

pubs.acs.org/acscatalysis

A Boron–Oxygen Transborylation Strategy for a Catalytic Midland Reduction

Kieran Nicholson,[∥] Joanne Dunne,[∥] Peter DaBell, Alexander Beaton Garcia, Andrew D. Bage, Jamie H. Docherty, Thomas A. Hunt, Thomas Langer, and Stephen P. Thomas*



ABSTRACT: The enantioselective hydroboration of ketones is a textbook reaction requiring stoichiometric amounts of an enantioenriched borane, with the Midland reduction being a seminal example. Here, a turnover strategy for asymmetric catalysis, boron–oxygen transborylation, has been developed and used to transform the stoichiometric borane reagents of the Midland reduction into catalysts. This turnover strategy was demonstrated by the enantioselective reduction of ketones, including derivatives of biologically active molecules and those containing reducible groups. The enantioenriched borane catalyst was generated *in situ* from commercially available reagents, 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (H-B-9-BBN) and β -pinene, and B–O transborylation with pinacolborane (HBpin) was used for catalytic turnover. Mechanistic studies indicated that B–O transborylation proceeded by B–O/B–H boron exchange through a stereoretentive, concerted transition state, resembling σ -bond metathesis.

KEYWORDS: transborylation, hydroboration, enantioselective, boron, main group, asymmetric catalysis, ketone, reduction

C atalysis underpins the sustainable future of chemical synthesis yet remains dominated by second- and third-row transition-metal species.¹ The entrenched mechanisms of catalysis—oxidative addition and reductive elimination—are not easily translated beyond the d-block.² Although great efforts have been made to force redox activity on main-group species, these have yet to be widely adopted.³ Many main-group catalysts continue to rely on Lewis and Brønsted acid/base interactions to facilitate substrate binding and catalyst turnover.⁴ New turnover mechanisms are needed to further the development and use of main-group catalysts.

Ligand redistribution is well established in the p-block and is routinely used in the synthesis of organoboron and organoaluminum species.⁵ The ability to harness this redistribution offers a redox-neutral approach for main-group catalyst turnover. The hydroboration of alkenes and alkynes has been catalyzed by organoborane species⁶ and is proposed to occur through a redistribution event between two boron centers.⁷ This boron–carbon transborylation, a subclass of σ -bond metathesis, is analogous to transmetalation and has enabled the use of primary and secondary borane species as catalysts. Current examples of transborylation in catalysis are limited to boron– carbon bonds. Translation of this turnover pathway to boron– oxygen bonds, B–O transborylation, would open up a new class of reactivity for catalytic turnover.⁸

Asymmetric ketone hydroboration using stoichiometric enantioenriched boranes has found widespread use in total synthesis.⁹ The Midland reduction¹⁰ using Alpine-borane **2a** represents the most applied example (Scheme 1a). A major drawback of this method is the concurrent destruction of the stoichiometric enantioenriched reagent **2a** upon hydrolysis of the borinic ester **3** to give the enantioenriched alcohol **5**. Development of B–O transborylation would render this

Received:November 25, 2020Revised:January 25, 2021Published:February 1, 2021





Scheme 1. Transborylation for Catalytic Turnover in Borane Reduction Reactions a





b Challenge: Intermediate Turnover - Catalytic Midland Reduction

c This work: B-O Transborylation Enabled Asymmetric Catalysis



^{*a*}Legend: (a) Midland reduction using Alpine borane **2a**; (b) missing step in proposed catalytic Midland reduction; (c) B–O transborylation as a turnover strategy for asymmetric catalysis.

reaction catalytic in borane **2a** and provide an exemplar of this turnover pathway in asymmetric catalysis (Scheme 1b).

The use of an isodesmic B–O/B–H transborylation for catalytic turnover represents a previously unexploited mechanism that enables catalyst regeneration. However, the activation barrier for this exchange poses a challenge in application to the Midland reduction due to the requirement of low temperature to maintain enantioselectivity. A significant requirement of this methodology is the regeneration of the catalyst after trans-

borylation (Scheme 1b). This requires chemoselective alkene hydroboration in the presence of excess ketone. Five key mechanistic challenges must be addressed for the successful realization of B-O transborylation enabled asymmetric catalysis:

- (i) establishment of B-O/B-H transborylation.
- (ii) conservation of enantiomeric excess during B–O/B–H transborylation.
- (iii) chemo- and stereoselective regeneration of the borane catalyst.
- (iv) suppression of unselective ketone reduction by achiral boron reagents (H-*B*-9-BBN and HBpin).
- (v) suppression of B–C/B–H transborylation to avoid catalyst deactivation.

Herein B–O transborylation has been developed and used as a strategy for catalytic turnover in asymmetric ketone reduction (Scheme 1c). The previously stoichiometric Midland reduction was rendered catalytic, demonstrating this mode of catalysis.

The validity of B-O transborylation was established using single-turnover experiments with a range of enantiopure tertiary boranes (Scheme 2). The stoichiometric reduction of 4-phenyl-3-butyn-2-one 1a with enantiopure boranes, showed that Alpine borane 2a, myrtanyl-B-9-BBN (myrtanyl borane)¹¹ 2b, and Soderquist's borane¹² 2c gave good enantioselectivity (Scheme 2a). Soderquist's borane 2c was not investigated further due to the lower ee achieved in comparison to other stoichiometric enantioenriched reductants. The Midland reduction proceeds by reaction of Alpine borane 2a with a propargylic ketone to give the α -pinene α -4 and the enantioenriched borinic ester 3a, which is hydrolyzed to alcohol 5a on workup. Here, B-O transborylation of the borinic ester 3a and subsequent catalyst regeneration were investigated by in situ ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy. The reaction of Alpine borane 2a (δ (¹¹B) 87 ppm) with 4-phenyl-3-butyn-2-one 1a gave the borinic ester 3a $(\delta^{(11B)})$ 56 ppm) and free α -pinene α -4 (Scheme 2b). Addition of HBpin 6, to induce B-O/B-H transborylation, gave the alkoxyboronic ester 7a (δ ⁽¹¹B) 22 ppm) and H-B-9-BBN 2d $(\delta(^{11}B) 28 \text{ ppm, dimer})$. The presence of H-B-9-BBN 2d, rather than catalyst **2a**, suggested that α -pinene α -4 was too hindered to undergo rapid hydroboration. Preventing catalyst regeneration allowed the unselective background reactions to dominate, giving a product with reduced e.e. (Scheme 2a; 2d, 6). The hydroboration of 1,1-disubstituted alkenes, such as in β -pinene β -4, is fast¹³ and would enable catalyst regeneration (Scheme 2c). Reaction of β -pinene-derived myrtanyl borane **2b** (δ (¹¹B) 87 ppm) with 4-phenyl-3-butyn-2-one 1a gave the corresponding borinic ester **3a** (δ (¹¹B) 56 ppm) in high enantioselectivity (89% e.e.) and β -pinene β -4. Significantly, the addition of HBpin 6 showed formation of the alkoxyboronic ester 7a (δ (¹¹B) 22 ppm), and re-formation of the borane catalyst **2b** (δ ⁽¹¹B) 87 ppm). H-B-9-BBN 2d was not observed, indicating that B-O transborylation was followed by rapid, chemoselective alkene hydroboration of β -pinene β -4 to regenerate the catalyst **2b**.

With the stoichiometric B–O transborylation having been established using myrtanyl borane **2b**, the use of substoichiometric loadings was explored (Scheme 2d). For this catalytic protocol to be viable, the enantiomeric excess (e.e.) of the substoichiometric (catalytic) reaction must match that achieved using stoichiometric borane. This was quantified using enantiofidelity (*e.f.*), defined as the degree of enantiomeric excess retained in the substoichiometric reaction in comparison to the stoichiometric reaction (Scheme 2d). The reaction

2035

Scheme 2. Assessment of Stoichiometric Borane Reagents for Asymmetric Ketone Reduction and Translation to a Catalytic Method a



^{*a*}Legend: (a) stoichiometric reduction of 4-phenyl-3-butyn-2-one 1a; (b) single-turnover experiments using boranes 2a and 2b (chemical shifts and e.e. values refer to the reaction using myrtanyl borane 1b, corrected for use of 92% e.e. β -pinene); (c) hydroboration of β -pinene versus α -pinene α -4 and comparison to background unselective reductions; (d) catalytic reactions using boranes 2a and 2b.

Table 1. Substrate Scope for the Transborylation-Enabled Asymmetric Ketone Reduction^f



^{*a*}Reaction at 18 °C: **5a** (92% yield, 35% *e.e.*), **5m** (88% yield, 49% *e.e.*), **5s** (92% yield, 34% *e.e.*) and **5v** (83% yield, 26% *e.e.*). ^{*b*}Reaction over 40 h. ^{*c*}An additional 1 mL of THF added (0.08 M, H-B-9-BBN **2d**). ^{*d*}HBpin **6** addition at 5.4 μ L h⁻¹. ^{*e*}df. = 100 × (stoichiometric diastereometric excess)/(catalytic diastereometric excess). ^{*f*}Reaction conditions unless specified otherwise: (S)- β -pinene β -4 (0.2 equiv), H-B-9-BBN **2d** (0.5 M in THF, 0.2 equiv), substrate **1a**-**y**, HBpin **6** (1.2 equiv), 16 h, 0 °C, then addition of H₂O and SiO₂. Isolated yields are reported. *e.e.* values for catalytic reactions are shown in parentheses, with the evalues corrected for the use of 92% *e.e.* (S)- β -pinene.

development was focused on achieving high enantiofidelity and not absolute enantioselectivity. To achieve high enantiofidelity, the rate of catalyst regeneration must exceed the rate of background reduction by the achiral boranes. The stoichiometric reaction of H-*B*-9-BBN **2d** and HBpin **6** with 4-phenyl-3butyn-2-one 1a gave the racemic alcohol (\pm) -5a in 25% and 36% yields, respectively, under conditions mimicking those of catalysis (Scheme 2a).

After optimization of the catalytic reaction conditions (Section S2 in the Supporting Information), the use of myrtanyl

borane **2b** (20 mol %) and HBpin **6** (1.2 equiv) at 0 °C enabled the asymmetric reduction of 4-phenyl-3-butyn-2-one **1a** in 76% yield and 89% *e.e.* This matched the yield and enantioselectivity obtained using stoichiometric myrtanyl borane **2b** (90% yield, 89% *e.e.*), giving 99% *e.f.* and establishing B–O transborylation as a mechanism of turnover for asymmetric main-group catalysis. The catalytic asymmetric reduction was further applied to other substrate classes; however, this proved unsuccessful in the cases of acetophenone and 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one (no reaction) and an α -keto ester and an α -keto thioester (poor *e.e.*) (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information).

The substrate scope of the catalytic asymmetric hydroboration was explored using myrtanyl borane 2b as the catalyst, generated in situ by reaction of H-B-9-BBN 2d (20 mol %) and β -pinene β -4 (20 mol %) (Table 1). 4-Phenyl-3-butyn-2-one 1a underwent hydroboration with excellent yield (90%) and enantiofidelity (99% e.f.). Substitution on the aromatic ring was tolerated, with excellent enantiofidelity observed for 4-tertbutyl (1b, 89% e.f.), 4-methyl (1c, 94% e.f.), 3-methyl (1d, >99% e.f.), and 2-methyl (1e, 97% e.f.) groups. Use of the 4-fluoro derivative 1f gave good enantiofidelity (88% e.f.) whereas decreased enantiofidelity was observed for the 3-chloro analogue 1g (66% e.f.). Lewis basic ether substituents 1n (84% *e.f.*) and 1m (92% *e.f.*) and the thioether 1o (90% *e.f.*) gave high enantiofidelity, although the 4-methoxy-substituted 1r gave lower enantiofidelity (50% e.f.). Reduced enantiofidelity was observed with the dimethylamino-bearing ketone 1q (60% e.f.). Excellent chemoselectivity was observed, with groups expected to react with boranes being tolerated. Nitrile (1w, 91% e.f.), ester (1j, 74% e.f.), and amide substituents (1i, >99% e.f.) all gave excellent enantiofidelity. Propargylic ketones bearing electronwithdrawing substituents, such as 1f (73% e.e.), 1g (46% e.e.), and 1j (67% e.e.), were reduced in moderate to good e.e., presumably due to a greater rate of background, unselective reduction by HBpin. Propargylic ketones bearing electrondonating substituents 1a-e consistently gave improved enantioselectivities (89-77% e.e.). However, in contrast to ketones bearng electron-donating groups about the arene, substrates bearing a mesomeric donor in the para position, 1q (52% e.e.) and 1r (44% e.e.), gave moderate to poor enantioselectivty. The greater Lewis basicity of these substrates may increase the rate of unselective reduction, by greater coordination to the achiral boranes. Although a higher rate of reaction was achieved at 18 °C, the enantioselectivity was decreased (5a (92% yield, 35% e.e.), 5m (88% yield, 49% e.e.), 5s (92% yield, 34% e.e.), and 5v (83% yield, 26% e.e.), presumably as a result of the low temperature required for enantioselectivity in the Midland reduction.

Sterically encumbered ketones 1s (63% *e.f.*) and 1t (39% *e.f.*) gave poor to moderate enantiofidelity. Presumably, slow hydroboration by the enantioenriched borane allowed significant background reduction by the less sterically demanding, achiral boranes H-B-9-BBN 2d and HBpin 6. The trideuter-iomethyl-substituted ketone 1h was tolerated, but electron-withdrawing groups such as monofluoromethyl (1u, 44% *e.f.*) and trifluoromethyl (1v, 19% *e.f.*) gave reduced enantiofidelity. The trifluoromethyl ketone 1v was reduced to the racemic alcohol (\pm)-5v by HBpin 6 in 86% yield under the reaction conditions, indicating that unselective hydroboration by HBpin 6 outcompetes the enantioselective reaction.

Controlling the concentration of achiral boranes (H-B-9-BBN 2d and HBpin 6) could suppress the rate of unselective hydroboration. The slow addition of HBpin improved the

enantiofidelity in the reduction of ethyl- (1s) and trifluoromethyl-substituted (1v) ketones, with the enantiofidelity increasing from 63% to 82% *e.f.* and from 19% to 83% *e.f.*, respectively. The enantiofidelity could also be improved by reducing the H-B-9-BBN 2d loading (10 mol %) while maintaining the β -pinene β -4 loading (20 mol %); the enantiofidelity of ethyl ketone 1s increased from 63% to 85% *e.f.* Reducing the reaction temperature to -20 °C improved the enantiofidelity (to 85% *e.f.*), albeit with reduced yield (22%).

Applying B–O transborylation to substrates derived from biologically active compounds proved successful. Asymmetric reduction of the galactopyranose-derived substrate 1x gave high diastereofidelity (95% *d.f.*). Ketone 1y, derived from propofol (Diprivan), was reduced with excellent enantiofidelity (99% *e.f.*). Gram-scale reduction of ketone 1a under the standard conditions gave excellent enantiofidelity and yield (80% yield, 98% *e.f.*).

Two mechanisms of boron-boron exchange have been proposed: ligand redistribution and transborylation (Scheme 3a).^{7,8,14} For ligand redistribution, the B–O bond of the borinic ester 3a is maintained and the supporting ligands are exchanged with HBpin. Transborylation breaks the B-O bond on the borinic ester 3a by σ -bond metathesis, with the boron atom of alkoxyboronic ester 7a originating from HBpin. The reaction of H¹⁰Bpin with borinic ester 3a gave only the ¹⁰B-labeled alkoxyboronic ester ¹⁰B-7a, as determined by ¹⁰B and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3a). Therefore, exchange proceeded by B-O transborylation, not ligand redistribution. The thermodynamic properties of the B-O transborylation were determined using an Eyring plot constructed over the temperature range 301-315 K (Scheme 3b; see section S9 in the Supporting Information).¹⁵ This supported a highly ordered transition-state structure for B-O transborylation with a large negative entropy value $(\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -21.5 \text{ eu})^{16}$ and a Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298}$ = 22.7 kcal mol⁻¹) similar to those of B–C(sp²) $(\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 20.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})^{7b}$ and B-C(sp³) ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 28 \text{ kcal}$ $mol^{-1})^{7a}$ transborylation reactions.

When all mechanistic investigations were taken into account, a catalytic cycle for the B–O transborylation-driven asymmetric ketone reduction was proposed (Scheme 3c). Enantioselective hydroboration of the ketone 1 by the borane catalyst **2b** through a Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley-type transition state gives the enantioenriched borinic ester **3** and releases β -pinene β -4 (*enantioselective hydroboration*).^{11,17} B–O/B–H transborylation of borinic ester **3** with HBpin **6** gives the alkoxyboronic ester product 7 and releases H-B-9-BBN **2d** (*transborylation*). The borane catalyst **2b** is regenerated by highly chemo-, regio-, and diastereoselective hydroboration of β -pinene β -4 by H-B-9-BBN **2d** (*alkene hydroboration*).

In summary, B–O transborylation has been established and applied as a turnover mechanism for asymmetric main-group catalysis. A catalytic Midland reduction has been enabled, using B–O/B–H transborylation and myrtanyl borane **2b** as the asymmetric catalyst, across a range of functionalized substrates with excellent enantiofidelity. B–O transborylation was found to proceed by a σ -bond metathesis mechanism. Modification of the catalytic protocol to reduce racemic background reductions by achiral boron reagents (H-B-9-BBN **2d** and HBpin **6**) ensured high enantiofidelity for challenging substrates. This application of B–O/B–H transborylation demonstrates the potential of transborylation to be used as a general platform for main-group catalysis.

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Investigations^a



b Eyring Analysis of Transborylation Step



^{*a*}Legend: (a) ¹¹B NMR and ¹⁰B NMR labeling experiments; (b) Eyring analysis of B-O/B-H transborylation (c) proposed catalytic cycle. Ketone = 4-phenyl-3-butyn-2-one 1a.

7

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

this material is available free of charge via the Internet at . The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.0c05168.

Additional discussion, experimental procedures, kinetic data and analysis, computational details, characterization data, and NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Stephen P. Thomas – EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0001-8614-2947; Email: stephen.thomas@ed.ac.uk

Authors

- Kieran Nicholson EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom
- Joanne Dunne EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom
- Peter DaBell EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom
- Alexander Beaton Garcia EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom
- Andrew D. Bage EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-5067-6899
- Jamie H. Docherty EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, United Kingdom
- **Thomas A. Hunt** Medicinal Chemistry, Early Oncology, AstraZeneca, Cambridge CB4 0WG, United Kingdom
- Thomas Langer Pharmaceutical Technology & Development, Chemical Development U.K., AstraZeneca, Macclesfield SK10 2NA, United Kingdom

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c05168

Author Contributions

^{II}K.N. and J.D. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.P.T. thanks the Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship (URF/R/191015). S.P.T., A.D.B., and K.N. thank AstraZeneca and the EPSRC for iCASE awards. J.D. and S.P.T. thank the University of Edinburgh for Shaw Macfie Lang Ph.D. scholarships. S.P.T. and P.D. thank the EPSRC and the CRITICAT CDT for financial support (EP/L016419/1). S.P.T. and J.H.D. thank the Royal Society for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Dunetz, J. R.; Fandrick, D.; Federsel, H.-J. Spotlight on Non-Precious Metal Catalysis. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015, 19, 1325-1326. (b) Singer, R. A.; Monfette, S.; Bernhardson, D. J.; Tcyrulnikov, S.; Hansen, E. C. Recent Advances in Nonprecious Metal Catalysis. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2020, 24, 909-915. (c) Stephan, D. W. The Broadening Reach of Frustrated Lewis Pair Chemistry. Science 2016, 354, aaf7229. (d) Raynbird, M. Y.; Sampson, J. B.; Smith, D. A.; Forsyth, S. M.; Moseley, J. D.; Wells, A. S. Ketone Reductase Biocatalysis in the Synthesis of Chiral Intermediates Toward Generic Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2020, 24, 1131-1140. (e) Barrett, A. G. M.; Crimmin, M. R.; Hill, M. S.; Procopiou, P. A. HeteroFunctionalization Catalysis with Organometallic Complexes of Calcium, Strontium and Barium. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 2010, 466, 927-963. (f) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Cvengroš, J.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. Coming of Age: Sustainable Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions. ChemSusChem 2009, 2, 396-417. (g) Greenhalgh, M. D.; Jones, A. S.; Thomas, S. P. Iron-Catalysed Hydrofunctionalisation of Alkenes and Alkynes. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, 7, 190–222.

(2) (a) Yang, X.; Kalita, S. J.; Maheshuni, S.; Huang, Y.-Y. Recent Advances on Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Asymmetric Tandem Reactions with Organoboron Reagents. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *392*, 35– 48. (b) Chu, T.; Nikonov, G. I. Oxidative Addition and Reductive Elimination at Main-Group Element Centers. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 3608–3680.

(3) (a) Dunn, N. L.; Ha, M.; Radosevich, A. T. Main Group Redox Catalysis: Reversible P^{III}/P^V Redox Cycling at a Phosphorus Platform. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 11330–11333. (b) Zhong, M.; Sinhababu, S.; Roesky, H. W. The Unique β -Diketiminate Ligand in Aluminum(I) and Gallium(I) Chemistry. *Dalton Trans.* **2020**, *49*, 1351–1364. (c) Stasch, A.; Jones, C. Stable Dimeric Magnesium(I) Compounds: from Landmarks to Versatile Reagents. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 5659. (d) Hicks, J.; Vasko, P.; Goicoechea, J. M.; Aldridge, S. Synthesis, Structure and Reaction Chemistry of a Nucleophilic Aluminyl Anion. *Nature* **2018**, *557*, 92–95.

(4) (a) Hall, D. G. Boronic acid catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2019, 48, 3475–3496. (b) Akiyama, T.; Itoh, J.; Fuchibe, K. Recent Progress in Chiral Brønsted Acid Catalysis. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2006, 348, 999–1010. (c) Wilkins, L. C.; Melen, R. L. Enantioselective Main Group Catalysis: Modern Catalysts for Organic Transformations. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2016, 324, 123–139. (d) Lu, L.-Q.; Li, T.-R.; Wang, Q.; Xiao, W.-J. Beyond Sulfide-Centric Catalysis: Recent Advances in the Catalytic Cyclisation Reactions of Sulfur Ylides. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 4135. (e) Hartley, W. C.; O'Riordan, T. J. C.; Smith, A. D. Aryloxide-Promoted Catalyst Turnover in Lewis Base Organo-catalysis. *Synthesis* 2017, 49, 3303–3310. (f) Sereda, O.; Tabassum, S.; Wilhelm, R. In *Lewis Acid Organocatalysis*; Springer International: Berlin, 2010; pp 86–117.

(5) (a) Mikhailov, B. M.; Bubnov, Y. N.; Tsyban, A. V. Organoboron Compounds. Synthesis of Allyl(dialkyl)boranes and Diallyl(alkyl)boranes. J. Organomet. Chem. **1978**, 154, 113–130. (b) Vasilyev, L. S.; Veselovski, V. V.; Struchkova, M. I.; Mikhailov, B. M. Organoboron Compounds: CCCXCIX. The Matteson-Pasto Rearrangement in the Series of 3-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane Compounds. J. Organomet. Chem. **1982**, 226, 115–128. (c) Hoshi, M.; Shirakawa, K.; Arase, A. Transfer of Alk-1-enyl Group from Boron to Boron: Preparation of B-[(E)alk-1enyl]-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. Chem. Commun. **1998**, 1225–1226. (d) Eisch, J. J.; Stone, F. G. A.; West, R. Rearrangements of Unsaturated Organoboron and Organoaluminium Compounds. Adv. Organomet. Chem. **1977**, 16, 67–109.

(6) Ang, N. W. J.; Buettner, C. S.; Docherty, S.; Bismuto, A.; Carney, J. R.; Docherty, J. H.; Cowley, M. J.; Thomas, S. P. Borane-Catalyzed Hydroboration of Alkynes and Alkenes. *Synthesis* **2018**, *50*, 803–808.

(7) (a) Docherty, J. H.; Nicholson, K.; Dominey, A. P.; Thomas, S. P. A Boron-Boron Double Transborylation Strategy for the Synthesis of gem-Diborylalkanes. ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 4686-4691. (b) Nieto-Sepulveda, E.; Bage, A. D.; Evans, L. A.; Hunt, T. A.; Leach, A. G.; Thomas, S. P.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Arase-Hoshi R2BH-Catalyzed Alkyne Hydroboration: Alkenylboronate Generation via B-H/C-B Metathesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 18600-18611. (c) Shirakawa, K.; Arase, A.; Hoshi, M. Preparation of (E)-1-Alkenylboronic Acid Pinacol Esters via Transfer of Alkenyl Group from Boron to Boron. Synthesis 2004, 2004, 1814-1820. (d) Arase, A.; Hoshi, M.; Mijin, A.; Nishi, K. Dialkylborane-Catalyzed Hydroboration of Alkynes with 1,3,2-Benzodioxaborole in Tetrahydrofuran. Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 1957-1962. (e) Suseela, Y.; Bhanu Prasad, A. S.; Periasamy, M. Catalytic Effect of a BH₃:N,Ndiethylaniline Complex in the Formation of Alkenyl Catecholboranes from Alk-1-ynes and Catecholborane. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 446-447.

(8) (a) Wu, T. R.; Chong, J. M. Ligand-Catalyzed Asymmetric Alkynylboration of Enones: A New Paradigm for Asymmetric Synthesis Using Organoboranes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3244-3245.
(b) Wu, T. R.; Chong, J. M. Asymmetric Conjugate Alkenylation of Enones Catalyzed by Chiral Diols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4908-4909. (c) Turner, H. M.; Patel, J.; Nijianskul, N.; Chong, J. M.

Binaphthol-catalyzed Asymmetric Conjugate Arylboration of Enones. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13* (21), 5796–5799.

(9) (a) Brown, H. C.; Ramachandran, P. V. Versatile α -pinene-Based Borane Reagents for Asymmetric Syntheses. J. Organomet. Chem. **1995**, 500, 1–19. (b) Murakami, N.; Nakajima, T.; Kobayashi, M. Total Synthesis of Lembehyne A, a Neuritogenic Spongean Polyacetylene. Tetrahedron Lett. **2001**, 42, 1941–1943. (c) Dussault, P. H.; Eary, C. T.; Woller, K. R. Total Synthesis of the Alkoxydioxines (+)- and (-)-Chondrillin and (+)- and (-)-Plakorin via Singlet Oxygenation/Radical Rearrangement. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 1789–1797. (d) Walker, J. R.; Curley, R. W., Jr. Improved synthesis of (R)-glycine-d-¹⁵N. Tetrahedron **2001**, 57, 6695–6701.

(10) (a) Brown, H. C.; Pai, G. G. Selective reductions. 37. Asymmetric Reduction of Prochiral Ketones with B-(pinanyl)-9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 1384–1394. (b) Midland, M. M.; Tramontano, A.; Zderic, S. A. Preparation of Optically Active Benzyl- α d Alcohol via Reduction by B-3 α -pinanyl-9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. A New Highly Effective Chiral Reducing Agent. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1977**, 99, 5211–5213. (c) Midland, M. M.; McDowell, D. C.; Hatch, R. L.; Tramontano, A. Reduction of α , β -acetylenic Ketones with B-3-pinanyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. High Asymmetric Induction in Aliphatic systems. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 867–869. (d) Midland, M. M. Asymmetric Reductions with Organoborane Reagents. Chem. Rev. **1989**, 89, 1553–1561. (e) Midland, M. M.; Lee, P. E. Efficient Asymmetric Reduction of Acyl Cyanides with B-3-pinanyl 9-BBN (Alpine-borane). J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 3237–3239.

(11) Midland, M. M.; McLoughin, J. I. Asymmetric Reduction of Ketones with *B*-(*cis*-10-pinanyl)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. Observation of a Change in Enantioselection between Similar Organoborane and Organoaluminium Reagents. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 4101–4102.

(12) Gonzalez, A. Z.; Román, J. G.; Gonzalez, E.; Martinez, J.; Medina, J. R.; Matos, K.; Soderquist, J. A. 9-Borabicyclo[3.3.2]decanes and the Asymmetric Hydroboration of 1,1-Disubstituted Alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9218–9219.

(13) Brown, H. C.; Wang, K. K.; Scouten, C. G. Hydroboration kinetics: Unusual Kinetics for the Reaction of 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]-nonane with Representative Alkenes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1980**, 77, 698–702.

(14) (a) Jayaraman, L. C.; Castro, L. C. M.; Desrosiers, V.; Fontaine, F.-G. Metal-free Borylative Dearomatization of Indoles: Exploring the Divergent Reactivity of Aminoborane C-H Borylation Catalysts. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 5057–5063. (b) Légaré, M.-A.; Courtemanche, M.-A.; Rochette, E.; Fontaine, F.-G. Metal-free Catalytic C-H Bond Activation and Borylation of Heteroarenes. *Science* **2015**, *349*, 513–516.

(15) Evans, M. G.; Polanyi, M. Some Applications of the Transition State Method to the Calculation of Reaction Velocities, Especially in Solution. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1935**, *31*, 875–894.

(16) Waterman, R. σ -Bond Metathesis: A 30-year Retrospective. Organometallics **2013**, 32, 7249–7263.

(17) (a) Meerwein, H.; Schmidt, R. Ein Neues Vergahren zur Reduction von Alkehyden und Ketonen. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1925**, 444, 221–238. (b) Ponndorf, W. Der reversible Austausch der Oxydationsstufen Zwischen Aldehyden oder Ketonen Einerseits und Primären oder Sekundären Alkoholen Anderseits. *Angew. Chem.* **1926**, 39, 138–143. (c) Verley, A. Exchange of Functional Groups between two Molecules. Exchange of Alcohol and Aldehyde Groups. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1925**, 37, 537–542.