusions

Two novel series of sulfonamides, 16a-16j 16k-16t, and containing the pyridotriazolopyrimidine scaffold have been synthesized and evaluated in vitro as inhibitors against four relevant hCAs, comprising the cytosolic and ubiquitous isozymes hCA I and II as well as the transmembrane hCA IV and hCA IX, the last one a validated antitumor drug target. Most of the reported sulfonamides acted as good, low micromolar inhibitors of hCA I, II and IV, whereas they displayed a better efficacy in inhibiting the tumor-associated isoform hCA IX. Many derivatives herein reported highlighted better selectivity ratios for inhibiting hCA IX over hCA II than celecoxib and AAZ. Conversely, some sulfonamides among the two series demostrated a comparable selectivity for hCA IX over hCA IV, similar to celecoxib, whereas being 3 to 6-fold better than AAZ. Considering that isoform hCA IX is a validated target for the diagnosis and treatment of cancers, discovery of selective inhibitors represents a promising step to unveil a more effective cancer therapy, and moreover the obtained SAR might further help in the design of novel, isoform-selective inhibitors.

#### 4. Experimental

#### 4.1 Chemistry:

#### 4..1.1. General:

Melting points were measured with a Stuart apparatus and were uncorrected. The NMR spectra were recorded by Varian Gemini-300BB 300, 400 and 500 MHz FT-NMR spectrometers (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA). <sup>1</sup>H spectra were run at 300, 400 and 500 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were run at 75, 100 and 125 MHz, respectively, in deuterated dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO- $d_6$ ). Chemical shifts ( $\delta_H$ ) are reported relative to TMS as internal standard. All coupling constant (*J*) values are given in hertz. The abbreviations used are as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet, m, multiplet. Microanalyses were carried out using Perkin Elmer PE 2400 CHN Elemental Analyzer and the results were within ±0.4%. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates containing UV indicator was employed routinely to follow the course of reactions and to check the purity of products. All reagents and solvents were purified and dried by standard techniques. 6-Amino-2-thiouracil (**3**),<sup>23</sup> hydrazonoyl chlorides **11a**, **11b**,<sup>18</sup> pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines **5d**, **5e**, **5g** were prepared according to the literature procedure.<sup>12</sup>

4.1.2. General procedure for preparation of 5-aryl-7-(thiophen-2-yl / 4-chlorophenyl)-2thioxo-2,3-dihydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)-one (**5a-j**) and spectral data are provided in the supplementary file.

4.1.3. General procedure for preparation of target compounds (16a-t). To a mixture of 5aryl-7-(thiophen-2-yl / 4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxo-2,3-dihydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)one (**5a-i**) (1 mmol) and the appropriate hydrazonovl chloride **11a**, **b** (1 mmol) in dioxane (30 mL), triethylamine (0.14 mL, 1 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed until the starting materials were fully consumed or until conversion was observed to stall (monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was triturated with methanol. The formed solid was washed thoroughly with water, filtered then crystallized DMF:EtOH from [v:v, 1:1] or subjected to flash chromatography (methanol/dichloromethane, 1:10) to give 16a-t. Compounds 16k and 16l were previously synthesized in our laboratory.<sup>18</sup>

4.1.3.1. 4-(3-Acetyl-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-oxo-6-phenylpyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (16a): Yield: 46%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500

MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 2.66 (s, 3H, -<u>CH\_3</u>), 7.46-7.48 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.54 (br. s, 2H, -SO<sub>2</sub><u>NH<sub>2</sub></u>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.60 (d, J = 8.5 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 7.78 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.10 (d, J = 9.0 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 8.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 8.48 (d, J = 9.0 Hz 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 30.11, 108.04, 119.31, 120.69, 127.71, 128.19, 128.61, 128.93, 129.43, 130.14, 136.20, 136.44, 139.26, 139.58, 142.23, 142.70, 147.67, 155.12, 155.52, 160.27, 160.31, 188.03; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S: C, 58.90; H, 3.35; N, 14.72. Found: C, 59.20; H, 3.56; N, 14.52.

4.1.3.2. Ethyl 8-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-oxo-6-phenyl-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16b**): Yield: 57%, m.p. >300 °C °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.29 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.43 (q, J = 7 and 7, 2 Hz, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.45-7.47 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.53 (br. s, 2H, -SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.59 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.77 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.34 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 8.44 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 13.72, 63.63, 107.39, 118.77, 120.26, 127.21, 127.72, 128.18, 128.47, 128.94, 129.65, 135.73, 135.87, 135.98, 138.76, 139.03, 142.24, 146.63, 154.57, 154.76, 156.12, 159.79, 159.90; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S: C, 57.95; H, 3.52; N, 13.98. Found: C, 57.76; H, 3.87; N, 13.82.

4.1.3.3. 4-(3-Acetyl-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxopyrido[2,3d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (**16c**): Yield: 51%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 2.67 (s, 3H, -<u>CH</u><sub>3</sub>), 7.28 (t, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52-7.55 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H + -SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.59 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 7.79 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 8.34 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 8.47 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 29.63, 107.56, 114.51 (<sup>2</sup> $J_{F-C} = 21.38$  Hz), 118.88, 120.20, 127.21, 128.93, 129.64, 130.66 (<sup>3</sup> $J_{F-C} = 8.38$  Hz), 135.26, 135.29, 135.76, 135.88, 138.74, 141.73, 142.24, 146.81, 153.50 (<sup>1</sup> $J_{F-C} = 198.00$  Hz), 159.79, 161.24, 163.19, 187.51; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClFN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S: C, 57.10; H, 3.08; N, 14.27. Found: C, 57.46; H, 3.36; N, 14.56.

4.1.3.4. Ethyl 8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16d**): Yield: 62%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 1.30 (t, J = 7Hz, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.43 (q, J = 7 and 7.5 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.29 (t, J = 9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52-7.55 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H +

-SO<sub>2</sub><u>NH<sub>2</sub></u>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.61 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 7.81 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, Ar-H), 8.35 (d, J = 9, 2H, Ar-H), 8.44 (d, J = 9, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 13.74, 63.65, 107.46, 114.53 (<sup>2</sup> $J_{F-C} = 21.88$  Hz), 118.86, 120.28, 127.22, 128.97, 129.68, 130.69, 130.76 (<sup>3</sup> $J_{F-C} = 8.63$  Hz), 135.28, 135.77, 135.87, 135.96, 138.74, 142.27, 146.62, 153.46, 154.85 (<sup>1</sup> $J_{F-C} = 159.18$  Hz), 159.86, 159.90, 161.27, 163.21; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>20</sub>ClFN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S: C, 56.27; H, 3.26; N, 13.58. Found: C, 56.56; H, 3.59; N, 13.62.

4.4.3.5. 4-(3-Acetyl-6,8-bis(4-chlorophenyl)-5-oxopyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (**16e**): Yield: 40%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 2.66 (s, 3H, -<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.50-7.55 (m, 6H, 4Ar-H + -SO<sub>2</sub><u>NH<sub>2</sub></u>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.62 (d, J = 8.5 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 7.82 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.10 (d, J = 9.0 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 8.48 (d, J = 9.0 Hz 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 30.36, 108.18, 118.00, 120.96, 127.91, 128.42, 129.67, 130.37, 131.05, 133.76, 136.49, 136.59, 138.57, 139.43, 142.42, 142.95, 147.57, 153.93, 155.80, 160.48, 160.64, 188.26; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S: C, 55.55; H, 3.00; N, 13.88. Found: C, 55.79; H, 3.16; N, 13.59.

4.4.3.6. Ethyl 6,8-bis(4-chlorophenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-1,5-dihydropyrido[2,3d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16f**): Yield: 52%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 1.30 (t, J = 7, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.45 (q, J = 7 and 7.5, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.49-7,54 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.61 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.80 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.44 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 14.43, 64.35, 108.00, 119.40, 120.98, 127.91, 128.42, 129.66, 130.36, 131.07, 133.78, 136.51, 136.54, 136.59, 138.53, 139.40, 142.98, 147.32, 153.85, 155.51, 156.78, 160.55, 160.64; Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S: C, 54.81; H, 3.17; N, 13.23. Found: C, 55.09; H, 3.30; N, 13.52.

4.4.3.7.  $4-(3-Acetyl-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxopyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (16g): Yield: 35%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) <math>\delta$  ppm: 2.68 (s, 3H, -CO<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 3.85 (s, 3H, -O<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.02 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.45-7.48 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H + -SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.60 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.76 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.09 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.34

(d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.48 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S: C, 57.95; H, 3.52; N, 13.98. Found: C, 58.00; H, 3.76; N, 14.24.

4.4.3.8. Ethyl 8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16h**): Yield: 47%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub><u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 3.85 (s, 3H, -O<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 4.43 (q, J = 6.9 and 7.2 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub></u>CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.01 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31-7.50 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H + -SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.60 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.75 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.33 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.44 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S: C, 57.10; H, 3.67; N, 13.32; Found: C, 57.32; H, 3.86; N, 13.59.

4.4.3.9.  $4-(3-Acetyl-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-oxopyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (16i): Yield: 52%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) <math>\delta$  ppm: 2.67 (s, 3H, -CO<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.52 (s, 2H, -SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.61 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.87 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.11 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.49 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 30.08, 107.70, 118.89, 120.79, 123.29, 127.71, 129.46, 130.15, 130.34, 136.17, 136.44, 139.14, 142.13, 142.84, 146.42, 147.40, 147.68, 152.61, 155.51, 160.08, 160.63, 187.79; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S: C, 54.60; H, 2.95; N, 15.92; Found: C, 54.86; H, 3.18; N, 15.83.

4.4.3.10. Ethyl 8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16j**): Yield: 63%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 1.24 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.35 (q, J = 6.9 and 7.6 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.54 (s, 2H, -SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.62 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.89 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.32 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.44 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>20</sub>ClN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S: C, 53.92; H, 3.12; N, 15.18. Found: C, 54.27; H, 3.36; N, 15.40.

4.4.3.11.4-(3-Acetyl-6-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-8-(thiophen-2-yl)pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide(16m): Yield: 53%, m.p.

>300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  *ppm*: 2.66 (s, 3H, CO<u>CH</u><sub>3</sub>), 7.23-7.33 (m, 3H, 2Ar-H + H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.49 (br. s, 2H, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.76 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 7.86 (m, 1H, H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.11 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.15-8.2 (m, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.43 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 55.71; H, 3.06; N, 14.99. Found: C, 55.86; H, 3.34; N, 15.28.

4.4.3.12. Ethyl 6-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-8-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16n**): Yield: 48%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.41 (q, J = 7.2 and 7.5 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.22-7.33 (m, 3H, 2Ar-H + H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.45-7.53 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H +2H of SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.77 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 7.82-7.88 (m, 1H, H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.15-8.2 (m, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.40 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>C, 54.91; H, 3.24; N, 14.23. Found: C, 55.26; H, 3.53; N, 14.56.

4.4.3.13. 4-(3-Acetyl-6-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-oxo-8-(thiophen-2-yl)pyrido[2,3d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (**160**): Yield: 73%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 2.67 (s, 3H, CO<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.24 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.48 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54-7.56 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H + 2H of SO<sub>2</sub><u>NH<sub>2</sub></u>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.79 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 7.88 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H, H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.12 (d, J =8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.17 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.45 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  ppm: 30.18, 107.24, 117.80, 120.95, 127.73, 128.22, 129.47, 129.94, 130.71, 132.49, 133.47, 138.39, 139.16, 142.23, 142.83, 143.63, 147.37, 153.29, 155.32, 157.27, 160.39, 188.08; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.12; H, 2.97; N, 14.56. Found: C, 54.36; H, 3.26; N, 14.79.

4.4.3.14. Ethyl 6-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-8-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16p**): Yield: 63%, m.p. 286-289 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.42 (q, J = 7.2 and 6.9 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.24 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.46-7.51 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H + 2H of SO<sub>2</sub><u>NH<sub>2</sub></u>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.77 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 7.87 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.10 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.16 (m, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.40 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 53.42; H, 3.15; N, 13.84. Found: C, 53.66; H, 3.47; N, 14.06.

4.4.3.15.  $4-(3-Acetyl-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxo-8-(thiophen-2-yl)pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (16q): Yield: 64%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) <math>\delta$  ppm: 2.63 (s, 3H, CO<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 3.80 (s, 3H, -O<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 6.97 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.20 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.37-7.45 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H +2H of SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.56 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 7.74-8.06 (m, 3H , 2Ar-H + H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.27 (m, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.39 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 56.63; H, 3.52; N, 14.68. Found: C, 56.93; H, 3.86; N, 14.37.

4.4.3.16. Ethyl 6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-8-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16r**): Yield: 60%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.85 (s, 3H, -O<u>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 4.42 (q, J = 7.2 and 6.9 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.01 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.23 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.41 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.48 (br. s, 2H, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.72 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 7.85 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.09 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.13-8.20 (m, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.40 (d, J = 8.7Hz, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  14.22, 55.70, 64.08, 107.21, 113.64, 117.84, 118.75, 120.92, 127.50, 129.65, 130.46, 130.77, 131.55, 132.22, 136.37, 139.19, 142.73, 143.80, 147.07, 154.48, 155.09, 156.68, 159.92, 160.64,; Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 55.81; H, 3.68; N, 13.95. Found: C, 55.54; H, 3.37; N, 14.09.

4.4.3.17.  $4-(3-Acetyl-6-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-oxo-8-(thiophen-2-yl)pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-1(5H)-yl)benzenesulfonamide (16s): Yield: 69%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) <math>\delta$  ppm: 2.67 (s, 3H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.25 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.52-7.70 (m, 4H, 2Ar-H +2H of SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.86 (s, 1H, pyridine H), 8.00-8.33 (m, 5H , 4Ar-H + H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.45-8.55 (m, 3H , 2Ar-H + H<sup>3</sup> thiophene); Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 53.15; H, 2.92; N, 16.69. Found: C, 53.46; H, 3.24 N, 17.02.

4.4.3.18. Ethyl 6-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-oxo-1-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-8-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,5dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (**16t**): Yield: 72%, m.p. >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -COOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.40 (q, J = 7.2 and 6.9 Hz, 2H, -COO<u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub></u>), 7.24 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, H<sup>4</sup> thiophene), 7.50 (br. s, 2H, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable), 7.72 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.83 (s, 1H, pyridine

H), 7.88 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H<sup>5</sup> thiophene), 8.10 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.16-8.20 (m, 1H, H<sup>3</sup> thiophene), 8.32 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.40 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  *ppm*: 13.74, 63.60, 106.34, 117.00, 120.60, 122.82, 127.20, 128.89, 129.65, 130.00, 132.31, 135.78, 138.54, 142.42, 143.02, 146.00, 146.72, 147.17, 151.64, 154.54, 156.00, 157.00, 159.85; Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 52.51; H, 3.10; N, 15.88. Found: C, 52.63; H, 3.31; N, 15.64.

#### 4.1.CA activity and inhibition measurements:

An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument has been used for assaying the CA catalysed CO<sub>2</sub> hydration activity.<sup>22</sup> Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) has been used as indicator, working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5) as buffer, and 20 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (for maintaining constant the ionic strength), following the initial rates of the CA-catalyzed  $CO_2$  hydration reaction for a period of 10-100 s. The  $CO_2$ concentrations ranged from 1.7 to 17 mM for the determination of the kinetic parameters and inhibition constants. For each inhibitor at least six traces of the initial 5-10% of the reaction have been used for determining the initial velocity. The uncatalyzed rates were determined in the same manner and subtracted from the total observed rates. Stock solutions of inhibitor (0.1 mM) were prepared in distilled-deionized water and dilutions up to 0.01 nM were done thereafter with the assay buffer. Inhibitor and enzyme solutions were preincubated together for 15 min at room temperature prior to assay, in order to allow for the formation of the E-I complex. The inhibition constants were obtained by non-linear least-squares methods using PRISM 3 and the Cheng-Prusoff equation, as reported earlier,<sup>24</sup> and represent the mean from at least three different determinations. All CA isofoms were recombinant ones obtained inhouse as reported earlier.<sup>25</sup>

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for its funding of this research through the Research Group Project no. RGP-1436-038.

#### **References:**

 a) A. Weber, A. Casini, A. Heine, D. Kuhn, C.T. Supuran, A. Scozzafava, G. Klebe, J Med Chem 47 (2004) 550; b) C.T. Supuran, Nat Rev Drug Discov 7 (2008) 168; c) C. De Monte, S. Carradori, A. Gentili, A. Mollica, D. Trisciuoglio, C. T. Supuran. Curr Med Chem 22 (2015) 2812.

2. a) S. M. Monti, C. T. Supuran, G. De Simone, Expert Opin Ther. Patents 23 (2013) 737; b)D. Neri, C. T. Supuran, Nat Rev Drug Discov 10 (2011) 767.

3. a) F. Pacchiano, F. Carta, P. C. McDonald, Y. Lou, D. Vullo, A. Scozzafava, S. Dedhar, C. T. Supuran, J Med Chem 54 (2011) 1896; b) Y. Lou, P. C. McDonald, A. Oloumi, S. K. Chia, C. Ostlund, A. Ahmadi, A. Kyle, U. A. Keller, S. Leung, D. G. Huntsman, B. Clarke, B. W. Sutherland, D. Waterhouse, M. B. Bally, C. D. Roskelley, C. M. Overall, A. Minchinton, F. Pacchiano, F. Carta, A. Scozzafava, N. Touisni, J. Y. Winum, C. T. Supuran, S. Dedhar, Cancer Res. 71 (2011) 3364; c) F. E. Lock, P. C. McDonald, Y. Lou, I. Serrano, S. C. Chafe, C. Ostlund, S. Aparicio, J. Y. Winum, C. T. Supuran, S. Dedhar, Oncogene 32 (2013) 5210.

4. a) W.M. Eldehna, M. Fares, M. Ceruso, H.A. Ghabbour, S.M. Abou-Seri, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, D.A. Abou El Ella, C.T. Supuran, Eur J Med Chem 110 (2016) 259; b) A.M. Alafeefy, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, D. Vullo, A.M. Al-Tamimi, N.A. Al-Jaber, C. Capasso, C.T. Supuran, I Bioorg Med Chem 22(1) (2014) 141; c) A.M. Alafeefy, R. Ahmad, M. Abdulla, W.M. Eldehna, A.M. Al-Tamimi, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, O. Al-Obaid, F. Carta, A.A. Al-Kahtani, C.T. Supuran, Eur J Med Chem 109 (2016) 247; d) A.M. Alafeefy, S. Isik, N.A. Al-Jaber, D. Vullo, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, A.E. Ashour, A.S. Awaad, C. Capasso, C.T. Supuran, Bioorg Med Chem Lett 23 (2013) 3570; e) A.M. Alafeefy, S. Isik, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, A.E. Ashour, D. Vullo, N.A. Al-Jaber, C.T. Supuran, Bioorg Med Chem 21 (2013) 1396; e) A.M. Alafeefy, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, D. Vullo, A.M. Al-Tamimi, A.S. Awaad, M.A. Mohamed, C. Capasso, C.T. Supuran, J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 30 (2015) 52.

5. a) G. De Simone, V. Alterio, C. T.Supuran, Expert Opin Drug Discov 8 (2013) 793; b) E. Masini, F. Carta, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Expert Opin Ther Pat 23 (2013) 705; c) C.T. Supuran, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 20 (2010) 3467; d) C.T. Supuran, J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 28 (2013) 229.

6. a) C. T. Supuran, Bioorg Med Chem 21 (2013) 1377; b) C.T. Supuran, J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 31 (2016) 345; c) C.T. Supuran, Biochem J 473 (2016) 2023; d) C.T. Supuran, Expert Opin Drug Discov 12 (2017) 61; e) V. Alterio, A. Di Fiore, K. D'Ambrosio, C.T.

Supuran, G. De Simone, Chem Rev 112 (2012) 4421; f) C.T. Supuran, Expert Rev Neurother 16 (2016) 961.

 A.J. Salmon, M.L. Williams, Q.K. Wu, J. Morizzi, D. Gregg, S.A. Charman, D. Vullo, C.T. Supuran, S.A. Poulsen, J Med Chem 55 (2012) 5506.

8. P. Crepaldi, B. Cacciari, M.C. Bonache, G. Spalluto, K. Varani, P.A. Borea, I. Kugelgen,

K. Hoffmann, M. Pugliano, C. Razzari, M. Cattaneo, Bioorg Med Chem 17 (2009) 4612.

9. N.R. Mohamed, M.M. El-Saidi, Y.M. Ali, M.H. Elnagdi, Bioorg Med Chem 15 (2007) 6227.

10. V.A. Chebanov, V.E. Saraev, E.A. Gura, S.M. Desenko, V.I. Musatov, Collect Czech Chem Commun 70 (2005) 350.

11. N.R. Mohamed, M.M. El-Saidi, Y.M. Ali, M.H. Elnagdi, Scientia Pharm 75 (2007) 9.

12. J. Quiroga, B. Insuasty, A. Sanchez, M. Nogueras, H. Meier, J Heterocycl Chem 29 (1992) 1045.

13. S. Wawzonek, J Org Chem 41(2) (1976) 310.

14. W. Davey, J. Gwilt, 196. J Chem Soc (1957) 1008.

15. W.R. Boehme, Organic Syntheses (1953) 43.

16. T.A. Farghaly, H.M. Hassaneen, Arch Pharm Res 36 (2013) 564.

17. A.S. Shawali, T.A. Farghaly, Arkivoc 1 (2008) 18.

18. M. Fares, S.M. Abou-Seri, H.A. Abdel-Aziz, S.E. Abbas, M.M. Youssef, R.A. Eladwy, Eur J Med Chem 83 (2014) 155.

19. M.A. Mosselhi, Monatsh Chem 133 (2002) 1297.

20. J. Reiter, L. Bongo, and P. Dyortsok, Tetrahedron Lett 43 (1987) 2497.

21. A.O. Abdelhamid, A.A. Fahmi, B.S. Baaui, J Heterocycl Chem 49 (2012) 1098.

22. R. G. Khalifah, J. Biol. Chem. 246 (1971) 2561.

23. D. Taddei, A.M. Slawin, J.D. Woollins, Eur J Org Chem 2005 (2005) 939.

24. a) D. Vullo, J. Voipio, A. Innocenti, C. Rivera, H. Ranki, A. Scozzafava, K. Kaila, C.T.

Supuran, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 15 (2005) 971; b) I. Nishimori, D. Vullo, A. Innocenti, A.

Scozzafava, A. Mastrolorenzo, C.T. Supuran, J. Med. Chem. 48 (2005) 7860; c) A.

Scozzafava, L. Menabuoni, F. Mincione, C.T. Supuran, J. Med. Chem. 45 (2002) 1466; d) C.T. Supuran, M.A. Ilies, A. Scozzafava, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 33 (1998) 739.

25. a) C.T. Supuran, M. Barboiu, C. Luca, E. Pop, M.E. Brewster, A. Dinculescu, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 31 (1996) 597; b) F. Carta, M. Aggarwal, A. Maresca, A. Scozzafava, R. McKenna, E. Masini, C.T. Supuran, J. Med. Chem. 55 (2012) 1721; c) L. Puccetti, Fasolis G., D. Vullo, Z.H. Chohan, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 15

(2005) 3096; d) C.T. Supuran, A. Nicolae, A. Popescu, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 31 (1996) 431; e) S. Bilginer, E. Unluer, H.I. Gul, E. Mete, S. Isik, D. Vullo, S. Beyaztas, O. Ozensoy-Guler, C. Capasso, C.T. Supuran, J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 29 (2014) 495; f) Y. Cau, M. Mori, Acceleration C.T. Supuran, M. Botta, Org Biomol Chem. 14 (2016) 8322.

# Synthesis of bulky-tailed sulfonamides incorporating pyrido[2,3-*d*][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-*a*]pyrimidin-1(5*H*)-yl) moieties and evaluation of their carbonic anhydrases I, II, IV and IX inhibitory effects

Mohamed Fares <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Radwa A. Eladwy <sup>c</sup>, Alessio Nocentini <sup>d,e</sup>, Soha R. Abd El Hadi <sup>b</sup>, Hazem A. Ghabbour <sup>f,g</sup>, Ashraf Abdel-Megeed <sup>h</sup>, Wagdy M. Eldehna <sup>i</sup>, Hatem A. Abdel-Aziz <sup>j</sup>, Claudiu T. Supuran <sup>d\*</sup>

