

NEW PROCEDURE FOR THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF CILOSTAMIDE

Seyed Mohammad Seyed^{*}, Hamid Sadeghian and Zahra Arghiani

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, I. R. Iran

E-mail : smseyedi@yahoo.com


Abstract : An efficient route to synthesise a wide range of *N,N*-R,R'-4-(2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-6-yloxy)butanamide, specially Cilostamide (R = methyl and R' = cyclohexyl), one of the most selective inhibitors of phosphodiesterase3 (PDE3) enzyme, from 5-methoxy-2-nitro benzaldehyde with emphasis on the preparation of the carbostyryl (2-quinolinone) ring system is reported.

Keywords : Cilostamide, 6-hydroxycarbostyryl, *p*-Anisidine, 6-nitro-3-methoxybenzaldehyde


Introduction

Cilostamide 11 is a highly functionalized compound which shows potent activity of phosphodiesterase3 inhibitory (1-4) lead to cardiogenic effects (5,6), inhibition of platelet aggregation (7-9) and increase in the secretion of Insulin-stimulated glucose (10,11).

The early syntheses of cilostamide was involved the using of 6-hydroxycarbostyryl 6 as a substrate (12). The latter compound was synthesized by methoxylation and two step demethylation of 2-chloro-6-methoxyquinoline 3 in six days (13). Compound 3 was obtained from 6-methoxyquinoline (Scheme-1) (14,15). The total yield of this procedure was 20%. The synthesis of compound 6 was also reported by DeRuiter and co-workers (16) starting from oxidation of 6-methoxyquinoline in the presence of hydrogen peroxide in glacial acetic acid to give the *N*-oxide 2 which was converted to 6-hydroxycarbostyryl 6 (67% yield, 36 h total times) (Scheme-2). Using Beckmann rearrangement to synthesis 6-hydroxycarbostyryl 6 from related indanone oxime was not suitable due to low yield (< 5%) (17-19). It is notable that the early synthesis of 6-hydroxycarbostyryl 6 was reported via decarboxylation of 2-hydroxy-6-methoxyquinoline-4-carboxylic acid (20,21) which was obtained by hydrolysis of crude orizanine (22).



Scheme-1




Scheme-2

Converting 5-hydroxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde 7 to Cilostamide 11 in six step reactions with total yield of 16% during 64 h time is also reported (Scheme-3) (4). In this procedure compound 7 was prepared from nitration of protected 3-hydroxy benzaldehyde (23).


Herein we report two procedures for the total synthesis of Cilostamide 11 which are notable from point of view of reaction time and the yield of synthesized Cilostamide 11. In the first procedure the 5-methoxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde 12 (24) is converted to corresponding nitrocinnamic acid 13 by using malonic acid and piperidine in pyridine (25). Alcoholic solution of $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (26) reduces compound 13 to 2-amino-5-methoxycinnamic acid 14 in 98% yield. Acid-catalyzed ring closure of the aminocinnamic acid 14 in dilute hydrochloric acid (27), lead to formation of 6-methoxycarbostyryl 5 in 87% yield. Demethylation of 5 in 48% aqueous hydrobromic acid produces 6-hydroxycarbostyryl 6 (14). Selective nucleophilic substitution of 6-hydroxy group of 6 by ethyl 4-bromobutyrate in the presence of DBU (1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) afforded Ethyl 4-(1,2-dihydro-2-oxoquinolin-6-yloxy)butanoate 15 in good yield (13, 28,29). Hydrolysis of ester 15 in 20%

HCl afforded acid 16. This acid was converted to corresponding acid chloride by using DBU and Isobutyl chloroformate following by direct reaction with N-methylcyclohexylamine to form Cilostamide 11 (Scheme-4).


The second procedure was involved the reaction of *p*-anisidine 17 with cinnamoyl chloride which gave amide 18. Friedel-Crafts cyclization of 18 in Chlorobenzene afforded 6-hydroxycarboistryl 6 (39% yield) (Scheme-5) (30). Converting the hydroxy compound 6 to Cilostamide 11 was similar to procedure shown in Scheme-4.



Scheme-3



Scheme-4



Scheme-5

Experimental

Melting points were recorded on an Electrothermal type 9100 melting point apparatus. The 1H NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 100 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS). Electron impact (EI) mass spectra were recorded on a Varian Match 7A spectrometer. Elemental analysis was obtained on a Thermo Finnigan Flash EA microanalyzer. All chemicals were purchased from Merck and Fluka Co. and used without further purification.

5-Methoxy-2-nitrocinnamic acid (13)

18.1 g (0.1 mol) of 6-nitro-3-methoxybenzaldehyde 12 (25) was added to a mixture of 20.8 g (0.2 mol) malonic acid in 40 mL pyridine. The malonic acid was dissolved by shaking and warming on a steam bath. Piperidine (1.5 mL) is then added, and the mixture was heated to $80^\circ C$ for 1 h, and then left under reflux for 2 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into 400 mL cold water, and while stirring, it was slowly adding 50 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid. The resulting was filtered and washed with water. The crude solid acid was dissolved in a solution of 8 g sodium hydroxide in 300 mL water. The resulting was filtered and acidified by adding 60 mL of HCl (15%). The mixture was filtered and the crystalline material was washed with water and dried at $60-70^\circ C$ gave acid 13 (21.4 g, 96%, mp $230^\circ C$). 1H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 3.93 (s, 3H, -OCH₃), 6.55 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, =CHCOO), 7.19 (dd, $J = 9$ Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 7.32 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 7.97 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, Ph-CH=), 8.14 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 1H, H-6), 12.1-13.3 (br, 1H, -COOH); MS m/z : 223 (M⁺), 177 (100%). (Found: C, 53.54; H, 4.10; N, 6.25. $C_{11}H_{11}NO_4$ requires: C, 53.82; H, 4.06; N, 6.28%)

5-Methoxy-2-aminocinnamic acid (14)

A mixture of 20 g (0.09 mol) of 5-methoxy-2-nitrocinnamic acid 13 and 101.5 g (0.45 mol) of $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 150 ml of absolute ethanol was refluxed under nitrogen for 20 min. After cooling; the mixture was poured into 500 mL cold water. The pH was made 4-5 by adding sodium bicarbonate. The aminocinnamic acid was extracted by shaking with normal butanol (3 × 150 mL). The separated organic phase treated with charcoal and dried over sodium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent left 14 (17 g, 98%, mp 185 °C). ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 3.66 (s, 3H, -OCH₃), 6.32 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H, =CHCOO), 6.71 (m, 2H, H-3 & H-4), 6.98 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.81 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H, PhCH=), 3.3-4.3 (br, 3H, -COOH & -NH₂); MS m/z : 191 (M⁺), 78 (100%). (Found: C, 68.97; H, 6.92; N, 7.26. $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}_2$ requires: C, 69.09; H, 6.85; N, 7.32%)

6-Methoxycarbostyryl (5)

15 g (0.078 mol) of amino acid 14 was refluxed in 160 mL HCl 1N for 6 h. After cooling the mixture, pale brown crystals was precipitated which was filtered, washed with water, and dried in oven gave 6-methoxycarbostyryl 5 (11.9 g, 87% yield, mp 218 °C). The small amount of compound 5 recrystallized from acetic acid to get CHN analysis. ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 3.78 (s, 3H, -OCH₃), 6.47 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 7.05-7.32 (m, 3H, H-5, H-7 & H-8), 7.83 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 11.65 (br, 1H, NHCO); MS m/z : 175 (M⁺), 175 (100%). (Found: C, 68.77; H, 5.24; N, 7.89. $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_9\text{NO}_2$ requires: C, 68.56; H, 5.18; N, 8.00%)

6-Hydroxycarbostyryl (6)

10 g (0.058 mol) of compound 5 in 48% aqueous hydrobromic acid (200 mL) was boiled for 48 h. Diluting the solution with water (100 mL) gave white needles of 6 (8.1 g, 88% yield) which was recrystallized from acetic acid (mp 334 °C). ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 6.44 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 7.01-7.38 (m, 3H, H-5, H-7 & H-8), 7.80 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 9.03 (br, 1H, -OH), 10.05 (br, 1H, NHCO); MS m/z : 161 (M⁺), 83 (100%). (Found: C, 66.97; H, 4.44; N, 8.74. $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_9\text{NO}_2$ requires: C, 67.07; H, 4.38; N, 8.69%)

Ethyl 4-(1,2-dihydro-2-oxoquinolin-6-yloxy)butanoate (15)

Ethyl 4-bromobutyrate (7.3 g, 0.033 mol) was added dropwise to a solution of 5 g (0.031 mol) of 6-hydroxycarbostyryl 6 and 7 g of DBU in 75 mL of isopropyl alcohol. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h, the solvent was evaporated off, and the residue was extracted with chloroform. After removal of the solvent, the residue was recrystallized from methanol to give 15 as colorless needles. (7.1 g, 83%, mp 153 °C). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3): δ 1.31 (t, 3H, J = 6 Hz, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OCO}$), 2.12 (m, 2H, -OCH₂CH₂CH₂CO₂-), 2.53 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, -CH₂CO₂-), 4.03 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, -OCH₂-), 4.11 (q, 2H, J = 6 Hz, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OCO}$), 6.69 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-3), 6.91-7.52 (m, 3H, H-5, H-7 & H-8), 7.75 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-4), 12.75 (br, 1H, NHCO); MS m/z : 275 (M⁺), 160 (100%). (Found: C, 65.37; H, 6.20; N, 5.02. $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_4$ requires: C, 65.44; H, 6.22; N, 5.09%)

4-(1,2-Dihydro-2-oxoquinolin-6-yloxy)butanoic acid (16)

A suspension of 15 (6 g, 0.022 mol) in 60 mL of 20% HCl was stirred at 85-90 °C for 2 h, then cooled. The precipitated crystals were collected, and washed with water. The crystals were recrystallized from DMF-water, oven dried gave 16 (5.3 g, 99%, mp 265 °C). ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 1.95 (m, 2H, -OCH₂CH₂CH₂CO₂H), 2.39 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, -CH₂CO₂H), 4.01 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, -OCH₂-), 6.46 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-3), 7.02-7.35 (m, 3H, H-5, H-7 & H-8), 7.79 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 11.72 (br, 1H, NHCO & COOH); MS m/z : 247 (M⁺), 160 (100%). (Found: C, 63.31; H, 5.26; N, 5.71. $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}_4$ requires: C, 63.15; H, 5.30; N, 5.67%)

4-(1,2-Dihydro-2-oxoquinolin-6-yloxy)-N-cyclohexyl-N-methylbutanamide (11)

Isobutyl chloroformate (3 g, 0.022 mol) was added dropwise to a solution of 4.94 g (0.020 mol) of 16 and 3.4 g of DBU in 100 mL chloroform while stirring in ice-water. After removing the ice-bath, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. 2.75 g (0.024 mol) of N-methylcyclohexylamine was then added dropwise and stirring was continued at room temperature for 3 h. The resulting solution was washed with 0.5 N NaOH (2 × 50 mL), dil. HCl (2 × 50 mL) and water (2 × 50 mL). The non aqueous layer was dried over sodium sulfate. After removing of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was recrystallized from MeOH-H₂O to give 11 (5.46 g, 80%, mp 187 °C). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3): δ 0.78-1.97 (m, 10H, CH₂ of cyclohexyl), 1.97-2.74 (m, 4H, -COCH₂CH₂CH₂O-), 2.82 (s, 3H, CH₃NCO), 3.23-3.73 (br, 0.5H, CH of cyclohexyl), 4.02 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, -CH₂O-), 4.17-4.67 (br, 0.5H, CH of cyclohexyl), 6.61 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.78-7.48 (m, 3H, H-5, H-7 & H-8), 7.65 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 12.81 (br, 1H, NHCO); MS m/z : 336 (M⁺), 176 (100%). (Found: C, 71.29; H, 6.03; N, 8.29. $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$ requires: C, 71.41; H, 5.99; N, 8.33%)

N-(4-methoxyphenyl)cinnamamide (17)

To a stirred mixture of 4-methoxyaniline (9.0 g, 73.1 mmol), potassium carbonate (15.0g, 108.5 mmol), water (100 mL), and acetone (50 mL), was added cinnamoyl chloride (15.0 g, 90.0 mmol) at 0 °C dropwise. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 0.5 h and then poured into ice-water (1000 mL). The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water.

Recrystallization from CHCl_3 -MeOH gave 17 as a colorless needles (13.5 g, 73 %, mp 154-155 °C). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 3.79 (s, 3H, $-\text{OCH}_3$), 6.56 (d, 1H, $J = 15.6$ Hz, $=\text{CHCO}$), 6.87 (d, 2H, $J = 9$ Hz, H-2 & H-6 of methoxyphenyl), 7.28-7.59 (m, 5H, aromatic H of cinnamamide), 7.54 (d, 2H, $J = 9$ Hz, H-3 & H-5 of methoxyphenyl), 7.76 (d, 1H, $J = 15.6$ Hz, $=\text{CHPh}$); MS m/z : 253 (M^+), 131 (100%). (Found: C, 75.78; H, 6.01; N, 5.49. $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_2$ requires: C, 75.87; H, 5.97; N, 5.53%)

6-Hydroxycarbostryl (6)

Aluminum chloride (13.0 g, 97.6 mmol) was added portionwise to a suspension of 17 (5.0 g, 19.8 mmol) in chlorobenzene (100 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to 120 °C and then stirred for 1.5 h. The mixture was poured into ice-water, the resulting sticky precipitate was washed with water and dissolved in ethanol, treated with charcoal and filtered. After removing ethanol the residue was recrystallized from acetic acid to form a white prism crystals (1.7 g, 53%, mp 334 °C). (Found: C, 67.21; H, 4.47; N, 8.67. $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_9\text{NO}_2$ requires: C, 67.07; H, 4.38; N, 8.69%)

Conclusion

The total yields of the first procedure (47%, Scheme 4) and of the second procedure (25%, Scheme 5) are notable when compared with the 20, 34 and 16%, schemes 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Although the procedure of scheme 4, gives higher yield (47%) but it takes longer time (67 h for total reactions) in comparison with scheme 5 (14 h for total reactions). The advantage of procedure of scheme 5, in spite of its lower yield, is less synthetic step, shorter time of total reactions and cheap reagents.

References

1. M. Endoh, K. Satoh and S. Yamashita, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **66**, 43 (1980).
2. C. Lugnier, A. Stierle, A. Beretz, P. Schoeffter, A. Lebec, C. G. Wermuth, J. P. Cazenave and J. C. Stoclet, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **113**, 954 (1983).
3. E. Degerman, P. Belfrage, A. H. Newman, K. C. Rice and V. C. Manganiello, *J. Biol. Chem.*, **262**, 5797 (1987).
4. G. H. Jones, M. C. Venuti, R. Alvarez, J. J. Bruno, A. H. Berks and A. Prince., *J. Med. Chem.*, **30**, 295 (1987).
5. Y. Inoue, K. Toga, T. Sudo, K. Tachibana, S. Tochizawa, Y. Kimura and Y. Yoshida, H. Hidaka, *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **130**, 231 (2000).
6. P. G. Phillips, L. Long, M. R. Wilkins and N. W. Morrell, *Thorax*, **55**, A35-A35 (2000).
7. H. Hidaka, H. Hayashi, H. Kohri, H. Kimur, T. Hosokawa, T. Igawa and Y. Saitoh, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **211**, 26 (1979).
8. M. Nishikawa, F. Komada, K. Morita, K. Deguchi and S. Shirakawa, *Cellular Signalling*, **4**, 453 (1992).
9. T. Sudo, K. Tachibana, K. Toga, S. Tochizawa, Y. Inoue, Y. Kimura and H. Hidaka, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **59**, 347 (2000).
10. P. B. Snyder, *Emerging Therapeutic Targets*, **3**, 4 (1999).
11. M. J. Juan-Fita, M. L. Vargas and J. Hernandez, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **512**, 207 (2005).
12. T. Nishi, F. Tabusa, T. Tanaka, H. Ueda, T. Shimizu, T. Kanbe, Y. Kimura and K. Nakagawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **3**, 852 (1983).
13. R. R. Holmes, J. Conrady, J. Guthrie and R. Mckay, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **76**, 2400 (1954).
14. J. Magidson, *J. Gen. Chem. (USSR)*, **7**, 1896 (1937).
15. G. B. Bachman and D. E. Cooper, *J. Org. Chem.*, **9**, 302 (1944).
16. J. DeRuiter, A. N. Brubaker, W. L. Whitmer, and J. L. Stein, *J. Med. Chem.*, **29**, 2024 (1986).
17. R. E. Gawley, *Organic Reaction*, **35**, 1 (1988).
18. M. J. Tanga, and E. J. Reist, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **23**, 747 (1986).
19. Y. Torisawa, T. Nishi and J. I. Minamikawa, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **11**, 2205 (2003).
20. J. M. Gulland and R. A. Peters, *Biochem. J.*, **23**, 1124 (1929).
21. Y. Sahashi, *Biochem. Z.*, **189**, 208 (1927).
22. Y. Sahashi, *Sci. Papers. Int. Phys. Chem. Res.*, **4**, 207; **5**, 191 (1926).
23. A. Galun, A. Markus and A. Kampf, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **16**, 221 (1979).
24. F. A. Mason, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **127**, 1195 (1925).
25. *Org. Syn. Coll.*, **4**, 327.
26. F. D. Bellamy and K. Ou, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **25**, 839 (1984).
27. W. C. Holzapfel and C. Dwyer, *Heterocycles*, **48**, 215 (1998).
28. T. Fujioka, S. Teramoto, T. Mori, T. Hosokawa, T. Sumida, M. Tominaga, and Y. J. Yabuuchi, *J. Med. Chem.*, **35**, 3607 (1992).
29. Z. X. Guo, A. N. Cammidge, A. McKillop and D. C. Horwell, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **40**, 6999 (1999).
30. Manimaran, T.; Thiruvengadam, T. K.; Ramakrishnan, V. T. *Synthesis* **1975**, 739.

Received on September 18, 2007.