Advance Publication Cover Page



Highly Diastereoselective Synthesis of Tetralin-Fused Spirooxindoles via Lewis Acid-Catalyzed C(sp³)–H Bond Functionalization

Mizuki Machida and Keiji Mori*

Advance Publication on the web April 27, 2018 doi:10.1246/cl.180275

© 2018 The Chemical Society of Japan

Advance Publication is a service for online publication of manuscripts prior to releasing fully edited, printed versions. Entire manuscripts and a portion of the graphical abstract can be released on the web as soon as the submission is accepted. Note that the Chemical Society of Japan bears no responsibility for issues resulting from the use of information taken from unedited, Advance Publication manuscripts.

Highly Diastereoselective Synthesis of Tetralin-Fused Spirooxindoles via Lewis Acid-Catalyzed C(sp³)–H Bond Functionalization

Mizuki Machida,1 and Keiji Mori*1

¹Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, 2-24-16 Nakacho,

Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588

E-mail: k_mori@cc.tuat.ac.jp

A highly diastereoselective synthesis of tetralin-fused 1 spirooxindole derivatives was described. Treatment of 3 benzylidene oxindoles with a catalytic amount of Sc(OTf)₃ 4 in refluxing hexane afforded the target compounds in good 5 chemical yields with excellent diastereoselectivities (up to >20:1). Detailed investigation of the reaction mechanism 6 revealed that both interconversion of the two diastereomers 8 and their solubility difference in reaction medium were the g key to achieving excellent diastereoselectivities.

10 **Keywords**: C–H bond functionalization, tatralin, 11 spirooxiindoles

12 Spirooxindoles with tetrasubstituted stereogenic 13 centers at 3-position are of particular interest because they 14 can be seen in many biologically active compounds and 15 many synthetic chemists have devoted much time and effort to the development of efficient strategies for their 16 synthesis.¹ Among the spirooxindole derivatives, there is 17 18 keen interest in other useful structures, such as pyrrolidine, 19 piperidine, and β -carboline-fused analogs. The fact that 20 some of them have advanced into clinical trials has made 21 them even more attractive synthetic targets than ever.²

Tetralins (tetrahydronaphthalenes) are found in many 22 23 bioactive molecules³ and the potent biological properties of 24 compounds possessing a tetralin and an oxindole hybrid 25 core are easy to imagine. However, to our surprise, very 26 few synthetic methods have been reported for tetralin-fused 27 spirooxindoles, especially for spirooxindole unit at 2-28 position of the tetralin core. Connon's group⁴ and Peng and 29 Huang's group⁵ independently developed an effective 30 method to synthesize the target structure by adopting Tamura cyclization and Michael/aldol sequence with 3-31 32 ylidene oxindole. It was notable that the highly 33 diastereoselective syntheses of tetralin-fused spirooxindoles 34 with three contiguous stereogenic centers were realized in 35 both cases. Recently, Liu and Li's group reported a highly diastereoselective synthesis of the target molecule that 36 37 involved a FeCl3-mediated radical tandem reaction of 3-38 benzyl-2-oxindoles with styrenes.⁶ Quite recently, Kesavan 39 and co-workers reported that the Hauser-Craus annulation 40 was also a reliable choice for synthesizing spirocarbocyclic 41 oxindoles.7

42 Recently, hydride shift triggered internal redox 43 reaction have been taken much interest because of its unique 44 features (Scheme 1): (1) transformation of inert, C(sp³)–H 45 bond without external oxidants, and (2) construction of 46 complex polycyclic frameworks from relatively simple 47 starting materials.^{8,9} The latter point stimulated many 48 chemists to the construction of various complex 49 polyheterocycles, including spirooxindoles and its analog

50 (spiroindolenins), based on this methodology. 51



52 EWG = CN, CO₂R, etc.
53 Scheme 1. C(sp³)-H functionalization by the internal redox
54 process.
55

56 The preliminary and important example for the 57 synthesis of these class of skeleton by internal redox 58 reaction was reported by Seidel and co-workers in 2011,^{10a} 59 in which conjugate iminium species derived from indoles (azafulvenium ions) were the key intermediate for 60 61 promoting the [1,5]-hydride shift process. Stimulated by the 62 results, Xu and Sun improved this reaction to the diastereoselective synthesis of spiroindolenins.^{10b} Yuan and 63 co-workers found that this methodology was effective tool 64 diastereoselective 65 for the highly synthesis of tetrahydroquinoline-fused spirooxindoles as shown in 66 Scheme 2 (upper part).^{11a} In 2015, asymmetric variant of 67 Yuan's reaction was accomplished by Feng and co-workers 68 69 with chiral scandium complex.11b

70 As part of our recent efforts to develop new catalytic 71 C-H bond functionalization methodologies (internal redox 72 reaction),¹² we have devised several effective methods for 73 the preparation of various tetralin derivatives.^{12c,d,i} In the 74 early stage, this reaction system was limited to the construction of tetralins with a single stereogenic center.12c,d 75 Quite recently, we found that highly diastereoselective 76 synthesis of 1,3-disubstituted tetralins was achievable.12i 77 78 Motivated by both our recent results and recent precedents 79 for the synthesis of spirooxindoles via internal redox 80 reaction, we turned our attention to the stereoselective 81 construction of tetralin-fused spirooxindoles with 82 contiguous stereogenic centers.

83 Herein we report a highly diastereoselective synthesis 84 of tetralin-fused spirooxindoles via Lewis acid-catalyzed 85 C(sp³)-H bond functionalization. Two factors are essential to achieving excellent diastereoselectivity: (1) the 86 87 interconversion of two diastereomers, and (2) a large 88 solubility difference between the diastereomers in the 89 reaction medium (hexane). The low to moderate 90 diastereoselectivities after the initial product formation were 91 significantly improved by the solubility difference induced 92 diastereomer shift to afford various tetralin-fused 3

Previous works by Yuan^{11a} and Feng^{11b} (formation of tetrahydroquinoline-fused spirooxindoles) R4 Lewis Acid (LA) [1,5]-H shift cyclization R² Ŕ R3 high yields, d.r. = >9:1This work (formation of tetralin-fused spirooxindoles) ≥0 cat. Sc(OTf)₃ hexane NR_6 NRe [1,5]-H shif R⁵ difficult to dissolve R soluble >20 two key points for high d.r 0 interconversion of two diastereomers [Sc] · large solubility difference MR. R

4

5 Scheme 2. Highly diastereoselective synthesis of tetralin6 fused spirooxindoles by Lewis acid-catalyzed C(sp³)-H
7 bond functionalization.

8 Benzylidene oxindole derivative 1a with an N,N-9 dibenzyl ethyl amine moiety at ortho-position was selected as the substrate because of its potentially high reactivity.12f 10 Table 1 illustrates the results of examination of the reaction 11 conditions. When a solution of $1a^{13}$ in ClCH₂CH₂Cl was 12 treated with 10 mol % of Yb(OTf)3, which showed excellent 13 catalytic performance in our previous study (1-aminoindane 14 15 formation reaction from benzylamine derivatives),^{12f} the 16 desired reaction proceeded smoothly to afford adduct 2a in 17 excellent chemical yield with low diastereoselectivity (92%, 18 d.r. = 2.0:1). Gd(OTf)₃ was also effective but the 19 diastereoselectivity remained low (d.r. = 2.0:1, entry 2). 20 Both Hf(OTf)₄ and Mg(OTf)₂ also afforded desired adduct 21 excellent chemical yields 2a in with low 22 diastereoselectivities (entries 3 and 4, d.r. = 1.2-2.3:1). 23 Sc(OTf)₃ resulted in a slightly improved diastereoselectivity 24 of 2.9:1 while maintaining excellent chemical yield (95%, 25 entry 5). Well-known and inexpensive strong Lewis acids, 26 such as TiCl4 and SnCl4 afforded 2a in good chemical yields 27 with low diastereoselectivities (d.r. = 2.3-2.6:1, entries 6 28 and 7).

29 Next, the reaction solvent was examined with Sc(OTf)3 30 as the catalyst, which offered quite interesting results. 31 Diastereoselectivity was dramatically changed by the 32 reaction solvent. Whereas diastereoselectivity was slightly 33 decreased in toluene (d.r. = 1.5:1, entry 8), moderate 34 selectivity was achieved in benzotrifluoride (d.r. = 4.6:1, 35 entry 10). In particular, less polar solvents dramatically 36 improved diastereoselectivity and hexane turned out to be 37 the best. One diastereomer was obtained exclusively (d.r. =38 >20:1. entry 12).

39 The strong electron-donating ability of the amine 40 moiety was indispensable to promote the desired hydride 41 shift process, that is, both benzyl phenethyl ether derivative 42 (*O*-analog) and *p*-methoxyphenethyl derivative (*C*-analog)

43 did not afford the desired adducts completely.

44

4

45 **Table 1.** Examination of reaction conditions.

6	(NH NBn ₂ -	10 mol % catalyst solvent reflux, 15–26 h	NH NBn ₂ 2a	
	Run	Catalyst	Solvent	Yield	D.r. ^a
				(%)	
	1	Yb(OTf) ₃	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	92	2.0:1
	2	Gd(OTf) ₃	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	99	2.0:1
	3	Hf(OTf)4	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	97	1.2:1
	4	Mg(OTf) ₂	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	86	2.3:1
	5	Sc(OTf) ₃	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	95	2.9:1
	6	TiCl ₄	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	95	2.6:1
	7	SnCl ₄	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	81	2.3:1
	8	Sc(OTf) ₃	toluene	98	1.5:1
	9	Sc(OTf) ₃	<i>p</i> -xylene	99	3.5:1
	10	Sc(OTf) ₃	benzotrifluorid e	90	4.6:1
	11	Sc(OTf) ₃	cyclohexane	98	15:1
	12	Sc(OTf) ₃	hexane	96	>20:1

47 ^a Diastereomeric ratio was determined by comparing the 48 integration value of the NH proton of oxindole moiety for each 49 diastereomer in the ¹H NMR spectra.

50 Fortunately, the two diastereomers were separable by 51 silica-gel column chromatography and so we could examine 52 the reversibility of the reaction by subjecting each 53 diastereomer (2aa and 2ab) to the optimized reaction 54 conditions (entry 12, Table 1). These experiments gave two 55 important pieces of information to understand the dramatic 56 solvent effect of hexane (Scheme 3). First, the same 57 diastereomeric ratio was obtained from both diastereomers 58 and **2aa** was obtained exclusively (**2aa**:**2ab** = >20:1), which 59 clearly indicated the reversibility of the reaction. Second, the solubility of 2aa and 2ab in hexane was completely 60 different, that is, whereas minor diastereomer 2ab was 61 62 easily solubilized in refluxing hexane, major diastereomer 63 2aa was not soluble at all. When 2ab was subjected to the 64 reaction conditions, the reaction mixture became a clear 65 solution immediately (in less than 5 min) and a suspension 66 24 h later. In sharp contrast, the reaction mixture remained 67 a suspension with 2aa until the end of the reaction (24 h). It 68 is worthy to note that the situation was markedly different in ClCH₂CH₂Cl, which exhibited low diastereoselectivity 69 70 (d.r.= 2.9:1, entry 5, Table 1). The reaction mixture 71 maintained a clear solution from the start to the end of the 72 reaction for both diastereomers, and the same 73 diastereomeric ratio was obtained (2aa:2ab = 2.5:1). These 74 results suggest that the excellent diastereoselectivity in this

reaction might not be a result of thermodynamic control, in
 contrast to our recent report of the highly diastereoselective
 synthesis of 1,3-disubstituted tetralins.¹²ⁱ

3 4

5



6 Scheme 3. Examination of the reversibility of the reaction.

8 Based on the above results, the excellent 9 diastereoselectivity of the reaction was rationalized as 10 follows (Scheme 4): two diastereomers 2aa and 2ab interconverted easily under Sc(OTf)3 catalysis through ring-11 12 opening and ring-closing processes via B, and there was 13 only a small thermodynamic bias between these 14 diastereomers according to the results with ClCH2CH2Cl 15 The key to achieving the excellent (vide supra). 16 diastereoselectivity is the solubility difference between the 17 diastereomers in hexane. The low solubility of 2aa in 18 hexane induced solidification, which led to the consumption 19 of **2ab** by the redistribution of equilibrium. Repeating this 20 process (solidification/redistribution of equilibrium) resulted 21 in the accumulation of 2aa in the solid form, and as a result, excellent diastereoselectivity was achieved.14, 15, 16 22

22



Scheme 4. Rationale for high diastereoselectivity.

The substrate scope of this reaction is summarized in 28 Figure 1. Both excellent chemical yields and excellent 29 diastereoselectivities were achieved in the case of oxindole 30 derivatives 2b-d possessing various substituents such as Me 31 and Cl groups at 5 or 6-position of the oxindole unit (86-32 95%, d.r. = >20:1). The electronic nature of the aromatic 33 ring of the tetralin core was almost negligible in this 34 reaction. Substrates 1e-j with electron-donating groups 35 (Me and OMe) and an electron-withdrawing group (F) 36 afforded corresponding adducts 2e-j in excellent chemical

37 yields with high to excellent diastereoselectivities (86-99%, 38 $d.r. = 7.1 \rightarrow 20:1$). On the other hand, naphthyl-type product 39 2k was obtained with moderate diastereoselectivity even in 40 hexane (d.r. = 5.1:1), which was ascribed to the decreased 41 solubility difference between the two diastereomers. In all 42 cases, employment of hexane as the reaction solvent was the 43 key to achieving excellent diastereoselectivities, and low 44 diastereoselectivities were observed when the reactions were conducted in ClCH₂CH₂Cl.¹⁷ 45 The relative 46 stereochemistries of major isomers were surmised as shown 47 in Table 1, Schemes 1-3, and Figure 1 by analogy to 2aa 48 stereochemistries and 2c, whose relative were 49 established by X-ray crystallographic unambiguously 50 analysis.18



52 91%, *d.r.* = 1.3:1
53 Figure 1. Substrate scope.

54

55 In summary, we have developed a highly 56 diastereoselective synthesis of tetralin-fused spirooxindoles 57 via Lewis acid-catalyzed $C(sp^3)$ –H bond functionalization. 58 Various substituents, such as electron-donating and 59 electron-withdrawing groups on the aromatic ring, had an 60 almost negligible effect on the reaction, and corresponding 61 adducts **2a**–**j** were obtained in good to excellent chemical

yields with excellent diastereoselectivities (up to >20:1). 1

68

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

<u>9</u>3

94

95

96

97

98

99

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

111

122

123

2 Detailed investigation of the reaction mechanism revealed 3

two key points for the excellent diastereoselectivity: (1)

interconversion of the two diastereomers, and (2) a large 4 5

solubility difference in hexane. Further investigations of the

diastereoselective construction of polycycles by means of a 6

7 hydride shift/cyclization system are underway in our 8 laboratory and the results will be reported in due course.

9

10 Supporting Information is available on http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.*****. 11

12 **References and Notes**

- 13 For recent reviews on the synthesis of spirooxindoles, see: a) C. 14 V. Galliford, K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 15 8748. b) B. M. Trost, M. K. Brennan, Synthesis 2009, 3003. c) F. 16 Zhou, Y.-L. Liu, J. Zhou, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1381. d) 17 N. R. Ball-Jones, J. J. Badillo A. K. Franz, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5165. e) L. Hong, R. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1023. f) D. Cheng, Y. Ishihara, B. Tan, C. F. Barbas III, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 743.
- 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 a) M. Rottmann, C. McNamara, B. K. S. Yeung, M. C. S. Lee, B. 2 Zou, B. Russell, P. Seitz, D. M. Plouffe, N. V. Dharia, J. Tan, S. B. Cohen, K. R. Spencer, G. E. González-Páez, S. B. Lakshminarayana, A. Goh, R. Suwanarusk, T. Jegla, E. K. Schmitt, H. P. Beck, R. Brun, F. Nosten, L. Renia, V. Dartois, T. H. Keller, D. A. Fidock, E. A. Winzeler, T. T. Diagana, Science, 2010, 329, 1175. b) S. Y. Leong, P. W. Smith, B. Zou, Chin. J. Chem. 2014, 32, 1217. c) S. Wang, W. Sun, Y. Zhao, D. McEachern, I. Meaux, C. Barrière, J. A. Stuckey, J. L. Meagher, L. Bai, L. Liu, C. G. Hoffman-Luca, J. Lu, S. Shangary, S. Yu, D. Bernard, A. Aguilar, O. Dos-Santos, L. Besret, S. Guerif, P. Pannier, D. Gorge-Bernat, L. Debussche, Cancer Res. 2014, 74, 5855. SEP
- 3 For some natural products and pharmaceuticals containing tetralin scaffold, see: a) O. Pinto, J. Sardinha, P. D. Vaz, F. Piedade, M. J. Calhorda, R. Abramovitch, N. Nazareth, M. Pinto, M. S. J. Nascimento, A. P. Rauter, J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 3175. b) M. Kvasnica, L. Rarova, J. Oklestkova, M. Budesinsky L. 39 Kohout, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2012, 20, 6969. c) J. H. Soper, S. 40 41 42 43 Sugiyama, K. Herbst-Robinson, M. J. James, X. Wang, J. Q. Trojanowski, A. B. Smith III, V. M. Y. Lee, C. Ballatore, K. R. Brunden, ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2012, 3, 928. d) M. J. Hatfield, L. G. Tsurkan, J. L. Hyatt, C. C. Edwards, A. Lemoff, C. Jeffries, B. Yan, P. M. Potter, J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 36. e) Gamal-Eldeen, M.; Hamdy, N. A.; Abdel-Aziz, H. A.; El-Hussieny, E. A.; Fakhr, I. M. I. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 77, 323.
 - F. Manoni, S. J. Connon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2628. 4
- 5 B. Wang, H.-J. Leng, X.-Y. Yang, B. Han, C.-L. Rao, L. Liu, C. Peng, W. Huang, RSC. Adv. 2015, 8, 88272.
- H.-R. Wu, L. Cheng, D.-L. Kong, H.-Y. Huang, C.-L. Gu, L. Liu, 6 D. Li, Wang, C.-J.. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1382.
- 7 K. Lokesh, V. Kesavan, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 5689.
- 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 8 For recent reviews on internal redox process, see: a) M. Tobisu, N. Chatani, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1683. b) S. C. Pan, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1374. c) M. Wang, ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 1291. d) B. Peng, N. Maulide, Chem, Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13274. e) L. Wang, J. Xiao, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1137. f) M. C. Haibach, D. Seidel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5010. g) S. J. Kwon, D. Y. Kim, Chem. Rec. 2016, 16.1191.
- 61 9 For selected examples of internal redox reactions, see: a) S. J. 62 Pastine, K. M. McQuaid, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 63 12180. b) S. J. Pastine, D. Sames, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5429. c) A. 64 Polonka-Bálint, C. Saraceno, K. Ludányi, A. Bényei, P. Mátyus, Synlett 2008, 2846. d) C. Zhang, S. Murarka, D. Seidel, J. Org. 65 66 Chem. 2009, 74, 419. e) K. M. McQuaid, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. 67 Soc. 2009, 131, 402. f) D. Shinkai, H. Murase, T. Hata, H. Urabe,

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3166. g) J. C. Ruble, A. R. Hurd, T. A. Johnson, D. A.; Sherry, M. Barbachyn, R. P. L. Toogood, G. L. Bundy, D. R. Graber, G. M. Kamilar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3991. h) M. J. Mahoney, D. T. Moon, J. Hollinger, E. Fillion, Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4706. i) P. A. Vadola, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16525. j) G. Zhou, J. Zhang, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6593. k) I. D. Jurberg, B. Peng, E. Wöstefeld, M. Wasserloos, N. Maulide, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1950. 1) X. Gao, V. Gaddam, E. Altenhofer, R. R. Tata, Z. Cai, N. A. Yongpruksa, K. Garimallaprabhakaran, M. Harmata, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7016. m) D.-F. Chen, Z.-Y. Han, Y.-P. He, J. Yu, L.-Z. Gong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12307. n) S. Shaaban, N. Maulide, Synlett 2013, 1722. o) A. Dieckmann, M. T. Richers, A. Y. Platonoova, C. Zhang, D. Seidel, N. K. Houk, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 4132. p) A. Vidal, M. Martin-Luna, M. Alajarin, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 878. q) Y.-Z. Chang, M.-L. Li, W.-F. Zhao, X. Wen, H. Sun, Q.-L. Xu, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 9620. r) C. W. Suh, S. J. Kwon, D. Y. Kim, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1334. s) S.-S. Li, L. Zhou, L. Wang, H. Zhao, L. Yu, J. Xiao, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 138.

- 10 For the synthesis of tetrahydroquinoline fused-spiroindoles by internal redox reaction, see: a) M. C. Hablich, I. Deb, C. Kanta De, D. Seidel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2100. b) P.-F. Wang, C.-H. Jiang, X. Wen, Q.-L. Xu, H. Sun, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 1155.
- 11 For the synthesis of tetrahydroquinoline fused-spirooxindoles by internal redox reaction, see: a) Y.-Y. Han, W.-Y. Han, X. Hou, X.-M. Zhang, W.-C. Yuan. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4054. Asymmetric version, see: b) W. Cao, X. Liu, J. Guo, L. Lin, X. Feng, Eur. Chem. J. 2015, 21, 1632.
- 12 For the internal redox reaction developed by our group, see: a) K. Mori, Y. Ohshima, K. Ehara, T. Akiyama, Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 100 524. b) K. Mori, T. Kawasaki, S. Sueoka, T. Akiyama, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1732. c) K. Mori, S. Sueoka, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2424. d) K. Mori, S. Sueoka, T. Akiyama, Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1386. e) K. Mori, T. Kawasaki, T. Akiyama, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1436. f) K. Mori, K. Kurihara, T. Akiyama, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3729. g) K. Mori, K. Kurihara, S. Yabe, M. Yamanaka, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3744. h) K. Mori, N. Umehara, T. Akiyama, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 901. i) T. Yoshida, K. Mori, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 4319; For an asymmetric version of internal redox reaction catalyzed 110 by chiral phosphoric acid, see: j) K. Mori, K. Ehara, K. Kurihara, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6166.
- 112 The geometry of **1a** was determined to be E by X-ray 13 113 crystallographic analysis.
- 114 14 Employment of NH-free substrate 1, which has low solubility in 115 hexane, was important to achieve excellent diastereoselectivity. 116 When the reaction was conducted with more lipophilic N-Bn 117 analog 3, the diastereomeric ratio remained low even with 118 hexane (d.r. = 2.5:1).



96%, d.r. = 2.5:1 in hexane 98%, d.r. = 1.8:1 in CICH₂CH₂C

- $119 \\ 120$ 15 Excellent diastereoselectivity was also achieved even with 121 Yb(OTf)₃, Gd(OTf)₃, and Hf(OTf)₄ in hexane.
 - 16 High electron donating ability of alkylamine moiety would be important for promoting the retro-aldol reaction
- 124 17 Attempts to extend the present reaction to the catalyzed, 125 enantioselective reaction with chiral Sc-complex (with several 126 Py-BOX ligands) were unsuccessful and 2aa was obtained in 127 the racemic form.
- 128 CCDC-1818264, 1818265, and 1818266 contains 18 the 129 supplementary crystallographic data of 1a, 2aa, and 2a (See SI 130 for details). This data can be obtained free of charge from The

5

1 2 3 via