

Communication

Bis-N-heterocyclic carbene aminopincer ligands enable high activity in Ru-catalyzed ester hydrogenation

Georgy A. Filonenko, Mae Joanne B. Aguila, Erik N. Schulpen, Robbert van Putten, Jelena Wiecko, Christian Mueller, Laurent Lefort, Emiel J. M. Hensen, and Evgeny A Pidko

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 08 Jun 2015

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 8, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties. 1 2

Bis-N-heterocyclic carbene aminopincer ligands enable high activity in Ru-catalyzed ester hydrogenation

Georgy A. Filonenko,^{a,b} Mae Joanne B. Aguila,^c Erik N. Schulpen,^a Robbert van Putten,^a Jelena Wiecko,^d Christian Müller,^d Laurent Lefort,^c Emiel J. M. Hensen,^{a,b} and Evgeny A. Pidko^{a,b,*}

^a Inorganic Materials Chemistry group, Schuit Institute of Catalysis and ^b Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

^c DSM Innovative Synthesis BV, P.O. Box 18, 6160 MD Geleen, The Netherlands

^d Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Chemie und Biochemie, Fabeckstraße 34-36, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Bis-N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) aminopincer ligands were for the first time successfully applied in catalytic hydrogenation of esters. We have isolated and characterized a well-defined catalyst precursor as a dimeric $[Ru_2(L)_2Cl_3]PF_6$ complex and studied its reactivity and catalytic performance. Remarkable initial activities up to 283 000 h⁻¹ were achieved in hydrogenation of ethyl hexanoate at only 12.5 ppm Ru loading. A wide range of aliphatic and aromatic esters can be converted with this catalyst to corresponding alcohols in near quantitative yields. The described synthetic protocol makes use of air stable reagents available in multigram quantities rendering the bis-NHC ligands an attractive alternative to the conventional phosphine-based systems.

The reduction of organic compounds with molecular hydrogen is a powerful synthetic tool. A key factor for putting this reaction in practice is the availability of a potent catalyst that drives the hydrogenation reaction. One of the reactions where the active catalyst is much desired is the reduction of carboxylic acid esters to alcohols. It currently relies on the conventional approaches utilizing stoichiometric amounts of inorganic hydrides and producing vast amounts of wastes. Therefore, the catalytic reduction of esters with H₂ is viewed as a green alternative for conventional reduction protocols. The early examples of such catalytic processes1 required very harsh reactions conditions (ca. 85 bar H₂, T >100°C). Tremendous progress in the field was made by the groups at Firmenich,² Takasago³ and the group of Milstein,⁴ who described several bifunctional ester hydrogenation catalysts that operated under significantly milder conditions. Following these reports, the field of ester hydrogenation witnessed a rapid development with catalyst performances steadily improving. Progress was mainly associated with the introduction of tri- and tetradentate aminopincer ligands.^{1c, 5} Recently, Gusev and co-workers6 reported a family of Ru and Os-PNN pyridine aminophosphine pincer catalysts, with which turnover numbers (TONs) of ca. 18 000 were reached in hydrogenation of methyl benzoate at 100°C and 50 bar H₂ pressure (Scheme 1, A). The same group also disclosed an Ru-SNS pincer complex producing ca. 60 000 turnovers in ethyl acetate hydrogenation at only 40°C and 50 bar H₂ (Scheme 1, **B**).⁷ Recent reports by the groups of Zhou⁸ and Zhang⁹ feature tetradentate phosphine-based Ru catalysts (Scheme 1, C and D), which are currently the most active catalysts in terms of the productivity (TOF) and stability (TON). These Ru-PNNX (X=P,N) catalysts are efficient at very low Ru loadings of 10-100 ppm with respect to the ester substrate. For example, TON up to 80 000 and estimated TOF of >10 000 h⁻¹ are obtained with Zhang's Ru-PNNP catalyst (**D**, Scheme 1) at 80°C and 50 bar H₂.

Scheme 1. Selected examples of active catalysts for ester hydrogenation



With the exception of Ru-SNS (Scheme 1, **B**), the most potent ester hydrogenation catalysts rely on phosphine ligands that are prepared from often expensive and air- or moisture-sensitive organophosphorus reagents. On the contrary, N-heterocyclic carbene ligands (NHCs) are air-stable and can be prepared from abundant building blocks.¹⁰ Ru-NHC complexes have already found widespread catalytic application, for example in metathesis reactions¹¹ and various hydrogenation processes.¹² However, their application in the catalytic hydrogenation of esters is scarce and the performance of the Ru-NHCs¹³ is still inferior to that of the phosphine-based catalysts. In this work we demonstrate that the use of bis-NHC aminopincer ligands for Ru-catalyzed hydrogenation of esters can lead to remarkable activity that rivals the one of the phosphine-based catalysts.

Bis-NHC aminopincer ligand precursors¹⁴ are readily prepared via a simple reaction of the corresponding imidazoles with nitrogen mustard derivatives (Scheme 2). While the ligand **L1H** is prepared in a one-step reaction, the synthesis of other ligands (**L2H-L5H**) requires a threestep procedure that involves the protection/deprotection of the amine site with the benzyl group to avoid the degradation of **1**. Following these methods we obtained a ligand library containing 10 bis-NHC ligands with different substituents at the amine site and imidazolium ring.

Scheme 2. Structure and synthesis of bis-NHC aminopincer ligands.



Initially, we performed hydrogenation of ethyl hexanoate and ethyl benzoate at 70°C and 50 bar H₂ pressure using Ru catalysts with different bis-NHC ligands to identify the most competent one. The catalysts were generated *in situ* by treating a suspension of the imidazolium salts in THF with LiHMDS (lithium hexamethyldisilazide) followed by the addition of the metal precursor – Ru(PPh₃)₄Cl₂. The use of a strong base was necessary to ensure the deprotonation of the imidazolium ligand precursors and the formation of the free NHCs capable of coordination.^{14a} The results of the catalytic tests are summarized in Table 1.

The structure of the ligand had a strong influence on the activity of in situ generated Ru catalysts (Table 1). In line with the observations made by Gusev and co-workers,7 substitution, i.e. benzylation, at the NH site of the ligand yields inactive catalysts (Entries 1-3, Table 1). The substituents at the NHC groups were also found to have an impact on the performance. The best catalysts were formed form mesityl-(L1H, entries 4-5) and diisopropylphenyl (L3H, entries 8-9) substituted ligands. The remaining ligands with meta and para substituted phenyl groups (L4H and L5H) or methyl substituents (L2H) on the imidazolium rings resulted in no to moderate activity. The inferior performance of L2H, L4H and L5H may be explained by the lower stability of free-NHCs derived from these ligands with reduced bulk around the carbene center.^{10a} Alternatively, one can expect a reactivity of L4H and L5H towards cyclometallation by Ru that is notorious for its negative impact on the activity in metathesis reaction.¹⁵ The type of the ruthenium precursor employed for the in situ catalysis also had an impact on the catalytic performance with RuHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃ being significantly less active that the Ru(PPh₃)₄Cl₂ discussed above (see Table S1 in Supporting Information).

Inspired by the promising performance of precatalysts formed form **L1H**/Ru(PPh₃)₄Cl₂, we sought to isolate the corresponding welldefined Ru-CNC complex. Because the reaction of the free NHCs derived from **L1H** with Ru(PPh₃)₄Cl₂ led to complex mixtures, we employed an alternative synthetic strategy involving a transmetallation from the Ag-NHC complex with **L1H** to the ruthenium centre (Scheme 3). The corresponding Ag-NHC complex **3** (Scheme 3) was previously reported by Edworthy *et al.*^{14b} The original procedure involved the reaction of **L1H** with Ag₂O in dry CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of molecular sieves (4Å) over several days. We have greatly simplified the preparation of **3** using the approach originally described for preparing Ag benzimidazol-2-ylidene complexes by Lin and co-workers.¹⁶ By reacting the imidazolium salt **L1H** with Ag₂O in the presence of NaOH in a biphasic CH₂Cl₂/H₂O medium, complex **3** is generated within 2 hours in 82% yield without exclusion of air.

Table 1. Results of the ligand screening in hydrogenation of ethyl hexanoate and ethyl benzoate.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ R_1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline$

Entry	Ligand	Ester R ₁	S/Ru	Y _{alc} , %	TON
1	L1Bn L2Bn 1			0	0
2		<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	15000	0	0
3	L4Bn			0	0
4	L1H	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	15000	95	14250
5		Ph	5000	83	4150
6	L2H	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	15000	1	150
7		Ph	5000	0	0
8	L3H	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	15000	100	15000
9		Ph	5000	65	3250
10	L4H	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	15000	40	6000
11		Ph	5000	50	2500
12	LSH	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	15000	2	300
13		Ph	5000	37	1850

Conditions: 5 mmol ester, 2% $_{mol}$ KO'Bu, 2 mL THF, 70°C, 50 bar $\rm H_{2,}$ 16 h, S/Ru – Substrate-to-Ruthenium molar ratio

The NHC transfer from **3** to Ru(PPh₃)₄Cl₂ at 70°C in dichloromethane led to a single new Ru complex. The electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) shows a signal at 1193 a.m.u. corresponding to the dimeric [Ru₂(**L1H**)₂Cl₃]⁺ species **4**⁺. The use of the phosphine containing Ru(PPh₃)₄Cl₂ precursor is undesired since it leads to the formation of Ag(PPh₃)_n byproducts that could not be separated from the target compound.¹⁷ Therefore, the preparation and isolation of **4**⁺ was further attempted using an air stable phosphine-free precursor Ru(DMSO)₄Cl₂ instead.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of 4*PF₆.



Much to our satisfaction, the reaction of Ru(DMSO)₄Cl₂ with **3** in CH₂Cl₂ or THF yields the same cationic **4**⁺ as evidenced by NMR and ESI-MS. Initially **4**⁺ was obtained as a cationic dimer [Ru₂(**L1H**)₂Cl₃]⁺ with a dibromoargenate [AgBr₂]⁻ counterion that could be observed in the negative mode ESI-MS. To avoid the potential light sensitivity induced by the dibromoargenate anion, the crude product was further treated with excess KPF₆ to obtain the analytically pure complex **4**^{*}**PF**₆ as a crystalline solid (Scheme 3).

Page 3 of 6



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **4*PF**₆ in the crystal. Displacement ellipsoid are shown at the 50% probability level. All hydrogens except N*H* group are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å]: Ru1-C3 1.969, Ru1-C17 1.987, Ru1-N1 2.145, Ru2-N6 2.160, Ru2-C31 1.973, Ru2-C45 1.988.

Scheme 4. Results of ester hydrogenation with 4*PF6*(Substrateto-Ru ratio and alcohol yields^b).



^aConditions: 5 mmol ester, 2 ml THF, 70°C, 50 bar H₂, 2%_{mol} KO^tBu, 16 h; ^b Yields are given for alcohols derived from the acyl group of the ester, lactone and diester reduction provided diol products; ^c Reaction at 20°C: 38/62 % selectivity to hexanol/hexyl hexanoate at 97% conversion

The two CNC ligand units in **4*PF**₆ appear equivalent in the ¹H NMR spectrum (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). However, the symmetry within the CNC ligand itself is not retained upon the complexation. The imidazole backbone protons appear as four separate doublets with $^{3}J_{HH}$ = 2Hz and aromatic protons of the *mesityl* substituents give four singlets. In addition, eight ethylene linker protons appear separately, which indicates that geminal protons within the *CH*₂ groups of the linkers are not equivalent. The accurate assignment of these resonances can be done using selective excitation NMR meas-

urements (double pulsed field gradient spin echo NOESY). Using this approach one can also reveal the broad resonance of N*H* proton at δ *ca.* 3 ppm that otherwise overlaps with other resonances in the spectrum.

The X-ray crystal structure analysis of **4*PF**₆ reveals the bis trigonal antiprism geometry of the complex. Two ligand units occupy the opposite faces of the octahedrally coordinated Ru, which is consistent with their apparent equivalence in solution as follows from ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The formation of L₂Ru₂(μ -Cl)₃ units is well known for ruthenium complexes with tridentate ligands that prefer facial coordination, e.g. TriPhos.¹⁸

Complex **4*PF**₆ is an active ester hydrogenation catalyst. Under 50 bar H₂ pressure at 70°C, it can convert a wide range of aliphatic and aromatic esters to their corresponding alcohols in quantitative yields (Scheme 4). Full conversions of hexanoic acid esters were obtained at substrate-to-ruthenium (S/Ru) ratio of 10 000. Aromatic esters including rather challenging phthalide and benzyl benzoate substrates can also be fully converted at S/Ru = 2 500-4 000. A very high TON of 79 680 was obtained with ethyl hexanoate, which is nearly identical to the value reported by Zhang et al. for hydrogenation of ethyl acetate at a slightly higher temperature and a longer reaction time (80°C, 50 bar H₂, 30 h) with the tetradentate Ru-PNNP catalyst.⁹ Diethylsuccinate and γ -butyrolactone are readily converted to 1,4-butanediol in quantitative yields at S/Ru=10 000-15 000. Hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate was fully chemoselective for the reduction of C=C bond and yielded no alcohol product. Finally, the hydrogenation of methyl cinnamate yields a mixture of the saturated and the unsaturated alcohol with up to 70% of cinnamyl alcohol indicating that our hydrogenation catalyst exhibits a certain selectivity favoring the ester group reduction. Apart from esters, 4*PF6 is also active in hydrogenating aldehydes and ketones to corresponding alcohols. This reaction is more facile then the ester reduction and proceeds readily at room temperature (See Section 3 of the Supporting Information).



Figure 2. ESI-MS spectra of the reaction mixture of NMR scale ethyl acetate hydrogenation (3 bar H_2 , THF- d_8 , ca. 10 eq. KO'Bu per Ru, S/Ru = 500): untreated (a) and quenched with HCOOH (b); S = CH₃CN

Aiming at getting an insight into the nature of the catalytically active species, we further investigated the transformations of the precatalyst **4*PF**₆ during the hydrogenation of ethyl acetate using NMR spectroscopy combined with ESI-MS. The reaction was carried out in an NMR

tube at 3 bar H₂ in THF-d₈ in the presence of KO^tBu base (ca. 10 eq. per Ru). No notable color change occurred upon the addition of the catalyst to reaction mixture. Interestingly, although ca. 25 % conversion of ethyl acetate was reached already at room temperature, no products of the transformations of the initial dimeric complex could be observed within the detection limit of NMR (see Figure S8 in Supporting Information). Heating of the reaction mixture to 70°C led to further conversion of ethylacetate to 61 %19 accompanied by the partial transformation of the initial Ru complex to a new species. Mass spectrometry allows for identifying the newly formed species as the monomeric Ru complex bearing 1-ethoxyethanolate ligand (I-1, Figure 2a) that is similar to the intermediates observed earlier by Gusev²⁰ and Bergens²¹ for related reactions. Species I-1 rapidly disappears when the reaction mixture is quenched with 0.1% HCOOH in acetonitrile, producing a monomeric Ru-formate complex I-2 (Figure 2b). This is consistent with I-1 containing the alkoxide ligand that is rapidly protonated in the presence of the acid. A Ru carbonyl complex I-3 was also observed in the catalytic mixture. The carbonylation of metal centre was previously proposed to be the main source of catalyst deactivation.^{2,3} The intermediate formation of the aldehyde product during ester hydrogenation may be responsible for the carbonylation of the metal centre. Indeed, the formation of benzaldehyde could be observed during the methyl benzoate hydrogenation with 4*PF6 (See Table S2 in the Supporting Information). Although these results do not constitute a definite proof for the nature of the active catalyst, they suggest that the dimeric structure of the initial Ru complex is not retained under the catalytic conditions and that the Ru species formed in the catalytic reaction are monomeric.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

To further investigate the catalytic activity of **4*PF**₆ we performed a series of kinetic measurements with ethyl hexanoate as a substrate on 100 mmol scale. At S/Ru=10 000 very high initial TOF⁰ values up to 78 600 h⁻¹ were observed (Figure 3). The ester conversion was > 99% with a selectivity of 99.7% to 1-hexanol. No straightforward reaction order with respect to catalyst concentration could be derived from these experiments indicating a complex behavior associated with the formation of the active species. Consistent with the proposed monomeric nature of the active species, the initial TOF substantially increases upon lowering the pre-catalyst concentration. At S/Ru of 80 000, an initial TOF⁰ of 283 200 h⁻¹ and a TON of 53 900 in 1 hour were obtained, confirming the remarkable productivity of **4*PF**₆.



Figure 3. Kinetic traces of large scale ethyl hexanoate hydrogenation with **4*PF6.** Conditions: 40 bar H₂, 70°C, 100 mmol ester, 2 $\%_{mol}$ KO'Bu, S/Ru indicated on the graph. X_{din} - final conversion, TOF^{ρ} - initial rate.

To summarize, we report the first well-defined Ru catalyst based on bis-NHC pincer ligands that is highly active for the hydrogenation of esters. After performing a ligand screening using *in situ* generated catalysts, we were able to isolate a dimeric catalyst precursor 4^*PF_6 that is extremely active under basic conditions. According to our prelimi-

nary studies, the active state of the catalyst is a monometallic species. The catalytic performance of 4^*PF_6 ranks it among the most active ester hydrogenation catalysts up-to-date bringing this methodology a step closer towards its implementation on industrial scale.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Synthesis and characterization details, hydrogenation procedures are described in Supporting Information available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Evgeny A. Pidko (e.a.pidko@tue.nl)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

EAP acknowledges the Technology Foundation STW and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) for his personal VENI grant. MJBA thanks the European Union (Marie Curie ITN SusPhos, Grant Agreement No. 317404) for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Werkmeister, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M., Org. Process Res. Devel. 2014, 18, 289; (b) Dub, P. A.; Ikariya, T., ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 1718; (c) Balaraman, E.; Milstein, D. Top. Organomet. Chem., 2014; 77, 1; (d) Grey, R. A.; Pez, G. P.; Wallo, A.; Corsi, J., Chem. Commun. 1980, 16, 783; (e) Grey, R. A.; Pez, G. P.; Wallo, A., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7536; (f) Teunissen, H., T. ; Elsevier, C., J., Chem. Commun. 1998, 13, 1367; (g) T. Teunissen, H.; J. Elsevier, C., Chem. Commun. 1997, 7, 667.

(2) Saudan, L. A.; Saudan, C. M.; Debieux, C.; Wyss, P., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7473.

(3) Kuriyama, W.; Matsumoto, T.; Ogata, O.; Ino, Y.; Aoki, K.; Tanaka, S.; Ishida, K.; Kobayashi, T.; Sayo, N.; Saito, T., *Org. Process Res. Devel.* **2011**, *16*, 166.

(4) Zhang, J.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1113.

(5) Gunanathan, C.; Milstein, D., Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 12024

(6) Spasyuk, D.; Smith, S.; Gusev, D. G., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2772.

(7) Spasyuk, D.; Smith, S.; Gusev, D. G., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2538.

(8) Li, W.; Xie, J.-H.; Yuan, M.-L.; Zhou, Q.-L., Green Chem. 2014, 16, 4081.

(9) Tan, X.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wang, F.; Shi, L.; Lee, K.-H.; Lin, Z.; Lv, H.; Zhang, X., Org. Lett. **2015**, *17*, 454.

(10) (a) Hopkinson, M. N.; Richter, C.; Schedler, M.; Glorius, F., *Nature*2014, *510*, 485; (b) Diez-Gonzalez, S.; Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P., *Chem. Rev.*2009, *109*, 3612.

(11) Nolan, S. P.; Clavier, H., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3305.

(12) Velazquez, H. D.; Verpoort, F., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 7032.

(13) (a) O, W. W. N.; Morris, R. H., ACS Catal. 2012, 3, 32-40; (b) Sun, Y.;
Koehler, C.; Tan, R.; Annibale, V. T.; Song, D., Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8349-8351; (c) Fogler, E.; Balaraman, E.; Ben-David, Y.; Leitus, G.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D., Organometallics 2011, 30, 3826; (d) Filonenko, G. A.;
Cosimi, E.; Lefort, L.; Conley, M. P.; Coperet, C.; Lutz, M.; Hensen, E. J. M.;
Pidko, E. A., ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 2667.

(14) (a) Edworthy, I. S.; Blake, A. J.; Wilson, C.; Arnold, P. L., *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3684; (b) Edworthy, I. S.; Rodden, M.; Mungur, S. A.; Davis, K. M.; Blake, A. J.; Wilson, C.; Schröder, M.; Arnold, P. L., *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 5710; (c) Douthwaite, R. E.; Houghton, J.; Kariuki, B. M., *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *6*, 698. 1

2
3
4
4
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
<u>2</u> 1
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
24
34
35
36
37
38
00
39
40
41
42
12
43
44
45
46
17
47
4ð
49
50
51
50
52
53
54
55
56
50
5/
58
59

60

(15) Delaude, L.; Szypa, M.; Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F., *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2002**, *344*, 749.

(16) Wang, H. M. J.; Lin, I. J. B., Organometallics 1998, 17, 972.

(17) Zhang, D.; Zhou, S.; Li, Z.; Wang, Q.; Weng, L., *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 12020.

(18) (a) Chaplin, A. B.; Dyson, P. J., *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 381; (b) Rhodes, L. F.; Sorato, C.; Venanzi, L. M.; Bachechi, F., *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 604.

(19) The incomplete conversion of the starting material occured due to lower reactivity of the catalyst under mild comditions applied in the NMR

experiment. This behavior is not associated with catalyst preactivation as the latter has no significant effect on the activity of $4^{+}PF_{6}$ (See Section 3 and Table S3 in the Supporting Information)

(20) Spasyuk, D.; Vicent, C.; Gusev, D. G., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3743

(21) Takebayashi, S.; Bergens, S. H., Organometallics 2009, 28, 2349.

Insert Table of Contents artwork here



