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The extended-quinone derivative of cyclopent[ $f g$ ]acenaph-thylene-1,2-dione (pyracyloquinone) is first synthesized and characterized. An X-ray analysis exhibits the non-planar structure involving bent of two 3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-oxo-cyclohexadienylidene units, and bond alternation along the molecular periphery. The facile formation of trianion radical on cyclic voltammetry reflects high-electron affinity enhanced by antiaromatic character of the formed pyracylene moiety on the dianion state.

Pyracylene (cyclopent $[f g]$ acenaphthylene, 1) has been attractive from organic and physical chemistry as a "perturbed [12] annulene." ${ }^{1}$ Despite of its formal $12 \pi$ electron perimeter, 1 is a relatively stable hydrocarbon, and has been characterized by various spectroscopic methods and X-ray crystallography as well as theoretical caluculation. ${ }^{2}$ Furthermore, many derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ have been prapared from viewpoints of ladder-type $\pi$-conjugated polymers, and substructures of fullerenes. ${ }^{3}$ On the other hand, pyracyloquinone (cyclopent $[f g]$ acenaphthylene-1,2-dione, $\mathbf{2}$ ), a quinone derivative of $\mathbf{1}$, was synthesized at almost the same time with $\mathbf{1}$, and has been studied its redox properties, radical anion, ${ }^{4}$ and unique photochemical behavior. ${ }^{5}$ Although 2 has been often used as a synthetic intermediate for extended $\pi$ conjugated molecules having pyracylene skeleton, ${ }^{6}$ extended quinonoid derivatives of $\mathbf{2}$ have not been reported. We thus have designed a new bis-quinone methides $\mathbf{3}$ as an extended quinone of 2. Because of intramolecular steric repulsion caused by the two quinone methide units, compound 3 cannot take a planar structure. Therefore, its molecular deformation and physicochemical properties attract our interest connecting with our current research of non-planar extended quinones. ${ }^{7-9}$ Here, we report the synthesis and properties of $\mathbf{3}$ as the first extended pyracyloquinone (Chart 1).

Synthesis of $\mathbf{3}$ was outlined in Scheme 1. A key intermediate was 5,6-diketo-acenaphthylene derivative 6 . Among some accesible synthetic routes for $\mathbf{6}$, we chose $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyl-5,6acenaphthylenedicarbamide $\mathbf{5}^{1}$ as the starting material. At first,
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Chart 1.
three equivalents of 4-lithio-2,6-di-tert-butylphenoxide, generated by treatment of 4-bromo-2,6-di-tert-butylphenol with three equivalents of tert-BuLi, ${ }^{10}$ reacted with 5 to afford the desired $\mathbf{6}$ in only $2.5 \%$ yield. Reactivity of $\mathbf{5}$ toward nucleophiles would be poor probably owing to steric hindrance. To enhance the nucleophilicity of 4-lithio-2,6-di-tert-butylphenoxide, addition of TMEDA (2 equiv.) was effective; the yield of $\mathbf{6}$ was improved up to $12 \%$. Bisphenol 7 was obtained from 6 in $87 \%$ yield by intramolecular McMurry coupling. Oxidation of 7 with DDQ in refluxing benzene afforded $\mathbf{3}$ as air-stable brown crystals in $61 \%$ yield. ${ }^{11}$ On the other hand, oxidation of 7 with alkaline $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}$ $(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ afforded 4 as deep purple crystals in $89 \%$ yield. ${ }^{11}$ From ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, the rotation of the exomethylene bonds (pinch bonds) is not observed around room temperature.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4: a) lithium 4-lithio-2,6-di-tertbutylphenoxide, TMEDA, ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then rt , overnight, $12 \%$, b) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{CuI}$, DME, reflux, overnight, $87 \%$, c) DDQ, benzene, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%$, d) $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$, benzene, 0.1 M KOHaq , rt, 3 d , 89\%.

Recrystallization of 3 from benzene- $n$-hexane afforded single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{12}$ ORTEP drawings of $\mathbf{3}$ are shown in Figure 1. As expected, the pyracylene moiety is nearly planar, but the central five-membered ring is slightly twisted; the torsion angle (C14-C13-C24-C23) is $13.5^{\circ}$. The pinch bonds are distorted from the plane with the dihedral angle of $38.4^{\circ}$ (C4-C13-C24-C10), and are twisted with the avarage torsion angle of $17.6^{\circ}$. The avarage length of the pinch bonds $(1.379 \AA)$ is similar to that of the dibenzo-ortho-terphenoquinone derivative $10(1.378 \AA)$, while the bond length of C13-C24 (1.509 $\AA$ ) is slightly longer than the corresponding bond in $\mathbf{1 0}(1.490 \AA)$. The bond alternation along the molecular periphery is observed. For example, C14-C15 is $1.388 \AA$, whereas C15-C16 is $1.425 \AA$. The bond lengths in the


Figure 1. ORTEP drawings ( $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids) of 3; a) front view, b) side view. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: O1-C1 1.232(4), C1C2 1.484(5), C2-C3 1.354(5), C3-C4 1.442(5), C4-C13 1.378(5), C13-C14 1.487(5), C13-C24 1.509(5), C14-C15 1.388(5), C15-C16 1.425(5), C16-C17 1.385(5), C17-C18 1.483(6), C18-C19 1.355(6), C25-C26 1.361(5), C4-C13-C14 124.6(4), C4-C13-C24 126.7(4), C14-C13-C24 107.2(4), C13-C14-C25 104.4(4), C16-C17-C18 139.5(5), and C17-C18-C19 108.6(4).


Figure 2. UV-vis spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ (solid line) and $\mathbf{4}$ (dashed line) in cyclohexane.
fused cyclopentene moiety of 3 (C18-C19, 1.355; C17-C18, $1.483 \AA$ ) is similar to that in pyracylene $\mathbf{1}$ (C1-C2, 1.346; C2C2a, $1.492 \AA$ ). ${ }^{2}$ Additionally, the central double bond of 3 (C25-C26; $1.361 \AA$ ) is as short as that of $\mathbf{1}(1.360 \AA)$. In crystal, one $n$-hexane molecule contains for one molecule of $\mathbf{3}$, which is positioned between two pyracylene moieties. No significant intermolecular interaction between $\mathbf{3}$ and $n$-hexane is observed.

The UV-vis spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ together with $\mathbf{4}$ are shown in Figure 2. Semiempirical molecular orbital method (ZINDO) indicates that HOMO of $\mathbf{3}$ is lower than that of $\mathbf{4}$ whereas NHOMO of $\mathbf{3}$ is higher than that of $\mathbf{4}$. This is the reason of the hypochromic shift of the first absorption band as well as the bathochromic shift of the second absorption band in 3 . Compound 4 have a broad absorption in whole visible region. Its concentrated solution is thus nearly black.

The reduction potentials of $\mathbf{3}$ as well as $\mathbf{4}$ were measured by cyclic voltammetry. ${ }^{13}$ Upon electronic reduction, three reversible reduction waves were observed for $3\left({ }^{1} E_{1 / 2}=-0.70 \mathrm{~V}\right.$, ${ }^{2} E_{1 / 2}=-1.03 \mathrm{~V}$, and ${ }^{3} E_{1 / 2}=-1.81 \mathrm{~V}$ ), whereas two waves for $4\left({ }^{1} E_{1 / 2}=-0.69 \mathrm{~V}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{2} E_{1 / 2}=-1.03 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. The first and second potentials of them show the formation of the anion radicals and dianions. Unlike compound $\mathbf{1 0},{ }^{8}$ this good reversibility re-


Chart 2.
flects their rigid structures. The relatively high third reduction potential of $\mathbf{3}$, which corresponds to the formation of trianion radical, indicates that the electron affinity of dianion $\mathbf{3}^{2-}$ is enhanced by antiaromatic character of the formed pyracylene moiety. Further studies on $\mathbf{3}$ toward the development of photoresponsive molecular switch, like 10, are in progress (Chart 2).
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