

Article

Subscriber access provided by - Access paid by the | UCSF Library

Difluoromethylation of Phenols and Thiophenols with S-(Difluoromethyl)sulfonium Salt: Reaction, Scope and Mechanistic Study

Guo-Kai Liu, Wen-Bing Qin, Xin Li, Li-Ting Lin, and Henry Nai Ching Wong

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.9b02424 • Publication Date (Web): 24 Oct 2019

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on October 24, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7

8 9

Difluoromethylation of Phenols and Thiophenols with S-(Difluoromethyl)sulfonium Salt: Reaction, Scope and Mechanistic Study

Guo-Kai Liu,*[†]^a Wen-Bing Qin,[†]^a Xin Li,^a Li-Ting Lin^a and Henry N. C. Wong^b

^a School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shenzhen University Health Science Centre, Shenzhen University, 3688 Nanhai Ave., Shenzhen 518060, China

^b Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR, China

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A facile and practical approach for the difluoromethylation of phenols and thiophenols was described. Making use of the recently developed bench-stable *S*-(difluoromethyl)sulfonium salt as the difluorocarbene precursor, a wide variety of diversely functionalized phenols and thiophenols were readily converted to their corresponding aryl difluoromethyl ethers in good to excellent yields in the presence of lithium hydroxide. Chemoselectivity of various *O*, *S*-nucleophiles toward difluorocarbene was systematically studied, suggesting the reactivity order ArS-> RS-, ArO-> ROH > RO-, ArSH, ArOH, RSH.

INTRODUCTION

Difluoromethoxy group (OCF₂H) is an importantly structural motif, and have found widespread utility in pharmaceuticals¹, agrochemicals² and materials.3 Particularly, Arvl difluoromethyl ethers play important roles in medicinal chemistry and drug discovery because the difluoromethyl group is capable of serving as a bioisostere to CH₃OH and SH units,⁴ as well as a lipophilic hydrogen-bond donor.⁵ As illustrated in Figure 1, aryl difluoromethyl ether moiety is present in the selective phosphodiesterase type 4 (PDE 4) inhibitor Roflumilast, used for treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).⁶ Pantoprazole is popular as an irreversible proton pumping inhibitor,⁷ while Garenoxacin is a novel broad-spectrum quinolone antiseptic.⁸ It is noteworthy that GRN-529, developed by Wyeth, is in clinical trials stage for the treatment of autism in children.9 Therefore, the development of practical, efficient approaches to aryl difluoromethyl ethers remains attractive.



Generally, ArOCF₂H and ArSCF₂H are synthesized via O, S-difluoromethylation of phenols and thiophenols, which represents a routine strategy for the preparation of aryl difluoromethyl (thio)ethers, although a few examples installed these compounds by metal-catalyzed or visible light-promoted difluoromethylthiolation and difluoromethoxylation using difluoromethoxylating reagent and difluoromethylthiolating reagents.¹⁰ Phenols are sufficiently acidic to form phenoxide anions under strongly alkaline conditions, and phenoxide anions react readily with difluorocarbenes generated in situ from various reagents. In the past decades, many efforts have been circumvented to develop reagents and protocols for this purpose.¹¹ Initially, the ozone-depleting substrates (ODS), *i.e.*, HCF₂Cl,^{11a} HCF₃^{11b} and CF₂Br₂^{11c} were used for the difluoromethylation of phenols. Afterwards, some non-ozonedepleting substrates (NODS) difluorocarbene precursors have been recorded for the synthesis of difluoromethyl ethers from phenols. including FSO₂CF₂COOH.^{12a} PhCOCF₂Cl.^{12b} PhSO₂CF₂Cl,^{12c} BrCF₂P(O)(OEt)₂,^{13a} ClF₂COONa,^{13b} n-Bu₃N(CF₂H)Cl,^{13c} HCF₂OTf,^{14a} and BrCF₂CO₂Et.^{14b} However, these approaches employing ODS and NODS reagents somewhat suffer from high reaction temperature, long reaction time, and a narrow substrate scope. These reagents usually do not tolerate a great deal of functional groups, thus limiting their widespread applicability. To remedy these problems, Hu revealed an efficient protocol using the commercially available TMSCF₂Br as a difluorocarbene source.¹⁵ Later, Xiao reported Ph₃P⁺CF₂COO⁻ (PDFA) as an efficient phosphonium ylide reagent that also leads to difluoromethylation reaction of phenols and thiophenols, though only a few simple examples were demonstrated.¹⁶ As another possibility, our group has develope

Table 1. Survey of Reaction Conditions ^a				
Ph	$-OH + HF_2C_S_+$ 2a	OMe Base OMe Ar, overnigh	p. t 4a	-OCF ₂ H
entry	base (equiv)	solvent	temp (°C)	yield (%)
1	LiOH (2.2)	toluene	rt.	70
2	LiOH (2.2)	chlorobenzene	rt.	63
3	LiOH (2.2)	THF	rt.	20
4	LiOH (2.2)	DCM	rt.	78
5	LiOH (2.2)	CH ₃ CN	rt.	38
6	LiOH (2.2)	fluorobenzene	rt.	80
7	KOH (1.0 M, 2.2)	fluorobenzene	rt.	60
8	NaH (2.2)	fluorobenzene	rt.	73
9	K ₃ PO ₄ (2.2)	fluorobenzene	rt.	30
10	NaOAc (2.2)	fluorobenzene	rt.	13
11	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (2.2)	fluorobenzene	rt.	28
12	LiOH (1.6)	fluorobenzene	rt.	60
13	LiOH (3.0)	fluorobenzene	rt.	57
14	LiOH (2.2)	fluorobenzene	0	59
15	LiOH (2.2)	fluorobenzene	10	60
16	NaH (2.2)	fluorobenzene	10	81
17	NaH (2.2)	fluorobenzene	0	62
^a Reacti	on conditions (unless	otherwise specified)): 2a (0.2 mmc	ol), 1 (1.2
eaniv (24 mmol) fluorobenz	zene (2.0 mL) rt. ox	vernight isola	ted vields

-d bench-stable sulfonium salts 1, which we have employed as a highly effective difluoromethylating reagent for *C*-selective difluoromethylation of β -ketoesters and malonates,^{17a} and also as a difluorocarbene precursor for *O*-difluoromethylation of aliphatic alcohols involving a five-membered transition state.^{17b} Herein we examined whether sulfonium salt 1 can react with phenols and thiophenols under mild conditions to furnish diverse aryl difluoromethyl (thio)ethers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction conditions were optimized using 4-phenylphenol (2a) as a model substrate (Table 1). Thus, the reaction smoothly occurred with the use of 2.2 equivalents of LiOH at room temperature in toluene, affording difluoromethyl ether 4a in an isolated yield of 70% (entry 1). Subsequently, the effect of various solvents was investigated (entries 2-6), dichloromethane and arene solvents such as toluene, chlorobenzene and fluorobenzene, were suitable solvents, with fluorobenzene being proved to be the best (80%, entry 6). Next, various bases were investigated and results clearly showed that strong bases (entries 6-8) are superior to weaker ones (entries 9-11). NaH gave a high yield (73%) as that of LiOH (entry 8). Yield fell when the quantity of LiOH was reduced to 1.6 or increased to 3.0 equivalents (entries 12-13). Reaction temperature also showed influence on yields. Reactions that were run at 0 °C and 10 °C led to lower yields of 59 % and 60%, respectively (entries 14-15), but higher vield of 81% was obtained at 10 °C in the presence of NaH (entry 16).

Using the optimized reaction conditions (entries 6 and 16, Table 1), we then examined the scope of phenols for the synthesis of various aryl difluoromethyl ethers. Both electrondeficient (**2f-q**) and electron-rich phenols (**2a-e**, **2s-t**) were readily difluoromethylated to give good to high yields. A broad range of functional groups, including nitro (4g-i), sulfone (4j), ester (4l-n), aldehyde (4o-q), alkene (4s), alcohol (4t), amine (4y), amide (4r, 4x), benzoyl (4k), and methoxy



^a Reaction conditions: **2** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), base (0.44 mmol, 2.2 equiv), **1** (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv), fluorobenzene (2.0 mL), rt, over night. Yields are for the isolated products **4**. ^b LiOH was used as a base. ^c NaH was used as a base, and the reaction proceed at 10 °C. ^d5.0 mmol scale with LiOH as a base. Me = methyl, MeO = methoxy, ^tBu = *tert*-butyl, Ac = Acetyl, Bz = benzoyl, Ph = phenyl.

(4e) were compatible with this reaction. The reaction also tolerated chloro, bromo and iodo substitutions at the *para*-positions (4f, 4i) or *ortho*-positions (4m, 4n), which allows further transformation through cross-coupling reactions. Importantly, this protocol exhibited chemoselectivity in a phenol bearing aliphatic alcohol (4t, 52%), alkene (4s, 62%), implying that phenoxides are more reactive than aliphatic alkoxides and alkenes in capturing difluorocarbene. Naphthols **2u-w** also took part in difluoromethylation reaction, leading to moderate to good yields. Remarkably, enol **2z** also proved to

be a suitable substrate, offering the desired product 4z in 74% yield. Heterocyclic compounds such as quinolinone, indole and coumarin were also well tolerated in this reaction, providing the desired products 4x, 4y and 4z in 43%, 56% and 74% yields, respectively.

The potential usage of this protocol was further demonstrated in the difluoromethylation of thiophenols 3. As shown in Scheme 1, under similar reaction conditions, S-(difluoromethyl)sulfonium salt 1 exhibited better reactivity with thiophenols than phenols, providing aryl difluoromethyl thioethers in high to excellent yields in all cases, regardless of whether the thiophenols containing electron-donating (4a, 4f) or electron-withdrawing groups (4b, 4d, 4e). Importantly, many heterocycles of pharmaceutical interest, including pyridine, pyrimidine, thiophene, imidazole, oxazole and thiazole were readily amenable under the mild reaction conditions. installing medicinally useful difluoromethythiolated heterocy-

Scheme 2. Study of chemoselectivity in difluoromethylation of (thio)phenols and alcohols^{*a*}



Scheme 3. Synthesis of the drug candidate GRN-529



Reaction conditions: a) **2n** (1.39 g, 5 mmol), LiOH (11.0 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) and fluorobenzene (20.0 mL), **1** (6.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), rt, overnight. b) 2-Ethynylpyridine (1.01 equiv.), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (1.0 mol%), CuI (2.0 mol%), NH₄OH (8 equiv.), NMP, 40 °C, 6 h. c) NaOH (1.2 equiv.), H₂O/CH₃OH, 40-45 °C, 3hr. d) AcOH, H₂O. d) CDI (1.1 equiv), DIPEA (3.1 equiv.), NMP, 6,7-Dihydro-5H-pyrrolo[3,4-b]pyridine dihydrochloride (1.05 equiv.).

clic compounds (5g-l).

Subsequently, the chemoselectivity of this protocol as demonstrated in 4t was studied further in order to give an insight into chemoselectivity. Thus, the difluoromethylation of 2t was performed under the current condition, as well as under that reported previously by us,^{17b} leading to different results. These results indicate that phenoxides (ArO -) are more reactive than aliphatic alkoxides (RO-) (Scheme 2a) and aliphatic alcohols (ROH) (Scheme 2b). Furthermore, aliphatic alcohols (ROH) are more reactive toward difluorocarbene than phenols (ArOH) (Scheme 2c). In addition, consistent with results shown in Scheme 1, thiophenoxide (ArS-) can more efficiently capture diffuorocarbene than phenoxide (ArO -)(Scheme 2d) when they coexisted in a reaction mixture. We systematically investigated the reactivities of various O, Snucleophiles toward difluorocarbene (see Supporting Information). Experimental results clearly suggest that the order of reactivities of O, S-nucleophiles is ArS -> RS, ArO -> ROH > RO-, ArSH, ArOH, RSH.

To demonstrate the usefulness of this difluoromethylation protocol, the synthesis of the drug candidate GRN-529 was carried out (Scheme 3). As can be seen, difluoromethylation of the easily available phenol 2n generated the key intermediate 4n in an isolated yield of 69%, which underwent stepwise cross-coupling, hydrolysis (saponification) and amide formation to produce GRN-529 in an overall yield of 53.5%.

According to the mechanism proposed previously, difluoromethylation of phenols proceeds via reaction of phenoxides with *in situ* generated difluorocarbene. In order to gain more information and illustrate the plausible reaction pathway, some control experiments were carried out. Thus, the deuterated sulfonium salt **[D]-1** gave the deuterated product **[D]-3a** in a yield of only 11%, while **3a** was generated in 59% yield (Scheme 4a), suggesting that the reaction proceeds via a difluorocarbene process. Consistent with this phenomenon, addition of tetramethylethylene to the reaction expectedly generated 3,3- difluoro-1,1,2,2-tetramethylcyclopropane **4** in 57% yield (Scheme 4b), which provides a solid evidence that difluorocarbene was generated during this process. Therefore,

on the basis of the experimental data mentioned above and literatures reported previously by other groups, we propose the





reaction mechanism in Scheme 4c. Thus, (thio)phenols are treated with base to deliver (thio)phenoxide **A**, which reacts with difluorocarbene generated *in situ* from reagent **1a** to give difluoromethylated anion **B**. Subsequent protonation yields the desired products.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed a facile access to difluoromethyl aryl (thio)ethers from (thio)phenols with an *S*-(difluoromethyl)sulfonium salt 1 under mild reaction conditions. In this way, (thio)phenols bearing a variety of functional groups furnished desired products in good to excellent yields. The mechanistic study suggests that difluoromethylation occurs via a difluorocarbene process. A systematic study reveals that the reactivity and chemoselectivity of various *O*, *S*-nucleophiles toward difluorocarbene is ArS- > RS-, ArO- > ROH > RO-, ArSH, ArOH, RSH.

EXPERIMENT SECTION

General Experimental Information: ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker Ascend[™] 400MHz (400 MHz) spectrometer, or a Bruker Ascend[™] 500MHz (500 MHz) spectrometer at ambient temperature unless otherwise indicated. Data were reported as follows: chemical shifts in ppm from tetramethylsilane as an internal standard in CDCl₃, integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, dd = doublet-doublet, m = multiplet, br = broad), coupling constants (Hz), and assignment. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker Ascend[™] 500MHz (126 MHz) spectrometer or a Bruker Ascend[™] 400MHz (101 MHz) spectrometer at ambient temperature and were proton decoupled. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane on the scale with the solvent resonance employed as the internal standard.

¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AscendTM
400MHz (376 MHz) spectrometer at ambient temperature.
Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from CFCl₃ as the internal standard. ESI-MS analyses were performed in positive

ionization mode on an Agilent 1260-Infinity LC/MSD or a Q-Exactive high resolution mass spectrometer. All solvents and reagents were dried and purified by the usual techniques prior to use. Commercially available reagents were used as received. Reactions were monitored by TLC (detection with UV light). Flash chromatography: silica gel (300-400 mesh).

Difluoromethylation of Phenols 2 and Thiophenols 3 with Electrophilic Difluoromethylating Reagent 1

General Procedure A: phenols 2 or thiophenols 3 (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), LiOH (0.44 mmol, 2.2 equiv) and fluorobenzene (2.0 mL) were added into a flame-dried Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Then 1 (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added into the mixtures in one portion directly, and the reaction was stirred under argon atmosphere of argon at room temperature overnight. After filtering through celite and removing the solvent in vacuum, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to obtain the pure products.

General Procedure B: phenols **2** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NaH (0.44 mmol, 2.2 equiv) and fluorobenzene (2.0 mL) were added into a flame-dried Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere and stirred at 10 oC for 30 min. Then **1** (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added into the mixtures in one portion directly, and the reaction was stirred under an atmosphere of argon at 10 oC overnight. After filtering through celite and removing the solvent in vacuum, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to obtain the pure products.

4-(difluoromethoxy)-1,1'-biphenyl (4a)^{18a}. Following general procedure **B**, 4a was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (35.8 mg, 81%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.60 (dd, J = 11.5, 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (t, J = 73.9 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.6 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 140.1, 138.6, 128.9, 128.5, 127.5, 127.0, 119.8, 116.0 (t, J = 259.8 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.2 (d, J = 74.2 Hz).

l-(*tert-butyl*)-4-(*difluoromethoxy*)*benzene* (4b)^{18a}. Following general procedure **B**, 4b was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (30.2 mg, 75%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.43 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.11 – 7.05 (m, 2H), 6.51 (t, J = 74.3 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.9 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 148.4, 126.7, 119.1, 116.1 (t, J = 258.9 Hz), 34.4, 31.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -79.0 (d, J = 75.7 Hz).

1-butyl-4-(difluoromethoxy)benzene (4c). Following general procedure **B**, **4c** was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (34.3 mg, 85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.20 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (t, J = 74.4 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (t, J = 7.7Hz, 2H), 1.61 (dd, J = 14.9, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (dq, J = 14.3, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.2, 140.2, 129.6, 119.4, 116.2 (t, J = 258.9 Hz), 34.9, 33.7, 22.3, 13.9. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -80.4 (d, J = 74.4 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M-H)⁻ Calcd for C₁₁H₁₃F₂O: 199.0940. Found: 199.0931.

1-(difluoromethoxy)-4-phenoxybenzene $(4d)^{18a}$. Following general procedure **B**, **4d** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (27.0 mg, 57%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.17 – 7.11 (m, 3H), 7.08 – 7.00 (m, 4H), 6.50 (t, *J* =

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

55

56

57

58 59

60

74.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.2, 154.7, 146.5 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 129.9, 123.5, 121.4, 117.0, 118.8, 116.03 (t, *J* = 260.2 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -80.7 (d, *J* = 74.0 Hz).

2-(difluoromethoxy)-1,3-dimethoxybenzene (4e). Following general procedure **B**, 4e was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (17.5 mg, 43%), m.p. 65.0-66.8 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.15 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.57 (t, J = 76.4 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 6H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.2, 129.4 (t, J = 3.5 Hz), 126.4, 116.9 (t, J = 259.5 Hz), 105.2, 56.3. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -81.6 (d, J= 76.5 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₉H₁₁F₂O₃: 205.0671. Found: 205.0673.

1-bromo-4-(difluoromethoxy)benzene (4f)^{18a}. Following general procedure **B**, 4f was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (23.2 mg, 52%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.52 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (t, J = 73.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150. 0 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 132.8, 121.5, 118.5, 115.6 (t, J = 261.2 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.2 (d, J = 73.6 Hz).

l-(*difluoromethoxy*)-4-*nitrobenzene* (4g) ^{18a}. Following general procedure **A**, 4g was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (25.0 mg, 66%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.29 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.66 (t, J = 72.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.5 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 144.8, 125.8, 119.3, 115.0 (t, J = 263.7 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -82.6 (d, J = 72.2 Hz).

4-(difluoromethoxy)-1-methyl-2-nitrobenzene (4h). Following general procedure A, 4h was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (28.1 mg, 69%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.79 (d, J = 2.5Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (t, J = 72.6 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.3, 148.9 (t, J = 3.1 Hz), 133.9, 130.8, 124.7, 116.2, 115.3 (t, J = 263.3 Hz), 19.9. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -81.9 (d, J = 72.4 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₈H₈F₂NO₃: 204.0467. Found: 204.0468.

l-chloro-4-(difluoromethoxy)-2-nitrobenzene (4i)^{18b}. Following general procedure **A**, 4I was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (32.3 mg, 72%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.71 (d, J = 2.8Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (t, J = 71.9 Hz, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.1 (t, J = 3.0 Hz), 148.1, 133.0, 124.9, 123.8, 117.4, 115.0 (t, J = 265.3 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -82.4 (d, J = 72.2 Hz).

1-(difluoromethoxy)-4-(methylsulfonyl)benzene (**4i**). 46 Following general procedure A, 4j was purified by silica gel 47 chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (20.0 mg, 48 45%), m.p. 81.8-83.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) 49 δ 7.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (t, J = 50 72.5 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) 51 δ 154.9 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 137.2, 129.7, 119.7, 115.1 (t, J = 263.0 52 Hz), 44.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -82.3 (d, J =53 72.3 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)+ Calcd for 54 C₈H₉F₂O₃S: 223.0235. Found: 223.0237.

(4-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl)(phenyl)methanone (4k)^{18c}.
Following general procedure B, 4k was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (34.8 mg,

70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.66 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (t, J = 73.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 195.3, 154.3 (t, J = 2.7 Hz), 137.4, 134.4, 132.6, 132.2, 129.9, 128.4, 118.6, 115.4 (t, J = 261.2 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.7 (d, J = 73.3 Hz).

Ethyl 4-(difluoromethoxy)benzoate (41). Following general procedure **A**, **41** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (36.4 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.12 – 8.03 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 7.12 (m, 2H), 6.60 (t, *J* = 73.2 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.6, 154.6 (t, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 131.6, 127.4, 118.0 (t, *J* = 260.5 Hz), 112.8, 61.1, 14.3. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ - 81.7 (d, *J* = 72.9 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₁₀H₁₁F₂O₃: 217.0671. Found: 217.0673.

Methyl 3-bromo-4-(*difluoromethoxy*)benzoate (4m). Following general procedure **A**, 4m was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (34.3 mg, 61%), m.p. 44.3-45.1 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.31 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (t, J = 72.6 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.0, 151.5 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 135.4, 130.2, 128.5, 119.9, 115.4 (t, J = 263.9 Hz), 114.8, 52.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.9 (d, J = 72.5 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₉H₇F₂O₃Br: 280.9619, 282.9599. Found: 280.9619, 282.9599.

Methyl 4-(*difluoromethoxy*)-3-*iodobenzoate* (4*n*). Following general procedure **A**, 4**n** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (43.3 mg, 66%), m.p. 56.4-57.1 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.53 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (t, *J* = 72.5 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.8, 154.2 (t, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 141.5, 131.2, 128.6, 118.4, 115.5 (t, *J* = 263.8 Hz), 88.1, 52.5. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.8 (d, *J* = 72.4 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₉H₈F₂O₃I: 328.9481. Found: 328.9482.

4-(difluoromethoxy)benzaldehyde (40)¹⁵. Following general procedure **A**, 40 was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless crystal (20.3 mg, 59%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 10.00 (s, 1H), 7.98 – 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (t, J = 72.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.6, 155.7 (t, J = 2.7 Hz), 133.4, 131.7, 119.2, 115.2 (t, J = 262.0 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -82.0 (d, J = 72.5 Hz).

3-(difluoromethoxy)benzaldehyde (4p). Following general procedure **A**, 4p was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (15.9 mg, 46%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 10.03 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dt, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (t, J = 73.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 191.0, 151.6 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 138.0, 130.6, 127.1, 125.8, 119.4, 115.5 (t, J = 261.8 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.6 (d, J = 73.0 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₈H₇F₂O₂: 173.0409. Found: 173.0410.

5-bromo-2-(difluoromethoxy)benzaldehyde (4q). Following general procedure A, 4q was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (31.1 mg, 62%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 10.33 (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (t, J = 72.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 187.0, 151.4 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 138.2, 131.7, 129.1, 122.0, 119.4, 115.2 (t, J = 264.8 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.9 (d, J = 72.2 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₈H₆F₂O₂Br: 250.9514, 252.9493. Found: 250.9516, 252.9495.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

N-(*4*-(*difluoromethoxy*)*phenyl*)*acetamide* (*4r*)¹⁵. Following general procedure **A**, **4r** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=4/1) as a white solid (16.5 mg, 41%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (t, *J* = 74.0 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.6, 147.3 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 135.4, 121.4, 120.4, 116.0 (t, *J* = 260.1 Hz), 24.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -80.7 (d, *J* = 73.7 Hz).

(E)-1-(difluoromethoxy)-2-methoxy-4-(prop-1-en-1-

yl)benzene (4s). Following general procedure **B**, 4s was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (26.6 mg, 62%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.10 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (t, J = 75.4 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (dd, J = 15.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dq, J = 15.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 1.91 (dd, J = 6.6, 1.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.0, 138.8 (t, J = 3.1 Hz), 136.8, 130.1, 126.5, 122.3, 118.9, 116.3 (t, J = 259.4 Hz), 109.8, 55.9, 18.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.4 (d, J = 75.1 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₁₁H₁₃F₂O₂: 215.0878. Found: 215.0880.

2-(4-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl)ethan-1-ol (4t). Following general procedure **B**, 4t was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=4/1) as a colorless oil (19.6 mg, 52%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.27 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (t, J = 74.1 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.66 (br, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.8 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 135.9, 130.3, 119.8, 116.0 (t, J = 259.5 Hz), 63.5, 38.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -80.6 (d, J = 73.9 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+Na)⁺ Calcd for C₉H₁₀F₂O₂Na: 211.0541. Found: 211.0542.

1-(difluoromethoxy)naphthalene (4*u*)^{18a}. Following general procedure **A**, 4*u* was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (25.3 mg, 65%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.27 – 8.18 (m, 1H), 7.95 – 7.86 (m, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.63 – 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (t, *J* = 74.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.4 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 134.7, 127.8, 127.0, 126.6, 126.5, 125.4, 125.3, 121.6, 116.6 (t, *J* = 258.9 Hz), 113.7. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -79.9 (d, *J* = 74.1 Hz).

2-(difluoromethoxy)naphthalene (4v)^{18a}. Following general procedure **A**, 4v was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (27.6 mg, 71%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.90 – 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.83 (d, J =8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58 – 7.48 (m, 3H), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (t, J = 74.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.0 (t, J = 2.3 Hz), 133.8, 131.1, 130.1, 130.1, 127.8, 127.8, 127.5, 127.5, 127.0, 126.9, 125.7, 125.7, 119.7, 116.1 (t, J = 259.3 Hz), 115.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -80.6(d, J = 73.9 Hz).

*1-bromo-2-(difluoromethoxy)naphthalene (4w)*¹⁵. Following general procedure **B**, **4w** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (24.6 mg, 45%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.32 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.69 – 7.64 (m, 1H), 7.59 –

7.54 (m, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (t, J = 73.7 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.0 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 132.8, 132.2, 129.2, 128.2, 128.2, 127.2, 126.6, 120.6, 116.2 (t, J = 262.6 Hz), 114.7. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -80.7 (d, J = 73.7 Hz).

7-(difluoromethoxy)-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (4x). Following general procedure **A**, 4x was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (18.4 mg, 43%), m.p. 137.4-138.8 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform*d*) δ 9.25 (br, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6. 66 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (t, J = 73.9 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.1, 150.5 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 138.6, 129.0, 120.8, 115.9 (t, J = 260.1 Hz), 113.7, 107.3, 30.6, 24.7. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -80.8 (d, J = 73.7 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀F₂NO₂: 214.0674 Found: 214.0675.

4-(difluoromethoxy)-1H-indole (4y). Following general procedure **A**, 4y was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=10/1) as a colorless oil (20.5 mg, 56%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (t, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (t, *J* = 74.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.7 (t, *J* = 2.7 Hz), 137.7, 124.4, 122.4, 120.7, 116.7 (t, *J* = 258.2 Hz), 109.0, 108.8, 99.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ - 79.6 (d, *J* = 74.8 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₉H₈F₂NO: 184.0568. Found: 184.0570.

4-(difluoromethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one $(4z)^{14b}$. Following general procedure **B**, 4z was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (31.4 mg, 74%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.82 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67 – 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (t, J = 71.2 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (s, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.0, 159.0, 153.5, 133.4, 124.53, 123.0, 117.0, 114.5 (t, J = 264.6 Hz), 114.0, 96.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -85.0 (d, J = 71.1 Hz).

(difluoromethyl)(3-methoxyphenyl)sulfane (5a)^{14b}. Following general procedure **A**, 5a was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (32.7 mg, 86%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.33 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (dt, *J* = 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 2.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (t, *J* = 57.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.9, 130.2, 127.3, 127.2 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 121.2 (t, *J* = 275.1 Hz), 120.2, 115.8, 55.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -91.2 (d, *J* = 57.2 Hz).

(4-bromophenyl)(difluoromethyl)sulfane (5b)^{18a}. Following general procedure **A**, **5b** was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (47.5 mg, 99%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.58 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.49 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 6.83 (t, *J* = 56.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.9, 132.6, 124.9 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 124.8, 120.2 (t, *J* = 275.9 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -91.6 (d, *J* = 56.6 Hz).

(*difluoromethyl*)(*naphthalen-2-yl*)*sulfane* (*5c*)^{*19a*}. Following general procedure **A**, **5c** was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (37.8 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.16 (s, 1H), 7.89 (dt, *J* = 7.4, 3.7 Hz, 3H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 6.94 (t, *J* = 56.9 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.5, 133.5, 133.4, 131.4, 129.1, 128.0, 127.8,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

127.5, 126.9, 123.3 (t, J = 3.0 Hz), 121.1 (t, J = 275.3 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -91.1 (d, J = 56.2 Hz).

(difluoromethyl)(4-nitrophenyl)sulfane $(5d)^{15}$. Following general procedure **A**, **5d** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (25.1 mg, 61%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.29 – 8.22 (m, 2H), 7.78 – 7.72 (m, 2H), 6.97 (t, J = 55.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.3, 135.0 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 134.4, 124.2, 119.6 (t, J = 276.8 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -91.2 (d, J = 55.9 Hz).

(difluoromethyl)(perchlorophenyl)sulfane (5e). Following general procedure **A**, **5e** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a white solid (45.9 mg, 69%), m.p. 64.3-66.8 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 6.93 (t, J = 57.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.1, 136.9, 132.8, 126.3 (t, J = 3.8 Hz), 119.5 (t, J = 280.2Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -91.7 (d, J = 57.0Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₇H₂Cl₅F₂S: 330.8283, 332.8253, 334.8224. Found: 330.8282, 332.8253, 334.8223.

N-(4-((difluoromethyl)thio)phenyl)acetamide (5f)^{19a}. Following general procedure **A**, **5f** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=4/1) as a white solid (41.3 mg, 95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.18 (br, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (t, J = 56.1Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 169.2, 141.5, 136.6, 121.5 (t, J = 273.1 Hz), 120.1, 118.3 (t, J= 2.7 Hz), 24.5. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -92.4 (d, J= 56.2 Hz).

2-((difluoromethyl)thio)pyridine $(5g)^{18a}$. Following general procedure **A**, **5g** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (24.5 mg, 76%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.52 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (t, J = 56.3 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.21 – 7.14 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.2 (t, J = 3.6 Hz), 150.1, 137.2, 124. 4 (t, J = 2.4 Hz), 121.8, 121.3 (t, J = 270.9 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -96.2 (d, J = 56.4 Hz).

2-((difluoromethyl)thio)pyrimidine (5h). Following general procedure **A**, **5h** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (19.2 mg, 59%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.61 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (t, J = 55.9 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9 (t, J = 6.1 Hz), 157.8, 120.6 (t, J =270.2 Hz), 118.3. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -99.6 (d, J = 55.8 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₅H₅F₂N₂S: 163.0136. Found: 163.0136.

2-((difluoromethyl)thio)thiophene (5i). Following general procedure **A**, 5i was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE) as a colorless oil (30.3 mg, 91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.56 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J =3.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (dd, J = 5.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (t, J =57.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.3, 133.0, 128.3, 122.5 (t, J = 3.8 Hz), 120.4 (t, J = 277.7 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -93.5 (d, J = 57.1 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: (M+H)⁺ Calcd for C₅H₅F₂S₂: 166.9795. Found: 166.9796.

2-((difluoromethyl)thio)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (5j)^{19b} Following general procedure A, 5j was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=10/1) as a white solid (25.3 mg, 63%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.13 (brs, 1H), 7.85 (t, J = 55.3 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (brs, 2H), 7.28 – 7.21 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 143.9 (br), 140.5 (t, J = 4.6 Hz), 123.3 (br), 121.2 (t, J = 274.5 Hz), 111.9 (br). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ -92.1 (d, J = 55.2 Hz).

2-((difluoromethyl)thio)benzo[d]oxazole (5k)^{19b}. Following general procedure **A**, **5k** was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (40.0 mg, 99%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.74 (t, J = 55.7Hz, 1H), 7.73 – 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.57 – 7.49 (m, 1H), 7.40 – 7.33 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.2 (t, J = 6.2Hz), 151.7, 141.2, 125.2, 125.0, 119.8 (t, J = 276.6 Hz), 119.4, 110.4. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -93.2 (d, J = 55.8Hz).

2-((difluoromethyl)thio)benzo[d]thiazole (5l)^{19b}. Following general procedure **A**, **5**I was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/PE=30/1) as a colorless oil (42.1 mg, 97%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.03 (d, J = 8.2Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (t, J = 56.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 – 7.47 (m, 1H), 7.47 – 7.38 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 152.90, 136.0, 126.7, 125.6, 122.9, 121.2, 120.3 (t, J = 277.0 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -93.2 (d, J = 55.9 Hz).

General procedure for the synthesis of GRN-529%. 4-Difluoromethoxy-3-iodo-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (4n): Methyl 4-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoate **2n** (1.39 g, 5.0 mmol), LiOH (0.263 g, 2.2 equiv., 11.0 mmol) and fluorobenzene (20 mL) were added into a flame-dried Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Then **1** (2.484g, 1.2 equiv., 6.0 mmol) was added into the mixtures directly, and the reaction was stirred under an atmosphere of argon at room temperature overnight. After filtering through celite and removing the solvent in vacuum, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with ethyl acetate/hexane = 1:30) to obtain the pure product **4n** (1.135 g, 69%).

Methyl 4-(Difluoromethoxy)-3-(pyridin-2-ylethynyl)benzoate (7). 2-Ethynylpyridine (6) (0.288 g, 1.01 equiv, 2.791 mmol) was charged to a solution of 4n (0.906 g, 1.00 equiv, 2.763 mmol), CuI (0.011 g, 0.020 equiv, 0.055 mmol) and Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.020 g, 0.010 equiv, 0.028 mmol) in Nmethylpyrrolidinone (NMP) (20 mL). The mixture was degassed by evacuating and refilling via nitrogen bleed three times, and the mixture was heated to 40 °C. Next, ammonium hydroxide (28% solution) (1.312 g, 8 equiv) was added in one portion, The reaction was held in this range for 6 h. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, and Water (150 g, 150 mL) was added over a period of 45 min, and the suspension was cooled to 5 °C. After 1 h at this temperature, the solids were filtered and washed consecutively with water (60 mL), Finally, the crystals (0.807 g, 96.4% yield) were dried under vacuum. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 8.62 (ddd, J = 4.9, 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (td, J = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 – 7.23 (m, 2H), 6.73 (t, J = 72.8 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 154.7 (t, J = 2.7 Hz), 150.2, 142.7, 136.2, 135.7, 131.7, 127.5, 127.3, 123.3, 119.0, 115.6 (t, J = 263.0 Hz), 115.4, 94.2, 82.6, 52.4. 19F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ -81.9 (d, *J* = 72.8 Hz).

4-(Difluoromethoxy)-3-(pyridin-2-ylethynyl)benzoic Acid (8). To a mixture of 7 (0.766 g, 1.00 equiv, 2.53 mmol) in Water (10 mL) and methanol (10 mL) at 40–45 °C was added a solution of 50% NaOH (0.122 g, 3.04 mmol, 1.2 equiv), keeping the temperature in the range of 40–45 °C approximately 3 h. The reaction were diluted with 30 mL of water and warmed to 45 °C. A solution of HOAc (1.0 mL) in water (10 mL) was slowly added over a period of 1 h. After addition of the acetic acid solution, the mixture was cooled to 20 °C and held for one hour, and the solid was collected and washed with water (50 mL) and dried under vacuum provide **8** as a white powder (0.700 g, 95.8% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 13.36 (br, 1H), 8.65 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (t, J = 7.7Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 73.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 166.2, 154.9 (t, J = 3.2 Hz), 150.7, 142.3, 137.4, 135.2, 132.6, 128.2, 128.1, 124.4, 118.3, 116.6 (t, J = 260 Hz), 114.0, 94.4, 82.7. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -82.4 (d, J =72.8 Hz).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

(4-Difluoromethoxy-3-pyridin-2-ylethynyl-phenyl)- (5,7dihydro-pyrrolo[3,4-b]pyridin-6-yl)-methanone (GRN-529). Into a flame-dried Schlenk tube was charged **8** (289 mg, 1.00 equiv, 1.0 mmol) followed by NMP (5.0 mL) and N,Ndiisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (200 μ L, 1.2 equiv, 1.2 mmol). This reaction mixture was stored at room temperature. Into a 15 mL Schlenk tube at 25 °C was charged NMP (5.0 mL) followed by 1,1-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) (178 mg, 1.10 equiv, 1.1 mol). This mixture was stirred to dissolve. The solution of (**8**) was charged at room temperature to the Schlenk tube over a period of 30 min and stirred at room temperature for another 2 h to generate the activated intermediate.

Into a 50 mL flame-dried Schlenk tube was charged NMP (5.0 mL) followed by 6,7-dihydro-5H-pyrrolo[3,4-b]pyridine dihydrobromide (9) (203 mg, 1.05 equiv, 1.05 mol). To this was charged DIPEA (302 µL, 1.83 equiv, 1.83 mmol) over a period of 25 min and keeping the temperature below 35 °C. The contents from the 15 mL Schlenk tube were transferred at ambient temperature to the 50 mL Schlenk tube over a period of 30 min. The reaction was held at ambient temperature for a minimum of 4 h. Once the reaction was deemed complete, water (100 mL) was added over a period of 2 h to the mixture at 30 °C. If needed, an additional charge of water (50 mL) may be added to aid in crystallization if crystals are not observed after 1h. The mixture was held at 25 °C overnight and filtered. The cake was washed with water (50 mL) and allowed to dry on the filter overnight. The solids were weighed to provide GRN-529 as a white powder (328 mg, 83.7% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , Rotomeric mixture) δ 8.64 (d, J = 4.8Hz, 1H), 8.47 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.89 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.85 - 7.81 (m, 1H), 7.75 (dd, J = 27.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 72.3 Hz, 1H), 7.47 -7.40 (m, 2H), 7.35 - 7.30 (m, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 4.84 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 167.8, 157.8, 152.8, 150.7, 149.3, 142.3, 137.4, 133.8, 133.2, 131.7, 131.1, 130.5, 128.1, 124.4, 123.0, 119.0, 116.7 (t, J = 262 Hz), 114.2, 94.4, 83.1, 54.81, 52.8. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ -82.7 (d, J = 73.0 Hz).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Detailed experimental procedures, characterization data, $^1\mathrm{H},\,^{13}\mathrm{C},\,^{19}\mathrm{F}$ NMR spectra, and HRMS (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: <u>gkliu@szu.edu.cn</u>.

ORCID

Guo-Kai Liu: 0000-0001-7617-4267 Henry N. C. Wong: 0000-0002-3763-3085 Author Contributions

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shenzhen (JCYJ20170818143001461 and KQJSCX20180328095508144). We thank the Instrumental Analysis Center of Shenzhen University (Xili Campus) for analytical work.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Smart, B. E. Introduction: fluorine chemistry. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1555-1556. (b) Chauret, N.; Guay, D.; Li, C.; Day, S.; Silva, J.; Blouin, M.; Ducharme, Y.; Yergey, J. A.; Nicoll-Griffith, D. A. Improving metabolic stability of phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitors containing a substituted catechol: prevention of reactive intermediate formation and covalent binding. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2002, 12, 2149-2152. (c) Jeschke, P.; Baston, E.; Leroux, F. R. α-Fluorinated ethers as "Exotic" entity in medicinal chemistry. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2007, 7, 1027-1034, and references therein. (d) McKerrecher, D.; Pike, K. G.; Waring, M. J. Heteroaryl benzamide derivatives for use as GLK activators in the treatment of diabetes. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2007007042 A1, 2007. (e) Hagmann, W. K. The many roles for fluorine in medicinal chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359-4369. (f) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Fluorine in pharmaceutical industry: fluorine-containing drugs introduced to the market in the last decade (2001-2011). Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432-2506. (g) Bassetto, M.; Ferla, S.; Pertusati, F. Polyfluorinated groups in medicinal chemistry. Future Med. Chem. 2015, 7, 527.

(2) (a) Goure, W. F.; Leschinsky, K. L.; Wratten, S. J.; Chupp, J. P. Synthesis and herbicidal activity of N-substituted 2,6bis(polyfluoromethyl)dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1991**, *39*, 981-986. (b) Pérez, R. A.; Sánchez-Brunete, C.; Miguel, E.; Tadeo, J. L. Analytical methods for the determination in soil of herbicides used in forestry by GC-NPD and GC/MS. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*, 1864-1869.

(3) (a) Kirsch, P.; Bremer, M. Nematic liquid crystals for active matrix displays: molecular design and synthesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4216-4239. (b) Tasaka, T.; Takenaka, S.; Kabu, K.; Morita, Y.; Okamoto, H. Smectic phase exhibited by dissymmetric liquid crystals: effect of a terminal fluoromethyl group on mesomorphic properties. *Ferroelectronics* **2002**, *276*, 83-92. (c) *New Fluorinated Carbons: Fundamentals and Applications* (Eds.: O. V. Boltalina, T. Nakajima), Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2016**.

(4) (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Schweizer, S.; Petasis, N. A.; Olah, G. A. Stereoselective synthesis of anti- α -(Difluoromethyl)- β amino alcohols by boronic acid based three-component condensation. stereoselective preparation of (2S,3R)-difluorothreonine. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 3718-3723. (b) Chowdhury, M. A.; Abdellatif, K. R. A.; Dong, Y.; Das, D.; Suresh, M. R.; Knaus, E. E. Synthesis of celecoxib analogues possessing a N-difluoromethyl-1,2-dihydropyrid-2-one 5-lipoxygenase pharmacophore: biological evaluation as dual inhibitors of cyclooxygenases and 5-lipoxygenase with antiinflammatory activity. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 1525-1529. (c) Meanwell, N. A. Synopsis of some recent tactical application of bioisosteres in drug design. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 2529-2591, and references therein. (d) Ojima, I. *Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology*, Blackwell, Oxford, 2009.

(5) (a) Erickson, J. A.; McLoughlin, J. I. Hydrogen bond donor properties of the difluoromethyl group. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 1626-1631. (b) Zafrani, Y.; Yeffet, D.; Sod-Moriah, G.; Berliner, A.; Amir,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

60

D.; Marciano, D.; Gershonov, E.; Saphier. S. Difluoromethyl Bioisostere: Examining the "Lipophilic Hydrogen Bond Donor" Concept. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 797-804.

(6) (a) Marin, L.; Colombo, P.; Bebawy, M.; Young, P. M.; Traini, D. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: patho-physiology, current methods of treatment and the potential for simvastatin in disease management. Expert Opin. Drug Delivery 2011, 8(9), 1205-1220. (b) Cazzola, M.; Picciolo, S.; Matera, M. G. Roflumilast in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: evidence from large trials. Expert Opin. Pharmacother. 2010, 11, 441-449.

(7) (a) Simon, W. A.; Büdingen, C.; Fahr, S.; Kinder, B.; Koske, M. The H⁺,K⁺-ATPase inhibitor pantoprazole (BY1023/SK&F96022) interacts less with cytochrome P450 than omeprazole and 10 lansoprazole. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1991, 42(2), 347-355. (b) Kohl, 11 B.; Sturm, E.; Rainer, G. Fluoroalkoxy substituted benzimidazoles 12 useful as gastric acid secretion inhibitors. United States Patent, 13 us4758579.

14 (8) (a) Rolston, K. V.I.; Frisbee-Hume, S.; LeBlanc, B. M.; Streeter, H.: Ho. D. H. Antimicrobial activity of a novel des-fluoro (6) 15 quinolone, garenoxacin (BMS-284756), compared to other 16 quinolones, against clinical isolates from cancer patients. Diagn 17 Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002, 44(2), 187-194. (b) Gordon, K. A.; 18 Pfaller, M. A.; Jones, R. N. BMS284756 (formerly T-3811, a desfluoroquinolone) potency and spectrum tested against over 10000 19 bacterial bloodstream infection isolates from the sentry antimicrobial 20 surveillance programme (2000). J Antimicrob. Chemother. 2002, 21 49(5), 851-855. (c) Fung-Tomc, J. C., Minassian, B.; Kolek, B.; 22 Huczko, E.; Aleksunes, L.; Stickle, T.; Washo, T.; Gradelski, E.; 23 Valera, L.; and Bonner D. P. Antibacterial spectrum of a novel desfluoro(6) quinolone, BMS-284756. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 24 2000, 44(12), 3351-3356. (d) Gajjar, D.A.; Bello, A.; Ge, Z.; 25 Christopher, L.; and Grasela, D. M. Multiple-dose safety and 26 pharmacokinetics of oral garenoxacin in healthy subjects. Antimicrob 27 Agents Chemother. 2003, 47(7), 2256-2263.

(9) (a) Li, D. Z.; O'Neil, S. V.; Springer, D. M.; Zegarelli, B. M. 28 Bisaryl alkynylamides as negative allosteric modulators of 29 metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (MGLUR5). PCT Int. Appl.WO 30 2010124047A1. (b) Zhang, L.; Balan, G.; Barreiro, G.; Boscoe, B. P.; 31 Chenard, L. K.; Cianfrogna, J.; Claffey, M. M.; Chen, L.; Coffman, K. J.; Drozda, S. E.; Dunetz, J. R.; Fonseca, K. R.; Galatsis, P.; 32 Grimwood, S.; Lazzaro, J. T.; Mancuso, J. Y.; Miller, E. L.; Reese, 33 M. R.; Rogers, B. N.; Sakurada, I.; Skaddan, M.; Smith, D. L.; 34 Stepan, A. F.; Trapa, P.; Tuttle, J. B.; Verhoest, P. R.; Walker, D. P.; 35 Wright, A. S.; Zaleska, M. M.; Zasadny, K.; and Shaffer, C. L. Discovery and preclinical characterization of 1-methyl-3-(4-36 methylpyridin-3-yl)-6-(pyridin-2-ylmethoxy)-1H-pyrazolo-[3,4-37 b]pyrazine (PF470): a highly potent, selective, and efficacious 38 metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) negative allosteric

39 modulator. J Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 861-877. (c) Sperry, J. B.; Farr, R. 40 M.; Levent, M.; Ghosh, M.; Hoagland, S. M.; Varsolona, R. J.; and Sutherland, K. A robust process for an mGluR5 negative allosteric 41 modulator: difluoromethylation and sonogashira coupling on large 42 scale. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 1854-1860. 43

(10) (a) Wu, J.; Gu, Y.; Leng, X; and Shen, Q. Copper-Promoted 44 Sandmeyer Difluoromethylthiolation of Aryl and Heteroaryl Diazonium Salts. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7648-7652. (b) 45 Yafei Liu, Changhui Lu and Qilong Shen Wu, J.; Liu, Y.; Lu, C.; and 46 Shen, Q. Palladium-catalyzed difluoromethylthiolation of heteroaryl 47 bromides, iodides, triflates and aryl iodides. Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 3757-48 3762. (c) Li, J.; Zhu, D.; Lv, L.; and Li, C.-J. Radical 49 difluoromethylthiolation of aromatics enabled by visible light. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 5781-5786. (d) Lee, J. W.; Zheng, W.; Morales-Rivera, 50 C.A.; Liu, P.; and Ngai, M.-Y. Catalytic radical 51 difluoromethoxylation of arenes and heteroarenes. Chem. Sci. 2019, 52 10, 3217-3222. 53

(11) (a) Langlois, B. R. Improvement of the synthesis of aryl difluoromethyl ethers and thioethers by using a solid-liquid phasetransfer technique. J. Fluorine Chem. 1988, 41, 247-261. (b) Thomoson, C. S.; Dolbier, W. R. Jr. Use of fluoroform as a source of difluorocarbene in the synthesis of difluoromethoxy- and difluorothiomethoxyarenes. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8904-8908. (c) Rico, I.; Wakselman, C. Synthese de composes aromatiques comportant les groupements OCF2Br et SCF2Br I - Action du dibromodifluoronéthane sur les thiophénate et phénate de potassium. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 323-326.

(12) (a) Chen, Q.-Y.; Wu, S.-W. A simple convenient method for of difluoromethyl preparation ethers using fluorosulfonyldifluoroacetic acid as a difluorocarbene precursor. J. Fluorine Chem. 1989, 44, 433-440. (b) Zhang, L.; Zheng, J.; and Hu, 2-Chloro-2,2-difluoroacetophenone: a non-ODS-based difluorocarbene precursor and its use in the difluoromethylation of phenol derivatives. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9845-9848. (c) Zheng, J.; Li, Y.; Zhang, L.; Hu, J.; Meuzelaar, G. J.; Federsel, H.-J. Chlorodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone: a novel non-ozone-depleting substance-based difluorocarbene reagent for Oand Ndifluoromethylations. Chem. Commun. 2007, 5149-5151.

(13) (a) Zafrani, Y. Sod-Moriah, G. Segall, Y. Diethyl bromodifluoromethylphosphonate: a highly efficient and environmentally benign difluorocarbene precursor. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 5278-5283. (b) Sperry, J. B.; Sutherland, K. A safe and practical procedure for the difluoromethylation of methyl 4-hydroxy-3iodobenzoate. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2011, 15, 721-725. (c) Wang, F.; Huang, W.; Hu, J. Difluoromethylation of O-, S-, N-, Cnucleophiles using difluoromethyltri(n-butyl)ammonium chloride as a new difluorocarbene source. Chin. J. Chem. 2011, 29, 2717-2721.

(14) (a) Fier, P. S.; and Hartwig, J. F. Synthesis of difluoromethyl ethers with difluoromethyltriflate. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2092-2095. (b) Polley, A.; Bairy, G.; Das, P.; and Jana, R. Triple mode of alkylation with ethyl bromodifluoroacetate: N, or Odifluoromethylation, N-ethylation and S-(ethoxycarbonyl)difluoromethylation. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360,

4161-4167.

(15) Li, L.; Wang, F.; Ni, C. and Hu, J. Synthesis of gemdifluorocyclopropa(e)nes and O-, S-, N-, and P-difluoromethylated Compounds with TMSCF₂Br. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12390-12394.

(16) Deng, X.-Y.; Lin, J.-H.; Zheng, J. and Xiao, J.-C. and gem-difluorocyclopropenation Difluoromethylation with difluorocarbene generated by decarboxylation. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 8805-8808.

(17) (a) Lu, S.-L.; Li, X.; Qin, W.-B.; Liu, J.-J.; Huang, Y.-Y.; Wong, H. N. C.; Liu, G.-K. Air- and light-stable S-(difluoromethyl)sulfonium salts: C-selective electrophilic difluoromethylation of β -ketoesters and malonates. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6925-6929. (b) Liu, G.-K.; Li, X.; Qin, W.-B.; Peng, X.-S.; Wong, H. N. C.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, X. Facile difluoromethylation of aliphatic alcohols with an S-(difluoro-methyl)sulfonium salt: reaction, scope and mechanistic study. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 7446-7449.

(18) (a) Yang, J.; Jiang, M.; Jin, Y.; Yang, H.; Fu, H. Visible-light photoredox difluoromethylation of phenols and thiophenols with commercially available difluorobromoacetic acid. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2758-2761. (b) Thomoson, C. S.; Wang, L.; Dolbier, Jr., W. R. Use of fluoroform as a source of difluorocarbene in the synthesis of N-CF₂H heterocycles and difluoromethoxypyridines. J. Fluorine Chem. 2014, 168, 34-39. (c) Khotavivattana, T.; Verhoog, S.; Tredwell, M.; Pfeifer, L.; Calderwood, S.; Wheelhouse, K.; Collier, T. L.; Gouverneur, V. $^{18}\mbox{F-labeling}$ of aryl-SCF3, -OCF3 and -OCHF2 with $[^{18}\mbox{F}]$ fluoride. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9991-9995.

(19) (a) Lin, Y.; Yi, W.; Shen, W.; Lu, G. A route to α -fluoroalkyl Sulfides from α-fluorodiaroylmethanes. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 592-595. (b) Yu, J.; Lin, J.-H.; Xiao, J.-C. Reaction of thiocarbonyl fluoride generated from difluorocarbene with amines. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 16669-16673.