#### Tetrahedron 69 (2013) 3212-3217

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

# Catalytic properties and acidity of 1,2-benzenedisulfonimide and some of its derivatives. An experimental and computational study

Margherita Barbero, Silvia Berto\*, Silvano Cadamuro, Pier Giuseppe Daniele, Stefano Dughera\*, Giovanni Ghigo\*

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Torino, Via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 November 2012 Received in revised form 30 January 2013 Accepted 18 February 2013 Available online 26 February 2013

Keywords: Potentiometric titrations Density functional calculations Brønsted acids Sulfonimides Homogeneous catalysis

# ABSTRACT

1,2-Benzenedisulfonimide (1) has previously been found to be an excellent and, importantly, safe Brønsted acid catalyst. In this work we present the results of a search for derivatives of 1 that are more acidic and effective. Instead of blindly synthesizing a series of analogues, we have carried out a screening process in which the  $pK_a$  of 1 and a set of its derivatives were calculated. The calculated  $pK_a$  values were confirmed experimentally by carrying out determination through potentiometric titrations of some of the compounds: 1, 4-methyl and 4-nitro derivatives of 1. The calculations indicated that the dinitro and 4-nitro derivatives are among the best candidates for the synthesis. The latter was obtained in good yields and tested as a catalyst. Results were excellent as the reactions took place more quickly and at lower temperatures in all cases, and in a number of cases it was even possible to reduce the amount of catalyst.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

We have recently reported the use of 1,2-benzenedisulfonimide<sup>1</sup> (OBS; **1**) in catalytic amounts as a safe, nonvolatile, and noncorrosive Brønsted acid in several acid-catalyzed organic reactions that give excellent results.<sup>2</sup>

The catalyst was easily prepared, recovered, and purified, ready to be used in further reactions.

The high acidity of the Brønsted acid **1** is known in the literature. Hendrickson and co-workers stated that it is fully ionized in water.<sup>3</sup> However, no reliable data have been reported as to its acidity.

King and Guo stated that its  $pK_a$  is -4.1 ( $H_0$  at half-neutralization determined by UV spectra, at 20 °C in  $H_2O$ ) but no further details were given;<sup>4</sup> Blaschette and co-workers reported a value of -1.1 (calculated with the program ACD/p $K_a$  DB).<sup>5</sup>

In the light of these results, we propose in this work an estimation of the acidity of OBS and some its derivatives using experimental and theoretical methods. Potentiometric titrations in butanone using picric acid as reference were performed to obtain an acidity scale for these compounds. Moreover, in order to synthesize a derivative that is more acidic than the parent **1**, and in order to test and compare it with **1** as a catalyst in some selected reactions, we decided to take advantage of theoretical methods to perform a screening of OBS derivatives based on their  $pK_a$  and therefore single out the most promising derivative for synthesis.

# 2. Results and discussion

# 2.1. Theoretical calculation

The calculation of absolute  $pK_a$  of acids is truly a difficult challenge<sup>6</sup> but, because our main goal is limited to an estimation of an acidity scale among the set of substituted OBS, we used a different approach. The  $pK_a$  values were obtained as differences ( $\Delta pK_a^A$ ) with respect to the  $pK_a$  of picric acid whose values are available in numerous solvents. With this approach (sort of 'proton-exchange method'<sup>6a</sup>), we should have a self-correction of the errors (mainly due to the *continuum* method used to include the solvent effects) that should be particularly efficient when comparing OBS and its derivatives. The  $\Delta pK_a^A$  values were calculated using the equilibrium shown in Scheme 1.

The  $\Delta p K_a^A$  is then easily calculated as:

$$\Delta p K_{\rm a}^{\rm A} = \frac{\Delta G}{2.303 \, \rm RT} \tag{1}$$





Tetrahedron

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +39 11 6705279; fax: +39 11 6705242 (S.B.); tel.: +39 11 6707645; fax: +39 11 6707642 (S.D.); tel.: +39 11 6707872; fax: +39 11 6707591 (G.G.); e-mail addresses: silvia.berto@unito.it (S. Berto), stefano.dughera@ unito.it (S. Dughera), giovanni.ghigo@unito.it (G. Ghigo).

<sup>0040-4020/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2013.02.053



Scheme 1. Equilibrium between picric acid and 1.

where  $\Delta G$  is the free energy of the above equilibrium, R the gas constant and *T* the temperature (25 °C).

Before proceeding with the calculation of the  $pK_a$  of **1**, we tested the computational approach (see Section 4.6) and calculated a selected number of  $pK_a$  values for which the experimental values are available. These include phenols, sulfonic acids, and sulfonimides (see Supplementary data, Table 1).<sup>7</sup> The accuracy ( $\Delta pK_a=\pm 1$ ) was satisfactory.

The effect of six different substituents (mostly electronwithdrawing) on  $pK_a$  of **1** was calculated, using butanone as a solvent. Table 1 shows the  $\Delta G$  of the above equilibrium, the  $\Delta pK_a$  with respect to the picric acid ( $\Delta pK_a^A$ ) and with respect to the unsubstituted OBS ( $\Delta pK_a^O$ ).  $\Delta pK_a^A$  is then used to calculate the absolute  $pK_a$  of **1** using the known  $pK_a$  of picric acid (8.2).<sup>8</sup> The last column reports the experimental value (see Section 2.3). The error in the calculated  $\Delta pK_a^O$  (0.2) is below the experimental error.

Not surprisingly, the electron-withdrawing groups increase acidity by a factor of 100 ( $\Delta p K_a^0 \sim -2$ ) for the monosubstituted and by a factor of 10,000 ( $\Delta p K_a^0 \sim -5$ ) for disubstituted ones. The electronic effects (whether electron donor or electron-withdrawing) are hardly dependent on the position of the substituent, and they are almost the same in the acid as in its anion conjugated base (see the group charges in Table 2 of Supplementary data). With the deprotonation of the acid, substituent group charge is reduced (made more negative or less positive) by 0.02 electrons in all cases (for each substituent), testifying that there is no direct effect on the negative charge in the conjugated base. This can also be seen in Fig. 2, which shows the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the anion of 3,5-dinitrobenzenedisulfonimide. This orbital gives a rough picture of the negative charge distribution and we can see that the nitro groups are not involved.

#### 2.2. Preparation of 1,2-benzenedisulfonimides 5

We synthesized two derivatives of **1**, one with an electronwithdrawing group, 4-nitro-1,2-benzenedisulfonimide (**5a**) and the other with an electron donating group, 4-methyl-1,2benzenedisulfonimide (**5b**). First of all, the  $pK_a$  values of **5a** and **5b** were measured experimentally. Then, the more acidic **5a** (compared to parent **1**) was tested as catalyst in some selected reactions.

Compounds **5a** and **5b** were prepared using the same protocol as previously reported, starting from anthranilic acids **2a** and **2b** (Scheme 2).<sup>9</sup>

The yields of the intermediates **3a** and **3b**, **4a** and **4b** and of the imides **5a** and **5b** are reported in Table 2.

Unfortunately, attempts to prepare 3,5-dinitro-1,2-benzenedisulfonimide (**5c**, a compound, which would be more acidic than **5a** from a theoretical point of view) failed. In fact, it was impossible to obtain intermediate **3c** from anthranilic acid **2c** (prepared as reported in the literature<sup>10</sup>) using the standard reaction conditions. Moreover, it must be stressed that the reaction became more difficult even with only one nitro group. In fact, an internal diazonium salt is the key intermediate in the first step (Scheme 1). The presence of a single electron-withdrawing group already makes the diazotization reaction more difficult (the yield of **3a** is lower than that of **3b**), and the presence of two completely prevented it and only unreacted **2c** was recovered at the end of the reaction.

6-bl	<u> </u>	1
laDI	e	1

Calculated  $(pK_a^{calcd})$  and experimental  $(pK_a^{exp})$  acidity constants in butanone

1,2-Benzenedisulfonimide	$\Delta G^{a}$	$\Delta p K_a^A$	$\Delta p K_a^0$	pK <sub>a</sub> calcd	pK <sup>expb</sup>
O O S NH O O	-3.2	-2.3	_	5.9	6.09±0.04 <sup>c</sup>
0 0 S NH 0 <sub>2</sub> N 0 0	-6.1	-4.5	-2.1	3.7	N/A
O <sub>2</sub> N S NH O <sup>S</sup> O	-5.9	-4.4	-2.0	3.8	4.3±0.04 <sup>c</sup>
Me S NH	-2.6	-1.9	+0.5	6.3	6.81±0.01 <sup>c</sup>
F <sub>3</sub> C S NH O O	-5.7	-4.2	-1.8	4.0	N/A
NC S NH	-6.4	-4.7	-2.4	3.5	N/A
MeO <sub>2</sub> S O O NH O O	-5.8	-4.3	-1.9	3.9	N/A
0 0 0 <sub>2</sub> N S NH 0 <sub>2</sub> N 0 0	-9.8	-7.2	-4.9	1.0	N/A
0 <sub>2</sub> N 0 0 S NH 0 <sub>2</sub> N 0 0	-10.0	-7.3	-5.0	0.9	N/A

<sup>a</sup> kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> Values obtained using the potentiometric method, at T=25 °C.

<sup>c</sup> Standard deviation.

It should also be noted that the direct nitration of the intermediates **3a**, **4a** or **5a** also failed.

# 2.3. Measurement of $pK_a$ of 1,2-benzenedisulfonimides 1, 5a, and 5b

As reported by Kütt and co-workers,<sup>7</sup> the acidity measurements of acid molecules turn out to be a useful tool in the design and use of these compounds. However, the choice of a measurement medium in which to obtain the acid strength of a strong acid is no simple matter. Typical solvents (e.g., heptane, DMSO, MeCN) are not convenient for studying strong acids, because of their low polarity, poor ion solvating ability or simply because they are too basic.

We found that butanone is a good solvent; potentiometric titrations in butanone allowed us to differentiate the 1,2-



Scheme 2. Preparation of derivatives of OBS 5a, 5b.

Table 2Synthetic sequence for 1,2-benzenedisulfonimides 5a, b

Entry	Reactant	Products and yields <sup>a</sup> (%)	Products and yields <sup>a</sup> (%)	Products and yields <sup>a</sup> (%)
1 2	2a 2b	<b>3a</b> , 62 <b>3b</b> 88	<b>4a</b> , 91 <b>4b</b> 95	<b>5a</b> , 94 <b>5b</b> 95
	20	36, 00	10, 33	36, 33

<sup>a</sup> Yields refer to the pure and isolated products.

benzenedisulfonimides on the basis of their acid properties. In fact, the large potential range available in butanone affords a useful scale for the potentiometric determination of relative acidity and makes it possible to differentiate strong acids.

Using the method described in Experimental section, it was possible to build a self-consistent acidity scale, which was anchored to the  $pK_a$  of picric acid using potentiometric apparatus. The  $pK_a$  values of compounds **1**, **5a**, and **5b** were measured and are reported in Table 1. For the first time, an experimental estimation of the acidity of these compounds is obtained and the results are those expected based on the characteristics of the derivative and are in good accordance with those obtained by theoretical calculations.

# 2.4. 4-Nitro-1,2-benzenedisulfonimide (5a) as a catalyst

We have recently reported the use of 1,2-benzenedisulfonimide (**1**, Fig. 1) in catalytic amounts as a safe, nonvolatile, and noncorrosive Brønsted acid in some acid-catalyzed organic reactions such as etherification,<sup>11</sup> esterification,<sup>11,12</sup> acetalization,<sup>11</sup> the Ritter reaction,<sup>13</sup> the Nazarov electrocyclization,<sup>14</sup> the disproportionation of dialkyl diarylmethyl ethers,<sup>15</sup> the Hosomi–Sakurai reaction,<sup>16</sup> the Friedländer annulation,<sup>17</sup> the Pictet–Spengler reaction,<sup>18</sup> the Mukaiyama-aldol reaction,<sup>19</sup> preparation of triaryl and trisindolylmethanes,<sup>20</sup> and the Strecker reaction.<sup>2</sup>

In general, all synthetic methods require mild reaction conditions and short reaction times. It is worth noting that good selectivity and the absence or minimal formation of by-products were



observed in all cases. Moreover, **1** can easily recovered from the reaction mixtures in high yield.

In light of these results, we decided to test **5a** as a catalyst in various selected reactions in order to compare its catalytic activity with the already known activity of **1**.

First of all, we examined the esterification reaction between phenyl acetic acid and butan-1-ol.

As reported by us,<sup>11</sup> the reaction catalyzed by **1**, using toluene as a solvent, required the presence of 25 mol % of catalyst. It was completed after heating at 90 °C for 1.5 h. The yield of butyl phenylacetate was 90% (Table 3, entry 1).

Interestingly, the reaction took place at room temperature in the presence of only 5 mol % of **5a** as a catalyst, and a good yield (87%) of butyl phenylacetate was obtained (Table 3, entry 1). The catalyst **5a** was easily recovered (90%) by simply evaporating the aqueous layer at reduced pressure.

On the basis of this excellent result, **5a** was used as the catalyst in nine other reactions that had previously been performed by us in the presence of **1**. Table 3 shows the results.

It can be stated that **5a** is more effective than **1**. In general, the reactions took place more quickly and at lower temperatures. Moreover, in some cases, a smaller amount of catalyst was needed (Table 2; entries 2, 6, 7, and 9). The yields of the target products were very similar to those obtained using **1**, with the sole exception of entry 2, where a sharp increase in yield was observed.

#### 3. Conclusions

The theoretical approach allowed us to calculate the  $pK_a$  of OBS (1) and a set of its derivatives. The calculated values were confirmed by experimental determination using potentiometric titrations on some of the species; 1, 5a, and 5b. The calculations also suggested, which derivatives were the best candidates for synthesis. Of them, 5a was obtained in a good yield and was tested as a catalyst in 10 selected reactions, obtaining generally better results than those obtained with 1.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General

Analytical grade reagents and solvents were used and reactions were monitored, where possible, by GC, GC–MS, and TLC. Column chromatography and TLC were performed on Merck silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh ASTM) and GF 254, respectively. Petroleum ether (PE) refers to the fraction boiling in the range 40–70 °C. Room temperature is 20–25 °C. Mass spectra were recorded on an HP



Entry	Reaction and references	Catalysts (mol %)	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Yields <sup>a</sup> (%)
1	$BnCOOH+n-BuOH \rightarrow BnCOOBu-n^{11}$	<b>1</b> (25) <b>5a</b> (5)	90 rt	1.5 3	90 <sup>b,c</sup> 87 <sup>b,c</sup>
2	$\bigcirc \bigcirc $	<b>1</b> (20) <b>5a</b> (10)	90 90	24 5	37 <sup>b,c</sup> 84 <sup>b,c</sup>
3	OH + MeCN NHCOMe	<b>1</b> (10) <b>5a</b> (10)	82 82	8 3	89 <sup>d</sup> 91 <sup>d</sup>
4	$t$ -BuOH+MeCN $\rightarrow$ $t$ -BuNHCOMe <sup>13</sup>	1 (20)	82	72	83 <sup>d</sup>
5	OMe OMe + TMS	5a (20) 1 (5) 5a (5)	82 45 rt	24 2 1	90 <sup>e,f</sup> 92 <sup>e,f</sup>
6	$\bigcup_{Me}^{OH} + \longrightarrow_{Me}^{TMS} \longrightarrow \bigcup_{Me}^{16}$	<b>1</b> (30) <b>5a</b> (10)	70 80	24 12	72 <sup>f.g</sup> 82 <sup>f.g</sup>
7	$ \bigcirc \overset{O  NH_2}{\longrightarrow} + \overset{O  \longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \overset{O  Me^{17}}{\bigwedge} Me^{17} $	<b>1</b> (10) <b>5a</b> (5)	80 80	28 12	90 <sup>b,f</sup> 85 <sup>b,f</sup>
8	$ \bigcirc NH_2 + \bigcirc O \longrightarrow \bigvee_{N}^{17} $	1 (5) 5a (5)	80 50	4 2	90 <sup>b,f</sup> 86 <sup>b,f</sup>
9	$\begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} & \text{NH}_2 \\ \text{MeO} & \text{H}_2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{CHO} & \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} & \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \ \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  \\ \\ \end{array}  \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  \\ \\ \\ \\	<b>1</b> (10) <b>5a</b> (5)	80 80	6 2	89 <sup>f.h</sup> 92 <sup>f.h</sup>
10	$MeO \xrightarrow{OH} \xrightarrow{OH} \xrightarrow{OH} \xrightarrow{H} \xrightarrow{OH} \xrightarrow{H} \xrightarrow{HeO} \xrightarrow{18} MeO \xrightarrow{18} OH$	1 (5) 5a (5)	80 rt	3 3	100 <sup>b,f</sup> 100 <sup>b,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Yields refer to the pure and isolated products. The physical and spectroscopic data of the obtained products are in accordance with those previously reported by us.

<sup>b</sup> The reactant ratio was 1:1.1.

<sup>c</sup> The solvent was toluene.

<sup>d</sup> MeCN was the reactant and the solvent.

<sup>e</sup> The reactant ratio was 1:1.2.

<sup>f</sup> The reaction was carried out in neat conditions.

<sup>g</sup> The reactant ratio was 1:1.5.

<sup>h</sup> The reactants were in equimolar amount.

5989B mass selective detector connected to an HP 5890 GC, crosslinked methyl silicone capillary column. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Brüker Avance 200 spectrometer at 200 and 50 MHz, respectively. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BX FT-IR spectrometer as solutions in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Potentiometric measurements were performed using a Metrohm mod. 713 potentiometer (resolution of ±0.1 mV) coupled with a Metrohm 665 Dosimat burette (minimum volume deliverable of ±0.001 cm<sup>3</sup>) and equipped with a Metrohm combined glass electrode suitable for measurements in non-aqueous solutions (Solvotrode mod. 6.0229.100). The potentiometric titrations were carried out in a stream of anhydrous nitrogen gently bubbled in the titration cell to avoid O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> contamination. The measurement

cells were thermostated at  $(25\pm0.1 \text{ °C})$  by means of a water circulation from a thermocryostat (mod. D1-G Haake).

5-Nitroanthranilic acid, 5-methyanthranilic acid, benzoic acid, calcium sulfate dihydrate, picric acid, butanone, and tetrabutylammonium hydroxide 0.1 mol/L standard solution in 2-propanol/ methanol were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Thymol blue indicator was a Fluka product. OBS (1)<sup>1</sup> and 3,5-dinitroanthranilic<sup>10</sup> acid were prepared as reported in the literature. Calcium sulfate dihydrate is dried at 240 °C for 10–12 h in order to obtain the anhydrous form. The structures and purity of all the products obtained in this work were confirmed by their spectroscopic (NMR, MS, and IR) data. Satisfactory microanalyses were obtained for all the new compounds.

# 4.2. 2-(3-Methylbutoxy)-1,3-benzodithioles 3. General procedure

As reported by us,<sup>9</sup> 3-methylbutyl nitrite (2.4 mmol, 0.28 g), 3-methylbutan-1-ol (2 mmol, 0.18 g), and CS<sub>2</sub> (16.6 mmol, 1.26 g) were dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (15 mL) and heated to reflux at 82 °C. Anthranilic acid **2** (2 mmol) dissolved in 1,4dioxane (6 mL) was added dropwise to the previously prepared mixture. The resulting mixture was initially stirred at reflux for 45 min and then at room temperature for 1 h. For the preparation of **3a**, it was necessary to stir at reflux for 3.5 h. The reaction mixture was poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL, 1:1). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2×50 mL) and a saturated solution of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue, purified by column chromatography (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5), afforded the pure title compound **3**.

4.2.1. 5-Nitro-2-(3-methylbutoxy)-1,3-benzodithiole (**3a**). Yellow solid; 0.35 g (yield: 62%); mp 69–70 °C (EtOH);  $R_{f}$ =0.70. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3176, 2963, 1815, 1785, 1690, 1560, 1378, 1210, 1100, 795. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14 (d, *J*=2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub>=8.2 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub>=2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 3.37 (t, *J*=6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.66–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.31 (m, 2H), 0.81 (d, *J*=6.2 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  145.7, 144.9, 138.3, 125.7, 121.4, 120.5, 91.1, 63.2, 37.4, 24.8, 22.3; calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub> NO<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C 50.51%; H 5.30%; N 4.91%; S 22.47%; found: C 50.47%; H 5.38%; N 4.89%; S 22.52%.

4.2.2. 5-Methyl-2-(3-methylbutoxy)-1,3-benzodithiole (**3b**). Viscous yellow oil; 0.45 g (yield: 88%);  $R_{f}$ =0.80. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3160, 2988, 2247, 1820, 1770, 1630, 1390, 1210, 1084, 800. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.18–7.11 (m, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 3.37 (t, *J*=6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.64–1.49 (m, 1H), 1.35–1.29 (m, 2H), 0.80 (d, *J*=6.2 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  142.0, 135.5, 133.0, 126.5, 122.6, 121.7, 90.3, 62.8, 37.9, 25.0, 22.6; MS (*m/z*, EI)=254 (M<sup>+</sup>); calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>: C 61.38%; H 7.13%; S 25.20%; found: C 61.41%; H 7.18%; S 25.21%.

### 4.3. 1,2-Benzenedisulfonyl chlorides 4. General procedure

As reported by us,<sup>9</sup> 1,3-benzodithiole **3** (2 mmol) was dissolved in *tert*-butyl alcohol (10 mL), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8 mL), and H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 mL). The resulting mixture was cooled to 0–5 °C. Chlorine was bubbled through while the temperature was maintained at 0–5 °C and the reaction mixture vigorously stirred. The reaction was monitored using TLC (PE/EtOAc 7:3). After 1 h, the reaction was complete when **3** disappeared and there was only one other spot. The reaction mixture was poured into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL, 1:1) The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with a 5% NaOH solution (2×50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was the pure title compound **4**.

4.3.1. 4-Nitro-1,2-benzenedisulfonyl chloride (**4a**). Yellow solid; 0.58 g (yield: 91%); mp 244 °C (EtOH);  $R_{f=}$ 0.31. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3015, 1590, 1355, 1220, 1190, 800. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.07 (d, *J*=2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.75 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub>=8.2 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub>=2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.6, 144.5, 142.7, 134.0, 130.1, 127.2; calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C 22.51%; H 0.94%; Cl 22.15%; N 4.38%; S 20.03%; found: C 22.53%; H 0.90%; Cl 22.55%; N 4.42%; S 16.95%.

4.3.2. 4-Methyl-1,2-benzenedisulfonyl chloride (**4b**). White solid; 0.55 g (yield: 95%); mp 106–107 °C (EtOH);  $R_f$ =0.38. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3033, 3010, 1340, 1212, 1200, 814. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.26 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, *J*=2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub>=8.2 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub>=2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.7, 142.3, 139.4, 136.3, 132.9, 132.6, 21.8; calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C 29.08%; H 2.09%; Cl 24.52%; S 22.18%; found: C 29.03%; H 2.12%; Cl 24.60%; S 22.25%.

#### 4.4. 1,2-Benzenedisulfonimides 5. General procedure

As reported by us, <sup>9</sup> 1,2-benzenedisulfonyl chloride **4** (2 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (8 mL) and EtOH (12 mL). The resulting mixture was cooled to 0-5 °C. Ammonia was bubbled through while the temperature was maintained at 0-5 °C and the reaction mixture vigorously stirred. The reaction was monitored by TLC (PE–EtOAc 7: 3). After 30 min, the reaction was complete when the spot of **4** disappeared. The mixture was first filtered in order to eliminate NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and passed through a Dowex (HCR-W2) column (H<sub>2</sub>O) to afford the pure title compound **5**.

4.4.1. 4-Nitro-1,2-benzenedisulfonimide (**5a**). Brown solid; 0.50 g (yield: 94%); mp 160–161 °C (EtOH); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3500, 3015, 1630, 1590, 1385, 1218, 818. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, MeOH-*d*<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta$  8.74 (d, *J*=2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub>=8.2 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub>=2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, MeOH-*d*<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta$  154.8, 141.8, 137.0, 128.1, 122.8, 116.9; calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C 27.27%; H 1.53%; N 10.60%; S 24.27%; found: C 27.32%; H 1.49%; N 10.67%; S 24.21%.

4.4.2. 4-Methyl-1,2-benzenedisulfonimide (**5b**). Pale brown solid; 0.44 g (yield: 95%); mp 121–122 °C (EtOH); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3450, 3027, 1625, 1400, 1320, 1235, 810; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, MeOH $d_4$ ):  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, MeOH- $d_4$ ):  $\delta$  145.2, 141.8, 138.5, 134.2, 121.7, 121.0, 20.3; calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C 36.04%; H 3.02%; N 6.00%; S 27.49%; found: C 36.08%; H 3.05%; N 5.97%; S 27.52%.

# 4.5. Potentiometric measurements

Solutions of compounds **1**, **5a**, **5b**, and picric acid  $(2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L})$ in butanone were titrated with tetrabutylammonium hydroxide 0.1 mol/L standard solution. Each titration was repeated in triplicate. All titrations were carried out with about 2 g of anhydrous calcium sulfate dispersed in the solution in order to avoid water contamination. Under these conditions a good stability of potentials was obtained. The concentration of the tetrabutylammonium hydroxide standard solution was controlled by weekly visual titrations of benzoic acid solutions and thymol blue as indicator.<sup>21</sup> The pK<sub>a</sub> of picric acid obtained by Norberg in butanone  $(K_a=6.4\times10^{-9})^{8,22}$  was taken as a reference. The p $K_a$  of compounds 1, 5a, and 5b were then calculated with Eq. 2, derived from the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, using the difference between the half-neutralization potentials measured for OBS (1) and picric acid (PA) solutions, assuming that, in the same experimental conditions, the formal potential of the glass electrode is the same for the two solutions. In order to assure that the electrode performance was the same for the reference and the benzenedisulfonimide the titration of picric acid is performed before each titration of 1, 5a, and **5b** solutions.

$$pK_{a}^{OBS} = pK_{a}^{PA} + \frac{E_{PA}^{1/2} - E_{OBS}^{1/2}}{59.16}$$
(2)

The  $E_{PA}^{1/2}$  and  $E_{OBS}^{1/2}$  are the half-neutralization potentials (mV) measured for picric acid (PA) and OBS (1) solutions, respectively;  $pK_a^{PA}$  and  $pK_a^{OBS}$  are the acidic constants of PA and OBS, and 59.16 mV is the Nernstian slope.

The  $\Delta p K_a$  between the picric acid and the studied compounds correspond to the chemical equilibrium reported in paragraph of Section 2.1.

#### 4.6. Computational method

All structures (acids and conjugated anion bases) were optimized using the density functional method (DFT),<sup>23</sup> with the recently developed functional M06-2X<sup>24</sup> with the  $6-31+G(d,p)^{25a-c}$  basis set, and using vibrational analysis to check the nature of the critical points<sup>26</sup> (all data are reported in Supplementary data). The energy values were then refined using single-point calculations with the basis set 6-311+G(3df,2p),<sup>25d</sup> and combined with the thermal corrections obtained with the smaller basis set to give free energy values. Solvent effects were introduced both in geometry optimization and single-point calculations using the polarized continuum method (IEF-PCM)<sup>27</sup> within the universal solvation model density (SMD).<sup>28</sup> Calculations were performed by the quantum package Gaussian 09-A.02.<sup>29</sup> Fig. 2 was obtained using the graphical program Molden.<sup>30</sup>



Fig. 2. HOMO in 3,5-dinitrobenzenedisulfonimide anion.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the University of Torino.

# Supplementary data

Total (in au) and relative (in kcal  $mol^{-1}$ ) electronic energies and free energy corrections are reported. Nuclear coordinates (in Å) follows. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.tet.2013.02.053. These data include MOL files and InChiKeys of the most important compounds described in this article.

#### **References and notes**

1. Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S. Curr. Org. Chem. 2011, 15, 576 and references cited therein.

- 2. Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Ghigo, G.; Dughera, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4058 and references cited therein.
- Hendrickson, J. B.; Okano, S.; Bloom, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 3434.
- King, J. F.; Guo, Z. R. Unpublished results cited in: King, J. F. In The Chemistry of Sulphonic Acids, Esters and Their Derivatives; Patai, S., Ed.; John Wiley: New York, NY, 1991; Chapter 6, pp 249-259.
- 5 Zerbe, E.-M.; Wölper, C.; Roca Piñol, S.; Jones, P. G.; Blaschette, A. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2007, 633, 593.
- 6. (a) Ho. I.: Coote, M. L. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2010, 125, 3: (b) Kelly, C. P.: Cramer, C. I.: Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. A **2006**, 110, 2493; (c) Bryantsev, V. S.; Diallo, M. S.; Goddard, W. A., III. J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 9709; (d) Trummal, A.; Rummel, A.; Lippmaa, E.; Burk, P.; Koppel, I. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 6206.
- 7. Kütt, A.; Rodima, T.; Saame, J.; Raamat, E.; Mäemets, V.; Kaljurand, I.; Koppel, I. A.; Garlyauskayte, R. Y.; Yagupolskii, Y. L.; Yagupolskii, L. M.; Bernhardt, E.; Willner, H.; Leito, I. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 391 and references cited therein. 8. Norberg, K. Acta Chem. Scand. 1966, 20. 264.
- Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Di Bari, L.; Dughera, S.; Ghigo, G.; Padula, D.; Tabasso, S. Tetrahedron **2011**, 67, 5789.
- Kislyi, K. A.; Samet, A. B.; Semenov, V. V. Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 42, 292. 10 (a) Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Venturello, P. Synlett 2007, 2209; (b)
- Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Venturello, P. Synthesis **2008**, 1379. 12. Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Venturello, P. Synthesis **2008**, 3625.
- 13.
- Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 430. 14 Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Deagostino, A.; Dughera, S.; Larini, P.; Occhiato, E.
- G.; Prandi, C.; Tabasso, S.; Vulcano, R.; Venturello, P. Synthesis 2009, 2260. 15 Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Ghigo, G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009 4346
- 16. Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Piccinini, P. Synthesis 2010, 315
- 17. Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 2342.
- 18 Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 6356.
- 19. Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Magistris, C.; Smarra, A.; Venturello, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 2192.
- 20. Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Magistris, C.; Venturello, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 8393.
- 21. Fritz, J. S.; Lisicki, N. M. Anal. Chem. 1951, 23, 589.
- 22. Norberg, K. Talanta 1966, 13, 745.
- 23. Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, 1989; Chapter 3.
- 24. (a) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215; (b) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 157.
- 25. (a) McLean, A. D.; Chandler, G. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 72, 5639; (b) Clark, T.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Spitznagel, G. W.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Comput. Chem. 1983, 4, 294; (c) Raghavachari, K.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 72, 650; (d) Frisch, M. J.; Pople, J. A.; Binkley, J. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1984, 80, 3265.
- 26. Reaction free energies were computed as outlined, for instance, in: (a) Foresman, J. B.; Frisch, Æ. Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods; Gaussian: Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 1996; pp 166-168; (b) McQuarrie, D. A. Statistical Thermodynamics; Harper and Row: New York, NY, 1973.
- 27. (a) Barone, V.; Cossi, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 1995; (b) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 5691; (c) Cancès, M. T.; Mennucci, B.; Tomasi, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 107, 3032; (d) Cossi, M.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1998, 286, 253; (e) Mennucci, B.; Tomasi, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 106, 5151.
- 28. (a) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378; (b) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 4538.
- 29. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, Revision A.02; Gaussian: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- 30. Molden: Schaftenaar, G.; Noordik, J. H. J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des. 2000, 14, 123.