Cyclodimerizations of Alkyl Styryl Ketones and Their Silyl Enol Ethers by Use of Iron Carbonyls

Tatsuo UEDA and Yoshio OTSUJI<sup>\*</sup> Department of Chemistry, College of Engineering, University of Osaka Prefecture, Sakai, Osaka 591

The reaction of alkyl styryl ketones with  $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$  gives 3-acyl-4,5-diphenylcyclohexanones in a manner of [2+4] cyclodimerization.  $(\eta^4$ -Enone)Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> complexes serve as catalyst for this reaction. Silyl enol ethers of the same ketones afford 4-acyl-3,5-diphenylcyclohexanones in the different type of [2+4] cyclodimerization upon treatment with Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>.

In a previous paper, we have reported that the reaction of unenolizable  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones such as benzylideneacetophenone with Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> in refluxing toluene gives cyclopentene derivatives in high yields.<sup>1)</sup> This reaction has been interpreted as a deoxygenative [2+3] cyclodimerization of intermediary ( $n^4$ -enone)tricarbonyliron complexes.<sup>1)</sup> We now report that enolizable  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones such as alkyl styryl ketones and their silyl enol ethers undergo respectively different types of [2+4] cyclodimerizations upon treatment with Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>. Alkyl styryl ketones were converted into 3-acyl-4,5-diphenyl-cyclohexanones via their tricarbonyliron complexes. It was also found that ( $n^4$ -enone)tricarbonyliron complexes serve as effective catalyst for this conversion. On the other hand, silyl enol ethers of the same ketones were converted into 4-acyl-3,5-diphenylcyclohexanones probably via their iron enolates.

A mixture of methyl styryl ketone (<u>1a</u>; 876 mg, 6 mmol) and  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  (503 mg, 1 mmol) in dry toluene (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was refluxed for 20 h under nitrogen and poured onto I<sub>2</sub>-benzen solution. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at



 Table I.	Reaction of	aiky	yl styryl	Ketones	with iron carbonyis
 Ketone	Iron car	bony	1	Product	Yield/% <sup>a)</sup>
<u>1a</u>	Fe <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> ,	2	mmol	<u>2a</u>	58 (87)
<u>1a</u>	$Fe_{3}(CO)_{12}$	1	mmol	<u>2a</u>	62 (186)
<u>1a</u>	$Fe_3(CO)_{12}$	0.5	mmol	<u>2a</u>	52 (312)
<u>1a</u>	Fe(CO) <sub>5</sub> ,	3	mmol	no	reaction
<u>1a</u>	$\operatorname{Fe}_2(\operatorname{CO})_9,$	1.5	mmol	<u>2a</u>	26 (52)
<u>1b</u>	$Fe_{3}^{(CO)}12'$	2	mmol	<u>2b</u>	90 (135)
<u>1c</u>	$Fe_{3}(CO)_{12}$	2	mmol	<u>2c</u>	89 (134)
<u>1d</u>	Fe <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub>	2	mmol	<u>2d</u>	33 (50)

a) Isolated yields based on ketones 1 used, and those in parentheses based on iron carbonyls used.

room temperature and then washed successively with 10% aqueous NaOH, water, 10% HCl, and water. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel with benzene gave 543 mg (62%) of 3-acetyl-4,5-diphenylcyclohexanone (2a). In a similar manner, ethyl, propyl, and isopropyl styryl ketones  $(\underline{1b-d})$  were converted into the corresponding 2-substituted 3-acyl-4,5-diphenylcyclohexanones 2b-d. The results are summa rized in Table 1. The structures of the products were assigned from their IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, and Mass spectral data.<sup>2)</sup>

The efficiency of this dimerization reaction depended on iron carbonyl complexes employed. The reaction of <u>1a</u> with Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> under the similar conditions as above resulted in a quantitative recovery of the starting ketone.  $Fe_2(CO)_a$  induced the cyclodimerization of <u>1a</u> with a lower efficiency. The results are also given in Table 1.

Lewis et al. have reported that the reaction of  $\underline{1a}$  with iron carbonyls at ambient temperatures affords  $(\eta^4$ -methylstyrylketone)tricarbonyliron  $(\underline{3a})$ .<sup>3)</sup> We also isolated the iron complexes 3a-d by the reaction of 1a-d with Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> in toluene at 75 °C for 7 h in good yields. Furthermore, it was found that when degassed toluene solutions of <u>1a-c</u> (40 mmol) containing a catalytic amount of

 of alkyl styryl ketones					
Ketone	Catalyst	Product	Yield / % <sup>a)</sup>		
 <u>1a</u>	<u>3a</u>	<u>2a</u>	11 (2250)		
<u>1b</u>	<u>3a</u>	<u>2b</u>	15 (3000)		
<u>1c</u>	<u>3a</u>	<u>2c</u>	14 (2750)		
<u>1a</u>	<u>3b</u>	<u>2a</u>	25 (4920)		
<u>1b</u>	<u>3b</u>	<u>2b</u>	81 (16200)		
<u>1c</u>	<u>3b</u>	<u>2c</u>	60 (11900)		
<u>1c</u>	3c	2c	53 (10600)		

Table	2.	$(\eta^4$ -Enone)tricarbonyliron-catalyzed	cyclodimerization

a) Yields were determined by GLC and based on ketones 1 used, and those in parentheses based on iron complexes  $\underline{3}$  used.



 $(\eta^4$ -enone)tricarbonyliron <u>3a</u> (0.1 mmol) are heated in sealed tubes at 110 °C for 22 h, <u>2a-c</u> are obtained in good yields. Other  $(\eta^4$ -enone)tricarbonyliron complexes such as <u>3b-c</u> also served as catalyst for the conversion of <u>1a-c</u> to <u>2a-c</u>. The results are shown in Table 2.

However, complexes  $Fe(CO)_4 PPh_3$ ,  $Fe(CO)_3 (PPh_3)_2$ ,  $Fe(CO)_3 [P(OPh)_3]_2$ ,  $(\eta^2 - maleic anhydride)Fe(CO)_4$ ,  $(\eta^4 - cyclooctadiene)Fe(CO)_3$ , and  $(\eta^4 - PhCH=CHCH=CHPh)Fe-(CO)_3$  were ineffective as catalyst; in the reactions using these iron complexes the substrate enones were recovered unchanged. Barton<sup>4</sup>) and Brookhart<sup>5</sup>) have demonstrated that <u>3a</u> acts as an efficient transfer agent of  $[Fe(CO)_3]$  species towards dienes and other unsaturated compounds. Scheme 1 shows a possible catalytic cycle for the cyclodimerization of enolizable enone <u>1</u>. A key step is the formation of dienol complex <u>4</u><sup>6</sup> from  $(\eta^4 - enone)$ tricarbonyliron complex <u>3</u>. The complex <u>4</u> may react with <u>1</u> to produce cyclohexanone derivative <u>2</u> with elimination of  $[Fe(CO)_3]$  species.

Table 3. Cyclodimerization of silvi end ether	Table 3.	Cyclodimerization	of	silyl	enol	ether <sup>a</sup>
---	----------	-------------------	----	-------	------	--------------------



Silyl enol ether	Product	Yield/% <sup>b)</sup>
<u>5a</u> ; R=H	<u>6a</u>	32 (95)
<u>5b;</u> $R=CH_3$	<u>6b</u>	36 (108)
$\underline{5c}$ ; R=CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	<u>6c</u>	32 (96)

a) A mixture of 5 (6 mmol) and  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12} (1 \text{ mmol})$  in toluene (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was stirred at 110 °C for 20 h under nitrogen. b) Isolated yields based on 4 used, and those in parentheses based on  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  used.

In contrast, the reaction of silyl enol ethers <u>5a-c</u>, which were derived from <u>1a-c</u>, with  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  in refluxing toluene gave 2-substituted 4-acyl-3,5diphylcyclohexanones <u>6a-c</u>, that are regioisomers of <u>2a-c</u>. The results are shown in Table 3. The structures of <u>6a-c</u> were assigned from their spectral data.<sup>7)</sup>

Nielsen and Dubin have reported that the same type of cyclohexanone derivatives as <u>6a-c</u> can be obtained from alkyl styryl ketones by a base-catalyzed self-condensation reaction in low yields.<sup>8)</sup> These results strongly suggest that the cyclodimerization of silyl enol ethers <u>5</u> with  $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$  proceeds via iron enolate <u>7</u> as shown in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2.

## References

- 1) T. Ueda and Y. Otsuji, the preceding paper.
- 2) Spectral data of <u>2a</u>: <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 31.7(<u>C</u>H<sub>3</sub>), 40.7, 41.1(<u>C</u>H<sub>2</sub>), 42.9, 44.3, 58.4(<u>C</u>H<sup><</sup>), 126.9, 127.1, 127.6, 128.2, 128.6, 128.8(aromatic =<u>C</u>H-), 140.2, 143.1(aromatic =<u>C</u><), 210.5, 210.7(<u>C</u>=0); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 1.6(s, 3H), 2.4-3.2(m, 4H), 3.4-3.6(m, 3H), 7.0-7.9(10H, ArH); IR (KBr) 1710, 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup>(v<sub>CO</sub>); MS m/e 292 (M<sup>+</sup>). The spectral data for <u>2b-e</u> were also consistent with the assigned structures.
- 3) J. A. S. Howell, B. F. G. Johnson, P. L. Josty, and J. Lewis, J. Organomet. Chem., <u>39</u>, 329 (1972).
- 4) D. H. R. Barton, A. A. L. Gunatilaka, T. Nakanishi, H. Patin, D. A. Widdowson, and B. R. Worth, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, <u>1976</u>, 821.
- 5) C. R. Graham, G. Scholes, and M. Brookhart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>99</u>, 1180 (1977).
- 6) C. H. DePuy, R. L. Parton, and T. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>99</u>, 4070 (1977);
  C. H. DePuy, R. N. Greene, and T. E. Schroer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., <u>1968</u>, 1225.
- 7) Spectral data of <u>6a</u>: <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 33.0(<u>C</u>H<sub>3</sub>), 47.8(<u>C</u>H<sub>2</sub>), 47.2, 62.0 (<u>C</u>H $\leq$ ), 127.3, 127.5, 129.0(aromatic =<u>C</u>H-), 141.1(aromatic =<u>C</u> $\leq$ ), 207.5, 210.4 (<u>C</u>=O); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 1.25(s, 3H), 2.68(broad d, J=6 Hz, 4H), 3.0-3.5 (m, 3H), 7.2(10H, ArH); IR (KBr) 1710, 1670 cm<sup>-1</sup>( $\nu_{CO}$ ); MS m/e 292 (M<sup>+</sup>). The spectral data for <u>6b,c</u> were also consistent with the assigned structures.
- 8) A. T. Nielsen and H. J. Dubin, J. Org. Chem., <u>28</u>, 2120 (1963).

(Received July 23, 1986)

1638