

# **CHEMISTRY** A European Journal





# Selective catalytic synthesis of 1,2- and 8,9cyclic limonene carbonates as versatile building blocks for novel hydroxyurethanes

Katarzyna A. Maltby,<sup>a</sup> Marc Hutchby,<sup>a</sup> Pawel Plucinski,<sup>a</sup> Matthew G. Davidson,<sup>a</sup> Ulrich Hintermair<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Sustainable and Circular Technologies, University of Bath, Claverton Down, BA2 7AY Bath, UK u.hintermair@bath.ac.uk



# Abstract

The selective catalytic synthesis of limonene-derived monofunctional cyclic carbonates and their subsequent functionalization via thiol-ene addition and amine ring opening is reported. A phosphotungstate polyoxometalate catalyst used for limonene epoxidation in 1,2 position is shown to also be active in cyclic carbonate synthesis, allowing a two-step-one-pot synthesis without intermittent epoxide isolation. When used in conjunction with a classical halide catalyst, the polyoxometalate increased the rate of carbonation in a synergistic double-activation of both substrates. The *cis* isomer is shown to be responsible for incomplete conversion and by-product formation in commercial mixtures of 1,2-limomene oxide. Carbonation of 8,9-limonene epoxide furnished the 8,9-limonene carbonate for the first time. Both cyclic carbonates underwent thiol-ene addition reactions to yield linked bis-carbonates which can be used in linear non-isocyanate polyurethanes synthesis as shown by their facile ring-

opening with N-hexylamine. Thus, the selective catalytic route to monofunctional limonene carbonates demonstrated gives straightforward access to monomers for novel bio-based polymers.

# Introduction

Terpenes are a large and diverse class of hydrocarbons naturally occurring in the oils of plants<sup>1</sup> and fruits that have been used for flavour and fragrance manufacturing since antiquity.<sup>2</sup> Due to their structural diversity and low oxygen content, investigations into their use as renewable feedstocks for chemical building blocks<sup>3-5</sup> have recently received increasing attention.<sup>6</sup> The most prevalent and widely available terpenes are limonene,  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -pinene. Pinene is typically extracted from crude turpentine, a by-product of the paper industry generated at a scale of 300,000 tonnes per annum, containing 40-85 % α-pinene and 0.5-28 % β-pinene.<sup>7,8</sup> Limonene is a by-product of the citrus industry with an estimated global production of 70,000 tonnes per annum.<sup>9</sup> The range and volume of available terpenes may be even higher through industrial biotechnology to provide geographically flexible supplies of terpenes via fermentation of plant sugars and cellulose waste.<sup>10,11</sup> In contrast to other renewable feedstocks such as carbohydrates, terpenes are partially unsaturated hydrocarbons which are chemically similar to petrochemical feedstocks long used in industry. Their potential to be used as drop-in replacements for renewable commodity manufacturing through well-established olefin chemistry makes terpenes particularly attractive biogenic feedstocks.<sup>12</sup> However, in order to develop truly sustainable processes based on terpene feedstocks we will need sustainable and scalable methodologies tailored to their upgrading.13

Limonene (1) and other terpenes have been used in the synthesis of a variety of building blocks,<sup>14-20</sup> including cyclic carbonates<sup>21-23</sup> which have subsequently been used for the synthesis of non-isocyanate polyurethanes (NIPU). NIPUs have similar properties to commercially available polyurethanes, but avoid the use of toxic isocyanates in the synthesis.<sup>24</sup> Thus, the development of terpene-based cyclic carbonates can lead to new bio-based polymer materials with unexplored and potentially tuneable properties. This assumption is supported by recent work of Mülhaupt *et al.* on 1,2,8,9-limonene carbonate and related NIPU thermosets and thermoplastics (Figure 1).<sup>22,23</sup> The initially synthesised limonene-derived NIPUs yielded rigid and brittle pre-polymers,<sup>22</sup> but subsequent work reported a modification that allowed for an improved purification of 1,2,8,9-limonene carbonate which significantly reduced the colourization and improved the mechanical and thermal properties of the resulting limonene-based NIPUs.<sup>23</sup>



Figure 1. Limonene-based NIPU synthesis via 1,2,8,9-limonene cyclic carbonate according to Mülhaupt et al.<sup>22,23</sup>

Thus, clean, efficient and scalable routes to these cyclic carbonates are key to sustainable manufacturing of terpene-based NIPUs. The quaternary ammonium salt used by Mülhaupt *et al.* is a cheap and relatively efficient catalyst for the insertion of CO<sub>2</sub> into the epoxide moieties of 1,2,8,9-limonene bis-oxide. A wide range of catalysts for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates from epoxides has been described in literature, including metal complexes, metal organic frameworks, quaternary ammonium salts, imidazolium halide salts and supported ionic liquid phase catalysts.<sup>25-32</sup> After formation of cyclic carbonates such as 1,2,8,9-limonene carbonate, the ability to further modify the monomer or carry out post-polymerization functionalization is an important factor. With their multiple sites of unsaturation, terpenes can be ideally exploited in this way, however, examples such as 1,2,8,9-limonene carbonate can only be tuned through the linking co-monomer. Selectively functionalising only one of the double bonds available would provide further opportunities to introduce additional modifications.

Selective formation of 1,2-limonene cyclic carbonate from commercially available 1,2-limonene oxide (2) has previously been investigated in the literature (Table 1). All of the catalysts used showed more favourable formation of *trans*-1,2-limonene cyclic carbonate (*trans*-3) over the *cis* isomer (*cis*-3). Although some catalyst mediate the carbonation reaction at milder temperatures of 75 – 100 °C and lower pressures in the range of 10 – 50 bar, those reactions required long reaction times of 16 – 66 h. Moreover, some systems required the use of organic solvents (Table 1 Entry 1 and 2) and co-catalytic additives (Entry 1 – 5). All of those studies were performed using commercially available 2, and no system has thus far been investigated for performing an epoxidation - carbonation tandem reaction.

#### Table 1 Literature reports of the carbonation of commercial 2.

	2		catalyst co-catalyst solvent T [°C] t [h] p [CO <sub>2</sub> bar]			o trans-3	0 (0 + (	cis-3	
Entry	catalyst	Co-catalyst	solvent	Т	t	р	Yield 3	cis-3:trans-3	Ref.
				[°C]	[h]	[bar]	[%]		
1	10 mol %	10 mol %	MeCN	75	48	50	80	14:86	33
	Cal <sub>2</sub>	Ph₃P							
	10 mol %								
	crown ether								
	derivative								
2	1 mol % Al	3 mol %	MEK	85	66	10	57	1:99	21
	amino	[PPN]CI							
	trisphenolate								
3	1 mol %	4 mol %	neat	100	16	10	43	8:92	34
	Lanthanum	[Bu₄N]Cl							
	Heteroscorpi								
	onate								
4	0.1 mol %	5 mol %	neat	80	24	20	33	Not reported	35
	trimetallic	[Bu₄N]Cl							
	cobalt								
	complex								
5	1 mol % Al	3 mol %	neat	80	66	10	48	8:92	36
	complex	[Bu₄N]Cl							
6	1 mol %	None	neat	90	18	12	2	Not reported	37
	formazanate								
	ferrate (II)								

Accepted Manuscript

Recently, a solvent-free protocol for limonene epoxidation was reported where a catalyst consisting of an ammonium phase transfer catalyst (PTC) and the  $[PW_4O_{24}]^3$  polyoxometalate anion ( $[PTC]_3[PW_4O_{24}] = A$ ) yielded 94 % 1,2-limonene oxide after 1 h reaction time at room temperature using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant.<sup>38</sup> Although **A** is highly efficient for limonene epoxidation under these conditions, it was shown to be difficult to recover and lost activity upon recycling. Thus, instead of recycling the catalyst for repeat epoxidation runs, we set out to test whether it could be used in the following carbonation reaction without intermittent epoxide isolation (Figure 2).



Figure 2 General scheme of epoxidation - carbonation sequence catalysed by A.

Here, we report the development of such an effective catalytic system that gives access to *trans*-1,2-limonene cyclic carbonate (**3**) and 8,9-limonene cyclic carbonate (**5**) using benign oxidants and solvents (Figure 3). We found that for 1,2-limonene oxide (**2**) a single polyoxometalate catalyst (**A**) can catalyse both the epoxidation and the CO<sub>2</sub> insertion step. Furthermore, we demonstrate effective CO<sub>2</sub> insertion to 8,9-limonene oxide (**4**) for the first time. Both chiral cyclic carbonates are shown to undergo radical coupling with 1,3-propanedithiol and react with N-hexylamine to result in novel hydroxyurethanes as a demonstration of their utility in the synthesis of bio-based NIPUs.



Figure 3. Limonene cyclic carbonates and corresponding hydroxyurethanes investigated in this work.

Chemistry - A European Journal

#### 10.1002/chem.201905561

# **Results and discussion**

Polyoxometalates such as those originally reported by Ishii and Venturello, [PTC]<sub>3</sub>[PW<sub>4</sub>O<sub>24</sub>] (PTC = methyl tricapryl ammonium) (**A**) are excellent catalytic systems for the epoxidation of a variety of alkenes, including terpenes.<sup>39-42</sup> Limonene epoxidation using **A** may take place under solvent-less conditions and mild temperatures using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as an oxidant. Moreover, the reaction can be set up in batch or in flow reactor, reaction times are short (10 min residence time in a flow setup), and the selectivity toward epoxides is as high as 90%.<sup>38</sup> We therefore investigated the possibility of telescoping the benign epoxidation using **A** directly into the synthesis of cyclic carbonates. Silicotungstate polyoxometalates, such as [(n-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>6</sub>[ $\alpha$ -SiW<sub>11</sub>O<sub>39</sub>Mn], have been reported as an efficient nonhalogen anionic catalyst for coupling CO<sub>2</sub> and epoxides.<sup>43</sup> Moreover, a combination of spectroscopic techniques and computational calculations showed that transition metal polyoxometalates can coordinate CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>44,45</sup> Leitner *et al.* reported synergistic effects of [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br and [(n-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>5</sub>[CrSiW<sub>11</sub>O<sub>39</sub>] in carbonation reactions of unsaturated carboxylic acids to give increased reaction rates and improved stereoselectivity.<sup>46</sup> The prominent non-silicotungstate epoxidation catalyst **A** has not yet been investigated for its ability to catalyse epoxide carbonation.

#### Carbonation of cyclohexene oxide

We first investigated catalytic CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into cyclohexene oxide (**10**) as a model compound under solvent-free conditions. At 120 °C [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br showed good activity, providing 53 % of the corresponding cyclic carbonate **11** after 4 hours (Table 2, entry 3). The epoxidation catalyst **A** was found to be more active under the same conditions, however, with lower selectivity, giving about the same yield of **11** (Table 2, entry 2). To our delight we found that a combination of [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br and **A** gave high activity whilst maintaining high selectivity to give **11** in >80 % yield after 4 hours at 120 °C (Table 2, entry 1) through a synergistic double-activation of both substrates as in a related system reported by Leitner *et al.*<sup>46</sup> Although **A** could not be recycled from these reactions either, the opportunity to use the same catalyst for two consecutive transformations represents a significant advantage over its single use in epoxidation only.

Table 2. Cyclohexene cyclic carbonate synthesis from cyclohexene oxide catalysed by A and [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br.

$\bigcirc 0  \xrightarrow{CO_2}  \bigcirc 0 \\ catalyst  \bigcirc 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$									
10 11									
Entry	Catalyst	T [°C]	p [bar]ª	t [h]	Conv. [%] <sup>b</sup>	Chemoselectivity <b>11</b> [%] <sup>b</sup>			
1	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu <sub>4</sub> N]Br	120	75	4	97	84			
2	2 mol % <b>A</b>	120	75	4	78	69			
3	3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	120	75	4	60	88			
4 none 120 75 4 0 -									
Reaction conditions: 6.3 mmol <b>10</b> ; 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard									
a) pressure of CO <sub>2</sub> at 40 °C. b) derived from quantitative <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectroscopy.									

## Carbonation of commercially available 1,2-limonene oxide

Following these encouraging results, the same methodology was applied to commercially available **2** in order to evaluate the difference between CO<sub>2</sub> insertion catalysed by  $[Bu_4N]Br$  and the combined catalytic system consisting of  $[Bu_4N]Br$  and **A** more closely (Table 3). Initially we applied 3 mol %  $[Bu_4N]Br$  at 30 bar CO<sub>2</sub> which gave high conversion but with poor selectivity (20 %), with higher pressures of 75 bar improving selectivity to 41 % (Table 3, entry 2). The addition of 2 mol% **A** to 3 mol%  $[Bu_4N]Br$  improved both conversion and selectivity further to give **3** in about 40 % yield after 24 hours (Table 3, entry 3). An increased loading of  $[Bu_4N]Br$  alone gave even higher conversion but chemoselectivity to **3** was still below 60 % (Table 3, entry 4).

Table 3. 1,2-Limonene carbonate synthesis from commercially available 1,2-limonene oxide.

$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$								
Entry	Catalyst	Т	р	Conv.	Chemoselectivity	trans : cis 3		
		[°C]	[bar]ª	[%] <sup>b</sup>	<b>3</b> [%] <sup>b</sup>			
1	3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	140	30	79	20	96:4		
2	3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	140	75	61	41	94:6		
3	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	140	75	73	53	92:8		
4	5 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	140	75	95	52	95:5		
Reaction conditions: 2.3 mmol <b>2</b> ; 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard, 24 h, solvent-less. a) pressure of CO <sub>2</sub> at 40 °C b) determined by quantitative <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectroscopy.								

Interestingly, all catalysts and conditions tested predominantly produced the *trans* isomer of **3** with >90 % stereoselectivity. Starting with a 6:4 mixture of *trans/cis* epoxide isomers in commercial **2**, analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture showed predominantly *cis*-**2** to remain after the reaction. This finding suggested *cis*-**2** and *trans*-**2** to differ in their reactivity towards CO<sub>2</sub> insertion, an observation that has also been reported by Kleij and co-workers recently.<sup>21</sup> Indeed, considering conformational and steric effects of the double inversion pathway of halide-catalysed CO<sub>2</sub> insertion (Figure 4), it is clear that nucleophilic activation of *cis*-**2** by halide (X<sup>-</sup>) is less favourable than in the case of the more easily accessible *trans*-**2**.<sup>21,47</sup> Although we have not computed their thermodynamics, we expect the carbonate isomers *cis*-**3** and *trans*-**3** to be very close in energy, and thus propose the observed discrimination between *cis*-**2** and *trans*-**2** in the CO<sub>2</sub> insertion step to be purely kinetic in nature.



Figure 4. Different reactivity of cis/trans isomers of 1,2-limonene oxide in the nucleophilic halide activation for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion<sup>21,46</sup>

#### Reactivity of cis- and trans-1,2-limonene oxides in carbonation

To investigate this assumption further, we separated the two isomers of **2** according to previously reported methods.<sup>48,49</sup> In line with our catalytic results using the commercial 6:4 mixture, the *cis* isomer of **2** alone did get converted but with very poor chemoselectivity to **3** (Table 4, entry 1). Instead, a number of hydrolysis and rearrangement products were obtained under the conditions applied (Figure 5). The pure *trans* isomer of **2** however showed good reactivity and excellent chemoselectivity to *trans*-**3** under the same conditions (Table 4, entry 5 and 6, and Figure 6). Thus, not only is *trans*-**2** clearly more reactive towards carbonation than *cis*-**2**, but the latter gives rise to unwanted side products instead.

Table 4. Reactivity of different mixtures of cis-2 and trans-2 towards CO2 insertion.



at 40 °C, solventless.

a) determined from quantitative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy



Figure 5. Product distribution from the attempted CO2 insertion into cis-2 as determined by GC-MS and NMR analysis (% selectivity).



Figure 6. Product distribution from CO2 insertion into trans-2 as determined by GC-MS and NMR analysis (% selectivity).

Reaction profiles a commercial sample of **2** (57:43 *trans:cis*) showed global conversion of the mixture to be lower than for the pure *trans* isomer due to a lower concentration of the reactive isomer (Figure 7).



Figure 7 Top: Reaction profiles at 140  $^{\circ}$  C and 75 bar CO<sub>2</sub>, 2.83 mmol **2**, 2 mol % **A**, 3 mol % [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br, 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard. Commercial **2** was sourced from Sigma Aldrich, *trans*-**2** has been synthesised in the procedure described in SI, it is optically 100 % *trans*-**2** which contains 10 % impurities (See SI IVc). Bottom: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showing reaction progress. The presented spectra correspond to data points collected for commercial **2** (57:43 *trans*:*cis*).

#### Temperature influence on carbonation of trans-1,2-limonene oxide

Varying the reaction temperature from 40-140 °C with pure *trans*-2 showed that conversion strongly depended on temperature (Table 5). Applying 120 °C resulted in lower conversion than 140 °C, but the reaction maintained high carbonate selectivity. Lowering the temperature further to 80 °C showed significantly diminished conversion and selectivity, and at 40 °C no reactivity was observed at all. These findings are consistent with data previously reported for 1,2,8,9-limonene carbonate, in which the conversion was high for reactions between 120-140 °C but significantly lower at 100 °C.<sup>22</sup> Reaction temperatures above 100 °C are typical for cyclic carbonate synthesis catalysed by quaternary ammonium salts, however, the optimum reaction temperature often depends strongly on the epoxide used.<sup>43,46,50,51</sup> For *trans*-2, conversion increased with higher temperature and the high selectivity of reaction was not affected up to 140 °C.

#### Table 5 The influence of temperature on trans-5 synthesis

Entry	Catalyst	T [°C]	t [h]	Conv. [%]ª	Selectivity trans-3 [%]	Trans : cis <b>3</b>		
1	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	140	4.5	65	80	1:0		
2	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	120	4.5	42	78	1:0		
3	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	80	4.5	10	45	1:0		
4	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	40	4.5	5	0	-		
Possion conditioner 1.72 mmel trans 2 (con ESLIVA) 2 mol/ A 2 mal // IPU NIPr 10 mal // history as an internal standard								

Reaction conditions: 1.73 mmol *trans*-2 (see ESI IVc), 2 mol% A, 3 mol % [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br, 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard, stirrer speed 300 rpm, 75 bar CO<sub>2</sub> at 40 °C

a) determined from quantitative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

#### Pressure influence on carbonation of trans-1,2-limonene oxide

Varying the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure in the range of 20 - 75 bar (corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub> densities of 0.037 - 0.238 g/mL) showed that selectivity was strongly affected by the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> added (Table 6). At CO<sub>2</sub> densities between 0.15 and 0.24 g/mL (60 - 70 bar) conversion and selectivity remained high. However, reactions carried out at CO<sub>2</sub> densities of 0.06 g/mL (30 bar) or below showed significantly lower selectivity whilst retaining the same level of substrate conversion. A reaction carried out under atmospheric pressure of air yielded mostly hydrolysis and rearrangement products **20** and **21** from non-productive epoxide activation by the halide (Table 6, entry 5).

Table 6. The influence of pressure on *trans*-3 synthesis.

Entry	Catalyst	T [°C]	p [bar]ª	t [h]	Conv, [%] <sup>b</sup>	Selectivity trans-3 [%]	Trans : cis <b>3</b>
1	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	140	75	4.5	68	76	1:0
2	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	140	60	4.5	62	79	1:0
3	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	140	30	4.5	65	55	1:0
4	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	140	20	4.5	45	35	1:0
5	<b>A</b> +[Bu₄N]Br	140	1°	4.5	16	25 <sup>d</sup>	1:0

Reaction conditions: 2.16 mmol *trans*-2 (see ESI IVc), 2 mol% A , 3 mol % [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br, 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard, stirrer speed 300 rpm

a) pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> at 40 °C. b) determined from quantitative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. c) atmospheric pressure of air. d) low concentration of product; main side products identified as **20** and **21** by GC-MS.

#### **Catalyst variation**

When reducing the amount of **A** from 2 mol % to 1 mol % (Table 7, entry 1-3) the conversion decreased by 12% with no significant changes in selectivity. It was also found possible to use 5 mol % **A** without any addition of [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br (Table 7, entry 4), but at a rather unfavourable mass ratio (5 mol % **A** being equal to 80 weight% of the reaction).

Bromide is typically reported as the most efficient halide for cyclic carbonate synthesis due to its balanced nucleophilicity and leaving group character.<sup>22,27,46,52,53</sup> However, for *trans*-**3** synthesis we found chloride to be the most active and selective halide co-catalyst, both on its own and in combination with **A** (Table 7). Activities decreased when moving to the less nucleophilic bromide and iodide. Due to its corrosive and toxic nature, combined with reports of [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]F being ineffective in cyclic carbonate synthesis,<sup>46</sup> fluoride was not tested. Yasuda *et al.* reported that quaternary ammonium salts with longer alkyl chains were more active in cyclic carbonate synthesis,<sup>43</sup> however we found that substituting [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Cl for Aliquat 336 (a commercial mixture of C8 and C10 quaternary ammonium salts) did not result in any significant change in activity (Table 7, entry 5 and 8).

Entry	Catalyst	t [h]	Conv. [%] <sup>a</sup>	Selectivity trans-6 [%]	Trans : cis <b>6</b>
1	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	4.5	68	76	1:0
2	1 mol % <b>A</b> +3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	4.5	56	77	1:0
3	1 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu <sub>4</sub> N]Br	24	91	64	1:0
4	5 mol % <b>A</b>	4.5	83	72	1:0
5	3 mol % [Bu₄N]Cl	4.5	35	82	1:0
6	3 mol % [Bu4N]Br	4.5	45	59	1:0
7	3 mol % [Bu₄N]I	4.5	15	52	1:0
8	3 mol % Aliquat 336 <sup>b</sup>	4.5	34	69	1:0
9	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu <sub>4</sub> N]Cl	4.5	83	78	1:0
10	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu <sub>4</sub> N]I	4.5	55	39	1:0
11	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu₄N]Cl	24	93	74	1:0
12	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu₄N]Br	24	96	55	1:0
13	2 mol % <b>A</b> + 3 mol % [Bu₄N]I	24	98	67	1:0
14	none	4.5	- c	-	-

Table 7. The synthesis of trans-6 catalysed by various catalysts.

Reaction conditions: 2.0-2.6 mmol *trans*-2 (see ESI IVc), 140 °C, 75 bar CO<sub>2</sub> at 40 °C, 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard, stirrer speed 300 rpm

a) determined from quantitative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. b) Aliquat 336 = commercial mixture of  $C_8$  and  $C_{10}$  quaternary ammonium salts with predominately N-methyl-N,N,N-trioctylammonium chloride. c) 5 % mass loss.

#### Integrated epoxidation and carbonation of 1,2-limonene cyclic carbonate

With an efficient catalyst system in hand, we explored the possibility of using **A** in a direct epoxidation – carboxylation sequence starting from terpenes. Limonene **1** was epoxidized with  $H_2O_2$  using 1 mol% **A** to yield a 59:41 mixture of *cis/trans*-**2** as previously reported.<sup>38</sup> The resulting bi-phasic mixture was separated, and the organic phase used directly in CO<sub>2</sub> insertion after addition of 3 mol % [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br co-catalyst (Figure 8). Pleasingly, we found that after 24 h 85 % of **2** had reacted to **3** with 42 % chemoselectivity and 99% stereoselectivity to *trans*-**3**, which could be isolated in pure form by chromatography (crystallization has been reported as an alternative purification method<sup>21,23</sup>).



Figure 8 Two-step synthesis of cyclic carbonates from limonene without intermittent epoxide isolation (res. time = residence time).

The overall yield of *trans*-**3** across both steps starting from limonene of 39 % was mainly limited by the low epoxidation selectivity to *trans*-**2**. Nevertheless, this is the first example of using the same catalyst for epoxidation and carbonation without intermittent epoxide isolation. In order to assess the effectiveness of our approach we compared it to literature methods for preparing **3**, including stereoselective stoichiometric epoxidations<sup>54</sup> and some low-pressure carbonation methods,<sup>21</sup> in terms of process mass intensity (PMI) factors across both steps (Table 8). As can be seen from entries 1-5, although stereoselective oxidation provides almost exclusively *trans*-**2** and consequently higher yield of *trans*-**3**, the required intermediate workup of the epoxide leads to a 35 % higher PMI than our telescoped epoxidation/carbonation sequence catalysed by **A** despite lower yields. Conventional routes to *trans*-**3** involving classical mCPBA oxidation (Entries 4 and 5) have the highest PMI due to the wasteful workup and non-selective epoxide production.



Table 8 Calculated Process Mass Intensities (PMI) for various limonene epoxidation/carbonation systems.

15

Accepted Manuscript

Chemistry - A European Journal

#### Synthesis of 8,9-limonene cyclic carbonate

Cyclic carbonate formation at the 1,2 position of limonene is known to be facile due to the ease of selectively epoxidizing the more electron-rich trisubstituted double bond. However, access to the corresponding 8,9 epoxide and carbonate would provide an underexplored and potentially useful building block. 8,9 selectivity over 1,2 functionalization would most easily be achieved on the basis of steric differentiation. Indeed, Mizuno has reported [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>4</sub>[γHPV<sub>2</sub>W<sub>10</sub>O<sub>40</sub>] (B) as a bulky POM-based catalyst for the selective epoxidation of limonene to 8,9-limonene epoxide 4.55 The epoxidation of limonene using B proceeded with high regioselectivity to 4 as a 6:4 mixture of two diastereoisomers. Pleasingly, we found CO<sub>2</sub> insertion with 4 to be more facile than with the 1,2 epoxides 2. Using 3 mol% [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]Br at 140 °C high conversions were achieved after only 2.5 hours (Table 9 Entry 1). Lowering the temperature to 120 °C still gave good conversion with excellent selectivity of 99 % (Entry 3). In contrast to 2, 4 are less sterically hindered so that the nucleophilic attack is equally favourable on both isomers, and no significant difference in the 4 isomer ratio was observed. While A was found to also catalyse the formation of 5, the promoting effect of adding A to  $[Bu_4N]Br$  was much lower than for 3 (Entries 3-5). The attempted use of Mizuno's catalyst B for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion yielded no cyclic carbonate but gave the 8,9limonene aldehyde 41 as the major product irrespective of the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> added (Entry 7 and 8). Thus, unlike the 1,2-selective epoxidation catalyst A, the 8,9-selective epoxidation catalyst B does not catalyse carbonate formation and produces antagonistic effects in combination with halide co-catalysts.

Table 9. Reaction of 4 with CO<sub>2</sub>.



Reaction conditions: 2.3 mmol **4** (initial ratio of 63:37 *R*,*S* / *R*,*R*), 10 mol % biphenyl as an internal standard, stirrer speed 300 rpm

a) pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> at 40 °C. b) determined from quantitative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. c) from <sup>1</sup>H NMR using doublet at 4.25 ppm, overlapping doublets were deconvoluted using MNova. d) up to 63% depending on the batch of **B** 

e) reaction yields **41** with 50% selectivity. f) up to 75 % depending on the batch of **B**. g) reaction yields **41** with 4**7**% selectivity. h) 6.5 % mass loss.

#### Novel hydroxyurethanes from monofunctional cyclic carbonates

In contrast to 1,2,8,9-limonene carbonate, the use of monofunctional terpenoid cyclic carbonates **3** and **5** has remained unexplored so far, although they represent potentially useful building blocks for novel biorenewable NIPUs. Here we demonstrate their utility by linkage through a thiol-ene reaction on their remaining double bond to show that it is possible to create bi-functional carbonate dimers (**6** and **7**) which may be further ring opened to hydroxyurethanes using n-hexylamine as a model substrate (Figure 9). Note that the sulfide linker is not required for actual NIPU synthesis and that the presented ring opening reaction is not optimised but serves as proof-of-concept; more efficient procedures have recently been published by Kleij and co-workers.<sup>57</sup>



Figure 9. Synthesis of dithiol-linked bis-carbonates from 3 and 5 via radical addition, and carbonate ring-opening to hydroxyurethanes 8 and 9.

Radical addition of di-thiol on **3** to afford the linked bis-monocarbonate **6** could be carried out thermally without any exogeneous initiator.<sup>14</sup> The endocyclic double bond in **5** however required addition of AIBN and longer reaction times to furnish the corresponding bis-monocarbonate **7**. The formation of **6** and **7** was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (Figure 10).



Figure 10. MS and <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of 6 and 7 (further analytical details can be found in the SI).

Both bi-functional carbonate dimers **6** and **7** readily reacted with an excess of n-hexylamine resulting in ring opening of their cyclic carbonate functionalities after heating to 100 °C for 24 hours. NMR analysis of the reaction mixtures was challenging due to the presence of a number of regio- and stereoisomers present, but IR spectra showed complete consumption of cyclic carbonates in both cases through a characteristic shift of their carbonyl band at 1799 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1792 cm<sup>-1</sup> for **6** and **7** respectively to 1689 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1698 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the corresponding hydroxyurethanes **8** and **9** (Figure 11). Mass spectrometry further confirmed formation of **8** and **9** by detecting characteristic signals of [M+H]<sup>+</sup> and [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> adducts (additional data and details can be found in the SI).



Figure 11. ATR-IR spectra of **6** and **7** before (yellow) and after (blue) reaction with n-hexylamine, showing the formation of novel hydroxyurethanes **8** and **9**, respectively. Carbonate C=O resonances are highlighted in grey, and amide C=O resonances in green.

The successful synthesis of hydroxyurethanes **8** and **9** demonstrates the potential of monofunctionalised terpene-derived cyclic carbonates for renewable polymer synthesis: while the reaction of **6** and **7** with diamines would furnish linear polyurethanes, the reaction of **3** and **5** with polyfunctional amines would give access to pre-polymers that may be further functionalised on the remaining double bond.<sup>57-59</sup>

# Conclusions

The growing interest in bio-derived NIPUs and the commitment of the coating industry to improve their sustainability<sup>60</sup> makes terpene-derived cyclic carbonates desired compounds. 1,2,8,9-limonene biscarbonate has previously been used in NIPU synthesis to show promising results.<sup>22,23</sup> Here, we have synthesised limonene-derived monocarbonates and their corresponding hydroxyurethanes using benign and scalable catalytic methods. The synergistic interactions between the widely used Ishii-Venturello epoxidation catalyst **A** and CO<sub>2</sub> allowed for a telescoped two-step synthesis of 1,2-limonene cyclic carbonate directly from limonene. A different epoxidation catalyst (Mizuno's polyoxometalate **B**) selectively gave access to 8,9-limonene oxide that could easily be transformed into its corresponding cyclic carbonate **5** which was fully characterised for the first time. Both cyclic carbonates **3** and **5** have been shown to undergo thiol-ene reactions with 1,3-propanedithiol to yield di-monocarbonates **6** and **7** as versatile building blocks for polymer synthesis by nucleophilic ring-opening with amines. Using combinations of various dithiols and polyamines with these limonene carbonates will give access to a

range of novel NIPUs with tuneable properties and consisting of >50 wt% renewables. Application to other terpenes with less stereochemical restraints on the carbonation than limonene will give access to a wide range of bio-based building blocks in even higher yields.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Royal Society (UF160458; University Research Fellowship to UH), the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Sustainable Chemical Technologies (EP/L016354/1; PhD studentship to KS), and the EPSRC grant Terpene-based Manufacturing for Sustainable Chemical Feedstocks (EP/K014889/1). The authors would like to thank Dr Catherine Lyall of the Dynamic Reaction Monitoring Facility at the University of Bath, and the National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University for support and assistance with this project.

## References

1. Zhu, Y.; Romain, C.; Williams, C. K., Sustainable polymers from renewable resources. *Nature* **2016**, *540* (7633), 354-362.

2. Corma, A.; Iborra, S.; Velty, A., Chemical Routes for the Transformation of Biomass into Chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107* (6), 2411-2502.

3. Byrne, C. M.; Allen, S. D.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Coates, G. W., Alternating Copolymerization of Limonene Oxide and Carbon Dioxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126 (37), 11404-11405.

4. Quilter, H. C.; Hutchby, M.; Davidson, M. G.; Jones, M. D., Polymerisation of a terpene-derived lactone: a biobased alternative to ε-caprolactone. *Polym. Chem.* **2017**, *8* (5), 833-837.

5. Lowe, J. R.; Martello, M. T.; Tolman, W. B.; Hillmyer, M. A., Functional biorenewable polyesters from carvonederived lactones. *Polym. Chem.* **2011**, *2* (3), 702-708.

6. Llevot, A.; Dannecker, P.-K.; von Czapiewski, M.; Over, L. C.; Söyler, Z.; Meier, M. A. R., Renewability is not Enough: Recent Advances in the Sustainable Synthesis of Biomass-Derived Monomers and Polymers. *Chem.: Eur. J.* **2016**, *22* (33), 11510-11521.

7. Golets, M.; Ajaikumar, S.; Mikkola, J. P., Catalytic Upgrading of Extractives to Chemicals: Monoterpenes to "EXICALS". *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115* (9), 3141-69.

8. Gandini, A.; Lacerda, T. M., From monomers to polymers from renewable resources: Recent advances. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2015**, *48*, 1-39.

9. Ciriminna, R.; Lomeli-Rodriguez, M.; Demma Cara, P.; Lopez-Sanchez, J. A.; Pagliaro, M., Limonene: a versatile chemical of the bioeconomy. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50* (97), 15288-15296.

10. Benjamin, K. R.; Silvab, I. R.; Cherubimc, J. P.; McPheea, D.; Paddon, C. J., Developing Commercial Production of Semi-Synthetic Artemisinin, and of  $\beta$ -Farnesene, an Isoprenoid Produced by Fermentation of Brazilian Sugar. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *27*, 1339-1345.

11. Martin, V. J.; Pitera, D. J.; Withers, S. T.; Newman, J. D.; Keasling, J. D., Engineering a mevalonate pathway in Escherichia coli for production of terpenoids. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *21* (7), 796-802.

12. Behr, A.; Johnen, L., Myrcene as a Natural Base Chemical in Sustainable Chemistry: A Critical Review. *ChemSusChem* **2009**, *2* (12), 1072-1095.

13. Sheldon, R. A., The E factor 25 years on: the rise of green chemistry and sustainability. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19* (1), 18-43.

14. Firdaus, M.; Montero de Espinosa, L.; Meier, M. A. R., Terpene-Based Renewable Monomers and Polymers via Thiol–Ene Additions. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44* (18), 7253-7262.

15. Wilbon, P. A.; Chu, F.; Tang, C., Progress in renewable polymers from natural terpenes, terpenoids, and rosin. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2013**, *34* (1), 8-37.

16. Yao, K.; Tang, C., Controlled Polymerization of Next-Generation Renewable Monomers and Beyond. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46* (5), 1689-1712.

17. Winnacker, M.; Vagin, S.; Auer, V.; Rieger, B., Synthesis of Novel Sustainable Oligoamides Via Ring-Opening Polymerization of Lactams Based on (–)-Menthone. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *215* (17), 1654-1660.

18. Martín, C.; Kleij, A. W., Terpolymers Derived from Limonene Oxide and Carbon Dioxide: Access to Cross-Linked Polycarbonates with Improved Thermal Properties. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (17), 6285-6295.

19. Kindermann, N.; Cristòfol, À.; Kleij, A. W., Access to Biorenewable Polycarbonates with Unusual Glass-Transition Temperature (Tg) Modulation. ACS Catal. **2017**, 7 (6), 3860-3863.

20. Li, C.; Sablong, R. J.; Koning, C. E., Chemoselective Alternating Copolymerization of Limonene Dioxide and Carbon Dioxide: A New Highly Functional Aliphatic Epoxy Polycarbonate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (38), 11572-6.

21. Fiorani, G.; Stuck, M.; Martín, C.; Belmonte, M. M.; Martin, E.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Kleij, A. W., Catalytic Coupling of Carbon Dioxide with Terpene Scaffolds: Access to Challenging Bio-Based Organic Carbonates. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9* (11), 1304-1311.

22. Bähr, M.; Bitto, A.; Mülhaupt, R., Cyclic limonene dicarbonate as a new monomer for non-isocyanate oligoand polyurethanes (NIPU) based upon terpenes. *Green Chem.* **2012**, *14* (5), 1447-1454.

23. Schimpf, V.; Ritter, B. S.; Weis, P.; Parison, K.; Mülhaupt, R., High Purity Limonene Dicarbonate as Versatile Building Block for Sustainable Non-Isocyanate Polyhydroxyurethane Thermosets and Thermoplastics. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50* (3), 944-955.

24. Nohra, B.; Candy, L.; Blanco, J.-F.; Guerin, C.; Raoul, Y.; Mouloungui, Z., From Petrochemical Polyurethanes to Biobased Polyhydroxyurethanes. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46* (10), 3771-3792.

25. Comerford, J. W.; Ingram, I. D. V.; North, M.; Wu, X., Sustainable metal-based catalysts for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates containing five-membered rings. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17* (4), 1966-1987.

26. Rintjema, J.; Kleij, A. W., Aluminum-Mediated Formation of Cyclic Carbonates: Benchmarking Catalytic Performance Metrics. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10* (6), 1274-1282.

27. Kamphuis, A. J.; Picchioni, F.; Pescarmona, P. P., CO2-fixation into cyclic and polymeric carbonates: principles and applications. *Green Chem.* **2019**, *21* (3), 406-448.

28. Dalpozzo, R.; Della Ca', N.; Gabriele, B.; Mancuso, R., Recent Advances in the Chemical Fixation of Carbon Dioxide: A Green Route to Carbonylated Heterocycle Synthesis. *Catalysts* **2019**, *9* (6), 511.

29. Huh, S., Direct Catalytic Conversion of CO2 to Cyclic Organic Carbonates under Mild Reaction Conditions by Metal—Organic Frameworks. *Catalysts* **2019**, *9* (1), 34.

30. He, Q.; O'Brien, J. W.; Kitselman, K. A.; Tompkins, L. E.; Curtis, G. C. T.; Kerton, F. M., Synthesis of cyclic carbonates from CO2 and epoxides using ionic liquids and related catalysts including choline chloride-metal halide mixtures. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (6), 1513-1528.

31. Cokoja, M.; Wilhelm, M. E.; Anthofer, M. H.; Herrmann, W. A.; Kühn, F. E., Synthesis of Cyclic Carbonates from Epoxides and Carbon Dioxide by Using Organocatalysts. *ChemSusChem* **2015**, *8* (15), 2436-2454.

32. Shaikh, R. R.; Pornpraprom, S.; D'Elia, V., Catalytic Strategies for the Cycloaddition of Pure, Diluted, and Waste CO2 to Epoxides under Ambient Conditions. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (1), 419-450.

33. Longwitz, L.; Steinbauer, J.; Spannenberg, A.; Werner, T., Calcium-Based Catalytic System for the Synthesis of Bio-Derived Cyclic Carbonates under Mild Conditions. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (1), 665-672.

34. Martínez, J.; Fernández-Baeza, J.; Sánchez-Barba, L. F.; Castro-Osma, J. A.; Lara-Sánchez, A.; Otero, A., An Efficient and Versatile Lanthanum Heteroscorpionate Catalyst for Carbon Dioxide Fixation into Cyclic Carbonates. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10* (14), 2886-2890.

35. Li, C.-Y.; Su, Y.-C.; Lin, C.-H.; Huang, H.-Y.; Tsai, C.-Y.; Lee, T.-Y.; Ko, B.-T., Synthesis and characterization of trimetallic cobalt, zinc and nickel complexes containing amine-bis(benzotriazole phenolate) ligands: efficient catalysts for coupling of carbon dioxide with epoxides. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46* (44), 15399-15406.

36. de la Cruz-Martínez, F.; Martínez de Sarasa Buchaca, M.; Martínez, J.; Fernández-Baeza, J.; Sánchez-Barba, L. F.; Rodríguez-Diéguez, A.; Castro-Osma, J. A.; Lara-Sánchez, A., Synthesis of Bio-Derived Cyclic Carbonates from Renewable Resources. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06016.

37. Kamphuis, A. J.; Milocco, F.; Koiter, L.; Pescarmona, P. P.; Otten, E., Highly Selective Single-Component Formazanate Ferrate(II) Catalysts for the Conversion of CO2 into Cyclic Carbonates. *ChemSusChem* **2019**, *12* (15), 3635-3641.

38. Bull, S.; Cunningham, W. B.; Tibbetts, J. D.; Hutchby, M.; Smug, K. A.; Davidson, M. G.; Hintermair, U.; Plucinski, P., Sustainable Catalytic Protocols for the Solvent Free Epoxidation and (anti)-Dihydroxylation of the Alkene Bonds of Biorenewable Terpene Feedstocks Using H2O2 as Oxidant. *Green Chem.* **2019**, DOI: 10.1039/C9GC03208H.

39. Grigoropoulou, G.; Clark, J. H., A catalytic, environmentally benign method for the epoxidation of unsaturated terpenes with hydrogen peroxide. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47* (26), 4461-4463.

40. Gunam Resul, M. F. M.; López Fernández, A. M.; Rehman, A.; Harvey, A. P., Development of a selective, solvent-free epoxidation of limonene using hydrogen peroxide and a tungsten-based catalyst. *React. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *3* (5), 747-756.

41. Duncan, D. C.; Chambers, R. C.; Hecht, E.; Hill, C. L., Mechanism and Dynamics in the H3[PW12O40]-Catalyzed Selective Epoxidation of Terminal Olefins by H2O2. Formation, Reactivity, and Stability of {PO4[WO(O2)2]4}3. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117* (2), 681-691.

42. Yadav, G. D.; Pujari, A. A., Epoxidation of Styrene to Styrene Oxide: Synergism of Heteropoly Acid and Phase-Transfer Catalyst under Ishii–Venturello Mechanism. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2000**, *4* (2), 88-93.

43. Yasuda, H.; He, L.-N.; Sakakura, T.; Hu, C., Efficient synthesis of cyclic carbonate from carbon dioxide catalyzed by polyoxometalate: the remarkable effects of metal substitution. *J. Catal.* **2005**, *233* (1), 119-122.

44. Chen, F.; Li, X.; Wang, B.; Xu, T.; Chen, S.-L.; Liu, P.; Hu, C., Mechanism of the Cycloaddition of Carbon Dioxide and Epoxides Catalyzed by Cobalt-Substituted 12-Tungstenphosphate. *Chem.: Eur. J.* **2012**, *18* (32), 9870-9876.

45. Szczepankiewicz, S. H.; Ippolito, C. M.; Santora, B. P.; Van de Ven, T. J.; Ippolito, G. A.; Fronckowiak, L.; Wiatrowski, F.; Power, T.; Kozik, M., Interaction of Carbon Dioxide with Transition-Metal-Substituted Heteropolyanions in Nonpolar Solvents. Spectroscopic Evidence for Complex Formation. *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37* (17), 4344-4352.

46. Langanke, J.; Greiner, L.; Leitner, W., Substrate dependent synergetic and antagonistic interaction of ammonium halide and polyoxometalate catalysts in the synthesis of cyclic carbonates from oleochemical epoxides and CO2. *Green Chem.* **2013**, *15* (5), 1173-1182.

47. Rehman, A.; López Fernández, A. M.; Gunam Resul, M. F. M.; Harvey, A., Highly selective, sustainable synthesis of limonene cyclic carbonate from bio-based limonene oxide and CO2: A kinetic study. *J. CO2 Uti.* **2019**, *29*, 126-133.

48. Kumar, S. C. S.; Manjunatha, J. R.; Srinivas, P.; Bettadaiah, B. K., Eco-friendly kinetic separation of translimonene and carvomenthene oxides. *J. Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *126* (3), 875-880.

49. Steiner, D.; Ivison, L.; Goralski, C. T.; Appell, R. B.; Gojkovic, J. R.; Singaram, B., A facile and efficient method for the kinetic separation of commercially available cis- and trans-limonene epoxide. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13* (21), 2359-2363.

50. Miao, C.-X.; Wang, J.-Q.; He, L.-N., Catalytic processes for chemical conversion of carbon dioxide into cyclic carbonates and polycarbonates. *Open Org. Chem. J.* **2008**, *2*, 68-82.

51. Wang, J.-Q.; Sun, J.; Cheng, W.-G.; Dong, K.; Zhang, X.-P.; Zhang, S.-J., Experimental and theoretical studies on hydrogen bond-promoted fixation of carbon dioxide and epoxides in cyclic carbonates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14* (31), 11021-11026.

52. Tamami, B.; Sohn, S.; Wilkes, G. L., Incorporation of carbon dioxide into soybean oil and subsequent preparation and studies of nonisocyanate polyurethane networks. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2004**, *92* (2), 883-891.

53. Doll, K. M.; Erhan, S. Z., The improved synthesis of carbonated soybean oil using supercritical carbon dioxide at a reduced reaction time. *Green Chem.* **2005**, *7* (12), 849-854.

54. Hauenstein, O.; Reiter, M.; Agarwal, S.; Rieger, B.; Greiner, A., Bio-based polycarbonate from limonene oxide and CO2 with high molecular weight, excellent thermal resistance, hardness and transparency. Green Chem. **2016**, 18 (3), 760-770.

55. Kamata, K.; Sugahara, K.; Yonehara, K.; Ishimoto, R.; Mizuno, N., Efficient Epoxidation of Electron-Deficient Alkenes with Hydrogen Peroxide Catalyzed by [γ-PW10O38V2(μ-OH)2]3–. *Chem.: Eur. J.* **2011**, *17* (27), 7549-7559.

56. Sopeña, S.; Laserna, V.; Guo, W.; Martin, E.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Kleij, A. W., Regioselective Organocatalytic Formation of Carbamates from Substituted Cyclic Carbonates. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358* (13), 2172-2178.

57. Bobbink, F. D.; van Muyden, A. P.; Dyson, P. J., En route to CO2-containing renewable materials: catalytic synthesis of polycarbonates and non-isocyanate polyhydroxyurethanes derived from cyclic carbonates. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55* (10), 1360-1373.

58. Tomita, H.; Sanda, F.; Endo, T., Polyaddition of bis(seven-membered cyclic carbonate) with diamines: A novel and efficient synthetic method for polyhydroxyurethanes. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2001**, *39* (23), 4091-4100.

59. Silbert, S. D.; Serum, E. M.; LaScala, J.; Sibi, M. P.; Webster, D. C., Biobased, Nonisocyanate, 2K Polyurethane Coatings Produced from Polycarbamate and Dialdehyde Cross-linking. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b04713.

60. Cunningham, M. F.; Campbell, J. D.; Fu, Z. W.; Bohling, J.; Leroux, J. G.; Mabee, W.; Robert, T., Future green chemistry and sustainability needs in polymeric coatings. *Green Chem.* **2019**, *21* (18), 4919-4926.