

PPh₃-Catalyzed [3 + 2] Spiroannulation of 1C,3N-Bisnucleophiles Derived from Secondary β -Ketoamides with δ -Acetoxy Allenoate: A Route to Functionalized Spiro N-Heterocyclic Derivatives

Jiaojiao Xing,[†] Yu Lei,[†] Yu-Ning Gao,[†] and Min Shi*,^{†,‡,§}

[†]Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry & Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Mei Long Road, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China

[‡]State Key Laboratory and Institute of Elemento-organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

[§]State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China

(5) Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A [3 + 2] annulation of α -substituted secondary β -ketoamides with δ -acetoxy-modified allenoate has been developed in the presence of phosphine catalyst. In this spiroannulation reaction, β -ketoamides were used as the bis-nucleophilic partner while the γ , δ -carbon of 5-acetoxypenta-2,3-dienoate participated as a C2 synthon, affording the desired functionalized five-membered *N*-heterocyclic derivatives in moderate to excellent yields and diastereoselectivities under mild conditions. Preliminary attempts on the asymmetric variant of this reaction have been also examined, giving the corresponding products with moderate ee values.

F ive-membered N-heterocycles with quaternary stereocenters are valuable intermediates in organic synthesis, and they are also fundamental structural motifs in natural products and pharmaceuticals.¹ As its subunits, spirocyclic frameworks containing five-membered cyclic amides are frequently found in several biologically active molecules (Figure 1). Thus, the exploration of new synthetic approaches to directly construct this skeleton is highly desirable in the area of synthetic organic chemistry and medicinal chemistry.²



Figure 1. Selected examples of natural products with spiro-fused heterocyclic motifs.

The secondary β -ketoamide is one kind of 1,3-dicarbonyl compound and has been proven to be a promising class of pronucleophile for organocatalytic transformations such as Michael addition,³ α -hydroxyamination,⁴ α -arylation,⁵ α -photo-alkylation,⁶ and spiroannulation.⁷ The characteristic of secondary β -ketoamides is that they possess two distinct acidic protons, one is the C–H proton at α -position of dicarbonyl groups and another is the N–H proton at the secondary amide moiety

(Scheme 1), the acidities of which can be regulated by modification of the electronic properties of the R substituent





on amide. Previous related reports revealed that the exceptional reactivity of these pronucleophiles is the consequence of self-activation, an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the acidic N–H proton and the ketone carbonyl group, and this hydrogen bond will increase the acidity of the α -proton in which the N–H proton is crucial to obtain high yields of nucleophilic addition products.⁴

During the past decades, the nucleophilic catalysis using allenoates as electrophilic reagents has emerged as a powerful synthetic tool to construct diversified carbo- and heterocycles.⁸ Since the first example of phosphine-catalyzed annulation of unsubstituted butadienoate with electron-deficient olefins reported by Lu in 1995,⁹ research on the potential reaction models based on different kinds of allenoates has been well-followed (Scheme 2). Typically, unsubstituted allenoates can

Received: March 27, 2017

Scheme 2. Phosphine-Catalyzed Annulations Involved with Allenoates



offer their $\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}\gamma$ -olefinic carbons and function as one-, two-, or three-carbon synthons in the reactions with a variety of electrophilic coupling partners, undergoing [1 + 4],¹⁰ [2 +3],¹¹ and $[3 + 2]^{12}$ annulations (Scheme 2, a). Other types of substituted allenoates and their reactiveness have also been extensively investigated. For example, the $\alpha_{\beta}\beta_{\gamma}$ and β' -carbons of α -substituted allenoates have been disclosed by Kwon and coworkers to play the role of two-13 or three-13c,14 or fourcarbon^{13c,15} synthons in the corresponding annulation cases (Scheme 2, b). Moreover, in 2010, Tong's group revealed that the installation of an acetate group at the β' -position of 2,3butadienoate¹⁶ could generate a novel $[4 + 1]^{16a}$ annulation mode (Scheme 2, c). Successively, they also reported that δ acetate modified allenoates could work as two- or three-carbon¹⁷ units in producing the corresponding carbocycles (Scheme 2, d). Despite these significant achievements on the utilization of the internal C=C bond of allenoate in annulations, to the best of our knowledge, there are only scarce reports on phosphine-catalyzed annulations applying the δ -carbon to the formed cyclic motifs. In 2015, Huang's group reported a new strategy for which the δ carbon atom of a γ -substituted allenoate¹⁸ (Scheme 2, e) incorporated into a cyclic product as one of the endocyclic atoms through a reversible proton-transfer process between the zwitterionic intermediates.^{18d} Herein, we wish to report the successful implementation of these tactics to provide a new pathway of phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] spiroannulation, using α substituted secondary β -ketoamides 1 and the γ and δ sites of 5acetoxypenta-2,3-dienoate 2 to selectively afford functionalized five-membered N-heterocyclic derivatives (Scheme 2, f).

On the basis of our previous work on nucleophilic phosphine catalysis, we initiated the investigation on the reaction of β -ketoamide 1a and δ -acetoxy allenoate 2 in the presence of 20 mol % of PPh₃. A [3 + 2] annulation took place smoothly in toluene at 50 °C for 12 h, affording spiro-fused five-membered heterocyclic product 3a in 37% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). Adding 1.0 equiv of Cs₂CO₃ did not improve the yield of 3a (Table 1, entry 2). The examination of proton sources such as AcOH, H₂O, MeOH, and PhCOOH revealed that PhCOOH is the best additive, giving the desired product 3a in 97% yield (Table 1, entry 3–6). Subsequently, various nucleophilic catalysts were

catalyst (20 mol %), additive (1.0 equiv) olvent t 12 h 2 3a CO2E additive yield^b (%) cat. (mol %) solvent temp ($^{\circ}C$) entrv 1 PPh₃ toluene 50 37 2 PPh₃ toluene Cs₂CO₃ 50 28 3 PPh₃ toluene AcOH 50 65 4 PPh₃ toluene H₂O 50 65 5 PPh₃ toluene MeOH 50 81 6 PPh₃ toluene PhCOOH 50 97 7 DABCO toluene PhCOOH 50 33 8 DMAP toluene PhCOOH 50 52 9 PMePh₂ toluene PhCOOH 50 82 10 PMe₂Ph toluene PhCOOH 50 78 11 toluene PhCOOH 50 0 12 PPh₃ CH₃CN PhCOOH 50 71 13 PPh₃ THF PhCOOH 50 53 14 PPh₃ DCE PhCOOH 50 66 15 PPh₃ toluene PhCOOH 20 63

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for the [3+2]

Spiroannulation

^{*a*}All reactions were carried out with **1a** (0.1 mmol), **2** (0.2 mmol), catalyst (20 mol %), and PhCOOH (0.1 mmol) in solvent (3.0 mL) at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yield by column chromatography; the diastereoisomers could not be separated by column chromatography.

examined, but no better result was obtained (Table 1, entries 7–10). The screening of solvent indicated that toluene was the optimal choice (Table 1, entries 12–14). Carrying out the reaction at room temperature did not favor the formation of **3a** (Table 1, entry 15). It should be noted that no reaction occurred in the absence of phosphine catalyst (Table 1, entry 11). Based on these experimental results, the best reaction condition was using PPh₃ (20 mol %) as catalyst, PhCOOH (1.0 equiv) as additive, and carrying out the reaction at 50 °C in toluene (3 mL) for 12 h.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then turned our attention toward the reaction scope and limitations, and the results are summarized in Scheme 3. All of the reactions proceeded smoothly under the optimal conditions, giving the desired products in moderate to high diastereoselectivities and excellent yields. Substrates 1b-d having different ring sizes had little impact on the yield, but a larger cyclic ring resulted in lower dr value, giving the annulation products 3b, 3c, and 3d in 94%, 95%, 99% yields along with 81:19, 70:30, and 60:40 dr values, respectively. As for substrates 1e-i with different functional groups on the benzene ring of indanone and naphthalenone, the reactions also proceeded efficiently to afford the corresponding products 3e-i in 87-99% yields along with 60:40-87:13 dr values. Gratifyingly, the reaction also tolerated straight chain β ketoamide and heterocyclic aromatic ring. The use of 1j and 1k as substrates produced the desired products 3j and 3k in 99% yield along with 82:18 dr value and in 99% yield along with 88:12 dr value, respectively. The relative configuration of the major diastereoisomer of (E)-3a has been assigned by X-ray diffraction. Their ORTEP drawings and the CIF data are presented in the Supporting Information.

Using δ -acetoxy allenoate **2** as the substrate, we next examined its reaction with β -ketoamides bearing a distinct substituent on the *N* atom to elucidate the effect of the protecting group R (Scheme 4). Whether R was an alkyl- or an arylsulfonyl group, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding

Scheme 3. Substrate Scope of the [3 + 2] Spiroannulation



^{*a*}Isolated yield by column chromatography; the diastereoisomers could not be separated by column chromatography. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the isolated product. ^{*c*}The reaction was performed at 80 °C.

Scheme 4. Substrate Scope of β -Ketoamides with Different Functional Group at the N Atom



^{*a*}Isolated yield by column chromatography; the diastereoisomers could not be separated by column chromatography. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the isolated product. ^{*c*}The reaction was performed at 80 °C.

annulation products $3\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{o}$ in moderate to good yields (54–99%) with moderate to excellent dr values (83:17 to >20:1), respectively. Only in the case of $1\mathbf{o}$ was the adduct $3\mathbf{o}$ obtained in 54% yield along with 89:11 dr value, perhaps due to the strongly electron-withdrawing $-NO_2$ group. Substrate $1\mathbf{p}$ having a benzoyl amide group afforded the desired product $3\mathbf{p}$ in 89% yield and >20:1 dr value. To our delight, the reactions also tolerated substrates 1 with different aryl groups on the *N* atom, delivering the corresponding products $3\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{w}$ in moderate to excellent yields (56–99%) and dr values (80:20 to >20:1). The structure of (*E*)- $3\mathbf{e}$ has also been assigned by X-ray diffraction.

In view of the fact that chiral phosphines have been applied successfully in plenty of excellent asymmetric phosphine catalyzes, we extended our attention to explore the asymmetric variant of this [3 + 2] annulation of β -ketoamides with allenoate **2**. We found that the annulation catalyzed by chiral multifunctional phosphine derived from glycine produced **3a** in 24% yield and 51% ee of the major diastereoisomer along with 71% de. In addition, the use of chiral Binap in this annulation afforded **3e** in 77% yield and 35% ee of the major diastereoisomer along with 82% de (Scheme 5, see Schemes SI-I and SI-II for the more details).

Scheme 5. Chiral Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric [3 + 2]Annulation of β -Ketoamides with Allenoate 2



According to our experimental results and the previously reported literature, a plausible mechanism for this [3 + 2] annulation has been outlined in Scheme 6. The reaction started

Scheme 6. Plausible Mechanism for the Formation of 3



with the formation of a zwitterionic intermediate I, generated by β -addition of phosphine into the allene moiety. Meanwhile, the detached acetate anion captured the α -proton of amide I, producing intermediate II, which underwent a nucleophilic attack onto the δ site of intermediate I to afford intermediate III. This intermediate could be transformed to intermediate IV via a proton shift from amide group. Then an intramolecular Michael addition (IMMA) took place to give another zwitterionic intermediate V, which underwent a [1,2]-proton transfer to afford intermediate VI. The elimination of phosphine furnished the final [3 + 2] annulation product 3. Thus, we believe that the addition of PhCOOH may be beneficial to the two H-transfer processes.

In summary, we have disclosed a novel protocol of PPh₃catalyzed [3 + 2] spiroannulation of 1*C*,3*N*-bisnucleophiles derived from α -substituted secondary β -ketoamides with δ acetoxy-modified allenoate, giving the highly functionalized five-

Organic Letters

membered *N*-heterocyclic derivatives under mild conditions. A plausible mechanism has been proposed along with a preliminary asymmetric version. This finding provided a new way to synthesize a diversified spiro *N*-heterocycles from simple starting materials. Further investigations on an asymmetric version of this annulation and application of this new annulation reaction to the synthesis of biologically active substances are underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00910.

Experimental procedure and characterization data for all compounds (PDF) X-ray crystallographic data for 3a (CIF)

X-ray crystallographic data for 3e (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Fax: (+86)-21-6416-6128. E-mail: mshi@mail.sioc.ac.cn. ORCID ©

Min Shi: 0000-0003-0016-5211

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China [(973)-2015CB856603], the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB2000000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20472096, 21372241, 21572052, 20672127, 21421091, 21372250, 21121062, 21302203, and 20732008), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 222201717003.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Horn, W. S.; Simmonds, M.; Schwartz, R. E.; Blaney, W. M. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 3969. (b) Jang, J. -H; Asami, Y.; Jang, J.-P.; Kim, S.-O.; Moon, D. O.; Shin, K.-S.; Hashizume, D.; Muroi, M.; Saito, T.; Oh, H.; Kim, B. Y.; Osada, H.; Ahn, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 6865. (c) Zheng, C.-J.; Shao, C.-L.; Wu, L.-Y.; Chen, M.; Wang, K.-L.; Zhao, D.-L.; Sun, X.-P.; Chen, G.-Y.; Wang, C.-Y. *Mar. Drugs* **2013**, *11*, 2054.

(2) (a) Deng, J.; Zhu, B.; Lu, Z.; Yu, H.; Li, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 920. (b) Yin, J.; Wang, C.; Kong, L.; Cai, S.; Gao, S. Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7786. (c) Liu, C.; Zeng, Z.; Chen, R.; Jiang, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 624.

(3) (a) Boddaert, T.; Coquerel, Y.; Rodriguez, J. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 2266. (b) Sanchez Duque, M. D. M.; Basle, O.; Isambert, N.; Gaudel-Siri, A.; Genisson, Y.; Plaquevent, J.; Rodriguez, J.; Constantieux, T. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3296. (c) Mailhol, D.; Duque, M. D. M. S.; Raimondi, W.; Bonne, D.; Constantieux, T.; Coquerel, Y.; Rodriguez, J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, 354, 3523.

(4) Mailhol, D.; Castillo, J.; Mohanan, K.; Abonia, R.; Coquerel, Y.; Rodriguez, J. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 1192.

(5) Mohanan, K.; Coquerel, Y.; Rodriguez, J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4686.

(6) Zhu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Luo, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14642.
(7) Goudedranche, S.; Bugaut, X.; Constantieux, T.; Bonne, D.; Rodriguez, J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 410.

(8) For selected reviews on organocatalytic annulation upon phosphine catalysis, see: (a) Fan, Y. C.; Kwon, O. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11588. (b) Wang, Z.; Xu, X.; Kwon, O. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 2927. (c) Wang, T.; Han, X.; Zhong, F.; Yao, W.; Lu, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1369. (d) Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 2720. (e) Zhou, R.; He, Z. J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 2016, 1937. (f) Xie, P.; Huang, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 8578. (g) Xiao, Y.; Sun, Z.; Guo, H.; Kwon, O. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 2089. (h) Zhao, Q. Y.; Lian, Z.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1724. (i) Xiao, Y. M.; Guo, H. C.; Kwon, O. Aldrichimica Acta 2016, 49, 3.

(9) Zhang, C. M.; Lu, X. Y. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2906.

(10) For selected examples of [1 + 4] annulation of unsubstituted allenoates, see: Gao, Z.; Wang, C.; Yuan, C.; Zhou, L.; Xiao, Y.; Guo, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 12653.

(11) For selected examples of [2+n] annulation of unsubstituted allenoates, see: (a) Lu, C.; Lu, X. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4677. (b) Lu, Z.; Zheng, S.; Zhang, X.; Lu, X. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3267. (c) Sun, Y.; Guan, X.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5664. (d) Takizawa, S.; Kishi, K.; Yoshida, Y.; Mader, S.; Arteaga, F. A.; Lee, S.; Hoshino, M.; Rueping, M.; Fujita, M.; Sasai, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15511.

(12) For selected examples of [3 + 2] annulation of unsubstituted allenoates, see: (a) Xu, Z. R.; Lu, X. Y. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 5031. (b) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Zheng, C.-W.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Liu, W.; Zhang, J.-K.; Zhao, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 4467. (c) Han, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhong, F.; Lu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 1726. (d) Yu, H.; Zhang, L.; Yang, Z.; Li, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Guo, H. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 8427. (e) Wang, D.; Wang, G.-P.; Sun, Y.-L.; Zhu, S.; Wei, Y.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Shi, M. Chem. Sci. **2015**, 6, 7319. (f) Wang, D.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. **2012**, 48, 2764. (g) Kumari, A. L. S.; Swamy, K. C. K. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 80, 4084. (h) Zhang, X.-H.; Cao, S.-C.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 1142.

(13) For selected examples of [2 + n] annulation of α -substituted allenoates, see: (a) Liao, J.-Y.; Shao, P. -L; Zhao, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 628. (b) Yuan, C.; Zhou, L.; Sun, Z.; Guo, H. RSC Adv. **2016**, 6, 77931. (c) Na, R.; Jing, C.; Xu, Q.; Jiang, H.; Wu, X.; Shi, J.; Zhong, J.; Wang, M.; Benitez, D.; Tkatchouk, E.; Goddard, W. A.; Guo, H.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 13337. (d) Xu, S.; Zhou, L.; Ma, R.; Song, H.; He, Z. J. Org. Lett. **2010**, 12, 544. (e) Nair, V.; Biju, A. T.; Mohanan, K.; Suresh, E. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 2213.

(14) For selected examples of [3 + 2] annulation of α -substituted allenoates, see: (a) Tian, J.; He, Z. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 2058. (b) Henry, C. E.; Xu, Q.; Fan, Y. C.; Martin, T. J.; Belding, L.; Dudding, T.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, *136*, 11890. (c) Ni, H.; Yao, W.; Lu, Y. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *12*, 343.

(15) For selected examples of [4 + n] annulation of α -substituted allenoates, see: (a) Tran, Y. S.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 12632. (b) Li, Z.; Yu, H.; Feng, Y.; Hou, Z.; Zhang, L.; Yang, W.; Wu, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Guo, H. RSC Adv. **2015**, 5, 34481. (c) Yuan, C.; Zhou, L.; Xia, M.; Sun, Z.; Wang, D.; Guo, H. Org. Lett. **2016**, 18, 5644. (d) Liu, H.; Liu, Y.; Yuan, C.; Wang, G.-P.; Zhu, S.-F.; Wu, Y.; Wang, B.; Sun, Z.; Xiao, Y.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Guo, H. Org. Lett. **2016**, 18, 1302. (e) Zhu, X. F.; Lan, J.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 4716.

(16) For selected examples of [3 or 4 + n] annulation of β' -acetate allenoates, see: (a) Zhang, Q.; Yang, L.; Tong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 2550. (b) Gu, Y.; Hu, P.; Ni, C.; Tong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 6400. (c) Kramer, S.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 3803. (d) Han, X.; Yao, W.; Wang, T.; Tan, Y. R.; Yan, Z.; Kwiatkowski, J.; Lu, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 5643. (e) Hu, J.; Tian, B.; Wu, X.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. **2012**, 14, 5074. (f) Ni, C.; Tong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 7872. (g) Ni, C.; Wang, M.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. **2016**, 18, 2240.

(17) For selected examples of [2 or 3 + n] annulation of δ -acetate allenoates, see: (a) Hu, J.; Dong, W.; Wu, X.-Y.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. **2012**, 14, 5530. (b) Li, F.; Chen, J.; Hou, Y.; Li, Y.; Wu, X.-Y.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 5376. (c) Gu, Y.; Li, F.; Hu, P.; Liao, D.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 1106. (d) Lei, Y.; Xing, J.-J.; Xu, Q.; Shi, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2016**, 2016, 3486.

(18) Selected examples of [2 + n] annulation of γ -substituted allenoates, see: (a) Wang, D.; Lei, Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 15325. (b) Zheng, J.; Huang, Y.; Li, Z. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 5064. (c) Ma, R.; Xu, S.; Tang, X.; Wu, G.; He, Z. J. Tetrahedron **2011**, 67, 1053. (d) Li, E.; Chang, M.; Liang, L.; Huang, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 2015, 710.