Accepted Manuscript

Synthesis, *in-vitro* α -glucosidase inhibition, antioxidant, *in-vivo antidiabetic* and molecular docking studies of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione and thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives

Fida Hussain, Zeeshan Khan, Muhammad Saeed Jan, Sajjad Ahmad, Ashfaq Ahmad, Umer Rashid, Farhat Ullah, Muhammad Ayaz, Abdul Sadiq

PII: DOI: Article Number: Reference:	S0045-2068(19)30760-6 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103128 103128 YBIOO 103128
To appear in:	Bioorganic Chemistry
Received Date:	10 May 2019
Revised Date:	4 July 2019
Accepted Date:	17 July 2019



Please cite this article as: F. Hussain, Z. Khan, M. Saeed Jan, S. Ahmad, A. Ahmad, U. Rashid, F. Ullah, M. Ayaz, A. Sadiq, Synthesis, *in-vitro* α-glucosidase inhibition, antioxidant, *in-vivo antidiabetic* and molecular docking studies of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione and thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives, *Bioorganic Chemistry* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103128

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Synthesis, *in-vitro* α-glucosidase inhibition, antioxidant, *in-vivo antidiabetic* and molecular docking studies of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione

and thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives

Fida Hussain¹, Zeeshan Khan², Muhammad Saeed Jan¹, Sajjad Ahmad¹, Ashfaq Ahmad¹, Umer Rashid^{*2}, Farhat Ullah¹, Muhammad Ayaz¹, Abdul Sadiq^{**1}

¹Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Malakand, Chakdara, 18000 Dir (L), KP, Pakistan.

²Department of Chemistry, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, 22060 Abbottabad, Pakistan.

Corresponding author (*): Email:, <u>umerrashid@cuiatd.edu.pk</u> (Umer Rashid) Contact: Corresponding author (**): Email:, <u>sadiquom@yahoo.com</u> (Abdul Sadiq) Contact: +92 (0)301-2297 102

PCCK

Abstract

α-Glucosidase is considered as a therapeutic target for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2). In current study, we synthesized pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (succinimide) and thiazolidine-2,4dione derivatives and evaluated for their ability to inhibit α-Glucosidase. Pyrrolidine-2,5-dione derivatives (**11a-o**) showed moderate to poor α-glucosidase inhibition. Compound **110** with the IC₅₀ value of 28.3 ±0.28 µM emerged as a good inhibitor of α-glucosidase. Thiazolidine-2,4-dione and dihydropyrimidine (TZD-DHPM) hybrids (**22a-c**) showed excellent inhibitory activities. The most active compound **22a** displayed IC₅₀ value of 0.98 ±0.008 µM. Other two compounds of this series also showed activity in low micromolar range. The *in-vivo* antidiabetic study of three compounds **110 and 22a** were also determined using alloxan induced diabetes mice model. Compounds **110 and 22a** showed significant hypoglycemic effect compared to the reference drug. In-vivo acute toxicity study showed the safety of these selected compounds. *In-silico* docking studies were carried out to rationalize the *in-vitro* results. The binding modes and bioassay results of TZD-DHPM hybrids showed that interactions with important residues appeared significant for high potency.

Keywords: Pyrrolidine-2,5-dione; succinimide; cyanoacetate; thiazolidine-2,4-dione; α -Glucosidase

Introduction

Diabetes is a growing chronic metabolic disorder. It is characterized by hyperglycemia which can be detected by elevated blood glucose level. It is associated with long term dysfunction or failure of various body organs, like kidneys, eyes and heart. Generally, there are two types of diabetes; type-1 is due to deficiency of insulin production, while type-2 is due to insufficiency of insulin action or resistance [1-4]. By 2030, the frequency of diabetes is projected to be double with a 69% increase in developing countries and 20% increase between the grownups in developed countries. According to the reports, globally 300 million people will be affected with diabetes by 2025 and 90% of the affected people possess type 2 diabetes [5]. Currently, commonly used marketed oral hypoglycemic agents for type 2 are biguanides, sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, meglitinides and α -glucosidase inhibitors [6-7]. However, all these classes of the drugs are associated with some serious side or adverse effects such as increase food intake, cardiovascular mortality, gastrointestinal discomfort and weight gain *etc*. Traditional plants or their bioactive compounds are also currently used to treat hyperglycemia through various mechanism of actions [8]. These herbal remedies are often considered free from side effects.

Among other therapeutic targets, inhibition of the activities of the key hydrolyzing enzymes linked to type 2 diabetes is considered as a useful therapeutic approach [9-10]. The function of α glucosidase, an intestinal cell membrane enzyme, is to hydrolyze polysaccharides. Its competitive inhibition facilitates the control of the blood sugar level. Hence, α -glucosidase inhibitors (synthetic or natural) are new class of the drugs that can reduce the type 2 diabetes. Up till now, three α glucosidase inhibitors acarbose, miglitol and voglibose are in clinical use for the management of diabetes are obtained from natural sources [11-13]. Traditional antidiabetic therapies from medicinal plants can effectively control hyperglycemia. Majority of compounds obtained via

natural sources contain flavonoid, phenylpropanoid and terpene ring structures. However, recently published data revealed that α,β -unsaturated lactone ring with a hydroxy substituent is also considered important for α -glucosidase inhibition. Recently, a study carried out by Quan et al. discovered that Momilactones A and B are good inhibitors of α -glucosidase and α -amylase and can be further explored for antidiabetic therapy [14-15].

In diabetic condition, the electron transport chain and NADPH oxidase are activated producing the reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the body [13]. In hyperglycemia, the ROS reduce insulin level which control the gene transcription and ultimately cause apoptosis [14]. Overall, it is obvious that ROS are produced under hyperglycemic condition which further implicate various disorders [15-16]. The human immune system is constantly fighting to bring the free radicals to the controlled level. However, the immune system fails to bring it to the normal level especially under the condition of excessive production. Therefore, the use of antioxidant in combination with antidiabetic drug will greatly help the immune system to combat the hyperglycemic condition.

Nitrile (cyano) containing compounds have reported to show a substantial role in therapeutic drugs. The introduction of nitrile substituent is considered as important strategy to improve the pharmacokinetic properties, polar and π - π interactions and metabolic stability [20]. Cyanoacetate derivatives of succinimide (pyrrolidine-2,5-dione, 1) are important building-blocks for many nitrogen-containing derivatives [21-22]. For asymmetric addition of cyanoacetates, efficient catalysts are required. Thiazolidinedione (TZD, 2) is a known class of drugs for the management of a number of diseases including diabetes [23--27]. Antidiabetic drugs rosiglitazone (3) and pioglitazone (4) are the examples of thiazolidinedione containing drugs [28]. Structures of some potent TZD core containing α -glucose containing inhibitors (5-6) are shown in Figure 1 [25].

Herein, we describe the synthesis of two closely related pyrrolidine-2,5-dione and thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives. These derivatives were then evaluated for *in-vitro* α -glucosidase inhibition, in-vivo cytotoxicity and blood glucose lowering and antioxidant potential.



Figure 1: Structures of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (1), thiazolidinedione (2), rosiglitazone (3), pioglitazone (4) and thiazolidinedione containing α -glucosidase inhibitors (5-6)

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Design strategy

Our design strategy involves the syntheses of cyanoacetate derivatives of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione and thiazolidine-2,4-dione-dihydropyrimidine (TDZ-DHPM) hybrids. For the better understanding of structure activity relationship (SAR), substitution at three different positions (R, R_1 and R_2) of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione core was planned (**7**, Figure 2). For thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives, we selected dihydropyrimidine (DHPM) scaffold (**8**, Figure 2).



Figure 2: (A) Design strategy for the SAR studies of current research, (B) Generic structure of thiazolidinedione class of anti-diabetic drugs.

2.2. Chemistry

We planned to use maleimide in this study as an acceptor [29]. Interestingly, both Michael and cyclo-addition reactions are possible in the reaction of cyanoacetates and maleimides [30]. Both reactions are straightforward methods to synthesize chiral substituted pyrrolidine-2,5-dione [31-32]. Different cyanoacetate derivatives (**11a-o**, **Scheme 1**) of succinimides were prepared by adding cyanoacetates to the different *N*-substituted maleimides in the occurrence of appropriate organocatalyst, creatinine in combination with potassium hydroxide.



Scheme 1: Synthesis of cyanoacetate derivatives of succinimides (11a-o)

Thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives **22a-c** were obtained through a multistep protocol as shown in **Schemes 2** and **3**. Biginelli products **17a-c** were obtained by the reaction of 4-substituted aldehydes (**12-14**), 1,3-dimethylurea (**15**) and ethyl acetoacetate (**16**) in dimethylformamide (DMF) in the presence of trimethylsilyl chloride (TMSCI). These compounds were further reacted with bromine in chloroform to yield 6-bromo-dihydropyrimidine-2-ones (**18a-c**) (**Schemes 2**).

Aldehydes **20a-c** were synthesized by the reaction of 6-bromoderivatives (**18a-c**) and 4hydroxybenzaldehyde (**19**) in acetonitrile under basic conditions. These aldehydes undergo Knoevenagel-type condensation with thiazolidine-2,4-dione (**21**) in absolute ethanol using piperidine as base / condensing agent (**Schemes 3**). The resulting thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives (**22a-c**) were obtained in fair yields.



Scheme 2: Synthesis of dihydropyrimidines (17a-c) and their 6-bromo derivatives 18a-c.



Scheme 3: Synthesis of thizolidine2,4-dione and dihydropyrimidine hybrids (22a-c).

2.3. Inhibition of yeast α-Glucosidase

All the synthesized compounds were tested for their inhibitory potential against yeast α glucosidase. Acarbose served as a control drug in this experiment. The IC₅₀ values of compounds are tabulated in **Table 1** and **2**. Pyrrolidine-2,5-dione derivatives showed moderate activities. Apparently, phenyl substituted (R¹) and ethoxy (R²) containing compounds showed better inhibition. Structure activity relationship (SAR) studies revealed that *N*-phenyl substituted compounds (**11e**, **11j** and **11o**) showed good inhibition. Compound **11o** with the IC₅₀ of 28.3 ±0.28 μ M emerged as a promising inhibitor of α -glucosidase. Cyclohexyl containing compounds also showed good inhibition.

The activity results of derivatives of another five membered heterocyclic ring, thiazolidine-2,4dione are tabulated in **Table 2**. The three synthesized hybrids of dihydropyrimidine and thiazolidine-2,4-dione (**22a-c**) have shown excellent inhibition of α -glucosidase. Unsubstituted

phenyl ring containing DHPM ring exhibited submicromolar activity (IC₅₀ = 0.98 \pm 0.008 μ M). Other two compounds also showed activity in low micromolar range (**Table 2**).

Table	1 : α-Glucosidase	inhibitory	potential	of the s	vnthesized	compounds	(11a-0)
Table	1. u-Olucosidase	n no no ry	potential	or the s	ynuicsizeu	compounds	$(11\mathbf{a} \cdot 0)$

		R ² O	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ N \\ R^1 \\ N \\ (11a-0) \end{array} $	GRIP
Compound	R	R ¹	R ²	IC ₅₀ (μM) <u>+</u> SEM
11a	Н	Н	CH ₃	213.4 <u>+</u> 2.15
11b	CH ₃	Н	CH ₃	164.2 <u>+</u> 2.39
11c	CH ₂ CH ₃	Н	CH ₃	154.5 <u>+</u> 1.44
11d	Cyclohexyl	Н	CH ₃	77.2 <u>+</u> 1.58
11e	C_6H_5	Н	CH ₃	43.5 <u>+</u> 0.89
11f	Н	н	CH ₂ CH ₃	149.6 <u>+</u> 2.32
11g	CH ₃	Н	CH ₂ CH ₃	148.4 <u>+</u> 2.67
11h	CH ₂ CH ₃	Н	CH ₂ CH ₃	133.8 <u>+</u> 1.99
11i	Cyclohexyl	Н	CH ₂ CH ₃	58.4 <u>+</u> 1.05
11j	C ₆ H ₅	Н	CH ₂ CH ₃	51.3 <u>+</u> 1.45
11k	Н	C_6H_5	CH ₂ CH ₃	57.3 <u>+</u> 1.08
111	CH ₃	C ₆ H ₅	CH ₂ CH ₃	39.7 <u>+</u> 0.87
11m	CH ₂ CH ₃	C ₆ H ₅	CH ₂ CH ₃	33.4 <u>+</u> 0.91
11n	Cyclohexyl	C ₆ H ₅	CH ₂ CH ₃	31.9 <u>+</u> 0.19
110	C_6H_5	C_6H_5	CH ₂ CH ₃	28.3 <u>+</u> 0.28
	Acarbose (star	ndard drug)		10.6 ± 0.10

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$



Table 2: α -Glucosidase inhibitory potential of the synthesized compounds (22a-c)

2.4. Antioxidant study of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione derivatives (11a-o)

Apart from α-glucosidase inhibitory potential, all the synthesized compounds were tested for their antioxidant potential by using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 2, 2'-Azino-Bis-3-Ethylbenzothiazoline-6-Sulfonic Acid (ABTS) methods. From the pyrrolidine-2,5-dione derivatives (**11a-o**) series, only **11c** exhibited moderate activity in DPPH method. While, from TZD-DHPM hybrids (**22a-c**) series, only chloro-substituted **22c** showed moderate activity by DPPH method. The results of antioxidant assays are shown in **Table 3**.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ГS	ABT	DPPH		ABTS	DPPH	
μg/mL) 11a 147.81 65.69 11i 209.07 272.81 11b 114.22 110.43 11j 365.73 405.62 11c 92.50 149.24 11k 213.36 240.16 11d 258.62 221.61 11l 296.08 229.32	g/mL)	IC50 (µg/	IC ₅₀	Compound	IC50 (µg/mL)	IC50 (µg/mL)	Compound
11a 147.81 65.69 11i 209.07 272.81 11b 114.22 110.43 11j 365.73 405.62 11c 92.50 149.24 11k 213.36 240.16 11d 258.62 221.61 11l 296.08 229.32			(µg/mL)				
11b114.22110.4311j365.73405.6211c92.50149.2411k213.36240.1611d258.62221.6111l296.08229.32		272.81	209.07	11i	65.69	147.81	11a
11c92.50149.2411k213.36240.1611d258.62221.6111l296.08229.32		405.62	365.73	11j	110.43	114.22	11b
11d 258.62 221.61 11l 296.08 229.32		240.16	213.36	11k	149.24	92.50	11c
		229.32	296.08	111	221.61	258.62	11d
11e 256.04 71.15 11m 201.30 269.77		269.77	201.30	11m	71.15	256.04	11e
11f 535.65 746.48 11n 330.32 264.24		264.24	330.32	11n	746.48	535.65	11f
11g 351.23 176.59 11o 337.19 230.49		230.49	337.19	110	176.59	351.23	11g
11h 209.07 272.20					272.20	209.07	11h
22a >100 - 22c 89.59 -		-	89.59	22c	-	>100	22a
22b >100 - Standard 0.035 12.50 Ascorbic acid		12.50	0.035	Standard Ascorbic acid		>100	22b

 Table 3: Antioxidant assays of the synthesized pyrrolidine-2,5-dione derivatives (11a-0) and

 TZD-DHPM hybrids (22a-c).

In-vivo antidiabetic studies

Based on the *in-vitro* antidiabetic results, we selected compounds **11n**, **11o** and **22a** for the *in-vivo* antidiabetic assay. The selected compounds were initially screened for acute toxicity test. The compounds were administered orally in a dose range of 250-2000 mg/kg body weight. After 3 days, no unusual clinical sign was observed.

The results of *in-vivo* hypoglycemic study are presented in **Table 4**. All the three selected compounds (**11n**, **11o** and **22a**) revealed practical values in *in-vivo* results in comparison to the hypoglycemic control drug glibenclamide. We tested the compounds in five different doses, i.e.

500, 250, 125, 62.5 and 31.25 μ g/kg body weight. Among the three compounds, **110** and **22a** were successful in decreasing the blood glucose level better than reference drug glibenclamide.

Treatment	Conc/route	Glucose Level at varying time mmol/L					
		0 h	2 h	4 h	6 h	8 h	24 h
Group I	Oral/IP	5.23	5.26	5.27	5.30	5.31.	5.33
Normal saline							· ·
Group II	Oral/IP	23.1	23.6	23.9	24.1	24.2	24.3
Tween 80							
Group III	Oral/IP	23.4	20.3	17.1	14.2	10.6	8.7**
GB*					5		
	1 (500 µg) Oral/IP	22.1	20.3	18.6	16.7	13.3	11.5
Group IV	2(250 µg)	23.5	22.0	21.1	19.9	18.8	10.8
Compound 11n	3(125 µg)	22.8	21.8	21.1	20.7	19.8	18.4
	4(62.5 µg)	24.0	23.8	23.1	22.8	22.2	20.1
	5(31.25 µg)	23.4	23.1	22.9	22.6	22.1	21.8
Group V	1 (500 µg) Oral/IP	21.9	16.6	13.7	11.7	10.2	7.2
Compound 11o	2(250 µg)	20.5	17.4	13.7	11.4	10.2	8.1
	3(125 µg)	19.4	18.5	16.3	15.9	15.2	10.1
	4(62.5 µg)	21.5	20.7	20.1	19.3	18.5	11.2
	5(31.25 µg)	23.6	22.8	21.7	21.1	20.7	17.2
Group VI	1 (500 µg) Oral/IP	25.7	22.8	17.5	14.3	11.1	7.6
Compound 22a	2(250 µg)	25.1	23.7	20.6	18.7	13.2	10.3
	3(125 µg)	18.9	17.5	15.2	12.8	11.2	9.2
	4(62.5 µg)	20.8	19.7	17.8	15.3	12.3	8.1
	5(31.25 µg)	21.5	20.3	17.3	16.4	13.9	9.3

Table 4: Result of *in-vivo* antidiabetic results of three most potent compounds.

*Control drug Glibenclamide 500 µg/ml. ** Bold values shown are for reference drug and compounds with better results than GB

2.5. Docking studies

We performed docking simulations on our previously reported homology modelled α -glucosidase [33]. Molecular operating environment (MOE 2016.0802) software package was used for simulations. The active site of the model comprised of catalytic triad residues: Asp214, Glu276 and Asp349 (Red spheres in **Figure 1a**). The other important amino acid residues in the active site

~

are shown in yellow spheres (Figure 1). First, we docked the standard acarbose into the binding site of the homology modelled α -glucosidase. The lowest-energy binding-pose of the standard α -glucosidase inhibitor acarbose is shown in Figure 1b.



Figure 2: (a) Homology modelled α -glucosidase shown in ribbon rendering. Catalytically important residues (Asp214, Glu276 and Asp349) are shown as red spheres. While, the other active site residues are represented as yellow spheres. (b) Three-dimensional (3-D) docking pose of acarbose into the active site of homology modeled α -glucosidase.

The synthesized compounds were docked into the binding site of the homology modelled α glucosidase. All the synthesized pyrrolidine-2,5-dione derivatives showed binding affinities in the
range of -4 kcal/mol to -6 kcal/mol. The binding orientations of the active compound **110** of this
series is shown in **Figure 3a**. The 3-D interaction plot in **Figure 3b** shows that Phe157 forms π - π stacking interactions with the phenyl ring. While, Arg312 forms two hydrogen bond interactions
with carbonyl oxygen and -NH of the pyrrolidine-2,5-dione ring (**Figure 3b**).



Figure 3: a) Three-dimensional (3-D) docking pose of compound 110 into the active site of homology modeled α -glucosidase. Catalytic triad residues Asp214, Glu276 and Asp349 are shown in red spheres; (b Close-up depiction of the lowest-energy three-dimensional (3-D) docking interaction plot of 110.

The binding modes and bioassay results of DHPM-TZD hybrids (**22a-c**) show that interactions with important residues such as Phe157, Asp214, Glu276 and Arg312 appeared significant for high potency. These larger structures also fitted well in the broad cleft to enhance the binding affinities. The binding affinities of these three compounds are greater than -9 kcal/mol. The ribbon diagram and three-dimensional interaction diagram of compounds **22a** and **22b** is shown in **Figure 4a-d**. The compounds exhibited not only hydrogen bond and π - π stacking interactions, but also π -alkyl type of interactions also strengthen the ligand enzyme complex.



Figure 4: (a and c) Three-dimensional (3-D) docking pose of compound 15 into the active site of homology modeled α -glucosidase. Catalytic triad residues Asp214, Glu276 and Asp349 are shown in red spheres; (b and d) Close-up depiction of the lowest-energy three-dimensional (3-D) docking pose of 15.

3. Conclusions

The identification of some new pyrrolidine-2,5-dione and thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives is reported herein. Some compounds of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione series showed moderate inhibition. Apparently, compounds with *N*-phenyl or *C*-phenyl substitution (R and R¹ respectively) and ethoxy (R^2) showed better inhibition. While, thiazolidine-2,4-dione and dihydropyrimidine (TZD-DHPM) hybrids (**22a-c**) showed excellent inhibitory activities. Moreover, we also subjected three of the most potent compounds (**11n, 11o and 22a**) to the *in-vivo* studies. Our selected compounds

showed significant hypoglycemic effects better than the standard drug glibenclamide. In the invivo acute toxicity study, no clinical unusual signs were observed. The *in-vitro* results were rationalized by using our previously reported homology modelled α -Glucosidase. The binding modes and bioassay results of active compounds showed that interactions with important residues such as Phe157, Asp214, Glu276 and Arg312 appeared significant for high potency. The larger structures also fitted well in the broad cleft to enhance the binding affinities. In future work, we planned to use medicinal chemistry approaches to design pyrrolidine-2,5-dione conjugates at R² ester with bioactive scaffolds. The chemical derivatization of thiazolidine-2,4-dione is also planned. NAN

4. Material and methods

4.1. General

All the reagents and solvents were purchased from standard commercial vendors and were used without any further purification. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated solvents on JEOL ECX 400 NMR/100 MHz (for series 1) and Bruker spectrometer at 300 and 75 MHz respectively (for series 2) using tetramethyl silane (TMS) as internal reference. Chemical shifts are given in δ scale (ppm). The progress of all the reactions was monitored by TLC on 2.0 x 5.0 cm aluminum sheets pre-coated with silica gel 60F₂₅₄ with a layer thickness of 0.25 mm (Merck). LC-MS spectra were obtained using Agilent technologies 1200 series high performance liquid chromatography comprising of G1315 DAD (diode array detector) and ion trap LCMS G2445D SL. Final products were analyzed for their purity on Schimadzu system using C18 reversed phase column and isocratic solvent system of water/methanol/TFA (10:90:1) at room temperature.

Biologically screened compounds are > 95 % pure as determined by HPLC. Elemental analyses were conducted using LECO-932 CHNS Analyzer (LECO Corporation, USA).

4.2. Synthetic procedure for the synthesis of cyanoacetate derivatives of succinimides

To a stirred solution of cyano-acetates (2 mmol) in chloroform, different N-substituted maleimides (1 mmol), creatinine and 20 mol% KOH were added at room temperature. After the completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction was quenched by adding sufficient amount of water (15 ml). The chloroform portion was separated by using a separating funnel. The separation of the organic layer was repeated three times (each 15 ml). After separation, the organic layer was dried by low vacuum using rotary evaporator apparatus. The reaction mixture was then adsorbed at silica gel for loading into the column for purification [34]. In column chromatography n-hexane and ethyl acetate were used as solvent. The yield of the final product was calculated from the obtained pure product.

4.2.1. Methyl 2-cyano-2-(2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11a)

The reaction was completed in 19 hours and the color of the product was white with 92% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.43$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.72 (brs, 1H, NH), 4.66-4.41 (m, 2H, H_{α-β}), 3.91 (s, 3H, -OMe), 2.77 (dd, 1H, J = 5.93, 16.90 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.52 (dd, 1H, J = 9.15, 16.90 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 175.21, 173.69, 164.74, 115.90, 60.38, 51.95, 47.85, 31.25; HPLC purity = 98.5 %, $T_R = 6.2$ min. Analysis calculated for C₈H₈N₂O₄: C, 48.98; H, 4.11; N, 14.28. Found: C, 48.92; H, 4.13; N, 14.30. LC-MS found (m/z) = 197.2 [M+H⁺].

4.2.2. Methyl 2-cyano-2-(1-methyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11b)

The reaction was completed 24 hours and the color of the product was white with 92% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.48$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.63-4.37 (m, 2H, H_{\alpha-\beta}), 3.97

(s, 3H, -OMe), 3.04 (s, 3H, -NCH₃), 2.80 (dd,1H, J = 6.90, 16.50 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.44 (dd, 1H, J = 5.50, 16.50 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 175.18, 173.11, 163.41, 113.77, 61.21, 51.80, 48.28, 30.74, 25.65; HPLC purity = 97.7 %, T_R = 5.5 min. Analysis calculated for C₉H₁₀N₂O₄: C, 51.43; H, 4.80; N, 13.33. Found: C, 51.37; H, 4.81; N, 13.35; O, 30.46; LC-MS (m/z) = 211.2 [M+H⁺].

4.2.3. Methyl 2-cyano-2-(1-ethyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11c)

The reaction was completed 21 hours and the color of the product was yellowish with 92% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.42$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.62-4.38 (m, 2H, H_{α-β}), 3.97 (s, 3H, -OMe), 3.04-3.02 (m, 1H, one proton of NCH₂-), 2.87-2.84 (m,1H, one proton of NCH₂-), 2.75 (dd, 1H, J = 5.40, 17.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.44 (dd, 1H, J = 8.30, 17.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.45(t,3H, J = 4.90 Hz, -NCH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, Chloroform-D): 174.91, 173.44, 164.07, 114.30, 62.48, 54.03, 47.05, 31.61, 25.73, 16.28; HPLC purity = 98.9 %, T_R = 8.3 min. Analysis calculated for C₁₀H₁₂N₂O₄: C, 53.57; H, 5.39; N, 12.49. Found: C, 53.61; H, 5.37; N, 12.46. LC-MS (m/z) = 225.3 [M+H⁺].

4.2.4. Methyl 2-cyano-2-(1-cyclohexyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11d)

The reaction was completed 32 h and the color of the product was Yellowish with 93% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.51$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.57-4.34 (m,2H, H_{α-β}), 3.95 (s, 3H, -OMe), 3.08-2.94 (m,1H, NCH), 2.70 (dd,1H, J = 5.70, 16.80 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.50 (dd, 1H, J = 9.10, 16.80 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.98-1.84 (m, 2H, CH₂ of cyclohexyl ring), 1.79-1.74 (m, 2H, CH₂ of cyclohexyl ring), 1.63-1.34 (m, 6H, - CH₂CH₂CH₂- of cyclohexyl ring); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 175.48, 173.10, 164.27, 114.21, 62.93, 52.91, 48.12, 30.95, 27.92, 23.80, 23.61, 14.21, 7.73, 7.11; HPLC purity =

97.7 %, $T_R = 10.6$ min. Analysis calculated for $C_{14}H_{18}N_2O_4$: C, 60.42; H, 6.52; N, 10.07. Found: C, 60.31; H, 6.54; N, 10.09; LC-MS found for $C_{14}H_{18}N_2O_4$ (m/z) = 279.2 [M+H⁺].

4.2.5. Methyl 2-cyano-2-(2,5-dioxo-1-phenylpyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11e)

The reaction was completed 17 hours and the color of the product was white with 92% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.41$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.38-7.32 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.33-7.24(m, 3H, ArH), 4.57.4.39 (m, 2H, H_{α-β}), 3.97 (s, 3H, -OMe), 2.78 (dd, 1H, J = 4.70, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.50 (dd, 1H, J = 9.10, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.50 (dd, 1H, J = 9.10, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 174.83, 172.35, 164.63, 129.62, 129.56, 128.34, 127.84, 64.32, 52.73, 46.81, 31.24; HPLC purity = 95.1 %, T_R = 11.8.2 min. Analysis calculated for C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₄: C, 61.76; H, 4.44; N, 10.29; Found: C, 61.70; H, 4.46; N, 10.31. LC-MS found for C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₄ (m/z) = 273.1 [M+H⁺].

4.2.6. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11f)

The reaction was completed 25 hours and the color of the product was white with 92% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.42$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.77 (brs, 1H, -NH), 4.63-4.33 (m, 4H, 2H_{\alpha-β}, 2x OCH₂), 2.74(dd,1H, J = 5.90, 16.77 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.42 (dd, 1H, J = 4.40, 16.80 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.14(t,3H, J = 3.7 Hz, CH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, Chloroform-D): 174.88, 172.95, 164.52, 115.90, 60.38, 51.95, 47.85, 31.25; LC-MS found for C₉H₁₀N₂O₄ (m/z) = 211.2 [M+H+]. HPLC purity = 96.2 %, T_R = 5.1 min. Analysis calculated for C₉H₁₀N₂O₄: C, 51.43; H, 4.80; N, 13.33. Found: C, 51.45; H, 4.82; N, 13.31.

4.2.7. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(1-methyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11g)

The reaction was completed 29 hours and the color of the product was yellowish with 92% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.46$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.68-4.31 (m, 4H, 2H_{α-β}, 2x OCH₂), 3.06 (s, 3H, -NCH₃), 2.72(dd,1H, J = 5.56, 18.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.54(dd,1H, J = 5.30, 18.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.11(t,3H, J = 5.60 Hz, -CH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, Chloroform-D): 175.10, 173.54, 165.95, 114.31, 62.05, 56.23, 50.62, 46.96, 30.95; Analysis calculated for C₁₀H₁₂N₂O₄: C, 53.57; H, 5.39; N, 12.49. Found: C, 53.51; H, 5.41; N, 12.51.

4.2.8. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(1-ethyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11h)

The reaction was completed 13 hours and the color of the product was white with 94% isolated yield. $R_f = 0.53$ (DCM/MeOH 5:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.70-4.35 (m, 4H, 2H_{α - β}, 2x OCH₂), 3.04-3.02 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 2.98-2.92 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 2.75(dd, 1H, *J* = 8.30, 17.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.44 (dd,1H, *J* = 5.40, 17.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.44 (dd,1H, *J* = 5.40, 17.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.45(t,3H, *J* = 3.60 Hz, NCH₂CH₃), 1.23(t,3H, *J* = 3.90 Hz, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, Chloroform-D): 174.24, 172.21, 165.32, 113.28, 62.64, 57.95, 51.54, 47.32, 26.65, 17.41, 14.52; LC-MS found for C₁₁H₁₄N₂O₄ (m/z) = 239.1 [M+H+]. Analysis calculated for C₁₁H₁₄N₂O₄: *C*, 55.46; H, 5.92; N, 11.76. Found: C, 55.39; H, 5.94; N, 11.78.

4.2.9. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(1-cyclohexyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11i)

 $R_f = 0.49$ (n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 4:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, Chloroform-D): δ 4.45-4.10 (m, 4H, (4H, 2H_{\alpha-\beta}, 2x OCH₂), 3.05-2.98(m, 1H NCH-), 2.74(dd,1H, J = 5.70, 16.80 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.52 (dd, 1H, J = 9.10, 16.80 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.04-1.97 (m, 1H, from CH₂ of cyclohexyl ring), 1.96-1.79 (m, 2H, CH₂ of cyclohexyl ring), 1.76-1.40 (m, 7H, CH₂ of cyclohexyl ring), 1.38-0.94 (m, 3H, - CH₂CH₂CH₂- of

cyclohexyl ring); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 174.17, 172.43, 165.08, 113.63, 60.35, 51.26, 46.36, 31.94, 30.58, 29.69, 29.60, 29.52, 29.36, 14.13, 9.22, 8.54; HPLC purity = 97.3 %, $T_R = 14.1$ min. Analysis calculated for $C_{15}H_{20}N_2O_4$: C, 61.63; H, 6.90; N, 9.58. Found: C, 61.70; H, 6.88; N, 9.56. LC-MS found for $C_{15}H_{20}N_2O_4$ (m/z) = 293.2 [M+H⁺].

4.2.10. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(2,5-dioxo-1-phenylpyrrolidin-3-yl)acetate (11j)

R_f = 0.55 (n-hexane/ethylacetate, 4:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.38-7.31 (m,2H, ArH), 7.27-7.21 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.57-4.32 (m, 2H, $2H_{\alpha-\beta}$), 4.16 (q, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz, -COCH₂), 2.79 (dd, 1H, J = 4.70, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.50 (dd, 1H, J = 9.10, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring); 1.08 (t,3H, J = 6.7 Hz, -CH₂CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3, 175.4, 165.2, 130.2(2), 125.6, 123.4 (2), 114.7, 62.3, 45.0, 34.6, 31.8, 30.5, 28.1, 15.6, 14.2; LC-MS (m/z) = 286.1 [M+H+]. Analysis calculated for C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₄: C, 62.93; H, 4.93; N, 9.79. Found: C, 62.88; H, 4.94; N, 9.81.

4.2.11. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (11k)

 $R_f = 0.47$ (n-hexane/ethylacetate, 4:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.79 (brs, 1H, NH), 7.60-7.57 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.46-7.41 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.39-4.20 (m, 3H, one proton of CH of pyrrolidinedione ring and two OCH₂CH₃), 2.67 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.93, 16.40 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.43 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.30, 16.30 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.27 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.90 Hz, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 174.72, 173.55, 165.12, 131.05, 129.72, 129.62, 125.89, 115.62, 63.85, 54.56, 47.76, 32.56, 13.52; HPLC purity = 96.8 %, $T_R = 13.6$ min. Analysis calculated for C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₄: C, 62.93; H, 4.93; N, 9.79. Found: C, 63.00; H, 4.91; N, 9.77.

4.2.12. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(1-methyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (111)

 $R_f = 0.49$ (n-hexane/ethylacetate, 4:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.65-7.60 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51-7.44 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.40-4.22 (m, 3H, one proton of CH of pyrrolidinedione ring and two OCH₂CH₃), 3.04 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.70 (dd, 1H, J = 8.70, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.43 (dd, 1H, J = 4.30, 17.10 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.30(t,3H, J = 7.60 Hz, OEt). ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 174.72, 173.55, 165.12, 131.05, 129.72, 129.62, 125.89, 115.62, 63.85, 56.42, 50.12, 47.76, 32.56, 13.52; Analysis calculated for C₁₆H₁₆N₂O₄: C, 63.99; H, 5.37; N, 9.33; O, 21.31; Found: C, 63.94; H, 5.36; N, 9.36; O, 21.34. LCMS (m/z) = 301.2 [M+H+].

4.2.13. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(1-ethyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (11m)

R_f = 0.57 (n-hexane/ethylacetate, 4:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.60-7.54 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.53-7.43 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.42-4.19 (m, 3H, one proton of CH of pyrrolidinedione ring and two OCH₂CH₃), 3.15(q,2H J = 6.8 Hz), 2.66 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 15.90 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.38 (dd, 1H, J = 4.70, 15.90 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.32 (t, 3H, J = 6.80 Hz, NCH₃), 1.20 (t, 3H, J = 7.30 Hz, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-D): 174.72, 173.55, 165.12, 131.05, 129.72, 129.62, 125.89, 115.62, 63.85, 56.42, 50.12, 47.76, 32.56, 13.52; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 174.32 172.98 165.44 131.65 129.65129.10 126.87 114.98 63.55 56.32 47.65 32.65 14.02 13.57; LC-MS found for C17H18N2O4 (m/z) = 315.1 [M+H+]. Analysis calculated for C₁₇H₁₈N₂O₄: C, 64.96; H, 5.77; N, 8.91; O, 20.36; Found: C, 65.01; H, 5.79; N, 8.88; O, 20.32.

4.2.14. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(1-cyclohexyl-2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (11n)

R_f = 0.55 (n-hexane/ethylacetate, 6:1). ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.59-7.56 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51-7.40 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.42-4.19 (m, 3H, one proton of CH of pyrrolidinedione ring and two OCH₂CH₃), 3.12 (m, 1H, NCH), 2.72(dd, J = 8.10, 16.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring, 1H), 2.48(dd, J = 5.60, 16.20 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring, 1H), 2.08-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.99-1.92 (m, 3H, cyclohex ring), 1.79-1.35(m, 5H), 1.21-0.94 (m, 3H, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 174.55, 172.97, 164.87, 131.64, 129.98, 129.66, 127.02, 114.94, 62.56, 52.23, 46.66, 30.88, 22.54, 20.55, 16.55, 12.88, 9.88; Analysis calculated for C₂₁H₂₄N₂O₄: C, 68.46; H, 6.57; N, 7.60; O, 17.37; Found: C, 68.53; H, 6.55; N, 7.58; O, 17.34.

4.2.15. Ethyl 2-cyano-2-(2,5-dioxo-1-phenylpyrrolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (110)

R_f = 0.59 (n-hexane/ethylacetate, 6:1). ¹H-NMR (400Mz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70-7.61 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.47-7.34 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.35-7.30 (m, 2H, ArH), 4.46-4.22 (m, 3H, one proton of CH of pyrrolidinedione ring and two OCH₂CH₃), 4.82 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz, 16.40 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 2.52(dd,1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 16.40 Hz, one proton of CH₂ of pyrrolidinedione ring), 1.31(t,3H, J = 6.9 Hz, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 174.63, 173.59, 165.41, 129.17, 129.04, 128.90, 128.70, 128.68, 128.65, 128.00, 127.91, 115.07, 64.58, 54.99, 46.63, 31.12, 13.49; Analysis calculated for C₂₁H₁₈N₂O₄: C, 69.60; H, 5.01; N, 7.73. Found: C, 69.66; H, 5.00; N, 7.71. LC-MS found for C₂₁H₁₈N₂O₄ (m/z) = 363.1 [M+H⁺].

4.3. General method for the synthesis of N-substituted dihydropyrimidine-2ones (17a-c)

To a solution of 4-substituted aldehydes (20 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (20 mmol) and 1,3dimethyl urea (22 mmol) in DMF, trimethylsilyl chloride was added drop-wise. The solution was heated for 24 h at 70-80 °C. At complete TLC conversion, the solution was transferred into ice cold water and stir for further 20-25 min. The resulting precipitates were washed with EtOH. Finally, the crude product was recrystallized from ethyl acetate-n-hexane.

4.3.1. Ethyl 1,3,6-trimethyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate

(17a)

Yield = 79 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.10 (t,1H, ArH), 6.68-6.61 (m,4H, ArH), 5.04 (s, 1H, CH), 4.00(q, J = 7.2 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H, Me), 3.05 (s, 3H, Me), 2.24 (s, 3H, Me), 1.12 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, -CH₂CH₃, 3H).

4.3.2. Ethyl 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,6-trimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (17b)

Yield = 77.0 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 6.98 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, ArH, 2H), 5.03(s, CH, 1H), 4.08 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.23 (s, 3H, Me), 3.04 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.25 (s, 3H, Me), 1.13(t, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz, -CH₂CH₃).

4.3.3. Ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3,6-trimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (17c)

Yield = 83.0 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.24 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.01(d, J = 8.1 Hz, ArH, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H, CH), 4.06 (q, 2H, J = 5.6Hz, -COCH₂), 3.24 (s, 3H, Me), 3.06 (s, 3H, Me), 2.26 (s, 3H, Me), 1.11 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, -CH₂CH₃, 3H).

4.4. General method for the synthesis 6-bromo-dihydropyrimidine-2-one

derivatives (18a-c)

For the synthesis of target derivatives (**18a-c**), we first synthesized 6-bromoderivatives according to our previously reported method [35].

To a suspension of N-substituted DHPMs (**17a-c**) in chloroform, bromine was added dropwise and then stirred with TLC monitoring time to time. When the reaction completed, the solvent was evaporated and was used without purification.

4.5. General method for the synthesis dihydropyrimidine-2-one derivatives

(20a-c)

A solution of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (10 mmol), 6-bromo DHPMs (10 mmol), K_2CO_3 and few crystals of KI were refluxed in acetonitrile for 4-6 h. The reaction completed and then the solvent was evaporated. The product was suspended in water and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 20 mL). Finally, the product was recyrstlized from DMF/EtOH.

4.5.1. Ethyl 6-((4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (20a)

Yield = 71.3 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 9.86(s, 1H, -CHO), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.01-6.87 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.12 (s, 1H, CH), 4.90 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.98 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H, Me), 3.04 (s, 3H, Me), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, -CH₂CH₃, 3H).

4.5.2. Ethyl 6-((4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (20b)

Yield = 68.7 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 9.88 (s, 1H, -CHO), 7.91(d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.15(d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.80(d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, ArH, 2H), 5.13 (s, 1H, CH), 4.87 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 4.06 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.23 (s, 3H, Me), 3.04 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.13 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz, -CH₂CH₃).

4.5.3. Ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-((4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (20c)

Yield = 74.1 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 9.79 (s,1H, -CHO), 7.91 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, ArH, 2H), 5.14 (s, 1H, CH), 4.89 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.98 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.20 (s, 3H, Me), 3.00 (s, 3H, Me), 1.11(t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, -CH₂CH₃, 3H).

4.6. General method for the synthesis thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives (22a-

c)

To a hot solution of DHPM aldehyde (5 mmol) in 20 mL of absolute ethanol, thiazolidine-2,4dione and few drops of piperidine were added. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting precipitates formed were recrystallized from DMF/EtOH.

4.6.1. Ethyl 6-((4-((2,4-dioxothiazolidin-5-ylidene)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2oxo-4-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (22a)

Yield = 59.9 %, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 12.57 (s, 1H, CO*NH*CO), 7.72 (s, 1H, CH), 7.18 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, ArH), 7.10-6.95 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.72 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, ArH), 5.12 (s, 1H, CH), 4.85 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 6 Hz, OCH₂), 4.02 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.21 (s, 3H, Me),

3.03 (s, 3H, Me), 1.10 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, -CH₂CH₃, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 170.4, 169.3, 168.1, 161.0, 151.3, 145.1, 144.8, 139.1, 129.1 (2), 128.6 (2), 128.2 (2), 127.8, 127.1, 116.7, 115.3 (2), 100.5, 73.1, 68.9, 59.6, 35.4, 34.3, 14.6. HPLC purity = 98.4 %, T_R = 17.0 min. LC-MS found for C₂₆H₂₅N₃O₆S (m/z) = 508.2 [M+H+]. Analysis calculated for C₂₆H₂₅N₃O₆S: C, 61.53; H, 4.96; N, 8.28; S, 6.32; Found: C, 61.45; H, 4.94; N, 8.31; S, 6.35.

4.6.2. Ethyl 6-((4-((2,4-dioxothiazolidin-5-ylidene)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-4-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (22b)

Yield =63.2%, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 12.55 (s, 1H, CO*NH*CO), 7.73 (s, 1H, CH), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, ArH, 2H), 5.11 (s, 1H, CH), 4.93 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.78 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.01 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.24 (s, 3H, Me), 3.02(s, 3H, Me), 1.12(t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, -CH₂CH₃, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 170.3, 169.3, 168.0, 161.3, 158.9, 151.5, 145.2, 144.7, 132.2, 130.1 (2), 128.3 (2), 127.8 (2), 116.8, 115.3 (2), 114.5 (2),100.4, 72.9, 69.0, 59.7, 56.2, 35.4, 34.2, 14.7. HPLC purity = 99.4 %, T_R = 16.5 min. LC-MS found for C₂₇H₂₇N₃O₇S (m/z) = 538.1 [M+H+]. Analysis calculated for C₂₇H₂₇N₃O₇S: C, 60.32; H, 5.06; N, 7.82; S, 5.96; Found: C, 60.41; H, 5.04; N, 7.79; S, 5.99.

4.6.3. Ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-((4-((2,4-dioxothiazolidin-5-ylidene)methyl)phenoxy) methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (22c)

Yield = 67.4%, ¹H-NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 12.51 (s, 1H, CO*NH*CO), 7.75 (s, 1H, CH), 7.31 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.16(d, J = 609 Hz, ArH, 2H), 7.01(d, J = 6.9 Hz, ArH, 2H), 6.77(d, J = 7.1 Hz, ArH, 2H), 5.15 (s, 1H, CH), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz, OCH₂), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz, OCH₂), 3.97 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, -COCH₂, 2H), 3.20 (s, 3H, Me), 3.01 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.12 (t, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz, -CH₂CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 170.2, 169.1, 168.2, 161.2, 151.4, 145.2, 144.6,

137.5, 134.1, 129.6 (2), 129.0 (2), 127.5, 127.0 (2), 116.6, 115.0 (2), 100.3, 73.0, 68.8, 60.0, 35.5, 34.3, 14.5. HPLC purity = 98.3%, $T_R = 19.6$ min. LC-MS found for $C_{26}H_{24}CIN_3O_6S$ (m/z) = 542.1 [M+H+]. Analysis calculated for $C_{26}H_{24}CIN_3O_6S$: C, 57.62; H, 4.46; N, 7.75; S, 5.92; Found: C, 57.70; H, 4.44; N, 7.74; S, 5.95.

4.7. In vitro α-Glucosidase inhibition study of synthesized compounds

The antidiabetic role of synthesized compounds was established by using α glucosidase inhibition assay. Briefly the reaction mixture was comprised of 200 µL α -glucosidase solution, 1200 µL phosphate buffer and 100 µL of various concentrations ranging from 62.5 to 1000 µg/ml of synthesized compounds. Allowed to stand for 20 min at 37 centigrade. Added 800 µL of sodium carbonate solution to the reaction mixture after cooling. Checked absorption of the reaction mixture at 405 nm using UV visible spectrophotometer. Glucopyranoside was used as standard in the experiment. The given formula was used to find out the percent inhibition of the α glucosidase enzyme:

4.7. Antioxidant assays of the compounds

4.7.1. DPPH assay

The DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) assay was used to determine the antioxidant assay. Serial dilutions of the compounds were prepared, i.e. 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.60 and 7.80 μ g/ml. The compounds' solution (0.1 ml) was added to the DPPH solution. After half an hour, the absorbance was determined with double beam spectrophotometer at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid

was used as a positive control as per the protocols [36]. The percent DPPH activity was calculated by the given formula;

Percent DPPH activity = $\frac{\text{Control absorbance} - \text{Sample absorbance}}{\text{Control absorbance}} \times 100$

4.7.2. ABTS assay

The antioxidant activity was also determined with ABTS free radicals as per the proceure [37]. In this assay, 7 mM of ABTS solution was prepared and was mixed with already prepared 2.45 mM K2S2O4 solution. The mixture was stored for around 16 h in dark. The absorbance was measured with double beam spectrophotometer at 734 nm. Ascorbic acid served as positive control in ABTS assay also. The percent ABTS activity was calculated by the given formula;

Percent ABTS activity = $\frac{\text{Control absorbance} - \text{Sample absorbance}}{\text{Control absorbance}} \times 100$

Estimation of IC₅₀ values:

Concentrations of the synthesized compounds at which 50% of inhibition is observed (IC_{50}), were calculated among the inhibition percentages against the tested concentrations using the MS-Excel program.

Statistical analysis:

All the assays were performed in triplicate and values were expressed as means \pm standard error means (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed by two-way analysis of difference (ANOVA), followed by Bonferroni tests. The difference was measured to be statistically significant when the p value < 0.05.

4.8. In-vivo hypoglycemic study

4.8.1. Experimental animals

Albino mice (3-4 weeks old, weighing 20-27 gm) were used in the in-vivo part of experiment. The experimental animals were treated as per the standard protocols of food, water, shelter, temperature, day and night cycle. The animals were used as per the approval of the Ethical Committee, Department of Pharmacy, University of Malakand, Pakistan [38],

4.8.2. Acute toxicity

The experimental animals were divided into test and control groups with five animals in each group. The compounds were administered orally in a dose range of 250-2000 mg/kg body weight. After the compounds' administrations, the animals were checked for 3 days for any unusual response [39].

4.8.3. Induction of diabetes

The diabetes was induced by giving 150 mg/kg weight of 10% alloxan monohydrate intraperitoneally. The control group was normal saline intraperitoneally. After induction of diabetes, the blood glucose level was measured with glucometer. Only diabetic mice were proceeded for further experiments as per the procedure [40].

4.8.4. In-vivo experiment

For the *in-vivo* antidiabetic assay, the mice were divided into six groups with five mice in each. The group I was the normal group having no diabetes and was given normal saline. The group II was diabetic animals but were given Tween 80 solution. The group III was under the treatment of standard drug glibenclamide 500µg/kg. The remaining three groups, i.e. IV, V, VI were under the treatment of the synthesized compounds and were given the selected three compounds [40].

4.9. Docking Studies

Docking studies were carried our using our previously reported homology modelled α -glucosidase using Molecular Operating Environment (MOE 2016.0208) software package [41]. Preparation of ligand and enzyme, active site determination was carried out according to our previously reported procedure [35]. 3-D visualization of docking poses was carried out by using Discovery Studio Visualizer [42].

Acknowledgement

Dr. Umer Rashid is thankful to Higher Education Commission for financial support for the purchase of MOE license under HEC-NRPU project 5291/Federal/NRPU/R&D/HEC/2016.

References

- R. Williams, The economics of diabetes care: a global perspective, Int. Textbook Diab. Mellitus (2015) 1113-1124.
- [2] L. Flores-Bocanegra, A. Pérez-Vásquez, M. Torres-Piedra, R. Bye, E. Linares, R. Mata, α-Glucosidase inhibitors from Vauquelinia corymbosa, Mol. 20 (2015) 15330-15342.
- [3] T. Scully, Diabetes in Numbers. Nat. 485, S2-S3, 2012.
- [4] I. Federation, I. Atlas, International Diabetes Federation, IDF diabetes atlas, 6th edn Brussels, Belgium: Int. Diab. Fed. (2013).
- [5] A.E. Kitabchi, G.E. Umpierrez, J.M. Miles, J.N. Fisher, Hyperglycemic crises in adult patients with diabetes, Diab. Care. 32 (2009) 1335-1343.
- [6] C.C. Quianzon, I.E. Cheikh, History of current non-insulin medications for diabetes mellitus, J. Community Hosp. intern. Med. Perspect. 2 (2012) 19081.

- [7] J.R. White, A brief history of the development of diabetes medications, Diab. Spectr. 27 (2014) 82-86.
- [8] P. Arulselvan, H.A.A. Ghofar, G. Karthivashan, M.F.A.Halim, M.S.A. Ghafar, S. Fakurazi, Antidiabetic therapeutics from natural source: A systematic review, Biomed. Prev. Nutr. 4 (2014) 607–617
- [9] M.S. Khan, M.A. Munawar, M. Ashraf, U. Alam, A. Ata, A.M. Asiri, S. Kousar, M.A. Khan, Synthesis of novel indenoquinoxaline derivatives as potent α-glucosidase inhibitors, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 22 (2014) 1195-1200.
- [10] Z. Liu, S. Ma, Recent Advances in Synthetic α-Glucosidase Inhibitors, Chem. Med. Chem.12 (2017) 819-829.
- [11] R.M. Jack, C. Gordon, C. Scott, P.S. Kishnani, D. Bali, The use of acarbose inhibition in the measurement of acid alpha-glucosidase activity in blood lymphocytes for the diagnosis of Pompe disease, Genet. Med. 8 (2006) 307.
- [12] X. Chen, Y. Zheng, Y. Shen, Voglibose (Basen®, AO-128), one of the most important αglucosidase inhibitors, Curr. Med. Chem. 13 (2006) 109-116.
- [13] L.K. Campbell, D.E. Baker, R.K. Campbell, Miglitol: assessment of its role in the treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus, Ann. Pharmacother. 34 (2000) 1291-1301.
- [14] N.V. Quan, H.-D. Tran, T. D. Xuan, A. Ahmad, T.D. Dat, T. D. Khanh, R. Teschke, Momilactones A and B are α -amylase and α -glucosidase Inhibitors, Molecules 2019, 24, 482-494.
- [15] N.V. Quan, T. D. Xuan, H.-D. Tran, A. Ahmad, T. D. Khanh, T.D. Dat, Contribution of momilactones A and B to diabetes inhibitory potential of rice bran: Evidence from in vitro assays, Saudi Pharm. J. 27 (2019) 643-649

- [16] H. Kaneto, N. Katakami, M. Matsuhisa, T.-a. Matsuoka, Role of reactive oxygen species in the progression of type 2 diabetes and atherosclerosis, Mediators Inflamm. 2010 (2010).
- [17] J.S. Harmon, R. Stein, R.P. Robertson, Oxidative stress-mediated, post-translational loss of MafA protein as a contributing mechanism to loss of insulin gene expression in glucotoxic beta cells, J. Biol. Chem. 280 (2005) 11107-11113.
- [18] I.S. Okon, M.-H. Zou, Mitochondrial ROS and cancer drug resistance: Implications for therapy, Pharmacol. Res. 100 (2015) 170-174.
- [19] C.M.O. Volpe, P.H. Villar-Delfino, P.M.F. dos Anjos, J.A. Nogueira-Machado, Cellular death, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and diabetic complications, Cell Death Dis. 9 (2018) 119.
- [20] J. Wang, H. Liu, W. Jiang, L. Hong, Application of nitrile in drug design, Chin. J. Org. Chem. 32 (2012) 1643-1652.
- [21] A.V. Gulevich, A.G. Zhdanko, R.V. Orru, V.G. Nenajdenko, Isocyanoacetate derivatives: synthesis, reactivity, and application, Chem. Rev. 110 (2010) 5235-5331.
- [22] F. Sladojevich, A. Trabocchi, A. Guarna, D.J. Dixon, A new family of cinchona-derived amino phosphine precatalysts: application to the highly enantio-and diastereoselective silver-catalyzed isocyanoacetate aldol reaction, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 1710-1713.
- [23] S. Tahlan, P.K. Verma, Biological potential of thiazolidinedione derivatives of synthetic origin, Chem. Cent. J. 11 (2017) 130.
- [24] S. Hidalgo-Figueroa, J.J. Ramírez-Espinosa, S. Estrada-Soto, J.C. Almanza-Pérez, R. Román-Ramos, F.J. Alarcón-Aguilar, J.V. Hernández-Rosado, H. Moreno-Díaz, D. Díaz-Coutiño, G. Navarrete-Vázquez, Discovery of thiazolidine-2,4-dione/Biphenyl carbonitrile

hybrid as dual PPAR α/γ modulator with antidiabetic effect: In vitro, In Silico and In Vivo Approaches, Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 81 (2013) 474-483.

- [25] G.-c. Wang, Y.-p. Peng, Z.-z. Xie, J. Wang, M. Chen, Synthesis, α-glucosidase inhibition and molecular docking studies of novel thiazolidine-2, 4-dione or rhodanine derivatives, Med. Chem. Comm. 8 (2017) 1477-1484.
- [26] P.A. Datar, S.B. Aher, Design and synthesis of novel thiazolidine-2, 4-diones as hypoglycemic agents, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 20 (2016) S196-S201.
- [27] J. Kaur, A. Singh, G. Singh, R.K. Verma, R. Mall, Novel indotyl linked para-substituted benzylidene-based phenyl containing thiazolidienediones and their analogs as αglucosidase inhibitors: synthesis, in vitro, and molecular docking studies, Med. Chem. Res. 27 (2018) 903-914.
- [28] H. Camp, Thiazolidinediones in diabetes: current status and future outlook, Current opinion in investigational drugs (London, England: 2000), 4 (2003) 406-411.
- [29] Y.H. Liao, X.L. Liu, Z.J. Wu, X.L. Du, X.M. Zhang, W.C. Yuan, Thiourea-Catalyzed Highly Diastereo-and Enantioselective Conjugate Additions of α-Substituted Cyanoacetates to Maleimides: Efficient Construction of Vicinal Quaternary-Tertiary Stereocenters, Adv. Synth. Catalysis. 353 (2011) 1720-1728.
- [30] J.-F. Bai, L.-L. Wang, L. Peng, Y.-L. Guo, L.-N. Jia, F. Tian, G.-Y. He, X.-Y. Xu, L.-X.
 Wang, Asymmetric Michael addition of α-substituted isocyanoacetates with maleimides catalyzed by chiral tertiary amine thiourea, J. Org. Chem. 77 (2012) 2947-2953.
- [31] S.-L. You, Q. Cai, M. Zeng, Chiral Brønsted acid catalyzed Friedel–Crafts alkylation reactions, Chem. Soc. Rev. 38 (2009) 2190-2201.

- [32] J.H. Ahn, H.-M. Kim, S.H. Jung, S.K. Kang, K.R. Kim, S. Dal Rhee, S.-D. Yang, H.G. Cheon, S.S. Kim, Synthesis and DP-IV inhibition of cyano-pyrazoline derivatives as potent anti-diabetic agents, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 14 (2004) 4461-4465.
- [33] M. Ali, S. Ali, M. Khan, U. Rashid, M. Ahmad, A. Khan, A. Al-Harrasi, F. Ullah, A. Latif, Synthesis, biological activities, and molecular docking studies of 2mercaptobenzimidazole based derivatives, Bioorg. Chem. 80 (2018) 472-479.
- [34] T.C. Nugent, A. Sadiq, A. Bibi, T. Heine, L.L. Zeonjuk, N. Vankova, B.S. Bassil, Noncovalent Bifunctional Organocatalysts: Powerful Tools for Contiguous Quaternary-Tertiary Stereogenic Carbon Formation, Scope, and Origin of Enantioselectivity, Chem. Eur. J. 18 (2012) 4088-4098.
- [35] U. Rashid, R. Sultana, N. Shaheen, S.F. Hassan, F. Yaqoob, M.J. Ahmad, F. Iftikhar, N. Sultana, S. Asghar, M. Yasinzai, F.L. Ansari, N.A. Qureshi, Structure based medicinal chemistry-driven strategy to design substituted dihydropyrimidines as potential antileishmanial agents, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 115 (2016) 230-244.
- [36] F. Ullah, N. Iqbal, M. Ayaz, A. Sadiq, I. Ullah, S. Ahmad, M. Imran, DPPH, ABTS free radical scavenging, antibacterial and phytochemical evaluation of crude methanolic extract and subsequent fractions of Chenopodium botrys aerial parts, Pak. J. Pharm. Sci. 30 (2017).
- [37] M. Jabeen, S. Ahmad, K. Shahid, A. Sadiq, U. Rashid, Ursolic Acid Hydrazide Based Organometallic Complexes: Synthesis, Characterization, Antibacterial, Antioxidant, and Docking Studies, Front. Chem. 6 (2018) 55.
- [38] S.M.M. Shah, A. Sadiq, S.M.H. Shah, F. Ullah, Antioxidant, total phenolic contents and antinociceptive potential of Teucrium stocksianum methanolic extract in different animal models, BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 14 (2014) 181.

- [39] F. Mahmood, R. Ali, M.S. Jan, K.A. Chishti, S. Ahmad, A. Zeb, M. AYAZ, F. ULLAH, M. AASIM, N.Z. KHAN, Chemical Characterization and Analgesic Potential of Notholirion thomsonianum Extract, Lat. Am. J. Pharm. 38 (2019) 807-812.
- [40] H. Aslam, A.-u. Khan, H. Naureen, F. Ali, F. Ullah, A. Sadiq, Potential application of Conyza canadensis (L) Cronquist in the management of diabetes: In vitro and in vivo evaluation, Trop. J. Pharma. Res. 17 (2018) 1287-1293.
- [41] Molecular Operating Environment Chemical Computing Group ULC, 1010 Sherbooke St. West, Suite# 910, Montreal, QC, Canada, H3A 2R7, (2018).
- [42] D. Systemes, BIOVIA, Discovery Studio Modeling Environment. Release 4.5, Dassault Systemes: San Diego, CA, (2015).

Graphical abstract





(11a-o)

(22a-c)

 α -Glucosidase $11o = 28.3 \pm 0.28 \mu$ M

 $22a = 0.98 \pm 0.008 \ \mu M$

Highlights

- Fifteen pyrrolidine-2,5-dione and three thiazolidine-2,4-dione derivatives were synthesized
- Tested for α-Glucosidase inhibition and antioxidant potential
- Compound **22a** exhibited IC₅₀ value $0.98 + 0.008 \mu$ M against α -Glucosidase
- Compounds **110** and **22a** showed significant in-vivo hypoglycemic effect compared to the reference drug
- · Molecular docking studies were also carried out