Accepted Manuscript

Design and synthesis of novel thiobarbituric acid derivatives targeting both wild-type and BRAF-mutated melanoma cells

Srinivasa Rao Ramisetti, Manoj K. Pandey, Sang Y. Lee, Deepkamal Karelia, Satya Narayan, Shantu Amin, Arun K. Sharma

PII: S0223-5234(17)30891-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.11.006

Reference: EJMECH 9881

To appear in: European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Received Date: 27 February 2017

Revised Date: 1 November 2017

Accepted Date: 2 November 2017

Please cite this article as: S.R. Ramisetti, M.K. Pandey, S.Y. Lee, D. Karelia, S. Narayan, S. Amin, A.K. Sharma, Design and synthesis of novel thiobarbituric acid derivatives targeting both wild-type and BRAF-mutated melanoma cells, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* (2017), doi: 10.1016/ j.ejmech.2017.11.006.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





Design and synthesis of novel thiobarbituric acid derivatives targeting both wild-type and BRAFmutated melanoma cells

Srinivasa Rao Ramisetti,¹ Manoj K Pandey,^{1,2} Sang Y. Lee,³ Deepkamal Karelia,¹ Satya Narayan,⁴ Shantu Amin,¹ and Arun K. Sharma^{1,*}

¹Department of Pharmacology; ³Department of Neurosurgery; Penn State Cancer Institute, CH72; Penn State College of Medicine, 500 University Drive, Hershey, PA 17033, USA.

²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cooper Medical School of Rowan University, 401 S Broadway, Camden, NJ 08103 (*current address*)

⁴Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

Arun K. Sharma, Ph.D. Department of Pharmacology Penn State College of Medicine Penn State Cancer Institute, CH72 Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center 500 University Drive Hershey, PA 17033

Phone: 717-531-4563 Fax: 717-531-0244 E-mail: aks14@psu.edu

Abstract

A series of novel thio- and seleno-barbituric acid derivatives were synthesized by varying the substituents at *N1* and *N3* (ethyl, methyl, allyl, and phenyl), and C5 tethered with dienyl and trienyl moieties attached to substituents such as phenyl, 2-furanyl, 2-thiophenyl, 1-naphthyl, and 3-pyridyl. The cytotoxic potential of these derivatives was evaluated by using MTT assay against melanoma cell lines expressing either wild-type (CHL-1) or mutant (UACC 903) BRAF gene. Among all, **2b** and **8b** were identified as the most potent compounds. Both **2b** and **8b** inhibited viability of various melanoma cells and induced cell death as evidenced by Live and Dead assay. Western blot analysis showed that they induce PARP cleavage and inhibit anti-apoptotic Bcl-2, Bcl-xL and Survivin in a dose-dependent manner within 24 h of the treatment. Novel thiobarbituric acid analogs also inhibited viability of various other solid tumor cell lines, such as pancreatic, breast, and colon. Overall, **2b**, **2d**, and **8b** emerged as the most effective compounds and make good leads for the development of future therapeutic agents.

Keywords: Thiobarbiturates, selenobarbiturates, anti-cancer, melanoma, apoptosis

1. Introduction

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide and accounted for 8.2 million deaths (nearly 14.6% of all deaths) in 2012.[1] Deaths from cancer worldwide are projected to continue to rise to over 13 million annually within next two decades. In the United States alone, an estimated 1,685,210 new cancer cases are diagnosed with estimated deaths of 595,690 in 2016.[2] Among all cancers, melanoma is one of the most deadly form of skin cancer with 2 million new cases annually in the United States.[2] If diagnosed and removed while it is still thin and limited to the outermost skin layer, it is almost 100% curable. However, no effective therapy is available for treatment once the cancer advances and metastasizes to other parts of the body. Commonly used drugs, dacarbazine and temozolomide, in melanoma patients provide unsatisfactory outcomes. The BRAF^{V600E} inhibitor PLX4032 (vemurafenib)[3] (Figure 1) has proved to be a remarkable drug but develops resistance in about 7 months, and also works only on tumors with BRAF^{V600E} mutations. Most patients require multiple lines of therapy as cancer cells acquire resistance against the chemotherapeutic agents by different mechanisms. The combination therapies with BRAF and MEK inhibitors such as Cobimetinib or Trametinib (Figure 1) also did not show much improvement.[4] Management of patients with resistance continues to present an extensive confront to researchers and oncologists. Many clinically effective anti-cancer drugs, including those for melanoma used today, suffer from serious drawbacks as majority of patients with metastatic solid tumors die after chemotherapeutic regimens failed to induce objective response or when resistance developed.[5] Therefore, to combat this resistance, still there is an impetus to identify and develop more potent therapeutic agents with fewer side effects in cancer therapy.

In recent years, thiobarbituric acids have been reported to exhibit a broad spectrum of biological properties such as anti-convulsant, [6] anti-inflammatory, [7, 8] anti-bacterial, [9] anti-HIV,[10] anti-hypnotic,[11] anti-parkinsonian,[12] and anti-cancer activities,[13-15] including anti-melanoma properties. [16, 17] Among them some thiobarbituric acid derivatives, such as merbarone,[18] merocyanine 540[19] and its selenium analog selenomerocyanine 56[20] (Figure 1) have been identified as possible modulators of apoptosis in several cancer cells, including glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), melanoma, leukemia, and cancers of breast, lung, prostate, and cervix; albeit not particularly for cancers that are resistant to current therapy. An effective lead thiobaribituic acid analog 2a was recently identified in our laboratory from a library of 50,000 chemicals, originally screened to identify compounds that could target temozolomide (TMZ) resistant brain tumor cells. The lead compound 2a was effective on both TMZ resistant and sensitive glioma cells.[21] Interestingly, our preliminary studies showed 2a to also possess activity towards human melanoma cells. Therefore, we hypothesized that the structure of 2a can be modulated by varying substitutions at N1, N3, and C5 positions to create a potent compound for melanoma treatment. Therefore, in the present study, we conducted a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study to further optimize 2a structure to develop an efficacious novel thio-/seleno-barbituric acid compound for melanoma and possibly other solid tumors. In addition, 2a was considered as a valuable lead compound owing to its selective cytotoxicity to various cancer cells including melanoma, while sparing the normal cells. We synthesized 24 novel thio- and selenobarbituric derivatives by varying the substituents at N1, N3, and C5 tethered with dienyl and trienyl moieties attached with different substituents like phenyl, 2-furanyl, 2-thiophenyl, 1naphthyl and 3-pyridyl. The efficacy of novel analogs was evaluated in a diverse panel of cancer cell lines and the two best identified compounds 2b and 8b, were further characterized in both

wild-type and $BRAF^{V600E}$ mutant melanoma cells to get an insight into their mechanism of action.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Design

The lead thiobarbituric acid analog 2a was found to be effective on both BRAF-mutant and wildtype melanoma cells. We hypothesized that careful manipulation of 2a could lead to more effective analogs for a broader range of melanomas as well as other cancers. In this regard, we modified various functionalities by manipulating substitutions at N1- , N3- and C5-positions of 2a as well as by replacing S by Se (Figure 1). While choosing substitutions, we also made sure that the resulting modified compounds satisfy structural parameters of Lipinski's Rule-offive[22, 23] for drug-likeness.

Our first focus was to modify 3-furan-2-yl allylidene functionality at *C5* position of **2a**. The modifications included replacing terminal furan ring with phenyl, thiophenyl, and naphthyl substitutions and extending the linker carbon chain length from dienyl to trienyl unit. Compounds **2b-f** were thus synthesized to determine the effect of chain length and terminal aromatic ring at *C5* position of **2a**. Several studies have pointed out the anti-tumor and chemo-preventive effects of multiple Se species in various cancers.[24-29] Recent reports by our laboratories and other groups have shown that replacement of S by Se in naturally occurring and synthetic isothiocyanates and several other compounds, in general though not always, substantially enhanced the efficacy of resulting compounds against melanoma and several other cancers.[28-32] Therefore, our second modification strategy involved replacing S in thiobarbituric acid ring by Se. We synthesized selenobarbituric acid analogs of **2a** and two other

effective thio-analogs **2b** and **2c**, to evaluate if the replacing S by Se in such scaffold would help enhance the cytotoxicity.

Finally, to determine the effect of *N*-substitutions on thiobarbituric acid compounds **2**, we generated a series of *N1*-monosubstituted, *N1,N3*-disubstituted analogs **8a-o**. The substitutions at *N1* and *N3* included methyl, ethyl, allyl, and phenyl groups. Overall, all the *N1*-substituted, *N1, N3*-disubstituted, and *N1*- and *N3*-unsubstituted derivatives were synthesized to study the effect of partially substituted, fully substituted, and free NH compounds with varying functionalities at *C5* position.

2.2. Chemistry

Novel thio- (2 and 8) and seleno- (5) barbituric acid derivatives were prepared according to the sequence of reactions depicted in Schemes 1–3. The thiobarbituric acid analogs 2a-f were synthesized by Knoevenagel condensation of readily available thiobarbituric acid 1 with different α,β-unsaturated aldehydes in the presence of catalytic amount of pyridine in EtOH under reflux condition (Scheme 1).[33] To evaluate the difference in activity between the S compounds 2 and corresponding Se analogs, we synthesized isosteric selenium analogs of lead compound 2a and other key thiobarbituric acid analogs 2b, c. The key intermediate selenobarbituric acid 4 was synthesized in good yield by treating readily available selenourea 3 with diethylmalonate in the presence of freshly prepared sodium ethoxide in ethanol under reflux conditions.[34] Subsequently the selenobarbituric acid 4 was reacted under Knoevenagel condensation with different aldehydes in the presence of catalytic pyridine in refluxing EtOH to furnish the corresponding novel selenobarbituric acid derivatives **5a-c** in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2).[33]

To further diversify the structure activity relationship study on thiobarbitric acid derivatives, we further synthesized *NI*-monosubstituted and *NI*,*N3*-disubstituted thiobarbituric acid derivatives **8a-o**. The precursor substituted thiobarbituric acid intermediates **7a-g** were first synthesized by condensing appropriately substituted thioureas **6a-e** with diethyl malonate in the presence of freshly prepared sodium ethoxide in refluxing ethanol.[34] The substituted thiobarbituric acids **7a-g** were then reacted with different α , β -unsaturated aldehydes under Knoevenagel condensation to furnish the corresponding novel *N1*-monosubstituted and *N1*,*N3*-disubstituted thiobarbituric acid derivatives **8a-o** in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2).[33] The structures of all the novel thio- and seleno-barbituric acid derivatives were confirmed by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and HRMS analysis. The compounds purity (\geq 98%) was analyzed by analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) before proceeding for *in vitro* biological assays.

2.3. Biology

2.3.1. Evaluation of anti-proliferative activities of novel compounds against CHL-1 (BRAF wild-type) and UACC903 (BRAF-mutant) melanoma cell lines

The anti-proliferative activities of thio- and seleno-barbituric acid derivatives (**2**, **5**, and **8**) were evaluated against both BRAF-wild-type (CHL-1) and BRAF-mutant (UACC 903) melanoma cell lines. The cells were treated with various compounds for 72 h and the cell survival was determined by utilizing MTT assay. Among *N1*- and *N3*-unsubstituted compounds **2a-f**, compounds **2b** and **2d** where furanyl ring of **2a** was replaced by thiophenyl and naphthyl groups, respectively, were more effective in inhibiting viability of both CHL-1 and UACC903 cells as compared to parent compound **2a** (Table 1). The phenyl or pyridyl group substitutions

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

(compounds **2c** and **2e**, respectively) led to decrease in potency, **2e** being the least effective. Interestingly, changing the chain from dienyl to trienyl with terminal phenyl ring (compound **2f**) again restored to potency of the molecule. Notably, similar to as observed with lead compound **2a**, all the new compounds **2b-f** showed similar cell viability inhibition against both BRAF wild-type and mutant cells.

Previous studies, both from our group[28-32] and others,[35] have shown isosteric replacement of sulfur by selenium to enhance the cytotoxicity of small molecules. Examples include increase in potency from isothiocyantes to isoselenocyantes,[30] isothioureas to isoselenoureas[28] among others, although it is not always the case.[36] Interestingly, in the present case, replacement of S in thiobarbituric acid ring of **2a** by Se, led to lowering of potency of the resulting selenobarbitutic acid analog **5a** (Table 1). Similarly, selenothiobarbituric acids **5b** and **5c** were less effective than their sulfur isosteres **2b** and **2c**; except that **5c** showed a similar IC₅₀ value as **2c**. This indicates that presence of selenium does not necessarily increase the toxicity of a molecule but the actual effect would depend on overall molecular structure and position of the Se atom.

The *N1*-monosubstituted and *N1,N3*-disubstituted thiobarbituric acid analogs (8a-o) demonstrated variable efficacy depending on the combination of type of *N*-substitution(s) and C-5 moiety. Although no clear structure-activity relationship could be derived, it was observed that 8d derived by incorporating an ethyl group at *N*₁-position of 2a resulted in loss of activity while *N1,N3*-diethyl analog 8j retained activity against BRAF wild-type CHL-1 cells while lost cytotoxicity against BRAF-mutated UACC903 cells. Extension of chain length at *C5* from dienyl to tienyl linker (compound 8h) again restored the activity (IC₅₀ ~7.5 μ M). Similar to *N1- and N3*-unsubstituted compound 2b (Table 1), the compounds 8a, 8b, 8c, 8e, and 8m (Table 2) with

8

thiophenyl terminal ring at *C5* position were potent irrespective N_I -monosubstitution as allyl, phenyl, methyl, ethyl or *N1*-allyl-*N3*-ethyl disubstitution. This suggested that 2-thiophenyl ring at terminal position of *C5* substitution is essentially responsible for the activity which is retained irrespective of substitutions at *N1* and *N3*. The combination of naphthyl group at *C5* and *N*-ethyl (compound **8g**) led to a substantial loss of activity (IC₅₀ ~23 µM). *N1*-allyl-*N3*-ethyl disubstitution in combination with furanyl (**5k**) or phenyl (**5l**) also led to decrease in potency. Interestingly, compound **5j** (*N1*-ethyl and phenyl at *C5*) and **5n** and **5o** (*N1*-allyl and furanyl and phenyl at *C5*, respectively) resulted in selective cytotoxicity towards CHL-1 cells.

Overall, **2b**, **2d**, **2f**, **5b** (Table 1), **8a**, **8b**, **8c**, **8e**, **8f**, **8h**, and **8m** (Table 2) emerged as most potent anti-proliferative compounds with IC₅₀ lower than lead compound **2a** and nonspecifically targeted both UACC903 and CHL-1 cells. Among these compounds **2b** and **8b** were the most effective and were chosen for further studies. Importantly, unlike PLX4032, compound **2b** and **8b** were found equally potent in killing melanoma cells irrespective of mutation status (Table 2). As shown in Tables 1 and 2, compounds **2b** and **8b** inhibited growth of both CHL-1 and UACC 903 cell lines-. The fact that these compounds were equally effective in both BRAFmutant and wild-type melanoma cells, suggest that the inhibitory activities of compounds **2b** and **8b** are independent of BRAF expression. Therefore, we extended our investigation to elucidate their mechanism of cell death and cell growth inhibition.

2.3.2. Compound 2b and 8b inhibited viability of a variety of melanoma cells

Based on the results of cell viability inhibition after 72 h treatment by the novel compounds against UACC903 and CHL-1 cell lines (Tables 1 and 2), the two best identified compounds **2b** and **8b** were selected for further screening against five human melanoma cell lines at 48 h time

point. Both compounds **2b** and **8b** were essentially equally effective in inhibiting viability of various melanoma cells. The IC₅₀ values for CHL-1 and UACC 903 cells at 48 h treatment were in the range of 6.5-8.1 μ M (Table 3) as compared to 3.8-4.0 μ M (Tables 1 and 2) for 72 h treatment. Both the compounds showed a similar potency against WM2664 cells. The compounds were slightly less effective against 1205Lu and A375M cell lines (IC₅₀ between 10.6 and 14.8 μ M, Table 3), compound **2b** being slightly more potent. Figure 3 shows the cell viability curves for compounds **2b** and **8b** in all five melanoma cell lines at 48 h time point.

2.3.3. Compound 2b and 8b cause cell death by inducing apoptosis

Decrease of cell viability seen in MTT assay can be attributed to cell growth inhibition and/or cell death. We further confirmed our MTT assay observation by using another technique popularly known as Live/Dead assay. The Live/Dead assay determines intracellular esterase activity and plasma membrane integrity. UACC903 and CHL-1 cells were treated with **2b** and **8b** for 24 h. As shown in Figure 4, treatment of melanoma cells with **2b** and **8b** increase the number of dead cells, which reflects the cytotoxic potential of these analogs in melanoma cells. Compound **2b** was more effective, in both CHL-1 and UACC903 cells, as compared to **8b**. However, results from this assay suggest that **8b** may potentially inhibit cell growth and induce cell death later, since it showed less death at 25 μ M (25% in UACC903; 5% in CHL-1), while in MTT assay it was even more potent at reducing the cell viability for both the cell lines. Our data indicates **2b** to be relatively more effective at inducing cell death than **8b**.

We further examined the effects of **2b** and **8b** on cell death using several other techniques such as Annexin V assay and measuring the activation of caspase 3/7. UACC903 cells were treated with given concentrations of **2b** and **8b** for 24 h. As shown in Figure 5A, vehicle-treated

cells were mostly present in the bottom left quadrant. However, as the dose of **2b** and **8b** was escalated, cells shifted from live to early apoptotic and towards late apoptotic dead cells. Total apoptotic death obtained by Annexin V and Caspase 3/7 activity assays was similar to our Live/Dead assay. More than 70% cells were positive for both Annexin V, Caspase 3/7 activity and 7-AAD, indicating apoptotic dependent cell death. Further, necrotic death, cells only positive for 7-AAD and negative for Annexin V and Caspase 3/7 activity (cells present in the top left quadrant), was not detected. Overall, our results confirm that **2b** and **8b** inhibits the growth of melanoma cells by inducing apoptosis, indicated by presence of phosphatidylserine (PS) on outer membrane and positive for caspase 3/7 activity.

2.3.4. Compound 2b and 8b inhibited the expression of survival proteins in melanoma

Escaping from cell death is one of the adaptations that enable cancer cells to stave off anticancer therapies. The key players in avoiding apoptosis are collectively known as survival proteins. Survival proteins mainly comprise the Bcl-2 and inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) families.[37] The aberrant expression of these proteins is associated with a range of biological activities that promote cancer cell survival, proliferation, and resistance to therapy.[37] We determined the effect of compounds **2b** and **8b** on the expression of survival proteins. As shown in the Figure 6, compound **2b** and **8b** showed differential response on survival proteins. Treatment of these analogs induces phosphorylation of Bcl-2, which is required for its degradation, inhibits expression of anti-apoptotic proteins like Bcl-xL, Survivin and finally activates PARP cleavage. Thus, our results further support that these analogs have potential to be used as therapeutic agents.

2.3.5. Novel thiobarbituric acid analogs were also effective against cancers other than melanoma and were more selective towards cancer cells.

To evaluate the effect of novel thiobarbituric acid analogs on cancers other than melanoma, a select effective compounds (**2b**, **2d**, **8b**, **8c**, and **8e**) identified from melanoma cells screen were tested against a panel of solid cancer cell lines, e.g., Panc-1 (Pancreatic cancer), MDA-MB-231 (ER/PR/HER2 negative breast cancer), MCF-7 (ER/PR/HER2 positive breast cancer), and HCT116 (colon cancer). Interestingly, compound **2d** was most effective against all the cell lines tested with IC₅₀s in the range of 3.6-7.9 μ M (Table 4 and Figures 7A and B). All the compounds tested were effective against MDA-MB-231 cells with single digit IC₅₀ values as compared to the lead compound **2a** (IC₅₀ ~16.5 μ M). Compound **2b** selectively inhibited viability of MDA-MB-231 and HCT-116 cells while compound **8b** was selective towards Panc-1, MDA-MB-231, and HCT116 cells, being most effective against HCT116 cells (IC₅₀ ~7.7 μ M). Figure 3 shows the cell viability curves for compounds **2b** and **8b** in HCT116, Panc-1, MDA-MB-231, and MCF-7 cell lines at 48 h time point are shown in Figures 7A and B. These data show that compounds **2b** and **8b** potently inhibited viability of most, although not all, of the tested cancer cell lines, suggesting that these compounds could be widely used against cancer cells.

To get an insight into the selectivity of these compounds towards cancer cells, we performed MTT cell viability assay at the highest concentration (25 μ M) of both the compounds (**2b** and **8b**) in normal cell line MCF10A, the human breast epithelial cell line. After 48 h treatment, the normal cell line showed ~60% cell viability while the cancer cell lines exhibited ~20%-30% cell viability (Figures 7C and D). Both the compounds were about two-fold more potent at killing breast cancer cells (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) and three-fold more potent

towards melanoma cells (UACC903) as compared to the normal MCF10A cells. These data indicate that both the agents have some selectivity towards cancer cells than normal cells. However, *in vitro* studies are often not a true measure of toxicity/efficacy balance and therefore the future *in vivo* efficacy and toxicity studies would reveal the real therapeutic window of these compounds. Furthermore, the mechanism behind selective targeting of certain cancer cell types remains to be elucidated.

3. Conclusion

We synthesized a series of thio- and seleno-barbituric acid analogs with a variety of substitutions at *N1*, *N3*, and *C5* positions. Many of these compounds inhibited viability of both BRAF wild-type (CHL-1) and mutant (UACC903) human melanoma cells. Based on the SAR study compounds **2b**, **2d**, and **8b** were found to be the most effective analogs. Live/Dead assay showed compound **2b** to be more effective than **8b** in inducing cell death; causing nearly 65-70% cell death at 25 µM concentration in human melanoma cells. Both compounds **2b** and **8b** effectively induced apoptosis in both CHL-1 and UACC903 cell lines. The western blot analysis showed that **2b** and **8b** induced PARP cleavage and reduced the levels of anti-apoptotic proteins Bcl-2, Bcl-xL and Survivin in a dose-dependent manner. Further extensive studies are, however, required to reveal the mechanism of these novel thiobarbituric acid derivatives. Many of the novel thiobarbituric acid analogs were also effective in inhibiting viability of various other solid cancer cell lines, e.g., pancreatic, breast, and colon demonstrating that these compounds possess anti-proliferative ability against variety of cancer cells. Notably, compounds **2b** and **8b** inhibited growth of melanoma cells irrespective of mutational status of BRAF. Owing to the fact that the new compounds act independently of BRAF mechanism, they might potentially overcome the

issue of resistance to currently clinically used BRAF-inhibitor PLX4032 that selectively targets BRAF-mutant tumors. Further pre-clinical studies including *in vivo* efficacy, toxicity, and mechanism of action, are, however, necessary to determine the future clinical potential of these compounds.

4. Experimental

4.1. Chemistry

4.1.1. General

Melting points were recorded on a Fischer-Johns melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance 500 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from the internal standard. The signals are quoted as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublet) and dt (doublet of triplet). HRMS were determined at the Chemistry Instrumentation Center, State University of New York at Buffalo, NY. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was developed on aluminum-supported pre-coated silica gel plates (EM industries, Gibbstown, NJ). Column chromatography was conducted on silica gel (60-200 mesh). The substituted thioureas, e.g., *N*,*N*-diallyl- (**6a**), *N*,*N*-diethyl- (**6b**), *N*-allyl-*N*'-ethyl- (**6c**) and *N*-ethyl- (**6d**) thioureas were obtained from commercial sources.

4.1.2. General procedure for the synthesis of thiobarbiturates (2a-f)

To a stirred solution of 1,3-thio-barbituric acid (1) (1.0 mmol), and corresponding *trans*- α , β unsaturated aldehydes (1.0 mmol) in absolute ethyl alcohol (5 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere, was added dry pyridine (0.2 mmol) and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at reflux for 12 h. After completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was washed with hot H_2O (2 × 10 mL), cold EtOH (2 × 5 mL) and Et₂O (2 × 10 mL) to afford crude thiobarbiturates (**2a-f**), which further recrystallized from 1,4-dioxane-water to afford pure compounds.

4.1.3. 5-(3-Furan-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (2a)

Yield 87%; reddish solid; mp 245 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 8.20 (dd, J = 12.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 8.03–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75–6.73 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 178.84, 161.86, 161.42, 154.80, 152.35, 148.58, 139.40, 122.78, 119.65, 115.43, 114.44; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₈N₂O₃S: 248.0250. Found 248.0256.

4.1.4. 5-(3-Thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (2b)

Yield 82%; brown solid; mp 270 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.29, 12.25 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.24–8.14 (m, 1H), 8.06–7.76 (m, 3H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 178.90, 161.84, 161.43, 154.92, 146.82, 141.48, 134.61, 133.35, 129.94, 124.21, 115.27; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₈ N₂O₂S₂: 264.0022. Found 264.0028.

4.1.5. 5-(3-Phenyl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (2c)

Yield 89%; yellow solid; mp 265 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 8.46 (dd, J = 13.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68-7.72 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.53 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 179.04, 161.81, 161.31, 155.11, 154.18, 135.78, 131.96, 129.81, 129.27, 125.07, 116.44; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₀N₂O₂S: 258.0457. Found 258.0461.

4.1.6. 5-(3-Naphthalen-1-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (2d)

Yield 78%; reddish brown solid; mp 247 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.36, 12.32 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.77 (d, J = 15.0, 1H), 8.61 (dd, J = 15.5, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.00-8.10 (m, 3H), 7.70-7.60 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 179.03, 161.91, 161.38, 155.50, 150.47, 134.00, 132.47, 132.41, 131.67, 129.32, 127.91, 127.09, 127.03, 126.41, 126.24, 123.80, 116.36; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₁₂N₂O₂S: 308.0614. Found 308.0618.

4.1.7. 5-(3-Pyridin-3-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (2e)

Yield 72%; yellow solid; mp 274 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.37, 12.34 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.82 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.62 (dd, *J* = 4.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.48 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dt, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 179.10, 161.70, 161.17, 154.04, 151.86, 150.52, 149.87, 135.25, 131.64, 126.63, 124.87, 117.40; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₉N₃O₂S: 259.0410. Found 259.0407.

4.1.8. 5-(5-Phenyl-penta-2,4-dienylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (2f)

Yield 75%; reddish brown solid; mp 241 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.29, 12.23 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.02– 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (dt, J = 11.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.68 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 178.85, 161.92, 161.30, 155.63, 154.99, 144.03, 136.39, 130.34, 129.48, 129.45, 129.39, 128.44, 115.28; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₂N₂O₂S: 284.0614. Found 284.0613.

4.1.9. Synthesis of selenobarbituric acid analogs (5a-c)

The key intermediate 2-selenobarbarbituric acid (**4**) was prepared by refluxing selenourea (**3**) with diethylmalonate in ethanol in the presence of sodium methoxide by modification of a previously reported method.[38] Briefly, to a stirred solution of sodium ethylate [freshly prepared by dissolving sodium (0.373 g, 16.24 mmol) in absolute ethanol (10 mL)] under nitrogen atmosphere was added diethyl malonate (2.59 g, 16.24 mmol) followed by selenourea (**3**) (0.50 g, 4.06 mmol) and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at reflux for 45 min. After completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was chilled and the resulting white solid was filtered. The second crop of solid was obtained by adding Et₂O (10 mL) to the filtrate. The combined solids were dissolved in ice-cold water (10 mL) and the solution was acidified with dilute HCl. The resulting solid was filtered, washed with cold water, Et₂O and dried to afford 2-selenoxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (**4**) (mp dec. >200 °C), which was pure enough to carry to the next step. The seleno-barbiturates (**5a-c**) were synthesized by treating **4** (1.0 mmol) with *trans-α*,β-unsaturated aldehydes (1.0 mmol) following the same reaction, work-up, and purification sequence as described above for compound **2a-f**.

4.1.10. 5-(3-Furan-2-yl-allylidene)-2-selenoxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (5a)

Yield 77%; brown solid; mp 277 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.70, 12.66 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.18 (dd, *J* = 14.5, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.10–8.05 (m, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 179.80, 161.33, 160.79, 155.28, 152.47, 148.79, 139.69, 123.03, 119.99, 115.71, 114.59; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₈N₂O₃Se: 295.9695. Found 295.9701.

4.1.11. 2-Selenoxo-5-(3-thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (5b)

Yield 81%; brown solid; mp 275–277 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.71, 12.66 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.18–8.12 (m, 1H), 8.10–8.04 (m, 2H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 179.85, 161.30, 160.79, 155.42, 147.18, 141.59, 134.82, 133.63, 130.05, 124.46, 115.56; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₈N₂O₂SSe: 311.9466. Found 311.9478.

4.1.12. 5-(3-Phenyl-allylidene)-2-selenoxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (5c)

Yield 85%; reddish brown solid; mp 270–272 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.71, 12.74 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.42 (dd, J = 15.5, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.52 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 180.14, 161.27, 160.67, 155.58, 154.51, 135.85, 132.06, 129.86, 129.32, 125.33, 116.77; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₀N₂O₂Se: 305.9902. Found 305.9899.

4.1.13. General procedure for the synthesis of thiobarbiturates (8a-o)

To a stirred solution of sodium ethylate [freshly prepared by dissolving sodium (4.0 mmol) in absolute ethyl alcohol (10 mL)] under nitrogen atmosphere was added diethyl malonate (4.0 mmol) followed by substituted thioureas **6a-d** (1.0 mmol) and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at reflux for 48 h. After completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL). Then most of the ethyl alcohol was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was poured into cold water (10 mL), chilled and filtered. The aqueous layer was washed with Et₂O (2 × 10 mL) to remove any unreacted diethyl malonate.

The aqueous layer was acidified with dilute HCl and resulting solid was filtered off, washed with cold water and Et_2O , and dried to afford thiobarbituric acids (**8a-o**). Compounds **8a-h** were purified by crystallization from ethyl alcohol. The *N1,N3*-disubstituted compounds **8i-o** were purified by flash column chromatography using silica gel (100–200 mesh) eluting with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (98:2) to afford the corresponding pure thiobarbiturates.

4.1.14. 1-Allyl-5-(3-thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8a)

Yield 82% (*E* & *Z* isomers); orange solid; mp 221 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 12.50, 12.45 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.24–8.14 (m, 1H), 8.10–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.94 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 1H), 5.99–5.79 (m, 1H), 5.20–5.10 (m, 2H), 4.91–4.87 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 179.36, 179.26, 161.44, 160.77, 160.28, 159.95, 156.51, 155.94, 147.72, 147.31, 141.47, 134.96, 134.88, 133.75, 133.63, 132.52, 132.43, 130.02, 124.39, 124.10, 117.33, 115.28, 115.11, 48.23, 47.90; HRMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₂S: 304.0335. Found 304.0345.

4.1.15. 1-Phenyl-5-(3-thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (**8b**)

Yield 90% (*E* & *Z* isomers); orange solid; mp 287 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 12.62, 12.59 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.26 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 8.13–8.08 (m, 2H), 8.06–8.00 (m, 3H), 7.92 (dd, *J* = 14.5, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 4H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.20 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 180.13, 180.03, 162.09, 161.41, 160.78, 160.45, 155.89, 155.47, 147.34, 147.11, 141.49, 139.68, 139.47, 134.81, 134.79, 133.62, 133.52, 129.98, 129.69, 129.59, 129.22, 128.61, 124.26, 124.11, 115.72, 115.55; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₁₂N₂O₂S₂: 340.0335. Found 340.03351.

4.1.16. 1-Methyl-5-(3-thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8c)
Yield 89% (*E* & *Z* isomers); orange solid; mp 245°C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ
[ppm]: 12.45, 12.40 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.24–8.18 (m, 1H), 8.08–8.01 (m, 2H), 7.93 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ
[ppm]: 179.84, 179.75, 162.00, 161.33, 160.33, 160.01, 156.34, 155.63, 147.44, 147.15, 141.50, 141.46, 134.84, 134.79, 133.60, 133.54, 130.00, 129.97, 124.39, 124.13, 115.36, 115.25, 33.91, 33.50; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₀N₂O₂S₂: 278.0178. Found 278.0184.

4.1.17. 1-Ethyl-5-(3-furan-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8d)

Yield 85% (*E* & *Z* isomers); reddish brown solid; mp 245 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 12.38, 12.35 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.25 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 12.5 Hz, 1H), 8.10–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.80–6.70 (m, 1H), 4.34–4.28 (m, 2H), 1.18 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 179.13, 179.04, 161.43, 160.74, 160.29, 159.93, 156.16, 155.50, 152.41, 152.35, 148.76, 148.71, 139.97, 139.65, 122.98, 122.79, 119.92, 119.89, 115.63, 115.50, 114.53, 114.50, 41.63, 41.27, 12.75, 12.72; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₃S: 276.0563. Found 276.0564.

4.1.18. 1-Ethyl-5-(3-thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8e)

Yield 87% (*E* & *Z* isomers); reddish solid; mp 272 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 12.41, 12.37 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.24–8.18 (m, 1H), 8.10–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.95–7.90 (m, 1H), 7.62–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.20 (m, 1H), 4.34–4.28 (m, 2H), 1.18 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 179.15, 179.09, 161.41, 160.79, 160.26, 159.92, 156.31, 155.70,

147.52, 147.13, 141.50, 141.47, 134.86, 134.79, 133.64, 133.53, 129.99, 129.96, 124.40, 124.16, 115.45, 115.30, 41.64, 41.28, 12.77, 12.73; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₂S₂: 292.0335. Found 292.0331.

4.1.19. 1-Ethyl-5-(3-phenyl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8f)

Yield 92% (*E* & *Z* isomers); yellow solid; mp 256 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 12.45, 12.41 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.50–8.45 (m, 1H), 8.10 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.48 (m, 3H), 4.32–4.28 (m, 2H), 1.18 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 179.32, 179.24, 160.71, 160.26, 159.84, 156.45, 155.86, 154.89, 154.46, 135.77, 132.10, 132.06, 129.83, 129.40, 129.34, 125.27, 125.05, 116.71, 116.54, 41.69, 41.34, 12.74, 12.72; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₂S: 286.0771. Found 286.0774.

4.1.20. 1-Ethyl-5-(3-naphthalen-1-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8g)

Yield 81% (*E* & *Z* isomers); orange solid; mp 235 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 8.82 (t, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.14–8.02 (m, 3H), 7.70–7.60 (m, 3H), 4.40–4.30 (m, 2H), 1.20 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ [ppm]: 179.29, 179.21, 161.49, 160.76, 160.35, 159.91, 156.83, 156.26, 151.09, 150.69, 134.00, 132.59, 132.52, 132.42, 131.71, 129.34, 127.93, 127.26, 127.04, 126.43, 126.37, 126.31, 123.79, 116.61, 116.41, 41.70, 41.35, 12.75; HRMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₆N₂O₂S: 336.0927. Found 336.0932.

4.1.21. 1-Ethyl-5-(5-furan-2-yl-penta-2,4-dienylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione(8h)

Yield 82% (*E and Z* isomers); reddish solid; mp 221 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 12.38, 12.33 (2s, 2 × NH), 8.02–7.96 (m, 2H), 7.90–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.62–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.96–6.92 (m, 1H), 6.70–6.68 (m, 1H), 4.35–4.25 (m, 2H), 1.20–1.10 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ [ppm]: 179.00, 160.59, 160.37, 159.79, 156.15, 156.02, 155.60, 152.57, 146.63, 146.58, 130.75, 130.67, 129.44, 129.22, 126.88, 126.85, 115.71, 115.55, 114.80, 113.78, 41.62, 41.23, 12.76, 12.74; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₃S: 302.0720. Found 302.0725.

4.1.22. 1,3-Diethyl-5-(3-furan-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8i)

Yield 78%; brown solid; mp 156°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.45 (dd, J = 15.5, 12.5 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.60–4.55 (m, 4H), 1.35–1.25 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 178.93, 160.61, 159.66, 157.57, 152.37, 147.08, 139.16, 123.96, 118.32, 114.79, 113.58, 43.72, 43.21, 12.45, 12.41; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₆N₂O₃S: 304.0876. Found 304.0874.

4.1.23. 1,3-Diethyl-5-(3-phenyl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8j)

Yield 89%; reddish brown solid; mp 164 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.62 (dd, J = 15.5, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 4H), 4.60–4.55 (m, 4H), 1.35–1.25 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 178.97, 160.52, 159.66, 158.48, 154.92, 135.37, 131.74, 129.30, 129.16, 125.68, 115.37, 43.77, 43.25, 12.45, 12.40; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₁₈N₂O₂S: 314.1084. Found 314.1085.

4.1.24. 1-Allyl-3-ethyl-5-(3-furan-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8k) Yield 92% (*E* and *Z* isomers); yellow solid; mp 143°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.52–8.44 (m, 1H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.10–6.00 (m, 1H), 6.02–5.94 (m, 1H), 5.36–5.30 (m, 1H), 5.28–5.25 (m, 1H), 5.18–5.14 (m, 2H), 4.62–4.56 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.32 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 179.05, 179.00, 160.69, 160.57, 159.67, 159.61, 157.92, 157.89, 152.37, 147.16, 139.37, 139.36, 131.62, 131.49, 123.99, 123.93, 118.48, 118.25, 118.13, 114.59, 114.57, 113.61, 50.07, 49.56, 43.88, 43.37, 12.42, 12.37; HRMS calcd for C₁₆H₁₆N₂O₃S: 316.0876. Found 316.0875.

4.1.25. 1-Allyl-3-ethyl-5-(3-phenyl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (81)

Yield 82% (*E and Z* isomers); reddish solid; mp 146°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.70–8.62 (m, 1H), 8.30–8.26 (m, 1H), 7.74–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.44 (m, 4H), 6.12–5.96 (m, 1H), 5.36–5.26 (m, 2H), 5.20–5.16 (m, 2H), 4.62–4.56 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.32 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 179.07, 179.02, 160.60, 160.48, 159.67, 159.61, 158.88, 158.84, 155.24, 155.22, 135.32, 131.82, 131.53, 131.41, 129.37, 129.35, 129.18, 129.17, 125.70, 125.64, 118.35, 118.16, 115.16, 115.13, 50.11, 49.61, 43.94, 43.42, 12.42, 12.37; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₈N₂O₂S: 326.1084. Found 326.1086.

4.1.26. 1-Allyl-3-ethyl-5-(3-thiophen-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione(8m)

Yield 90% (*E and Z* isomers); yellow solid; mp 146°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.46–8.38 (m, 1H), 8.20 (dd, J = 12.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.38 (m, 1H),

23

7.18–7.12 (m, 1H), 6.02–5.92 (m, 1H), 5.34–5.24 (m, 2H), 5.18–5.14 (m, 2H), 4.60–4.54 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.30 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 179.03, 178.99, 160.66, 160.54, 159.73, 159.66, 158.36, 158.31, 146.98, 144.26, 141.35, 141.33, 132.36, 132.34, 131.61, 131.48, 128.96, 128.51, 125.08, 125.02, 118.25, 118.00, 50.08, 49.53, 43.90, 43.34, 12.44, 12.37; HRMS calcd for C₁₆H₁₆N₂O₂S₂: 332.0648. Found 332.0652.

4.1.27. 1,3-Diallyl-5-(3-furan-2-yl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (8n)
Yield 89%; reddish brown solid; mp 135°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.45 (dd, J = 15.0, 12.5 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (dd, J = 3.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.00–5.90 (m, 2H), 5.34–5.24 (m, 4H), 5.18–5.14 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 179.10, 160.66, 159.63, 158.28, 152.35, 147.28, 139.63, 131.50, 131.38, 123.95, 118.70, 118.29, 118.17, 114.33, 113.67, 50.22, 49.70; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₆N₂O₃S: 328.0876. Found 328.0878.

4.1.28. 1,3-Diallyl-5-(3-phenyl-allylidene)-2-thioxo-dihydro-pyrimidine-4,6-dione (80)

Yield 87%; yellow solid; mp 154 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 8.55 (dd, J = 15.5, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.28 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.40 (m, 4H), 6.00– 5.90 (m, 2H), 5.35–5.25 (m, 4H), 5.20–5.15 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [ppm]: 179.13, 160.57, 159.62, 159.23, 155.52, 135.29, 131.90, 131.42, 131.30, 129.42, 129.18, 125.67, 118.38, 118.21, 114.94, 50.25, 49.74; HRMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N₂O₂S: 338.1084. Found 338.1088.

4.2. Biological evaluations

4.2.1. Reagents and antibodies

Antibodies for Western blot purposes were purchased from cell signaling [anti-PARP (9542s), p-Bcl-2 (2827s), survivin (2802s) and Bcl-xL (2764s)] and Sigma-Aldrich [β-actin (A5316)]. MTT (Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (M5655–500MG).

4.2.2. Cell culture conditions

WM2664, CHI-1, A375M, MDA-MB-231, PANC-1, MCF-7, 1205Lu cell lines were maintained in DMEM medium, while HCT116 cells were maintained in McCoy's 5A medium. Both the mediums were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 units/mL of penicillin and streptomycin at 37 °C. MCF10A cells were maintained in MEGM[™] Mammary Epithelial Cell Growth Medium (Lonza, CC-3151 & CC-4136) at 37 °C.

4.2.3. Cell viability assay

The effects of thio- and seleno-barbituric acid derivatives (2, 5, and 8) on the cell viability were determined by the MTT uptake method as described before.[29] Briefly, 3,000 cells were incubated with various concentrations of thiobarbiturate derivatives in triplicate in a 96-well plate for 48 h at 37 °C. MTT solution (5 mg/mL in PBS) was added to each well and incubated for 3 h at 37 °C. After 3 h, DMSO was added to dissolve the resultant formazan crystal and the optical density was measured at 570 nm using a 96-well multiscanner (Dynex Technologies, MRX Revelation; Chantilly, VA, USA). Backgrounds were subtracted at 630 nm. IC₅₀ value for each compound was determined by at least three independent experiments and represented with a standard error (Table 1).

4.2.4. Live/dead assay

To measure apoptosis, we used the Live/Dead assay as described before.[29] Live/Dead assay determines intracellular esterase activity and plasma membrane integrity. Calcein-AM, a nonfluorescent polyanionic dye, is retained by live cells, in which it produces intense green fluorescence through enzymatic (esterase) conversion. In addition, the ethidium homodimer enters cells with damaged membranes and binds to nucleic acids, thereby producing a bright red fluorescence in dead cells. Briefly, 2×10^5 cells were incubated with mentioned amounts of **2b** and **8b** for 24 h at 37 °C. Cells were stained with the Live/Dead reagent (5 µM ethidium homodimer and 5 µM calcein-AM) and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min following manufacture's protocol as described earlier. Cells were analyzed under a fluorescence microscope (Labophot-2; Nikon) as described before.⁶

4.2.5. Western blot analysis

To determine the effect of **2b** and **8b** on apoptotic proteins, whole cell lysates were prepared as previously described.[29] The whole cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, the proteins were electro-transferred to PVDF membranes, blotted with the relevant antibodies, and detected by an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent.

4.2.6. Annexin V assay

To determine the ability of **2b** and **8b** on apoptosis, UACC903 and CHL-1 (5×10^5) cells were treated with 25 and 50 µM with **2b** and **8b** for 24h. Muse Annexin V & Dead Cell kit (Millipore, Catlog No, MCH100105) was used to detect live, early apoptotic, late apoptotic and necrotic cells in both floating and adherent cells as previously described,[29] using Muse cell analyzer

(EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Muse Annexin V kit contains 7-AAD, which enters any cells that have lost their plasma membrane integrity, and hence marks dead cells. The kit also contains Annexin V dye, which stains phosphatidylserine (PS) on the outer surface of apoptotic cells. Data acquired from the equipment was analyzed using Muse 1.4 software.

4.2.7. Caspase 3/7 activity assay

To establish whether **2b** and **8b** induce caspase 3/7 activity, UACC903 and CHL-1 (5×10^5) cells were treated with 25 and 50 µM with **2b** and **8b** for 24 h. Caspase 3/7 activity was monitored using Muse Caspase-3/7 Assay kit with Muse cell analyzer (EMD Millipore, Billercia, MA, USA) as previously described.[29] Muse Caspase 3/7 kit contains a cell permeable reagent, NucView, that can detect active caspase 3/7. This reagent has a DNA binding dye that is connected to a DEVD peptide substrate, which inhibits the DNA dye from binding to the DNA. However, in presence of active caspase 3/7, DEVD peptide gets cleaved and the DNA binding dye is released and fluorescence when bound with DNA. The kit also contains 7-AAD, a dead cell marker. Muse 1.4 software was used to analyze the data.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the Department of Pharmacology, Penn State College of Medicine, and Penn State Cancer Institute for financial support. This study was also supported partially by NIH's NCI Grant R21 CA167406-02 & Elsa U. Pardee Foundation Grant (SYL). The authors thank Dr. Jyh-Ming Lin, Solution Phase NMR Facility at Core Research Facilities of the Penn State College of Medicine for recording NMR spectra.

Supplementary material. Copies of the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for new compounds **2a**-**f**, **5a-c**, and **8a-o**.

REFERENCES:

[1] W.S. Bernard, P. Christopher, World cancer report 2014, World Health Organization, (2014).
[2] A.C. Society, Cancer Facts & Figures 2016, in, American Cancer Society Atlanta, GA, USA, 2016.

[3] G. Bollag, P. Hirth, J. Tsai, J. Zhang, P.N. Ibrahim, H. Cho, W. Spevak, C. Zhang, Y. Zhang,G. Habets, Clinical efficacy of a RAF inhibitor needs broad target blockade in BRAF-mutantmelanoma, Nature, 467 (2010) 596-599.

[4] Z. Eroglu, A. Ribas, Combination therapy with BRAF and MEK inhibitors for melanoma: latest evidence and place in therapy, Therapeutic advances in medical oncology, 8 (2016) 48-56.
[5] G. Szakacs, J.K. Paterson, J.A. Ludwig, C. Booth-Genthe, M.M. Gottesman, Targeting multidrug resistance in cancer, Nature reviews. Drug discovery, 5 (2006) 219-234.

[6] Archana, V.K. Srivastava, A. Kumar, Synthesis of some newer derivatives of substituted quinazolinonyl-2-oxo/thiobarbituric acid as potent anticonvulsant agents, Bioorg Med Chem, 12 (2004) 1257-1264.

[7] E. Badawey, I. El-Ashmawey, Anti-inflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic activity of some new 1-(pyrimidin-2-yl)-3-pyrazoline-5-ones and 2-(pyrimidin-2-yl)-1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7-hexahydro-3H-indazol-3-ones, European journal of medicinal chemistry, 33 (1998) 349-361.

[8] M.A. Radwan, E.A. Ragab, N.M. Sabry, S.M. El-Shenawy, Synthesis and biological evaluation of new 3-substituted indole derivatives as potential anti-inflammatory and analgesic agents, Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry, 15 (2007) 3832-3841.

[9] B.N. Borse, S.R. Shukla, Y.A. Sonawane, G.S. Shankerling, Synthesis of some novel pyrimidinedione and pyrimidinetrione derivatives by a greener method: Study of their

antimicrobial activity and photophysical properties, Synthetic Communications, 43 (2013) 865-876.

[10] A.S. Al-Harbi, R.M. Abdel-Rahman, A.M. Asiri, Synthesis of some new fluorine substituted thiobarbituric acid derivatives as anti HIV1 and cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2) for cell tumor division: Part I, European Journal of Chemistry, 6 (2015) 63-70.
[11] V.K. Srivastava, A. Kumar, Synthesis and Anticonvulsant Activity of 1-Acetyl-5-

arylidenyl-3-(2'-oxo/thio-barbiturinyl)-2-pyrazolines, Arzneimittelforschung, 52 (2002) 787-791.

[12] P. Kumar, C. Nath, J. Agarwal, K. Bhargava, K. Shanker. Substituted thiobarbituric acids as anti-parkinsonian agents. Chemischer Informationsdienst, 15 (1984).

[13] D.J. Guerin, D. Mazeas, M.S. Musale, F.N. Naguib, O.N. Al Safarjalani, M.H. el Kouni,
R.P. Panzica, Uridine phosphorylase inhibitors: chemical modification of benzyloxybenzylbarbituric acid and its effects on urdpase inhibition, Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters, 9 (1999) 1477-1480.

[14] B.D. Dhorajiya, A.S. Ibrahim, F.A. Badria, B.Z. Dholakiya, Design and synthesis of novel nucleobase-based barbiturate derivatives as potential anticancer agents, Medicinal Chemistry Research, 23 (2014) 839-847.

[15] M. Bihani, P.P. Bora, A.K. Verma, R. Baruah, H.P. Boruah, G. Bez, PPL catalyzed fourcomponent PASE synthesis of 5-monosubstituted barbiturates: Structure and pharmacological properties, Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters, 25 (2015) 5732-5736.

[16] X. Kong, J. Qin, Z. Li, A. Vultur, L. Tong, E. Feng, G. Rajan, S. Liu, J. Lu, Z. Liang, Development of a novel class of B-Raf v600e-selective inhibitors through virtual screening and hierarchical hit optimization, Organic & biomolecular chemistry, 10 (2012) 7402-7417. [17] N.R. Penthala, P.R. Ponugoti, V. Kasam, P.A. Crooks, 5-((1-Aroyl-1H-indol-3-yl)

methylene)-2-thioxodihydropyrimidine-4, 6 (1H, 5H)-diones as potential anticancer agents with

anti-inflammatory properties, Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters, 23 (2013) 1442-1446.

[18] F.H. Drake, G.A. Hofmann, S.-M. Mong, J.O.L. Bartu, R.P. Hertzberg, R.K. Johnson, M.R. Mattern, C.K. Mirabelli, In vitro and intracellular inhibition of topoisomerase II by the antitumor agent merbarone, Cancer research, 49 (1989) 2578-2583.

[19] I. Tsujino, K. Miyagi, R.W. Sampson, F. Sieber, Potentiation of the Antitumor Effect of Merocyanine 540 mediated Photodynamic Therapy by Amifostine and Amphotericin B, Photochemistry and photobiology, 82 (2006) 458-465.

[20] J.P. Daziano, W.H. Günther, M. Krieg, I. Tsujino, K. Miyagi, G.S. Anderson, R.W.

Sampson, M.D. Ostrowski, S.A. Muir, R.J. Bula, Photochemically generated elemental selenium forms conjugates with serum proteins that are preferentially cytotoxic to leukemia and selected solid tumor cells, Photochemistry and photobiology, 88 (2012) 448-460.

[21] S.Y. Lee, B. Slagle-Webb, E. Rizk, A. Patel, P.A. Miller, S.S. Sung, J.R. Connor,
Characterization of a novel anti-cancer compound for astrocytomas, PloS one, 9 (2014) e108166.
[22] C.A. Lipinski, Lead- and drug-like compounds: the rule-of-five revolution, Drug discovery today. Technologies, 1 (2004) 337-341.

[23] C.A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B.W. Dominy, P.J. Feeney, Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings, Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 46 (2001) 3-26.

[24] D. Desai, N. Kaushal, U.H. Gandhi, R.J. Arner, C. D'Souza, G. Chen, H. Vunta, K. El-Bayoumy, S. Amin, K.S. Prabhu, Synthesis and evaluation of the anti-inflammatory properties of selenium-derivatives of celecoxib, Chemico-biological interactions, 188 (2010) 446-456.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

[25] S.U. Hossain, S. Sengupta, S. Bhattacharya, Synthesis and evaluation of antioxidative properties of a series of organoselenium compounds, Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry, 13 (2005) 5750-5758.

[26] J.T. Hwang, Y.M. Kim, Y.J. Surh, H.W. Baik, S.K. Lee, J. Ha, O.J. Park, Selenium regulates cyclooxygenase-2 and extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling pathways by activating AMP-activated protein kinase in colon cancer cells, Cancer research, 66 (2006) 10057-10063.

[27] C. Sanmartin, D. Plano, J.A. Palop, Selenium compounds and apoptotic modulation: a new perspective in cancer therapy, Mini reviews in medicinal chemistry, 8 (2008) 1020-1031.
[28] S.V. Madhunapantula, D. Desai, A. Sharma, S.J. Huh, S. Amin, G.P. Robertson, PBISe, a novel selenium-containing drug for the treatment of malignant melanoma, Mol Cancer Ther, 7 (2008) 1297-1308.

[29] D. Plano, D.N. Karelia, M.K. Pandey, J.E. Spallholz, S. Amin, A.K. Sharma, Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Novel Selenium (Se-NSAID) Molecules as Anticancer Agents, Journal of medicinal chemistry, 59 (2016) 1946-1959.

[30] M.A. Crampsie, M.K. Pandey, D. Desai, J. Spallholz, S. Amin, A.K. Sharma, Phenylalkyl isoselenocyanates vs phenylalkyl isothiocyanates: thiol reactivity and its implications, Chemicobiological interactions, 200 (2012) 28-37.

[31] N. Nguyen, A. Sharma, N. Nguyen, A.K. Sharma, D. Desai, S.J. Huh, S. Amin, C. Meyers,G.P. Robertson, Melanoma chemoprevention in skin reconstructs and mouse xenografts usingisoselenocyanate-4, Cancer prevention research, 4 (2011) 248-258.

[32] A.K. Sharma, A. Sharma, D. Desai, S.V. Madhunapantula, S.J. Huh, G.P. Robertson, S. Amin, Synthesis and anticancer activity comparison of phenylalkyl isoselenocyanates with

corresponding naturally occurring and synthetic isothiocyanates, Journal of medicinal chemistry, 51 (2008) 7820-7826.

[33] M. Blanchard Desce, V. Alain, P. Bedworth, S. Marder, A. Fort, C. Runser, M. Barzoukas,
S. Lebus, R. Wortmann, Large quadratic hyperpolarizabilities with donor-acceptor polyenes
exhibiting optimum bond length alternation: correlation between structure and
hyperpolarizability, Chemistry–A European Journal, 3 (1997) 1091-1104.

[34] L. Brooker, G. Keyes, R. Sprague, R. VanDyke, VanLare. E.; VanZandt, G.; White, FLJ Am. Chem, SOC, 73 (1951).

[35] E. Ibanez, D. Plano, M. Font, A. Calvo, C. Prior, J.A. Palop, C. Sanmartin, Synthesis and antiproliferative activity of novel symmetrical alkylthio- and alkylseleno-imidocarbamates, Eur J Med Chem, 46 (2011) 265-274.

[36] A.K. Sharma, U.H. Sk, P. He, J.M. Peters, S. Amin, Synthesis of isosteric selenium analog of the PPARbeta/delta agonist GW501516 and comparison of biological activity, Bioorg Med Chem Lett, 20 (2010) 4050-4052.

[37] M.K. Pandey, S. Prasad, A.K. Tyagi, L. Deb, J. Huang, D.N. Karelia, S.G. Amin, B.B.
Aggarwal, Targeting Cell Survival Proteins for Cancer Cell Death, Pharmaceuticals, 9 (2016).
[38] H.G. Mautner, E.M. Clayton, 2-Selenobarbiturates. Studies of some analogous oxygen, sulfur and selenium compounds, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81 (1959) 6270-6273.

Table 1: *In vitro* anti-proliferative activities of novel unsubstituted thio- and seleno-barbituric acid derivatives on melanoma CHL-1 & UACC 903 cancer cells (72 h treatment).^{*a*}

Compounds	Melaı IC	noma cells ₅₀ (µM)
	CHL-1	UACC 903
2a	8.5 ± 2.7	8.0 ± 1.3
2b	3.9 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 0.5
2c	10.6 ± 3.6	9.1 ± 2.9
2d	4.3 ± 1.6	4.2 ± 0.3
2e	18.1 ± 2.3	18.4 ± 2.9
2f	6.5 ± 2.1	6.5 ± 0.8
5a	9.7 ± 1.9	2.3 ± 0.2
5b	6.3 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 2.1
5c	9.4 ± 2.3	13.6 ± 3.7

Values are the mean of triplicates of at least two independent experiments. Non- linear graphs using Prism software were used to determine Mean \pm SD values.

Table	2: 1	In	vitro	anti-prolifera	ative	activities	of N_l -mo	onosu	bstituted	and	N1,N3-	disub	stitute	ed
thiobarl	bitu	ric	acid	derivatives	on	melanoma	CHL-1	and	UACC	903	cancer	cells	(72	h
treatme	nt).	а												

Compounds	Mela	noma cells	_		
	IC ₅₀ (μM)		IC ₅₀ (μM)	₅₀ (µM)	
	CHL-1	UACC 903			
8a	7.5 ± 1.7	6.7 ± 1.2			
8b	3.8 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 0.4			
8c	4.7 ± 0.5	5.5 ± 1.9			
8d	13.9 ± 2.3	16.2 ± 3.0			
8e	4.7 ± 1.1	4.6 ± 0.9			
8f	6.2 ± 2.2	8.0 ± 1.8			
8g	23.4 ± 1.7	23.4 ± 3.6			
8 h	7.5 ± 2.8	7.6 ± 3.9			
8i	8.6 ± 1.9	18.8 ± 2.7			
8j	8.8 ± 3.1	15.2 ± 2.3			
8k	18.9 ± 2.8	14.5 ± 1.7			
81	20.4 ± 3.7	21.0 ± 3.6			
8m	6.1 ± 2.1	6.1 ± 1.4			
8n	8.0 ± 2.9	17.6 ± 2.9			
80	8.1 ± 0.7	18.1 ± 3.2			
PLX-4032	13.7 ± 1.7	3.6 ± 1.1			

^{*a*} Values are the mean of triplicates of at least two independent experiments. Non-linear graphs using Prism software were used to determine Mean \pm SD values.

Cancer cells	2b	8b
CHL-1	$7.2\pm1.4~\mu M$	$6.5\pm2.8~\mu M$
1205Lu	$12.4\pm2.3~\mu M$	$13.5\pm3.3\mu M$
A375M	$10.6\pm3.5\mu M$	$14.8\pm2.1~\mu M$
UACC903	$8.1\pm1.9~\mu M$	$7.6\pm1.7~\mu M$
WM2664	$7.9\pm2.0\mu M$	$9.2\pm1.5~\mu M$

Table 3: The IC_{50} of 2b and 8b in melanoma cells (48 h treatment).

 IC_{50} calculation was performed from Non-Linear regression plot using Prism software.

Compounds	Cancer cells (IC ₅₀ , μ M)					
	Pancreatic cancer	Breast Cancer		Colon cancer		
-	Panc-1	MDA-MB-231	MCF-7	HCT-116		
2a	24.4 ± 3.7	16.5 ± 1.8	27.9 ± 4.2	20.1 ± 3.5		
2b	19.1 ± 2.9	7.6 ± 2.1	16.2 ± 3.2	7.7 ± 0.6		
2d	7.9 ± 1.7	4.5 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 1.4		
8b	8.3 ± 3.1	8.3 ± 2.7	16.5 ± 1.7	2.4 ± 0.9		
8c	21.0 ± 3.9	8.6 ± 1.3	23.5 ± 2.3	18.2 ± 1.8		
8e	20.5 ± 2.5	8.5 ± 2.9	24.0 ± 0.5	8.3 ± 3.2		

Table 4: *In vitro* anti-proliferative activities of selected potent thio-barbituric acid derivatives (from Table 1) on pancreatic, breast, and colon cancer cells (48 h treatment).^{*a*}

^{*a*} Values are the mean of triplicates of at least two independent experiments. Non-linear graphs using Prism software were used to determine Mean \pm SD values.



Figure 1. (A) Clinically used drugs for metastatic melanoma (B) representative examples of effective anti-cancer thio-/seleno-barbituric acid compounds



Figure 2. Sites of structural modification of the novel thio-/seleno-barbituric acid derivatives.

CER HIN



Figure 3: Effects of compounds **2b** and **8b** on various melanoma cell survival. The melanoma cancer cells (3,000 cells/well) were treated with increasing concentrations of **2b** or **8b** (0.5-25 μ M) for 48 h. Cell viability was then analyzed by the MTT assay. Non-linear graphs are derived from triplicate values of two independent experiments and presented as Mean ± SD.



Figure 4. Effect of compounds **2b** and **8b** on melanoma cells cytotoxicity. Melanoma cells (UACC903) were treated with 25 and 50 μ M concentrations of **2b** and **8b** for 24 h. Cells were subjected to Live/Dead analysis using microcopy as described under Experimental Section. Calcein-AM (Green) stained cells represent live cells, while ethidium bromide (Red) stained cells represent dead cells. (**B**) Percentage of dead cells quantified from **A**. Data represents mean value \pm SD.



Figure 5. Compounds **2b** and **8b** prompted apoptotic death in melanoma cells. (**A**), UACC 903 cells (50,000 cells/well) were treated with **2b** and **8b** for 24 h then cells were subjected to Annexin-V activity assay using a Muse cell analyzer. Four different populations of cells were collected: healthy cells (lower left quadrant, Annexin-V negative), early apoptotic cells (lower right corner, positive for Annexin-V and 7-ADD negative), late apoptotic/dead cells (upper right quadrant, both Annexin 7-ADD positive), and necrotic cells (only 7-ADD positive, upper left quadrant). (**B**) Similarly, activity of caspase 3/7 was measured using muse cell analyzer as described under method and material section.



Figure 6: Effects of thiobarbituric acid analogs (**2b**, **8b**) on expression of proteins associated with cell survival, and apotosis. Melanoma cells CHL-1 and UACC903 (2×10^6) were treated with the indicated concentrations of analogs for 24 h, after which Western blotting was done as described under method and material section.

43



Figure 7: Effects of compounds 2b and 8b on various solid cancer cells survival and normal cell lines. (A, B) The cancer cells (3,000 cells/wells) were treated with increasing concentrations of 2b and 8b (0.5-25 μM) for 48 h. Cell viability was then analysed by the MTT assay. Both the compounds reduced cell viability of different cancer cells including colon cancer (HCT116), pancreatic cancer (Panc-1), and breast cancer (MCF-7 and MDA-MB231) cells. Non- linear graphs are derived from triplicate values of two independent experiments and presented as Mean ±SD. (C, D) Cancer cell lines (UACC903, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) and normal breast cell line (MCF10A) were treated with 25 μM dose of 2b and 8b for 48 h. Cell viability was measure by MTT assay. Data presented as Mean ±SD.

Schemes



Scheme 1. Synthesis of thiobarbituric acid analogs 2a-f. *Reagents and conditions*: (a) R-CH=CH-CHO, pyridine, EtOH, reflux, 12 h.

45



Scheme 2. Synthesis selenobarbituric acid analogs **5a-c**. *Reagents and conditions*: (a) Diethyl malonate, NaOEt, EtOH, reflux, 72 h; (b) R-CH=CH-CHO, pyridine, EtOH, reflux, 12 h.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of substituted thiobarbituric acid analogs 8a-o. *Reagents and conditions*: (a) Diethyl malonate, NaOEt, EtOH, reflux, 72 h; (b) R₂-CH=CH-CHO, Pyridine, EtOH, reflux, 12 h.

Highlights

- A series of novel thio- and seleno-barbituric acid derivatives were synthesized
- The cytotoxicity was evaluated against wild-type and BRAF-mutated melanoma cells
- 2b and 8b were identified as the most potent compounds
- 2b and 8b inhibited viability of melanoma cells and induced apoptotic cell death
- 2b and 8b were effective towards melanoma cells with both wild-type and mutant BRAF
- 2b, 2d, and 8b also effectively inhibited viability of other tumor cell lines