Organic LETTERS

Letter

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Palladium-Catalyzed α-Arylation of Benzylic Phosphine Oxides

Sonia Montel, Tiezheng Jia, and Patrick J. Walsh*

Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories, Penn/Merck Laboratory for High-Throughput Experimentation, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, United States

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A novel approach to prepare diarylmethyl phosphine oxides from benzyl phosphine oxides via deprotonative cross-coupling processes (DCCP) is reported. The optimization of the reaction was guided by High-Throughput Experimentation (HTE) techniques. The $Pd(OAc)_2$/Xantphos-based catalyst enabled the reaction between benzyl diphenyl or dicyclohexyl phosphine oxide derivatives and aryl bromides in good to excellent yields (51−91%).

Organophosphorus compounds, such as phosphine oxides, exhibit a wide range of applications in medicinal chemistry,[1] biochemistry,[2] agrochemistry,[3] material science,[4] catalysis (as catalysts and as ligands),[5] and organic synthesis (from Arbuzov to Wadsworth−Horner−Emmons reactions). They are also known flame-retardants[6] and metal extractants.[7] Considering these diverse applications, it is not surprising that the synthesis of organophosphorus compounds has attracted much attention.

Among organophosphorus compounds, α-diarylmethyl phosphine oxides exhibit particularly interesting properties.[8] Surprisingly, very few methods have been reported for their synthesis. Classical methods involve the use of Michaelis−Arbuzov or Michaelis−Becker reactions (Scheme 1).[9] These approaches, however, suffer from limited commercial availability of the requisite halogenated diarylmethanes or protected diarylmethanols. Diarylmethanes can also serve as precursors, but a three-step synthesis under harsh reaction conditions is needed to obtain the desired products (Scheme 1).[9b] In this Letter, the first examples of palladium-catalyzed direct α-arylation of benzyl phosphine oxides are reported.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Diarylmethyl Phosphine Oxides



Recently, transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with phosphorus compounds have emerged as a powerful route to construct P−C bonds.[10] In contrast, few examples of α-arylation of phosphorus compounds have been reported to date. These involve the deprotonation of significantly more acidic protons on $(RO)_2P(=O)CH_2$−EWG (EWG = keto, cyano, or sulfonyl) compared to those of benzyl diphenylphosphine oxide, where the pK_a in DMSO is around 29.[11] Hagadorn and Hlavinka developed a method to deprotonate $(MeO)_2P(O)Me$ using $Zn(tmp)_2$[12] and coupled it with bromobenzene in a palladium-catalyzed process.

Recently, our research group has introduced methods for the functionalization of weakly acidic sp^3-hybridized C−H bonds (pK_a's 28−35 in DMSO) of diarylmethanes, sulfoxides, sulfones, amides, and amine derivatives via deprotonative cross-coupling processes (DCCP).[13] Encouraged by the success of these reactions, we focused our effort on the cross-coupling of benzyl phosphine oxides with aryl bromides. To initiate these investigations, six bases [$LiN(SiMe_3)_2$, $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$, $KN(SiMe_3)_2$, LiO*t*Bu, NaO*t*Bu, and KO*t*Bu], four solvents [CPME (cyclopentyl methyl ether), dioxane, THF, and toluene], two palladium sources [$Pd(OAc)_2$ and $Pd(dba)_2$], and two ligands [NiXantphos (**L1**, Table 1) and *N*-(dicyclohexylphosphino)-2-2′-tolylindole (**L2**)] were chosen based on our previous experience.[12] These initial variables were tested using microscale High-Throughput Experimentation (HTE) techniques (see Supporting Information for details). An interesting cation effect was observed: the catalysis only proceeded smoothly

Received: October 30, 2013
Published: December 2, 2013

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol403124g | *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 130−133

Table 1. Optimization of α-Arylation of Benzyldiphenylphosphine Oxide with 4-*tert*-Butyl Bromobenzene



entry	catalyst	ligand	base	catalyst/ligand/mol %	temp/°C	yield[a]/%
1	$Pd(dba)_2$	**L1**	NaHMDS	10/20	80	30
2	$Pd(OAc)_2$	**L1**	NaHMDS	10/20	80	42
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	**L3**	NaHMDS	10/20	80	48
4	$Pd(OAc)_2$	**L4**	NaHMDS	10/20	80	56
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$	**L4**	NaHMDS	10/20	110	88
6	$Pd(OAc)_2$	**L4**	NaHMDS	5/10	110	85[b]
7	$Pd(OAc)_2$	**L4**	NaO*t*Bu	5/10	110	90[b]

[a]Yield determined by ^{1}H NMR integration of the crude reaction mixture using 0.1 mmol of CH_2Br_2 as the internal standard. [b]Isolated yield after chromatographic purification.

when $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$ or NaO*t*Bu was utilized as a base. In contrast, the potassium and lithium bases were totally ineffective (less than 5% yield). The leading results from the screen were $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$, $Pd(dba)_2$/NiXantphos, or $Pd(OAc)_2$/NiXantphos as the catalyst in CPME. On laboratory scale, unfortunately, these conditions led to **3a** in only 30% and 42% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). As the choice of ligand is very important in DCCP, 23 mono- and bidentate ligands were screened using $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$ as a base and $Pd(OAc)_2$ as a catalyst in CPME at 80 °C (see Supporting Information for details). Among those, CataCXium A (**L3**) and Xantphos (**L4**) gave the best results. On laboratory scale, Xantphos proved to be more effective, producing **3a** in 56% yield (Table 1, entry 4 vs 2−3). However, unreacted **1a** was present. To push the reaction to completion, the temperature was increased from 80 to 110 °C, leading to the desired product **3a** in 88% crude yield (entry 5). A decrease in the palladium/Xantphos loading from 10/20 mol % to 5/10 mol % was successfully achieved, and the arylated product **3a** was isolated in 85% yield (entry 6). We observed some degradation of **1a** with $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$. Therefore, NaO*t*Bu was employed and led to an increased yield of the desired product **3a** (90% yield) (entry 7).

With the optimal conditions in hand, the substrate scope of aryl bromides in the arylation of benzyldiphenylphosphine oxide (**1a**) was investigated (Scheme 2). In general, aryl

Scheme 2. Substrate Scope of Aryl Bromides in Pd-Catalyzed α-Arylation with Benzyldiphenylphosphine Oxide (1a)



[a]**1a**: 3.42 mmol, **2a**: 6.84 mmol, NaO*t*Bu: 6.84 mmol, CPME: 34 mL. [b] NaO*t*Bu was replaced by NaH. [c] 48 h, 0.2 M.

bromides containing electron-donating, electron-withdrawing, and sterically hindered substituents exhibited good to excellent yields. Bromobenzene **2b** underwent coupling in 79% yield while electron-donating 4-*tert*-butyl and 4-methoxy bromobenzene led to the desired products (**3a** and **3c**) in 90% and 91% yield, respectively. The cross-coupling reactions proceeded smoothly between **1a** and aryl bromides bearing electron-withdrawing groups, such as 4-fluoro (**2d**) and 4-chloro (**2f**) bromobenzene generating the arylation products in 83% and 54% yield respectively. With the 3-bromobenzotrifluoride **2e**, the use of NaO*t*Bu did not afford the expected product. Instead, the product underwent P−C bond cleavage leading exclusively to the 1-benzyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzene and *tert*-butyl diphenylphosphinate, $Ph_2PO(O\text{-}tBu)$. Formation of this byproduct was suppressed by using a non-nucleophilic base, NaH, which led to α-arylation product **3e** in 71% yield. In the case of more sterically demanding 2-bromotoluene and 1-bromonaphthalene, longer reaction times and higher reaction concentrations (from 0.1 to 0.2 M) were necessary, providing **3g** and **3h** in 51 and 66% yield, respectively. The scalability of the cross-coupling was evaluated by performing the reaction with 4-*tert*-butyl bromobenzene on a 3.42 mmol (1.0 g) scale leading to the desired product **3a** in 83% yield.

We next turned to the substrate scope of benzyldiphenylphosphine oxides (Scheme 3). In this study, diphenylphosphine oxides possessing 4-methoxybenzyl (**1b**) or 4-fluorobenzyl (**1c**) were coupled with aryl bromides containing electron-donating and -withdrawing groups. Under our optimized conditions, products were isolated in 61−87% yields. In addition, (naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide (**1d**) and (2-methylbenzyl)diphenylphosphine oxide (**1e**) furnished the products **3g** and **3h** in 85% and 78% yield respectively. These yields are significantly better than those obtained in Scheme 2 for the synthesis of these products. Finally, diphenyl(phenyl-(pyridine-3-yl)methyl)phosphine oxide **3n**, an example of heterocycle-containing substrates, was isolated in 75% yield

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol403124g | *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 130−133

Scheme 3. Substrate Scope of Benzyldiphenylphosphine Oxides in Pd-Catalyzed α-Arylations with Aryl Bromides



[a] 48 h, 0.2 M. [b] 3 equiv NaH, 32 h.

when NaH was utilized as the base. It is noteworthy that this compound has been demonstrated to be a potent inhibitor of the Kv1.5 potassium channel and a possible treatment for atrial fibrillation.[8a] The prior synthesis provided only a 2% yield of this biologically interesting compound, whereas the yield was significantly improved employing our method.

Dialkyl phosphine oxides are less acidic than their diaryl analogues and, therefore, are more challenging to prepare. Nonetheless, many important ligands used in transition-metal-catalyzed reactions possess bulky *P*-alkyl substituents.[14] We, therefore, decided to apply our method to prepare benzyl phosphine oxides bearing bulky alkyl substituents. As shown in Scheme 4, benzyldicyclohexylphosphine oxide underwent DCCP with **2a** to give the desired product in 84% yield using sodium hydride as a base.

The α-diarylmethyl phosphine oxides prepared herein can be envisioned as precursors for the synthesis of new phosphine ligands. Unfortunately, using trichlorosilane and triethylamine to reduce the phosphine oxide moiety resulted in no reaction. In contrast, the reaction proceeded smoothly with a catalytic amount of $Ti(OiPr)_4$ and triethoxysilane, furnishing the expected phosphine **4a** in 91% yield (Scheme 5).[15]

Scheme 4. α-Arylation of Benzyldicyclohexylphosphine Oxide with 4-*tert*-Butyl Bromobenzene



Scheme 5. Reduction of the Phosphine Oxide 3a to Phosphine 4a



In summary, we have developed the first α-arylation of benzyl phosphine oxide derivatives with aryl bromides. The combination of $Pd(OAc)_2$ and Xantphos under basic conditions catalyzed the reaction, providing access to these useful compounds in good yield. NaO*t*Bu or NaH bases enabled the deprotonation of the weakly acidic α-protons of phosphine oxides and promoted the transmetalation to palladium. This work broadens the scope of weakly acidic substrates that can be employed in deprotonative cross-coupling processes.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Procedures, characterization data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pwalsh@sas.upenn.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Science Foundation [CHE-1152488] and National Institutes of Health (NIGMS 104349) for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Wei, E. T. Patent: US 20040161751 A1, 2004. (b) Lam, K. H.; Gambari, R.; Yuen, M. C. W.; Kan, C. W.; Chan, P.; Xu, L.; Tang, W.; Chui, C. H.; Cheng, G. Y. M.; Wong, R. S. M.; Lau, F. Y.; Tong, C. S. W.; Chan, A. K. W.; Lai, P. B. S.; Kok, S. H. L.; Cheng, C. H.; Chan, A. S. C.; Tang, J. C. O. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2009**, *19*, 2266. (c) Guenter, B.; Ismahan, O.-B.; Hiristo, A.; Stefan, M. Patent: EP771813 A1, 1997. (d) Storer, R.; Dousson, C.; Alexandre, F. R.; Roland, A. Patent: WO 054182, 2006. (d) Alexandre, F.; Amador, A.; Bot, S.; Caillet, C.; Convard, T.; Jakubik, J.; Musiu, C.; Poddesu, B.; Vargiu, L.; Liuzzi, M.; Roland, A.; Seifer, M.; Standring, D.; Storer, R.; Dousson, C. B. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 392.

(2) (a) Helmut, B.; Wolfgang, K.; Marcus, P. Patent: DE 19824361 A1, 1999. (b) George, A.; Veis, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 4670. (c) Karl, D. M. *Nature* **2000**, *406*, 31.

(3) (a) The herbicides Glyphosate and Glufosinate are good examples of the importance of phosphorus compounds in agrochemistry. (b) Jackson, E. R.; Dowd, C. S. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *12*, 706.
(4) (a) Adekunle, O.; Herbst, F.; Hackethal, K.; Binderj, W. H. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2011**, *49*, 2931. (b) Yu-Chin, L.; Patent: WO 9960427 A1, 1999.
(5) (a) Wang, H.; Wan, B. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2011**, *32*, 1129. (b) Brunel, J.-M. Patent: WO 2011098614 A1, 2011. (c) Kotani, S.; Hashimoto, S.; Nakajima, M. *Synlett* **2006**, *7*, 116. (d) Hung-Low, F.; Klausmeyer, K. K. *Polyhedron* **2010**, *29*, 1676. (e) Xu, H.; Wei, Y.; Zhao, B.; Huang, W. *J. Rare Earths* **2010**, *28*, 666. (f) Li, G. Y.; Zheng, G.; Noonan, A. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 8677. (g) Ackermann, L.; Born, R.; Spatz, J. H.; Meyer, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7216. (h) Pailloux, S.; Shirima, C. E.; Ray, A. D.; Duesler, E. N.; Paine, R. T.; Klaehn, J. R.; Mcllwain, M. E.; Hay, B. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 3104. (i) Sues, P. E.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 9322.
(6) Yasushi, N.; Kenji, H. Patent: JP 5056992 B1, 2012.
(7) (a) Na, H. B.; Lee, I. S.; Seo, H.; Park, Y. I.; Lee, J. H.; Kim, S.-W.; Hyen, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 5167. (b) Makrlik, E.; Vanura, P.; Selucky, P.; Smirnov, I. V.; Babain, V. A. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **2011**, *287*, 335. (c) Hariharan, A. V. L. N. S. H.; Sudhakar, C.; Srinivasanaidu, A. *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* **2011**, *3*, 945.
(8) (a) Olsson, R. L.; Jacobson, I.; Boström, J.; Fex, T.; Björe, A.; Olsson, C.; Sundell, J.; Gran, U.; Öhrn, A.; Nordin, A.; Gyll, J.; Thorstensson, M.; Hayen, A.; Aplander, K.; Hidestal, O.; Jiang, F.; Linhardt, G.; Forsström, E.; Collins, T.; Sundqvist, M.; Lindhart, E.; Astrand, A.; Löfberg, B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 706. (b) Zhang, Z.; Cui, D. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 11520.
(9) (a) Bhattacharya, A. K. *Chem. Rev.* **1981**, *81*, 415. (b) Demmer, C. S.; Krogsgaard-Larsen, N.; Bunch, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 7981.
(10) (a) Li, Y.-M.; Yang, S.-D. *Synlett* **2013**, *24*, 1739. (b) Yorimitsu, H. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *9*, 1269. (c) Schwan, A. L. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 218. (d) Montchamp, J.-L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, DOI: 10.1021/ar40007v. (e) Bloomfield, A. J.; Herzon, S. B. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4370. (f) Moncarz, J. R.; Brunker, T. J.; Glueck, D. S.; Sommer, R. D.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1180−1181. (g) Scriban, C.; Glueck, D. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 2788−2789. (h) Chan, V. S.; Chiu, M.; Bergman, R. G.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6021−6032.
(11) (a) Merck and Co., Inc. Patent: WO 200810985 A2, 2008. (b) Minami, T.; Isonaka, T.; Okada, Y.; Ichikawa, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 7009. (c) Rout, L.; Regati, S.; Zhao, C.-G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 3340.
(12) Hlavinka, M. L.; Hagadorn, J. R. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4105.
(13) (a) Zhang, J.; Bellomo, A.; Creamer, A. D.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 13765. (b) Bellomo, A.; Zhang, J.; Trongsiriwat, N.; Walsh, P. J. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 849. (c) Jia, T.; Bellomo, A.; El Baina, K.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3740. (d) Zheng, B.; Jia, T.; Walsh, P. J. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 1690. (e) McGrew, G. I.; Temaismithi, J.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5541. (f) Zhang, J.; Stanciu, C.; Wang, B.; Hussain, M. M.; Da, C.-S.; Carroll, P. J.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 20552. (g) McGrew, G. I.; Stanciu, C.; Zhang, J.; Carroll, P. J.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 11510. (h) Zheng, B.; Jia, T.; Walsh, P. J. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 4190.
(14) Martín, R.; Buchwald, S. L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1461.
(15) (a) Coumbe, T.; Lawrence, N. J.; Muhammad, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 625. (b) Allen, A., Jr.; Ma, L.; Lin, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 3707. (c) Ondora, G.; Matsumoto, H.; Nishibayashi, Y.; Uemura, S. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4029.