



Article

Subscriber access provided by University of Florida | Smathers Libraries

Nickel(I) Aryl Species: Synthesis, Properties, and Catalytic Activity

Megan Mohadjer Beromi, Gourab Banerjee, Gary W. Brudvig, Nilay Hazari, and Brandon Q. Mercado *ACS Catal.*, Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.8b00546 • Publication Date (Web): 13 Feb 2018 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 15, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Catalysis is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

ACS Catalysis

Nickel(I) Aryl Species: Synthesis, Properties, and Catalytic Activity

Megan Mohadjer Beromi, Gourab Banerjee, Gary W. Brudvig, Nilay Hazari*, and Brandon Q. Mercado

^aThe Department of Chemistry, Yale University, P. O. Box 208107, New Haven, Connecticut, 06520, USA. E-mail: <u>nilay.hazari@yale.edu</u>.

Abstract

In this work, Ni(I) aryl species that are directly relevant to cross-coupling have been synthesized. Transmetalation of $(dppf)Ni^{I}X$ (dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-ferrocene, X = Cl, Br) with aryl Grignard reagents or aryl boronic acids in the presence of base produces Ni(I) aryl species of the form (dppf)Ni^I(Ar) (Ar = Ph, *o*-tolyl, 2,6-xylyl, 2,4,6-mesityl, 2,4,6-ⁱPr₃C₆H₂). The stability of the Ni(I) aryl species is inversely correlated to the steric bulk on the aryl ligand. The most unstable Ni(I) aryl species are the most active precatalysts for Suzuki-Miyaura reactions because they rapidly decompose to generate the active Ni(0) catalyst. This study shows that Ni(I) aryl species are initially formed in the activation of Ni(I) halide precatalysts for Suzuki-Miyaura reactions because reactions and establishes their stoichiometric and catalytic reactivity profile.

Keywords

Nickel; cross-coupling; mechanism; homogeneous catalysis; inorganic synthesis.

Introduction

There is significant current interest in the replacement of precious metal catalysts with systems based on inexpensive and sustainable earth-abundant metals such as Ni.¹ A major challenge in the development of Ni catalysts for applications such as cross-coupling² is the propensity for these systems to readily access odd-electron oxidation states such as Ni(I).³ In some reactions such as Ni/photoredox dual catalysis,⁴ and alkyl-alkyl⁵ and cross-electrophile⁶ couplings, Ni(I) species are proposed to be catalytically active and facilitate novel reactivity. In contrast, in other reactions such as Suzuki-Miyaura⁷ and Buchwald-Hartwig⁸ couplings involving aryl substrates, as well as trifluoromethylation reactions,⁹ Ni(I) complexes are proposed to be off-cycle species. In both cases, our lack of fundamental knowledge about the reactivity and stability of Ni(I) species complicates the rational design of improved catalysts for variety of reactions including cross-coupling by promoting or inhibiting the formation of Ni(I) complexes.^{10,11,12,13}

One important class of Ni(I) complexes are Ni(I) aryl species, which have been proposed to be present in many Ni catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. For example, in Suzuki-Miyaura,^{7,11d} Buchwald-Hartwig,^{11e} and Kumada^{11d} couplings, Ni(I) aryl species are hypothesized to form through transmetalation from Ni(I) halide species or through comproportionation reactions between catalytically active Ni(0) and Ni(II) aryl species. Additionally, in reactions related to cross-coupling, Ni(I) aryl complexes are postulated as intermediates in the carboxylation of aryl halides,^{11f,11g} triflates,^{11p} and pivalates,^{11j} and in the reductive cleavage of aryl ethers with silanes.^{11h} However, despite their widely presumed existence in catalytic cycles, there have been almost no direct experimental studies exploring the role of Ni(I) aryl species in cross-coupling reactions. In fact, there are only two examples of well-characterized Ni(I) aryl species and in both cases the unusual steric or electronic properties of the aryl ligand limits their applicability to





species likely to be generated in catalysis (Figure 1).¹⁴ Accordingly, there is almost no fundamental information about the formation and properties of Ni(I) aryl species.

Previously, we explored the speciation of dppf-ligated (dppf 1.1'-= bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene) Ni precatalysts in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions. We found that, regardless of the starting oxidation state of the precatalyst, Ni(I) species are formed during catalysis.^{7,111} Although like others, we postulated that Ni(I) aryl species were formed in catalysis, there was no direct evidence to support this hypothesis. Here, the preparation of a catalytically relevant family of dppf-ligated Ni(I) aryl species is described. We provide information about how these species are formed under catalytic conditions and their stability, stoichiometric and catalytic activity, and decomposition pathways. Further, it is demonstrated that Ni(I) halide precatalysts activate through the formation of Ni(I) aryl species, which decompose to catalytically active Ni(0) species.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Properties of Nickel(I) Aryl Species

Past attempts to prepare Ni(I) aryl species via transmetalation of Ni(I) halide species with aryl Grignard reagents resulted in intractable mixtures of products with no evidence for the formation of the desired complex.^{11d,12d} Consistent with these results, the reaction of (dppf)Ni^ICl (1) or $(dppf)Ni^{I}Br$ (2) with excess phenylmagnesium bromide in C_6D_6 at room temperature resulted in the rapid formation of an unidentified black precipitate. In contrast, the reaction of 1 or 2 with 2,4,6-triisopropylphenylmagnesium bromide resulted in the immediate appearance of identical signals from a paramagnetic molecule in the ¹H NMR spectrum, which are assigned to the Ni(I) aryl complex (dppf)Ni^I(2.4.6-ⁱPr₃C₆H₂) (3). Even though 3 is only metastable in solution (vide infra). isolation was possible through the reaction of **1** with excess 2.4.6triisopropylphenylmagnesium bromide at room temperature in 10:1 pentane:THF. The EPR spectrum of **3** is rhombic ($g_x = 2.03$, $g_y = 2.15$, and $g_z = 2.28$) with hyperfine coupling to the phosphorus nuclei (see SI). The solid-state structure of 3 shows a distorted trigonal planar geometry around Ni (Figure 2), with a dppf bite angle of 103.430(19)° and P-Ni-C angles of 134.15(5)° and 122.42(5)°. The Ni–C bond length of 1.9762(17) Å is similar to those reported in the other two examples of Ni(I) aryl complexes, but direct comparison is difficult as one system



Figure 2: (a) Synthesis of **3**. Inset: ORTEP of **3** with ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and solvent of recrystallization are omitted for clarity. **(b)** and **(c)** Reactions of **1** with 2,4,6-mesitylmagnesium bromide or 2,6-xylylmagnesium bromide to generate **6** or **5**, respectively, *in situ*. Insets: ORTEP of **6** or **5** with ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and solvent of recrystallization is omitted for clarity. For **5**, disorder in the xylyl ring is omitted for clarity.

is a two-coordinate complex with a sterically bulky aryl group (Ni–C = 1.944(2) Å)^{14a} and the other contains a perfluorinated aryl group (Ni–C = 1.973(2) Å).^{14b}

The effect of steric bulk on the formation of Ni(I) aryl species was probed through the reactions of **1** or **2** with *o*-tolyl-, 2,6-xylyl- and 2,4,6-mesityl-magnesium bromide. In all cases, new paramagnetic signals were present in the ¹H NMR spectra, analogous to those observed in the corresponding reaction between **2** and 2,4,6-triisopropylphenylmagnesium bromide (see SI). On this basis, the new signals are assigned to (dppf)Ni^I(*o*-tolyl) (**4**), (dppf)Ni^I(2,6-xylyl) (**5**), and (dppf)Ni^I(2,4,6-mesityl) (**6**). As the size of the aryl group decreases, the stability of the Ni(I) aryl species decreases significantly, with **4** decomposing completely in approximately 6 hours at





Figure 3: Low temperature (7 K) X-band EPR spectra in toluene glass of Ni(I) aryl species formed from the reaction of **2** with Grignard reagents at room temperature. Samples were frozen after two minutes of reaction in all cases except **7**, which was prepared at -90 °C and frozen after one minute. The spectrum of **2** (black, top) is provided for comparison. Detailed EPR parameters are provided in the SI.

room temperature. The instability of these species prevented isolation; however, single crystals of **5** and **6** were obtained using a related synthetic procedure to that described for **3** (Figures 2b & 2c). The solid-state structures of **5** and **6** are similar to **3**, but the Ni–C bond lengths of 1.954(6) Å and 1.948(2) Å, respectively, are shorter, presumably due to the decreased size of the aryl group.

EPR spectroscopy provided additional evidence for the *in situ* formation of **4**, **5**, and **6**. The lowtemperature (7 K), X-band EPR spectra obtained from treatment of **2** with excess *o*-tolyl-, 2,6xylyl-, or 2,4,6-mesityl-magnesium bromide at room temperature in toluene showed similar features to the spectrum of **3** (Figure 3). Further, when **2** was treated with phenylmagnesium

bromide at -90 °C in toluene and the reaction mixture frozen after one minute, a signal was obtained using EPR spectroscopy. We propose that this signal is from (dppf)Ni^I(Ph) (7), which is too unstable to observe at higher temperature. Comparison of the EPR spectra of **3-7** shows that complexes with relatively small aryl groups (**4** & **7**) can be accurately modeled as axial species with hyperfine contributions from two increasingly inequivalent phosphorus nuclei (see SI). In contrast, the complexes with larger aryl groups (**3**, **5**, & **6**) are best modeled as rhombic species. This suggests that increased steric bulk on the aryl group causes a distortion of the molecule and a lowering of the symmetry. *Overall, our synthetic results conclusively establish that it is possible to form nickel(I) aryl species with a catalytically relevant ligand set and aryl groups.*

The decomposition of the different Ni(I) aryl complexes was compared. When **3** is left to stand for 5 days at room temperature in C_6D_6 , there is a 22% decrease in the concentration of the Ni(I) aryl species (see SI). The major organic product is 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene,^{13e} but no new Nicontaining products were observed by NMR spectroscopy. Monitoring the decomposition of 3 in the presence of 1,5-cyclooctadiene (COD) allowed identification of a Ni product. In this case, after 5 days at room temperature, there is 29% decomposition of 3, and (dppf)Ni⁰(COD) (8) (29% yield) and 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene (29% yield) are observed. This suggests that 3 decomposes to a coordinatively unsaturated Ni(0) complex, which is trapped with COD. Notably, no biaryl products are observed when monitoring the decomposition of 3. However, when Ni(I) aryl species with smaller aryl groups, **4-6**, are generated *in situ*, monoaryl and biaryl decomposition products are observed (see SI). One explanation for our observations is that the biaryl products arise from a decomposition pathway involving two Ni centers,¹⁵ whereas the monoaryl products involve a single Ni center. The sterically large aryl group on 3 could inhibit these pathways involving two Ni centers leading to only monoaryl products. Experiments examining the rate of decomposition as a function of the Ni(I) aryl concentration support this hypothesis. Specifically, changing the concentration of $(dppf)Ni^{I}(2,4,6-iPr_{3}C_{6}H_{2})$ does not result in an observable change in the rate of decomposition, whereas more concentrated solutions of in situ generated (dppf)Ni¹(o-tolyl) lead to an increase in the rate of decomposition. Results from catalytic Suzuki-Miyaura reactions, where the concentration of Ni is low, are also consistent with this proposal, as the biaryl products proposed to arise from bimolecular degradation of putative Ni(I) aryl complexes are seen in smaller amounts than the monoaryl products.⁷

Stoichiometric Reactivity of Nickel(I) Aryl Species

Using the isolated Ni(I) aryl species 3 as a model, stoichiometric reactions were performed. Treatment of **3** with 2,6-lutidinium chloride at room temperature results in complete conversion to 1 over one hour, with concomitant formation of 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene (Figure 4a). In performing the reaction 2,6-lutidinium contrast, with tetrakis(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate (BAr^F₄) generates a number of unidentified diamagnetic and paramagnetic species in addition to 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene (see SI). In this reaction the BAr^{F₄} anion presumably does not stabilize the nickel(I) species that forms after protonation, resulting in rapid decomposition to a mixture of products. Interestingly, 3 also reacts with sources of H' as well as H^+ . Reaction of **3** with TEMPOH (TEMPOH = 2,2,6,6,-tetramethyl-1-piperidinol) in the presence of COD as a Ni(0) trapping agent in C_6D_6 results in the degradation of 68% of the Ni(I) aryl species over 24 hours at room temperature (Figure 4b). 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene is formed as an organic by-product in 55% yield, along with the Ni(0) product 8 (61% yield). Additionally, the TEMPO radical was identified using room temperature X-band EPR spectroscopy (see SI). These results suggests that the Ni-aryl bond can be formally cleaved homolytically, resulting in H' transfer from TEMPOH to the aryl ligand and a reduced Ni(0) center that is trapped by COD, even though the exact mechanistic pathway for this reaction is unclear,.

Although we have no evidence that **3** disproportionates into Ni(0) and Ni(II) complexes by itself, treatment of one equivalent of **3** with one equivalent of **2** in the presence of COD results in full conversion to $(dppf)Ni^{II}(2,4,6^{-i}Pr_3C_6H_2)(Br)$ (**9**) (see SI for characterization) and **8** (Figure 4c). The reverse process, comproportionation, can be modeled by the reaction of **9** with a Ni(0) source, $(dppf)Ni^0(C_2H_4)$ (**11**). Consistent with our observation of complete disproportionation from **3** and **2** (in the presence of COD), we see no evidence for the reverse comproportionation reaction. This finding may be important for the rational design of improved Ni(II) precatalysts, as an analogous comproportionation reaction between Ni(0) and Ni(II) aryl halides with less sterically bulky aryl groups is proposed to produce off-cycle species in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions and reduce catalyst efficiency (see SI).⁷



Figure 4: Stoichiometric reactivity of 3 with (a) 2,6-lutidinium chloride, (b) TEMPOH in the presence of COD, and (c) 2 in the presence of COD.

The Role of Nickel(I) Aryl Species in Suzuki-Miyaura Reactions Using Nickel(I) Halide Precatalysts

It has previously been demonstrated that Ni(I) halide precatalysts are active for Suzuki-Miyaura and Kumada couplings involving aryl halides.^{7,11c,11d,111} Given that **1** and **2** do not react with excess aryl halide, even at elevated temperature,^{7,111} transmetalation is likely the first step in precatalyst activation. Our experiments above show that transmetalation is feasible in Kumada couplings, however, it is unclear if transmetalation is possible with weaker nucleophiles such as boronic acids. Treatment of **1** with excess 2,6-xylylboronic acid or 2,4,6-mesitylboronic acid in the presence of K₃PO₄ in C₆D₆ results in new paramagnetic resonances in the ¹H NMR spectra at room temperature (Figure 5). These resonances have the same chemical shifts as those generated through the reactions of **1** or **2** with 2,6-xylyl- and 2,4,6-mesityl-magnesium bromide, indicating that **5** and **6** are formed (see SI). The transmetalation reactions are slower using boronic acids and base compared with Grignard reagents, and even after 24 hours some starting material is still present. Nevertheless, the rate of transmetalation is comparable to the rate of product formation in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions with Ni(I) halide precatalysts.⁷

When **5** and **6** are generated via transmetalation with Grignard reagents, it takes approximately 2 days in solution at room temperature for complete decomposition to occur. In contrast, these species are less stable when generated using the appropriate boronic acid and K_3PO_4 and decay relatively rapidly to the homoleptic Ni(0) species $(dppf)_2Ni^0$ (**11**), as indicated by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, and an unidentified product. The generation of **11** requires two equivalents of starting material, and therefore the maximum yield of **11** is 50%. The rapid formation of these zerovalent species suggests that boronic acid and base increase the rate of decomposition of Ni(I) aryl complexes. Consistent with this hypothesis, treatment of isolated **3** with excess *o*-tolylboronic acid and K_3PO_4 at room temperature results in essentially complete decomposition of the Ni(I) aryl species in 6 hours (see SI). The increased rate of decomposition of Ni(I) aryl species in the presence of boronic acid and base may explain why they have not been observed *in situ* in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions where Ni(I) halide species were identified.^{7,111} Further, the observed decomposition of Ni(I) aryl species to **11** provides an explanation for the catalytic activity of Ni(I) halide precatalysts in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions, as **11** is known to be a highly active precatalyst.^{7,111}

Based on the results above we propose that in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions using **1** as a precatalyst, generation of the catalytically active species occurs via initial transmetalation to form a Ni(I) aryl species. The Ni(I) aryl species could subsequently (i) undergo decomposition to form a catalytically active Ni(0) species (*vide supra*), or (ii) directly react with the electrophile. The preparation of Ni(I) aryl species allows us to examine the viability of pathway (ii). Addition of one equivalent of 1-chloronaphthalene to **3** in C_6D_6 did not result in any consumption of the electrophile. After 24 hours at room temperature, the decrease in the amount of **3** was the same as when no electrophile was present, consistent with no reaction with electrophile occurring (see SI). Similar results were observed when **4** and **5** were generated *in situ* and treated with 1-chloronaphthalene. On this basis it is proposed that pathway (i) is more likely, which indicates *that even when Ni(I) halide precatalysts are used in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions, the catalytic cycle ultimately involves Ni(0) and Ni(II) complexes.* These results also imply that Ni(I) aryl complexes are off-cycle species in Suzuki-Miyaura reactions (*vide infra* & see SI).



Figure 5: Reaction of **1** with **(a)** excess 2,6-xylylboronic acid and K_3PO_4 , and **(b)** excess 2,4,6-mesitylboronic acid and K_3PO_4 .

Nickel(I) Aryl Species as Precatalysts for Suzuki-Miyaura Reactions

Based on our knowledge that Ni(I) aryl species decompose into Ni(0) species, and that some of these zerovalent species are catalytically competent, we hypothesized that pre-treatment of Ni(I) halide precatalysts with Grignard reagents in situ could result in improved catalytic activity for Suzuki-Miyaura reactions. By itself, 1 cannot couple naphthalen-1-yl sulfamate with 4methoxyphenylboronic acid at room temperature over 4 hours (Table 1, Entry 1). However, premixing 1 with any Grignard reagents to form Ni(I) any species, followed by addition to a mixture containing electrophile, boronic acid and base resulted in conversion to the coupled product. The yield of product is inversely correlated to the stability of the Ni(I) aryl complex, which is consistent with more rapid generation of Ni(0) giving improved catalytic activity. The most stable Ni(I) any species, **3**, formed in situ by mixing **1** with 2,4,6-triisopropylphenylmagnesium bromide, only gave a 19% yield of cross-coupled product (Table 1, Entry 6), while the relatively unstable 4 reached full conversion (Table 1, Entry 3). The only exception to this trend was the yield of cross-coupled product from the reaction catalyzed by *in situ* generated 7. In this case, the Ni aryl complex is so unstable (vide supra) that significant decomposition to a black precipitate occurred before mixing with the substrates, even when 7 was generated at low temperature (see SI). Notably, the catalytic activity of *in situ* generated 4 is comparable to the best Ni(0) and Ni(II) precatalysts for this reaction.⁷ When isolated **3** was used as the precatalyst a low yield (10%) of cross-coupled product was obtained, presumably due to the relative stability



Table 1: Comparison of Ni(I) precatalysts for the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction of naphthalen-1-yl sulfamate and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid.

Reaction conditions: 0.133 mmol naphthalene-1-yl dimethylsulfamate, 0.333 mmol 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid, 0.599 mmol K₃PO₄, 0.0665 mmol naphthalene (internal standard), 2.5 mol % precatalyst and 2.5 mol % additive, and 1 mL toluene. Nickel precatalyst was premixed with additive prior to addition of other reagents (see SI). Yields are the average of two runs and were determined by GC.

of **3** (Table 1, Entry 7). Improved activity (76% yield) was obtained when a mixture containing a 1:1 ratio of TEMPOH and **3** was premixed and then added to a solution containing the substrates and base (Table 1, Entry 8). We attribute this improvement in performance to the increased rate of formation of zerovalent Ni in the presence of H[•] donors, which provides further evidence in support of zerovalent Ni complexes being the true active species. A comparative selectivity experiment in which aryl sulfamate electrophiles with different electronic properties were coupled in a Suzuki-Miyaura reaction shows that **3**, as well as Ni(I) halides mixed with different aryl Grignard reagents, give the same selectivity as a related Ni(0) precatalyst, providing more evidence that all systems react through the same active species (see SI). This experiment also provides further support to the hypothesis that Ni(I) aryl complexes are intermediates in the activation of Ni(I) halide precatalysts.

Conclusions

We have synthesized a family of Ni(I) aryl species with catalytically relevant ligands and demonstrated that Ni(I) aryl species can be generated under conditions relevant to cross-coupling. Based on our results that Ni(I) aryl species can be formed via transmetalation, we propose that Ni(I) halide precatalysts activate through this pathway in catalysis. Additionally, in the Suzuki-Miyaura reactions studied in this work, Ni(I) aryl complexes are likely unstable off-cycle species that undergo decomposition to generate catalytically active Ni(0) complexes; thus,

it is proposed that Ni(I) and Ni(0) precatalysts generate the same active species. The rate of decomposition of the Ni(I) aryl complexes increases as the size of the aryl substituent decreases and they are less likely to form in comproportionation reactions between Ni(II) aryl halide complexes and Ni(0) complexes when the aryl group is large. We intend to utilize this latter observation to design improved Ni(II) precatalysts for Suzuki-Miyaura reactions, where the formation of off-cycle Ni(I) complexes is detrimental to catalysis. Our studies on well-defined Ni(I) aryl species may also assist in the improvement of many other catalytic reactions where these elusive complexes are implicated as either on-cycle or off-cycle species.

Acknowledgements

NH acknowledges support from the NIHGMS under Award Number R01GM120162. MM thanks the NSF for support as an NSF Graduate Research Fellow. The EPR spectroscopy work was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences grant DE-FG02-05ER15646 (GB and GWB). NH is a Camille and Henry-Dreyfus Foundation Teacher Scholar.

Additional information

Full characterizing data, experimental procedures and details about EPR simulations are available via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Competing Financial Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. (a) Chirik, P.; Morris, R. Getting Down to Earth: The Renaissance of Catalysis with Abundant Metals. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2495-2495; (b) Chirik, P. J.; Gunnoe, T. B. A Meeting of Metals—A Joint Virtual Issue between Organometallics and ACS Catalysis on First-Row Transition Metal Complexes. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 5584-5585.

2. (a) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings Involving Carbon–Oxygen Bonds. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 1346-1416; (b) Han, F.-S. Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions: A Remarkable Advance from Palladium to Nickel Catalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 5270-5298; (c) Tasker, S. Z.; Standley, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. Recent Advances in Homogeneous Nickel Catalysis. *Nature* 2014, *509*, 299-309; (d) Ananikov, V. P. Nickel: The "Spirited Horse" of Transition Metal Catalysis. *ACS Catal.* 2015, *5*, 1964-1971; (e) Hazari, N.; Melvin, P. R.; Mohadjer Beromi, M. Well-Defined Nickel and Palladium Precatalysts for Cross-Coupling. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2017, *1*, 0025.

3. For reviews about Ni(I) complexes see: (a) Nag, K.; Chakravorty, A. Monovalent, Trivalent and Tetravalent Nickel. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1980**, *33*, 87-147; (b) Kieber-Emmons, M. T.;

Riordan, C. G. Dioxygen Activation at Monovalent Nickel. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 618-625;
(c) Yao, S.; Driess, M. Lessons from Isolable Nickel(I) Precursor Complexes for Small Molecule Activation. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 276-287; (d) Zimmermann, P.; Limberg, C. Activation of Small Molecules at Nickel(I) Moieties. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 4233-4242; (e) Powers, I. G.; Uyeda, C. Metal-Metal Bonds in Catalysis. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 936-958; (f) Lin, C.-Y.; Power, P. P. Complexes of Ni(I): A "Rare" Oxidation State of Growing Importance. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 5347-5399.

4. (a) Noble, A.; McCarver, S. J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Merging Photoredox and Nickel Catalysis: Decarboxylative Cross-Coupling of Carboxylic Acids with Vinyl Halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 624-627; (b) Tellis, J. C.; Kelly, C. B.; Primer, D. N.; Jouffroy, M.; Patel, N. R.; Molander, G. A. Single-Electron Transmetalation via Photoredox/Nickel Dual Catalysis: Unlocking a New Paradigm for sp³–sp² Cross-Coupling. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 1429-1439.

5. (a) Netherton, M. R.; Fu, G. C. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Unactivated Alkyl Halides and Pseudohalides with Organometallic Compounds. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 1525-1532; (b) Jones, G. D.; McFarland, C.; Anderson, T. J.; Vicic, D. A. Analysis of Key Steps in the Catalytic Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Electrophiles Under Negishi-Like Conditions. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4211-4213; (c) Hu, X. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Non-Activated Alkyl Halides: A Mechanistic Perspective. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1867-1886.

6. (a) Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. Cross-Electrophile Coupling: Principles of Reactivity and Selectivity. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 4793-4798; (b) Weix, D. J. Methods and Mechanisms for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Csp² Halides with Alkyl Electrophiles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1767-1775.

7. Mohadjer Beromi, M.; Nova, A.; Balcells, D.; Brasacchio, A. M.; Brudvig, G. W.; Guard, L. M.; Hazari, N.; Vinyard, D. J. Mechanistic Study of an Improved Ni Precatalyst for Suzuki– Miyaura Reactions of Aryl Sulfamates: Understanding the Role of Ni(I) Species. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, , 922-936.

8. Ge, S.; Green, R. A.; Hartwig, J. F. Controlling First-Row Catalysts: Amination of Aryl and Heteroaryl Chlorides and Bromides with Primary Aliphatic Amines Catalyzed by a BINAP-Ligated Single-Component Ni(0) Complex. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 1617-1627.

9. (a) Yin, G.; Kalvet, I.; Englert, U.; Schoenebeck, F. Fundamental Studies and Development of Nickel-Catalyzed Trifluoromethylthiolation of Aryl Chlorides: Active Catalytic Species and Key Roles of Ligand and Traceless MeCN Additive Revealed. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4164-4172; (b) Kalvet, I.; Guo, Q.; Tizzard, G. J.; Schoenebeck, F. When Weaker Can Be Tougher: P-Role Oxidation State (I) in VS N-Ligand-Derived Ni-Catalyzed The of Trifluoromethylthiolation of Aryl Halides. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 2126-2132.

10. For examples where Ni(I) complexes are proposed in cross-coupling and related reactions with sp² hybridized substrates see references 4-6 and: (a) Anderson, T. J.; Jones, G. D.; Vicic, D. A. Evidence for a Ni(I) Active Species in the Catalytic Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Electrophiles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8100-8101; (b) Jones, G. D.; Martin, J. L.; McFarland, C.; Allen, O. R.; Hall, R. E.; Haley, A. D.; Brandon, R. J.; Konovalova, T.; Desrochers, P. J.; Pulay, P.; Vicic, D. A. Ligand Redox Effects in the Synthesis, Electronic Structure, and Reactivity of an Alkyl–Alkyl Cross-Coupling Catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13175-13183; (c) Phapale, V. B.; Buñuel, E.; García-Iglesias, M.; Cárdenas, D. J. Ni-Catalyzed Cascade Formation of C(sp³)-C(sp³) Bonds by Cyclization and Cross-Coupling Reactions of Iodoalkanes with Alkyl Zinc Halides. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8790-8795; (d) Breitenfeld, J.; Ruiz, J.; Wodrich, M. D.; Hu, X. Bimetallic Oxidative Addition Involving Radical Intermediates in Nickel-

Catalyzed Alkyl–Alkyl Kumada Coupling Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1204-12012; (e) Cherney, A. H.; Kadunce, N. T.; Reisman, S. E. Catalytic Asymmetric Reductive Acyl Cross-Coupling: Synthesis of Enantioenriched Acyclic α,α-Disubstituted Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7442-7445; (f) León, T.; Correa, A.; Martin, R. Ni-Catalyzed Direct Carboxylation of Benzyl Halides with CO₂. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1221-1224; (g) Biswas, S.; Weix, D. J. Mechanism and Selectivity in Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aryl Halides with Alkyl Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16192-16197; (h) Breitenfeld, J.; Wodrich, M. D.; Hu, X. Bimetallic Oxidative Addition in Nickel-Catalyzed Alkyl–Aryl Kumada Coupling Reactions. Organometallics 2014, 33, 5708-5715; (i) Schley, N. D.; Fu, G. C. Nickel-Catalyzed Negishi Arylations of Propargylic Bromides: A Mechanistic Investigation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16588-16593; (j) Sayyed, F. B.; Sakaki, S. The Crucial Roles of MgCl₂ as a Non-Innocent Additive in the Ni-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Benzyl Halide with CO₂. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13026-13029; (k) Börjesson, M.; Moragas, T.; Martin, R. Ni-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Unactivated Alkyl Chlorides with CO₂. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7504-7507.

11. For examples where Ni(I) complexes are proposed in cross-coupling and related reactions with sp³ hybridized substrates see references 4-6 and: (a) Gao, C.-Y.; Cao, X.; Yang, L.-M. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Diarylamines with Haloarenes. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 3922-3925; (b) Velian, A.; Lin, S.; Miller, A. J. M.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. Synthesis and C-C Coupling Reactivity of a Dinuclear Ni(I)-Ni(I) Complex Supported by a Terphenyl Diphosphine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6296-6297; (c) Miyazaki, S.; Koga, Y.; Matsumoto, T.; Matsubara, K. A New Aspect of Nickel-Catalyzed Grignard Cross-Coupling Reactions: Selective Synthesis, Structure, and Catalytic Behavior of a T-Shape Three-Coordinate Nickel(I) Chloride Bearing a Bulky NHC Ligand. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 1932-1934; (d) Zhang, K.; Conda-Sheridan, M.; R. Cooke, S.; Louie, J. N-Heterocyclic Carbene Bound Nickel(I) Complexes and Their Roles in Catalysis. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2546-2552; (e) Nagao, S.; Matsumoto, T.; Koga, Y.; Matsubara, K. Monovalent Nickel Complex Bearing a Bulky N-Heterocyclic Carbene Catalyzes Buchwald-Hartwig Amination of Aryl Halides under Mild Conditions. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1036-1038; (f) Fujihara, T.; Nogi, K.; Xu, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Nickel-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Aryl and Vinyl Chlorides Employing Carbon Dioxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9106-9109; (g) Sayyed, F. B.; Tsuji, Y.; Sakaki, S. The Crucial Role of a Ni(I) Intermediate in Ni-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Aryl Chloride with CO₂: A Theoretical Study. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 10715-10717; (h) Cornella, J.; Gómez-Bengoa, E.; Martin, R. Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Reductive Cleavage of Inert C-O Bonds with Silanes: Ruling out a Classical Ni(0)/Ni(II) Catalytic Couple and Evidence for Ni(I) Intermediates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1997-2009; (i) Page, M. J.; Lu, W. Y.; Poulten, R. C.; Carter, E.; Algarra, A. G.; Kariuki, B. M.; Macgregor, S. A.; Mahon, M. F.; Cavell, K. J.; Murphy, D. M.; Whittlesey, M. K. Three-Coordinate Nickel(I) Complexes Stabilised by Six-, Seven- and Eight-Membered Ring N-Heterocyclic Carbenes: Synthesis, EPR/DFT Studies and Catalytic Activity. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 2158-2167; (j) Correa, A.; León, T.; Martin, R. Ni-Catalyzed Carboxylation of $C(sp^2)$ – and $C(sp^3)$ –O Bonds with CO₂. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1062-1069; (k) Wu, J.; Nova, A.; Balcells, D.; Brudvig, G. W.; Dai, W.; Guard, L. M.; Hazari, N.; Lin, P.-H.; Pokhrel, R.; Takase, M. K. Nickel(I) Monomers and Dimers with Cyclopentadienyl and Indenyl Ligands. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 5327-5337; (1) Guard, L. M.; Mohadjer Beromi, M.; Brudvig, G. W.; Hazari, N.; Vinyard, D. J. Comparison of dppf-Supported Ni Precatalysts for the Suzuki-Miyaura Reaction: The Observation and Activity of

ACS Catalysis

Ni(I). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 13352-13356; (m) Cornella, J.; Edwards, J. T.; Qin, T.; Kawamura, S.; Wang, J.; Pan, C.-M.; Gianatassio, R.; Schmidt, M.; Eastgate, M. D.; Baran, P. S. Practical Ni-Catalyzed Aryl–Alkyl Cross-Coupling of Secondary Redox-Active Esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 2174-2177; (n) Matsubara, K.; Fukahori, Y.; Inatomi, T.; Tazaki, S.; Yamada, Y.; Koga, Y.; Kanegawa, S.; Nakamura, T. Monomeric Three-Coordinate N-Heterocyclic Carbene Nickel(I) Complexes: Synthesis, Structures, and Catalytic Applications in Cross-Coupling Reactions. Organometallics **2016**, 35, 3281-3287; (o) Manzoor, A.; Wienefeld, P.; Baird, M. C.; Budzelaar, P. H. M. Catalysis of Cross-Coupling and Homocoupling Reactions of Aryl Halides Utilizing Ni(0), Ni(I), and Ni(II) Precursors. Organometallics **2017**, 36, 3508-3519; (p) Meng, Q.-Y.; Wang, S.; König, B. Carboxylation of Aromatic and Aliphatic Bromides and Triflates with CO₂ by Dual Visible-Light–Nickel Catalysis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2017**, 56, 13426.

12. For examples of mechanistic studies on elementary reactions relevant to cross-coupling and related reactions where Ni(I) complexes are involved see: (a) Tsou, T. T.; Kochi, J. K. Mechanism of Oxidative Addition. Reaction of Nickel(0) Complexes with Aromatic Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6319-6332; (b) Rettenmeier, C. A.; Wenz, J.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Activation of Aryl Halides by Nickel(I) Pincer Complexes: Reaction Pathways of Stoichiometric and Catalytic Dehalogenations. Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 8214-8224; (c) Yoo, C.; Ajitha, M. J.; Jung, Y.; Lee, Y. Mechanistic Study on C-C Bond Formation of a Nickel(I) Monocarbonyl Species with Alkyl Iodides: Experimental and Computational Investigations. Organometallics 2015, 34, 4305-4311; (d) Bajo, S.; Laidlaw, G.; Kennedy, A. R.; Sproules, S.; Nelson, D. J. Oxidative Addition of Aryl Electrophiles to a Prototypical Nickel(0) Complex: Mechanism and Structure/Reactivity Relationships. Organometallics 2017, 36, 1662-1672; (e) Funes-Ardoiz, I.; Nelson, D. J.; Maseras, F. Halide Abstraction Competes with Oxidative Addition in the Reactions of Aryl Halides with [Ni(PMe_nPh(_{3-n}))₄]. Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 16728-16733.

13. For other examples of Ni(I) species see: (a) Eaborn, C.; Hill, M. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, J. D. The First Structurally Characterised σ -bonded Organonickel(I) Compound. Chem. Commun. 2000, 691-692; (b) Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. Terminal Amido and Imido Complexes of Three-Coordinate Nickel. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4623-4624; (c) Kitiachvili, K. D.; Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. Preparation of Stable Alkyl Complexes of Ni(I) and Their One-Electron Oxidation to Ni(II) Complex Cations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10554-10555; (d) Bai, G.; Wei, P.; Stephan, D. W. A β-Diketiminato-Nickel(II) Synthon for Nickel(I) Complexes. Organometallics 2005. 24, 5901-5908; (e) Laskowski, C. A.: Hillhouse, G. L. Two-Coordinate d⁹ Complexes. Synthesis and Oxidation of NHC Nickel(I) Amides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13846-13847; (f) Hamacher, C.; Hurkes, N.; Kaiser, A.; Klein, A.; Schüren, A. Electrochemistry and Spectroscopy of Organometallic Terpyridine Nickel Complexes. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 9947-9951; (g) Anderson, J. S.; Iluc, V. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. Reactions of CO₂ and CS₂ with 1,2-Bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)ethane Complexes of Nickel(0) and Nickel(I). Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 10203-10207; (h) Davies, C. J. E.; Page, M. J.; Ellul, C. E.; Mahon, M. F.; Whittlesey, M. K. Ni(I) and Ni(II) Ring-Expanded N-Heterocyclic Carbene Complexes: C-H Activation, Indole Elimination and Catalytic Hydrodehalogenation. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5151-5153; (i) Beck, R.; Shoshani, M.; Krasinkiewicz, J.; Hatnean, J. A.; Johnson, S. A. Synthesis and Chemistry of Bis(triisopropylphosphine)nickel(I) and Nickel(0) Precursors. Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 1461-1475; (j) Lipschutz, M. I.; Tilley, T. D. Carbon-Carbon Cross-Coupling Reactions Catalyzed by a Two-Coordinate Nickel(II)-Bis(amido)

Complex via Observable Ni(I), Ni(II), and Ni(III) Intermediates. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7290-7294; (k) Lipschutz, M. I.; Tilley, T. D. Useful Method for the Preparation of Low-Coordinate Nickel(I) Complexes via Transformations of the Ni(I) Bis(amido) Complex K{Ni[N(SiMe₃)(2,6-ⁱPr₂-C₆H₃)]₂}. Organometallics 2014, 33, 5566-5570; (l) Rettenmeier, C.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Stereoselective Hydrodehalogenation via a Radical-Based Mechanism Involving T-Shaped Chiral Nickel(I) Pincer Complexes. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 9657-9665; (m) Yoo, C.; Oh, S.; Kim, J.; Lee, Y. Transmethylation of a Four-Coordinate Nickel(I) Monocarbonyl Species with Methyl Iodide. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 3853-3858; (n) Schwab, M. M.; Himmel, D.; Kacprzak, S.; Radtke, V.; Kratzert, D.; Weis, P.; Wernet, M.; Peter, A.; Yassine, Z.; Schmitz, D.; Scheidt, E.-W.; Scherer, W.; Weber, S.; Feuerstein, W.; Breher, F.; Higelin, A.; Krossing, I. Synthesis, Characterisation and Reactions of Truly Cationic NiI–Phosphine Complexes. Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 918-927.

14. (a) Laskowski, C. A.; Bungum, D. J.; Baldwin, S. M.; Del Ciello, S. A.; Iluc, V. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. Synthesis and Reactivity of Two-Coordinate Ni(I) Alkyl and Aryl Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 18272-18275; (b) Hatnean, J. A.; Shoshani, M.; Johnson, S. A. Mechanistic Insight into Carbon–Fluorine Cleavage with a $({}^{i}Pr_{3}P)_{2}Ni$ Source: Characterization of $({}^{i}Pr_{3}P)_{2}NiC_{6}F_{5}$ as a Significant Ni(I) Byproduct in the Activation of $C_{6}F_{6}$. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2014**, *422*, 86-94; (c) In reference 10i, Schley and Fu describe the complex ((–)- ${}^{i}Pr$ pybox)Ni^I(Ph), which is formally a Ni(I) species. However, EPR spectroscopy indicates that it is a Ni(II) complex with a ligand centered radical on the pybox. The complexes described in reference 13f are also Ni(II) complexes with ligand centered radicals.

15. For example, the biaryl products could arise if the Ni(I) aryl species underwent disproportionation to generate $(dppf)Ni^{II}(Ar)_2$ and a zerovalent Ni species (see for example: *Organometallics*, **2017**, *36*, 1662; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *428*, 223) followed by reductive elimination from $(dppf)Ni^{II}(Ar)_2$ to generate a biaryl and a Ni(0) product. Alternatively, the same products could be generated through direct bimetallic reductive elimination from the Ni(I) aryl species (see for example: J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2016**, *138*, 4779).

