Periselective, Lewis Acid-induced Intramolecular Diels—Alder Reaction of Conjugated Carbodiimides: Efficient Synthesis of Nitrogen Heterocycles, Indolo[2,3-b]quinolines and Pyrido[2,3-b]indole

Takao Saito,* Hiromasa Ohmori, Takahiro Ohkubo and Shinichi Motoki*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Science University of Tokyo, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162, Japan

A suitable Lewis acid has been found that accelerates the intramolecular Diels—Alder reaction of conjugated carbodiimides with high periselectivity control, thus providing an efficient and straightforward procedure for constructing indolo[2,3-b]quinoline and pyrido[2,3-b]indole frameworks.

Previously we reported a convenient method for preparation of unsaturated-conjugated heterocumulenes such as carbodiimides and ketenimines by the Wittig-type reaction and their facile conversion into a variety of nitrogen heterocycles via cycloaddition reactions. In recent years, this methodology using tandem aza-Wittig reaction-heterocumulene-mediated annulation has successfully been developed in synthesis of a wide range of heterocycles by Molina et al. and other workers.2 More recently this procedure was utilized in the synthesis of quinolines 2 and pyridoindoles 3 via electrocyclic ring closure and intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition reaction (IMDA) of the conjugated carbodiimides 1, respectively, by Molina et al.3 and by us4 (Scheme 1). However, the thermal reaction seems to have a limited scope. Namely it provides either cyclization products or IMDA products,3,4 depending upon the nature of the substituents R1 and R2.4.5 In some cases both types of products are formed competitively.4 Thus, it should be worthwhile to control the periselectivity in order not only to extend the scope of this promising method for the synthesis of a new, wider range of heterocyclic systems but also from a theoretical viewpoint.5 We now report for the first time that use of the appropriate Lewis acid can control and accelerate the reaction under mild reaction conditions to provide highly selective access to the IMDA products.†

Of the representative Lewis acids examined, e.g. AlCl₃, BF₃·OEt₂, ZnCl₂, TiCl₄ and EtAlCl₂, AlCl₃ was found to be most effective when used in dichloromethane at room temperature for the carbodiimides of this type. The reaction of

N=C=N-R²

IMDA

IMDA

R¹

R³

R⁴

R¹

R¹

R¹

R¹

R¹

R¹

R¹

R³

R⁴

R⁴

 R^1 = H, Me, OMe, CH = CH₂, Ph, heteroaryl, CO₂R, CN, CONHMe *etc*. R^2 = aryl, heteroaryl, β -styryl

Scheme 1

the diarylcarbodiimides 4–9 proceeded smoothly during 1.5–17 h to afford exclusively the IMDA products, the indolo[2,3-b]quinolines 10–15‡ in moderate to good yields (Scheme 2, Table 1, Method A). Although reaction conditions and yields have not been optimized,§ the reaction was highly periselective in contrast to the thermal reaction (Method B), none of the alternative cyclization products 16–21 being formed. Notably, p-methoxy-substitution in R^2 facilitated the IMDA reaction in preference to the cyclization under either the catalysed or thermal conditions, in comparison with the other substituents ($R^2 = Me$, Cl) including $R^2 = H$, though the

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, (A), AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, room temp.; ii, (B), 140°C in xylene

Ph i or ii N=C=N

$$N=C=N$$
 $N=C=N$
 N

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, (A) ZnCl₂, CH₂Cl₂, room temp.; ii, (B), 140 °C in xylene

‡ Selected physical data for **10**: yellow needles; mp > 240 °C (sublime); M⁺, m/z 276.0917. $C_{17}H_{12}N_2O_2$ requires M, 276.0898; m/z 276 (100%, M⁺), 245 (18) and 217 (49); v_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3156 (NH) and 1734 (CO); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CD₃SOCD₃) 4.32 (s, OMe), 7.37 (t, J 7.92, 9-H), 7.61–7.73 (m, 2, 7, 8-H), 7.89 (dd, J 7.92 and 7.59, 3-H), 8.05 (d, J 7.92, 10-H), 8.15 (m, 1,4-H) and 12.13 (br.s, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CD₃SOCD₃) 53.62 (OMe), 111.65 (C-7) and 167.71 (CO).

13: yellow needles; mp > 290 °C (sublime); M+, m/z 310.0490. $C_{17}H_{11}N_2O_2Cl$ requires M, 310.0507; m/z 310 (100, M+), 279 (16) and 251 (41); v_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3172 (NH) and 1732 (CO); δ_H (CD₃SOCD₃) 4.21 (s, OMe), 7.26 (t, J 7.92, 9-H), 7.52 (d, J 7.98, 7-H), 7.57 (dd, J 7.92 and 7.58, 8-H), 7.76 (dd, J 8.91 and 2.31, 3-H), 7.96 (d, J 7.92, 10-H), 8.04 (d, J 8.91, 4-H), 8.09 (d, J 2.31, 1-H) and 12.13 s, NH); δ_C (CD₃SOCD₃) 53.53 (OMe), 111.50 (C-7) and 166.79 (CO).

§ For example, dehydrogenative aromatization of the initial cycloadducts leading to the final products 10–15 is undoubtedly significant in determining the efficiency.

[†] We have also found that a Lewis acid is effective in the intermolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition reaction of conjugated carbodii-mides.⁶

Table 1 ^a Lewis acid-induced IMDA reaction (A) vs. thermal reaction (B) of conjugated carbodiimides **4-9** and **22**

Run 1	Carbo-diimide	R ¹ CO ₂ Me	R ²	Product (Yield, %)		
				Method A 10 (31)	Method Bb	
						16 (81)
2	5	CO ₂ Me	Me	11 (51)		17 (74)
3	6	CO_2Me	OMe	12 (82)	$12(9)^c$	18 (78)
4	7	CO_2Me	Cl	13 (23)	` '	19 (88)
5	8	CO_2Et	OMe	14 (62)	$14(7)^c$	20 (70)
6	9	CN	OMe	15 (65)	15 (7)	21 (45)
					15' (22)d	. ,
7	22	CO ₂ Me		23 (51)e	23 (45)e	

^a 2 equiv. of AlCl₃ or ZnCl₂ were used. ^b Reported in ref. 4 except for runs 4 and 6 (at 140 °C in xylene). ^c The formation of 12 and 14 was not reported in ref. 4. ^d HCN-eliminated compound ($R^1 = H$). ^e In a one-pot procedure from the aza-Wittig reaction.

electrocyclization predominates under thermal conditions in each case.

For the relatively labile styryl-substituted carbodiimide 22, ZnCl₂ as Lewis acid instead of AlCl₃ was also effective in the IMDA reaction, giving the pyrido[2,3-*b*]indole 23.

Thus, Lewis acids promote the IMDA reaction periselectively to give polyring-fused nitrogen heterocyclic systems; the Lewis acid-controlled reaction path may well be followed in other related systems, especially those having a thermally labile functionality.

Received, 10th August 1993; Com. 3/04823C

References

- 1 T. Saito, M. Nakane, M. Endo, H. Yamashita, Y. Oyamada and S. Motoki, *Chem. Lett.*, 1986, 135; T. Saito, M. Nakane, T. Miyazaki and S. Motoki, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, 1989, 2140.
- 2 Y. G. Gololobov and L. F. Kasukhin, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 1353; S. Eguchi, Y. Matsushita and K. Yamashita, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1992, 24, 209; J. Barluenga and F. Palacios, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1991, 23, 1; N. I. Gusar, Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.), 1991, 60, 146.
- 3 P. Molina, M. Alajarin and A. Vidal, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 1277; P. Molina, M. Alajarin, A. Vidal and P. Sanches-Andrada, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 929.
- 4 T. Saito, H. Ohmori, E. Furuno and S. Motoki, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 22.
- 5 H. S. Rzepa, P. Molina, M. Alajarin and A. Vidal, *Tetrahedron*, 1992, 48, 7425; T. Saito, H. Ohmori, E. Furuno, T. Karakasa and S. Motoki, unpublished results.
- 6 T. Saito, T. Ohkubo, K. Maruyama, H. Kuboki and S. Motoki, Chem. Lett., 1993, 1127.