

Communication

Arene-Metal #-Complexation as a Traceless Reactivity Enhancer for C–H Arylation

Paolo Ricci, Katrina Kramer, Xacobe Couso Cambeiro, and Igor Larrosa

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/ja405936s • Publication Date (Web): 20 Aug 2013

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on August 24, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Arene-Metal π -Complexation as a Traceless Reactivity Enhancer for C–H Arylation

Paolo Ricci[‡], Katrina Krämer[‡], Xacobe C. Cambeiro and Igor Larrosa*

School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Joseph Priestley Building, Mile End Road, E1 4NS, London, U.K.

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Current approaches to facilitate C-H arylation of arenes involve either the use of strongly electronwithdrawing substituents or of directing groups. Both of these approaches require the structural modification of the arene, limiting their generality. We present a new approach where C-H arylation is made possible without altering the connectivity of the arene via π -complexation of a Cr(CO)₃ unit, which great-ly enhances the reactivity of the aromatic C-H bonds. We apply this approach to monofluorobenzenes, highly unreactive arenes, which upon complexation become nearly as reactive as pentafluorobenzene itself in their couplings with io-doarenes. DFT calculations indicate that C-H activation via a concerted metallation-deprotonation (CMD) transition state is facilitated by the predisposition of C-H bonds in (CO)₃Cr-Ar-H to bend out of the aromatic plane.

C-H arylation is a new and promising tool for the construction of biaryl containing molecules,¹ which has already found important applications in the synthesis of organic materials, pharmaceuticals and natural products.² Despite tremendous advances and continuous effort in this field, C-H arylation of arenes is to date almost exclusively limited to three types of substrates (Scheme 1a): (1) arenes bearing a directing group (DG), a functional group capable of coordinating the transition metal catalyst and facilitating C-H activation;^{3,4} (2) highly electron-rich arenes;⁵ and (3) highly electron-poor arenes, generally requiring two electron-withdrawing groups ortho to the C-H bond to be activated.⁶ These three approaches are only suitable for arenes bearing an appropriate covalently bonded 'reactivity enhancing' substituent. Substrates lacking this feature are either unreactive, or must be used in large excesses (often as solvents).7 Typically, fluorobenzene, with only one electron-withdrawing group, is highly unreactive and 50 equiv are required to facilitate its C-H arylation which leads to mixtures of ortho, meta and para biaryls.⁷b,f

It has been shown that the high reactivity of electron-poor arenes can be explained by a concerted metallationdeprotonation process (CMD).⁶_a In many cases there seems to be a paralleling trend between reactivity of the arene and the Brønsted acidity of the C-H bond. It is well known that low valent metal carbonyls such as $Cr(CO)_3$ are able to form stable η^6 -complexes with arenes resulting in a decrease of electrondensity in the arene similar to that of a strong electronwithdrawing group, and consequently an increase in acidity.⁸ Upon complexation to $Cr(CO)_3$, the pKa of benzene is lowered by 7 units, a similar effect as that of an *ortho* NO₂ group.⁹ This effect also lowers pKa at benzylic positions, which has recently been exploited by Walsh and coworkers in Pd-catalyzed processes.¹⁰ Interestingly, the effect on arene C-H bonds has never been explored in the context of C-H arylation. Thus, we hypothesized that the Cr(CO)₃ complexes of simple arenes would display greatly enhanced reactivity under CMD type arylation conditions, compared to the parent arenes. This would lead to a new approach (Scheme 1b) for enhancing the reactivity of simple arenes towards C-H arylation simply by coordinating them to a Cr(CO)₃ fragment *without the need for altering their connectivity*.

Scheme 1. Strategies for enhancing reactivity of a C-H bond towards direct arylation

 a) current classes of substrates capable of undergoing C-H arylation smoothly. Common position for arylation is highlighted.



In order to test our hypothesis we chose monofluorobenzenes as benchmark substrates. The direct arylation of 2fluorotoluene has never been reported. Its complex, 1a, was prepared and the reactivity of **1a** towards C-H arylation was examined (Table 1).¹¹ Initially, we tested Fagnou's well-known catalytic system,7b followed by in situ oxidative demetallation (Table 1, entry 1).¹² Complex 1a was incompatible with DMA and solvent screening revealed PhCH3 as the ideal solvent (entry 2). 13 Carboxylic acid screening showed that $1\mathcar{-}AdCO_2H$ provided similar yields and cleaner reactions than ^tBuCO₂H (entry 3). Ligandless conditions, initially suggested by Hartwig, were tested unsuccessfully (entry 4).¹⁴ Further Pd catalyst screening revealed that Pd(PPh₃)₄ was optimal for this transformation (entries 5-7). Lowering the temperature from 120 to 60 °C provided the best conditions (entry 8), due to increased stability of Cr-complex 1a. The ortho regioisomer **3aa** is observed exclusively. The presence of a carboxylic acid is key for achieving high yields, consistent with previous reports on CMD-type C-H activation methodologies (entry 9).67

Table 1. Optimization of the Direct Arylation of (2-fluorotoluene)Cr(CO)₃ (1a) with 4-lodoanisole (2a)^a



Τ.	I u(OAC)2+Davel 1103	Ducozn	120	0
2	Pd(OAc) ₂ +DavePhos	^t BuCO ₂ H	120	13
3	Pd(OAc) ₂ +DavePhos	1-AdCO ₂ H	120	12
4	Pd(OAc) ₂	1-AdCO ₂ H	120	0
5	Pd(OAc) ₂ +PPh ₃	1-AdCO ₂ H	120	24
6^d	Pd(OAc) ₂ +PPh ₃	1-AdCO ₂ H	120	41
7	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	1-AdCO ₂ H	120	68
8	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	1-AdCO ₂ H	60	90 (89) ^e
9	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	60	64
10	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	1-AdCO ₂ H	60	0 <i>f</i>

^{*a*} Reactions carried out on 0.1 mmol scale with respect to **1a**. 10% of phosphine ligand was used in entries 1-3 and 5. ^{*b*} The yield was determined by ¹H NMR using an internal standard. ^{*c*} The reaction was carried out in DMA instead of PhCH₃. ^{*d*} 20% of PPh₃ was used. ^{*e*} Isolated yield on 0.5 mmol scale. The reaction was carried out for 40 h instead of 24 h. ^{*f*} 4-Chloroanisole and 4-bromoanisole were used instead of 4-iodoanisole.

Control experiments with 1-10 equiv of 2-fluorotoluene showed no C-H arylation reaction (Scheme 2), highlighting the outstanding reactivity enhancing effect imparted by $Cr(CO)_3$ coordination. In order to determine the extent of this enhancement, we performed a series of competition experiments between $Cr(CO)_3$ complex **1a** and a number of polyfluorobenzenes at short reaction times (Figure 1). Remarkably, the reactivity of complex **1a** towards C-H arylation is close to that of pentafluorobenzene. A KIE of 2.1 was measured for the arylation of **1a** indicating that the C-H activation occurs in the turnover-limiting step.

Scheme 2. Reaction of uncomplexed 2-fluorotoluene



Having validated our hypothesis we set out to explore the generality of the methodology with respect to the iodoarene coupling partner (Scheme 3, a). The optimized conditions were applicable to a wide range of iodoarenes with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents in *para, meta* and *ortho*, affording the corresponding biaryl products **3** in excellent yields. The reaction is compatible with Cl and Br substituents (**3ac-d**), which would allow for further Pd-mediated transformations, esters (**3af** and **3al**), ketones (**3ag**). Oxidisable functionalities, like aldehydes (**3ak**) and SMe (**3al**) are compatible, but alcohols need to be protected (**3am**). Pyridine and indole based iodoarene compounds can also be used (**3ar-s**), albeit higher temperatures are required in the case of **2r** for the reaction to proceed satisfactorily.

We then turned our attention to the substitution at the fluoroarene core (Scheme 3, b).¹¹ Functionalities in *ortho* such as CH₂OTBS (**3ba**), SiMe₃ (**3ca**), CO₂Me (**3da**) and long alkyl chains (**3fa**) were all compatible with the reaction, affording

Figure 1. Relative reactivity between Cr-complex 1a and polyfluorobenzenes towards C-H arylation^{*a*}



^{*a*} **1a** and a polyfluoroarene were reacted with **2a** in the same flask. Reactions were stopped at low conversions (10-20%) and ratios determined by ¹H NMR using an internal standard.

Scheme 3. Scope of the Pd-catalysed Direct Arylation of (fluoroarene)Cr(CO)₃ 1a-j with iodoarenes 2a-p. ^{a,b}



b) Scope of fluoroarene-Cr(CO)₃ complex: Ar¹ = p-C₆H₄-OMe; Ar² = o-C₆H₄-OMe



^{*a*} Reactions were performed on 0.5 mmol scale. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} Reaction time was 48 h. ^{*d*} Performed at 70 °C with 3 equiv of **20** and 1.5 equiv of Ag₂CO₃. ^{*e*} Performed with 3.0 equiv of **2a** or **2n**. ^{*f*} 14% of the other *ortho* regioisomer was also obtained. ^{*g*} Performed with 4.0 equiv of **2a**.

excellent yields of the arylated products. Even in the presence of a strongly electron-donating group (MeO) on the fluoroarene the arylation proceeded in good yield (**3ea**). When the substituents were placed in the *meta* position, a second arylation product could be observed in some cases, with the major arylation product being at the least hindered position (**3ga**). This could be avoided by employing an *ortho*substituted iodoarene (**3hn-kn**). Finally, with a substituent in *para*-position (**1n-m**) or in the absence of a substituent (**1**), mixtures of mono and double arylation were obtained. The use of 3 equiv of iodoarene, allowed for the synthesis of the bisarylated adducts **4la-ma** in good yields. The reactivity of other electron-poor arenes (Ph-CF₃ and Ph-CO₂Me) towards C-H arylation is also enhanced via Cr(CO)₃ complexation, however, poor regioselectivities were observed leading to complex mixtures of regioisomers and polyarylation.¹⁵

The Cr(CO)₃ complexed biaryls can also be isolated before decomplexation which allows easy functionalization of the C-F bond via a variety of nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions.¹⁶ For example, arylated complex **3aa-Cr(CO)**₃ (Scheme 4) was isolated in 72% yield. The C-F bond could then be substituted with N-, C-, S-, and O-nucleophiles leading to a variety of functionalities in excellent yields: pyrrolidine (**5**), indole (**6**), NH₂ (**7**), CN (**8**), SEt (**9**) and OPMB (**10**).

Scheme 4. Nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions on arylation product 3aa-Cr(CO)₃.



a) Pyrrolidine, K₂CO₃, DMSO; DMSO, 80 °C. b) NaH, CF₃CONH₂, DMF; NaOH, EtOH.
c) NaH, Indole, 15-crown-5-ether, PhCH₃; MnO₂, AcOH. d) KCN, DMSO; DMSO, 80 °C.
e) NaH, EtSH, THF; hv. f) NaH, PMB-OH, THF; MnO₂, AcOH.

Figure 2. CMD pathway and distortion-interaction analysis for C-H bond activation of complex 1k.^{*a*}



 a Structures and energies calculated by DFT (B3LYP / DZVP / TZVP). Gibbs free energies (G) in kcal·mol-1. ΔG_{dist} : distortion energy; ΔG_{int} : interaction energy.

In order to probe the origin of the enhanced reactivity of $Cr(CO)_3$ complexes **1** towards C-H arylation, DFT calculations were carried out with C_6H_5F or C_6H_5F - $Cr(CO)_3$ complex (**1k**) and [Pd(PMe_3)(Ph)OAc] (**11**) as the active species effecting the C-H activation (Figure 2),¹⁷ which has already been shown to accurately mimic the experimental results of CMD processes for simple arenes.^{18,19} The calculated transition state (TS) for **1k** is significantly lower (by 5.7 kcal/mol) than the one

calculated for C_6H_5F , which is consistent with the experimentally observed reactivity.

To gain better understanding of the different factors involved in the energy difference between both TS, distortioninteraction analysis was performed.¹⁹ This analysis separates and quantifies the energy cost for distorting each of the reagents from their minimum energy geometry to the geometry adopted in the TS (ΔG_{dist}), and the energy released in their interaction (ΔG_{int}). This revealed that while the interaction is less favorable for complex **1k** than for C₆H₅F (by 14.4 kcal/mol), this factor is overcome by the much lower distortion energy cost of **1k** compared to C₆H₅F (by -20.0 kcal/mol).

The ground state and TS geometry of the arenes are essentially identical except for the C-H bond undergoing activation: in the TS of **1k** this C-H bond is significantly elongated (1.419 Å versus 1.081 Å in the ground state) and the H is significantly deviated from the arene plane (33.7° versus 2.1° in the ground state). A study of the contribution of these two factors to ΔG_{dis} for C₆H₅F and **1k** showed that while the elongation energy is roughly the same in both cases $(\Delta G_{el}(C_6H_5F) - \Delta G_{el}(\mathbf{1k}) = 1.0$ kcal/mol), the bending energy showed a very significant change in favor of complex $1k (\Delta G_{bend}(C_6H_5F) - \Delta G_{bend}(1k) =$ 19.1 kcal/mol). Therefore, the role of the Cr(CO)₃ fragment is to facilitate the bending of the H in the TS leading to concerted metallation-deprotonation. This is in stark contrast to previous results for a variety of arenes, where ΔG_{bend} was found to be generally constant, and ΔG_{el} , which is directly related to the acidity of the H, to be responsible for the differences in reactivity.^{19h} Interestingly, a survey of reported crystal structures of (ArH)-Cr(CO)₃ complexes shows that the arene C-H bonds are generally bent out of the plane towards the Cr.²⁰

To test the applicability of our approach for enhancing the reactivity of less electron-poor arenes, we examined the effect of complexation on unsubstituted benzene, which has been reported to be 11-fold less reactive than fluorobenzene under CMD conditions.^{7b} Gratifyingly, complex **1p** reacted with **2a** under our standard conditions at 100 °C to form the corresponding biaryl product in 42% yield. This demonstrates that our approach is effective even in the absence of an electron-withdrawing group in the arene (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Complexation-enabled direct arylation of benzene.



In conclusion, we have demonstrated a novel approach for dramatically enhancing the reactivity of simple arenes towards C-H arylation via π -complexation to Cr(CO)₃. This is the first general methodology for the direct arylation of monofluorobenzenes affording excellent yields of *ortho*-substituted biaryls with high selectivity. The arylated complexes can be further derivatised, before decomplexation, by reaction with a variety of nucleophiles. Our studies indicate that the observed effect results from a decrease of the energy cost required for distorting the C-H bond of the complexed arene in the CMD transition state. Current studies are directed towards expanding the substrate and reaction scope of this new strategy.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures and compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

Corresponding Author

* i.larrosa@qmul.ac.uk

Author Contributions

These authors contributed equally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to the European Research Council for a Starting Research Grant (I.L.), Marie Curie Foundation for an Intra-European Fellowship (X.C.C.), QMUL for a studentship (K.K.) and EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service (Swansea).

REFERENCES

K. Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 2116; (e) Chen, F.; Min, Q.-Q.; Zhang, X. J. Org. Chem. **2012**, *77*, 2992; (f) Wang, Y.-N.; Guo, X.-Q.; Zhu, X.-H.; Zhong, R.; Cai, L.-H.; Hou, X.-F. Chem. Commun. **2012**, *48*, 10437.

⁷ For a recent review on "undirected" C-H activation, see: (a) Kuhl, N.; Hopkinson, N.; Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 10236. For selected examples, see: (b) Lafrance, M.; Fagnou, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16496; (c) Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. *Science* **2007**, *316*, 1172; (d) Yeung, C. S.; Zhao, X.; Borduas, N.; Dong, V. M. *Chem. Sci.* **2010**, *1*, 331; (e) Liu, W.; Cao, H.; Lei, A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 2004; (f) Wang, X.; Leow, D.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13864.

⁸ For recent publications on (arene)chromium tricarbonyl complexes in organic synthesis, see: (a) Littke, A. F.; Fu, G. C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, 41, 4176; (b) Kündig, P. E., Ed. Topics in Organometallic Chemistry: Transition Metal Arene π-Complexes in Organic Synthesis and Catalysis, 1st ed.; Springer: Berlin Heidelberg, **2004**; (c) Prim, D.; Andrioletti, B.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Couty, F. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 3325; (d) Rosillo, M.; Domínguez, G.; Pérez-Castells, J. Chem. Soc. *Rev.* **2007**, 36, 1589; (e) Murai, M.; Uenishi, J.; Uemura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, 12, 4788.

⁹ Brown, D. A.; Raju, J. R. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1966**, 40.

¹⁰ (a) McGrew, G. I.; Temaismithi, J.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 5541; (b) Zhang, J.; Stanciu, C.; Wang, B.; Hussain, M. M.; Da, C.-S.; Carroll, P. J.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**; (c) McGrew, G. I.; Stanciu, C.; Zhang, J.; Carroll, J. P.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 11510.

¹¹ Preparation of complexes **1a-j** was accomplished by reacting 2-10 equiv of the arene with Cr(CO)₆ in refluxing Bu₂O:THF. See SI and: (a) Mahaffy, C. A. L. J. Organomet. Chem. **1986**, 262, 33; (b) Sebhat, I. K., Tan, Y.-L.; Widdowson, D. A.; Wilhelm, R.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Tetrahedron **2000**, 56, 6121; (c) Hong, F.-E.; Lo, S.-C.; Liou, M.-W.; Chang, Y.-T.; Lin, C.-C. J. Organomet. Chem. **1996**, 506, 101.

 12 The MnO₂/AcOH system provides a novel fast and practical decomplexation procedure. Substrates with functionalities sensitive to oxidation (Scheme 4) can be thermally decomplexed in DMSO at 80 °C or under visible light irradiation.

¹³ See SI for complete optimization data.

¹⁴ Tan, Y.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3308.

 15 The yields of mono-arylation products obtained after chromatographic purification were: for Ph-CF₃, 25% (o:m:p 4.5:7:1), and for Ph-CO₂Me, 24% (o:m:p 4:7:1).

¹⁶ (a) Astruc, D., Ed. Modern Arene Chemistry, 1st Ed.; Wiley: Weinheim, **2002**; (b) Davies, S. G.; Hume, W. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm.* **1995**, 251; (c) Semmelhack, S. F.; Schmalz, H. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 3089; (d) Baldoli, C.; Del Buttero, P.; Maiorana, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4049; (e) Semmelhack M. F.; Hilt, G.; Colley, J. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7683; (f) Dickens, M. J.; Gilday, J. P.; Mowlem, T. J.; Widdowson; D. A. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 8621.

¹⁷ B3LYP functional and TZVP basis set were used for main group atoms (C, H, F, O, P) and DZPV for transition metals (Pd, Cr).

¹⁸ For reviews, see: (a) Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *Chem. Lett.* 2010, *39*, 1118. (b) Ackermann, L. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 1315. (c) Gorelsky, S. I. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2013, *257*, 153.

¹⁹ (a) Garcia-Cuadrado, D.; De Mendoza, P.; Braga, A. A. C.; Maseras, F. A. Echavarren, A. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, *129*, 6680; (b) Caron, L.; Campeau, L.-C.; Fagnou, K. Org. Lett., **2008**, *10*, 4533; (c) Gorelsky, S.-I.; Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2008**, *130*, 10848; (d) Lafrance, M.; Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *Tetrahedron*, **2008**, *64*, 6015; (e) Pascual, S.; de Mendoza, P.; Braga, A. A. C.; Maseras, F.; Echavarren, A. M. *Tetrahedron*, **2008**, *64*, 6021; (f) Liegault, B.; Lapointe, D.; Caron, L; Vlassova, A.; Fagnou, K. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2009**, *74*, 1826; (g) Liegault, B.; Petrov, I; Gorelsky, S. I.; Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2010**, *75*, 1047; (h) Gorelsky, S. I.; Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2012**, *77*, 658.
²⁰ (a) Rees, B.; Coppens, P. Acta Crystallogr., Sect B: Struct. Crystallogr.

²⁰ (a) Rees, B.; Coppens, P. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.* **1973**, *29*, 2515; (b) Muetterties, E. L.; Bleeke, J. R.; Wucherer, E. J. **1982**, *82*, 499.

¹ For recent reviews on direct arylation reactions and Pd-catalysed C– H activation methodologies, see: (a) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 174; (b) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R.; Kapdi, A. R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2009**, *48*, 9792; (c) Chen, X.; Engle, K.M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5094; (d) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1074; (e) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1147; (f) Jin-Quan Yu, Z. S., Ed. *Topics in Current Chemistry: C-H Activation*, 1st ed.; Springer: Berlin Heidelberg, **2010**; (g) Wencel-Delord, J.; Dröge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 4740.

² (a) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
2012, *51*, 8960; (b) Chen, D. Y.-K.; Youn, S. W. Chem. Eur. J. **2012**, *18*, 9452; (c) Facchetti, A.; Vaccaro, L.; Marrocchi, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
2012, *51*, 3520.

³ (a) Chiong, H. A.; Pham, Q.-N.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9879; (b) Wang, D.-H.; Mei, T.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17676; (c) Nishikata, T.; Abela, A. R.; Huang, S.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4978; (d) Cornella, J.; Righi, M.; Larrosa, I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9429; (e) Tredwell, M. J.; Gulias, M.; Bremeyer, N. G.; Johansson, C. C. C.; Collins, B. S. L.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1076; (f) Kalyani, D.; McMurtrey, K. B.; Neufeldt, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18566; (g) Ackermann, L.; Pospech, J.; Potukuchi, H. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2146; (h) Gulevich, A. V.; Melkonyan, F. S.; Sarkar, D.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5528; (i) Pan, F.; Lei, Z.-Q.; Wang, H.; Li, H.; Sun, J.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 4, 664; (k) Arroniz, C.; Ironmonger, A.; Rassias, G.; Larrosa, I. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 910.

⁴ For examples of *meta* arylation, see: (a) Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Science* **2009**, *323*, 1593; (b) Duong, H. A.; Gilligan, R. E.; Cooke, M. L.; Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 463.

⁵ For recent reviews on the direct arylation of heteroarenes, see: (a) Roger, J.; Gottumukkala, A. L.; Doucet, H. Chem Cat Chem 2010, 2, 20; (b) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 215; (c) Lebrasseur, N.; Larrosa, I. in Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry, Vol. 105; Katritzky, A. R., Ed. 2012. For selected examples, see: (d) Turner, G. L.; Morris, J. A.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7996; (e) Lebrasseur, N.; Larrosa, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2926; (f) Ciana, C.-L.; Phipps, R. J.; Brandt, J. R.; Meyer, F.-M.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 458; (g) Nadres, E. T.; Lazareva, A.; Daugulis, O. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 471; (h) Kuhl, N.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem. Int Ed. 2012, 51, 8230;

⁶ For selected examples, see: (a) Lafrance, M; Rowley, N. C.; Woo, T.K., Fagnou, K., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 8754; (b) Wei, Y.; Kan. J.; Su, N.; Hong, M., Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 3345; (c) Sun, Z.-M.; Zhang, S.; Manan, R.-S.; Zhao, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 6935; (d) Rene, O.; Fagnou,

