Accepted Manuscript

Structure-activity relationship studies of c-di-AMP synthase inhibitor, bromophenol-thiohydantoin

Yue Zheng, Jie Zhou, Stefan M. Cooper, Jr., Clement Opoku-Temeng, Amanda Moreira De Brito, Herman O. Sintim

PII: S0040-4020(15)30173-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.tet.2015.10.073

Reference: TET 27245

To appear in: *Tetrahedron*

Received Date: 13 August 2015

Revised Date: 25 October 2015

Accepted Date: 26 October 2015

Please cite this article as: Zheng Y, Zhou J, Cooper Jr. SM, Opoku-Temeng C, De Brito AM, Sintim HO, Structure-activity relationship studies of c-di-AMP synthase inhibitor, bromophenol-thiohydantoin, *Tetrahedron* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.tet.2015.10.073.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Graphical Abstract To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below. Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.





Tetrahedron journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Structure-activity relationship studies of c-di-AMP synthase inhibitor, bromophenol-thiohydantoin

Yue Zheng, Jie Zhou, Stefan M. Cooper Jr., Clement Opoku-Temeng, Amanda Moreira De Brito and Herman O. Sintim*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: c-di-AMP DisA inhibitor bromophenol-TH SAR ABSTRACT

C-di-AMP, a bacterial second messenger, regulates various processes in Gram-positive bacteria and mycobacteria. Small molecule inhibitors of c-di-AMP metabolic enzymes could affect bacterial growth and viability. A medium throughput screening identified bromophenolthiohydantoin (BTH) as the first inhibitor of c-di-AMP synthase, DisA. Herein, we performed SAR studies of bromophenol-thiohydantoin to identify the salient features on BTH that are important for DisA inhibition. Seemingly minor substitution changes (for example aromatic bromo to chloro substitutions) resulted in dramatic changes in ligand potency. Bromophenol TH is specific for c-di-AMP synthase and did not inhibit RocR (c-di-GMP PDE), YybT (c-di-AMP PDE) or WspR (c-di-GMP synthase).

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tetrahedron

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-301-405-0633; fax: +1-301-314-9121; e-mail: hsintim@umd.edu

1. Introduction

Multidrug-resistant bacteria continue to cause a threat to public health, despite decades of antibiotics development.¹ The US CDC in a report, emphasized the continuous need for new antibiotics to keep up with the ever evolving antibacterial resistance.² Efforts have been put into the discovery of new types of antibiotic targets. Enzymes that make or degrade bacterial cyclic dinucleotide (cdn) second messengers or proteins/RNA receptors of cdn have emerged as new antibacterial targets, because cyclic dinucleotides regulate various cellular processes in different bacterial species, including virulence, biofilms and cell wall synthesis.³ Since its discovery in 2008,⁴ c-di-AMP has been found in many Gram-positive bacteria and mycobacteria, including human pathogens S. aureus,⁵ M. tuberculosis,⁶ L. monocytogenes,⁷ and other bacteria.⁸ C-di-AMP is essential for bacterial survival.^{7,9,10} In some bacteria, c-di-AMP has been shown to regulate DNA integrity scanning,⁴ potassium ion transport,¹¹ bacterial cell wall homeostasis,¹² fatty acid synthesis¹³ and host type I interferon response induction¹⁴. Attempts to delete c-di-AMP synthase, diadenylate cyclase (DAC), from the genome of important human pathogens such as S. aureus have been unsuccessful,¹⁵ implying that inhibitors of cdi-AMP synthase could have antibacterial properties.

Motivated by the potential utility of DAC inhibitors as important probes to study c-di-AMP signaling in bacteria or as new generation antibiotics we embarked on the development of a high throughput assay, using coralyne, to discover such inhibitors.¹⁶ Using the coralyne assay, we identified the first c-disynthase inhibitor, AMP 5-(3, 5-dibromo-2hydroxylbenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (referred to as bromophenol thiohydantoin or bromophenol-TH or BTH, see Figure 1 for structure).¹⁷ BTH contains a halogen (Br), phenolic and 2-thiohydantoin (or 2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one) moieties. To guide the development of BTH-based/inspired DAC inhibitors, we initiated a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study to tease out the salient features of BTH that are important for DisA inhibition. Herein, we present the synthesis of 19 BTH analogs, and investigate the importance of the various functionalities found on BTH for DAC inhibition.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Synthesis of BTH analogs

Three different synthetic protocols were explored for the synthesis of the analogs and the one that gave the best yield was chosen for making adequate amounts for characterization and biological evaluation.

General synthesis procedure A: A mixture of compound 20, aldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv. 20), compound 21 (1.2 equiv.), and NaOAc (3 equiv.) in glacial AcOH (4 mL) was stirred at reflux (12 h) until no starting material remained, assessed by TLC (SiO₂, hexane/ethyl acetate, 1:1, v/v). The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature, followed by the addition of iced water. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed successively with water and Et₂O (10 mL). The product was subjected to column purification (hexane/ethyl acetate, 0 \rightarrow 35%).

General synthesis procedure **B**: A mixture of aldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv. **20**), compound **21** (1.2 equiv.), and few drops of piperidine in EtOH (4 mL) was stirred at 80-90 °C (4-12 h) until no starting material remained, assessed by TLC (SiO₂, hexane/ethyl acetate, 1:1, v/v). The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed successively with water and Et₂O (10 mL).



Figure 1. Structures of BTH and analogs synthesized for this study. Ninteen BTH analogs are grouped into four categories, accoding to their substitution types.

General synthesis procedure C: A mixture of aldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv. **20**), compound **21** (1.1 equiv.), and NH₄OAc (1.5 equiv.) in toluene (4 mL) was stirred at 80-90 °C (12 h) until no starting material remained, assessed by TLC (SiO₂, hexane/ethyl acetate, 1:1, v/v). The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed successively with water and Et₂O (10 mL).

5-(3-bromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4one (compound 1)

Following synthesis procedure A, compound **1** was obtained as brown solid. Compound **1** (38 mg, 50% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.52 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) δ 180.04, 167.60, 153.54, 135.17, 131.06, 130.24, 124.22, 122.78, 112.74, 108.71. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₀H₆BrN₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 296.9333, found 296.9350.

5-(3-bromo-5-fluoro-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 2)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound **2** was obtained as yellow solid. Compound **2** (68 mg, 29% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 6.60 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 177.94, 167.02, 156.30, 154.39, 152.99, 129.99, 123.79, 121.28, 121.08, 116.87, 116.70, 113.55, 109.10. HRMS (ESF) *m/z* calcd for C₁₀H₃BrFN₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 314.9239, found 314.9251.

5-(3-bromo-5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 3)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound **3** was obtained as brown solid. Compound **3** (28 mg, 12% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.55 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, MeOD) δ 178.09,

165.50, 151.50, 131.99, 129.08, 128.37, 124.07, 123.32, 111.48, \bigwedge 428.93 (s), 122.97 (dd, J = 9.4, 4.1 Hz), 111.46 (s), 111.28 (d, J = 9.4, 4.1 Hz), 111.48 (d, J = 9.4, 4.1 105.34. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_5BrClN_2O_2S$ [M-H] 330.8944, found 330.8963

5-(5-bromo-3-fluoro-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 4)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 4 was obtained as yellow solid. Compound 4 (132 mg, 57% yield) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 175.39, 166.19, 154.37, 152.77, 149.94, 130.98, 129.69, 125.29, 125.26, 118.88, 118.73, 108.95, 104.01. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₅BrFN₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 314.9239, found 314.9217.

5-(5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4one (compound 5)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 5 was obtained as yellow solid. Compound 5 (156 mg, 67% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.39 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.18, 168.36, 158.56, 137.42, 134.49, 132.17, 124.56, 120.73, 108.95, 107.74. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₆BrN₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 296.9333, found 296.9356.

5-(5-chloro-2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzylidene)-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 6)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 6 was obtained as yellow solid. Compound 6 (120 mg, 56% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 6.09 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) & 172.79, 166.19, 162.94, 138.04, 133.27, 130.73, 121.58, 115.99, 112.63, 95.29. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_5ClIN_2O_2S\ \mbox{[M-H]}\ 378.8805, found 378.8813.$

5-(3-chloro-5-fluoro-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 7)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 7 was obtained as yellow solid, a mixture of E/Z isomers. Compound 7 (153 mg, 61% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 8.19 (dd, J = 10.3, 3.1 Hz, 0.1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.0, 3.1 Hz, 0.1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 9.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (s, 0.1H), 6.17 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.07, 175.23, 166.85, 163.54, 154.78, 154.13, 153.21, 152.64, 151.10, 148.38, 133.48, 130.94, 123.47, 123.41, 123.17, 123.09, 123.06, 123.00, 121.58, 121.50, 117.57, 117.35, 117.18, 116.89, 116.75, 115.09, 114.93, 111.49, 110.00. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₅ClFN₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 270.9744, found 270.9723.

5-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 8)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound 8 was obtained as brown solid. Compound 8 (213 mg, 59% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.36 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 - 6.73 (m, 2H), 6.64 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) δ 180.41, 168.49, 158.36, 133.73, 132.72, 129.55, 121.82, 120.80, 118.03, 112.52. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₅N₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 219.0228, found 219.0240.

5-(3,5-difluoro-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 9)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound 9 was obtained as brown solid. Compound 9 (72 mg, 89% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.05 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (ddd, J = 11.0, 8.3, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) δ 177.80, 166.09, 155.31 (d, J = 11.8 Hz), 153.42 (d, J = 11.7 Hz), 152.91 (d, *J* = 12.8 Hz), 151.00 (d, *J* = 12.8 Hz), 141.64 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz),

= 3.2 Hz), 106.64 (s), 104.77 (s), 104.56 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 104.36 (s). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_5F_2N_2O_2S$ [M-H] 255.0040, found 255.0049.

5-(3,5-dichloro-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 10)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound 10 was obtained as brown solid. Compound 10 (58 mg, 78% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) & 180.47, 168.16, 163.51, 133.26, 131.80, 128.79, 128.12, 125.62, 117.68, 116.00. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₅Cl₂N₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 286.9449, found 286.9476.

5-(2-hydroxy-3,5-diiodobenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4one (compound 11)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 11 was obtained as yellow solid. Compound 11 (100 mg, 50% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 172.31, 166.00, 164.21, 145.84, 142.32, 129.76, 123.86, 112.94, 97.38, 71.81. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_5I_2N_2O_2S$ [M-H]⁻ 470.8161, found 470.8165.

5-(3,5-dibromo-2-methoxybenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 12)

Following synthesis strategy C, compound 12 was obtained as brown solid, a mixture of E/Z isomers. Compound 12 (25 mg, 12% yield) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO/D₂O) δ 8.69 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 0.26H), 7.85 (s, 0.3H), 7.68 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (s, 0.28H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.08 (s, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H).¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO/D₂O) δ 183.85, 183.38, 182.96, 174.48, 172.03, 170.35, 153.68, 133.00, 132.45, 131.93, 117.44, 116.94, 98.03, 50.25. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{11}H_7Br_2N_2O_2S$ [M-H] 388.8595, found 388.8583.

5-(2-amino-3,5-dibromobenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4one (compound 13)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 13 was obtained as yellow solid, a mixture of E/Z isomers. Compound 13 (86 mg, 30% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO/D₂O) δ 9.39 (s, 0.3H), 7.91 (s, 0.3H), 7.89 (s, 0.3H), 7.58 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO/D₂O) δ 181.92, 179.82, 166.35, 159.34, 144.82, 135.60, 134.09, 132.50, 132.38, 131.87, 130.67, 130.26, 123.89, 121.09, 119.50, 115.56, 110.34, 109.95, 108.15, 107.78. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₆Br₂N₃OS [M-H]⁻ 373.8598, found 373.8589.

5-(3,5-dibromobenzylidene)-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 14)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound 14 was obtained as yellow solid. Compound 14 (45 mg, 20% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 8.22 (s, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 5.95 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) & 183.21, 183.09, 170.83, 140.49, 130.56, 130.50, 122.27, 103.54. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₅Br₂N₂OS [M-H]⁻ 358.8489 found 358.8506.

5-(3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4one (compound 15)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound 15 was obtained as brown solid. Compound 15 (28 mg, 13% yield) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J= 2.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) δ 195.71, 170.06, 153.56, 137.18, 131.22, 128.96, 125.82, 125.50, 113.12, 112.51.

HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₄Br₂NO₂S₂ [M-H] 391.8050, M found 391.8072.

5-(3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)imidazolidine-2,4dione (compound 16)

Following synthesis strategy A, compound **16** was obtained as brown solid. Compound **16** (52 mg, 25% yield) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.61 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, MeOD) δ 167.28, 157.11, 154.27, 136.19, 132.80, 130.84, 126.27, 114.05, 112.25, 105.95. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₃Br₂N₂O₃ [M-H]⁻ 358.8667, found 358.8685.

4-(3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)thiazolidine-2,5-dione (compound 17)

Following synthesis strategy C, compound **17** was obtained as brown solid. Compound **17** (146 mg, 67 % yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, MeOD) δ 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 173.64, 172.65, 157.59, 134.04, 128.65, 125.05, 124.81, 124.28, 113.57, 105.24. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₀H₄Br₂NO₃S [M-H]⁻ 375.8279, found 375.8282.

5-(3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-3-methyl-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 18)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound **18** was obtained as yellow solid. Compound **18** (201 mg, 89 % yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.46 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 173.41, 164.62, 160.61, 135.29, 135.12, 129.68, 124.54, 116.92, 113.65, 102.85, 27.00. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₁H₇Br₂N₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 388.8595, found 388.8571.

5-(3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)-1,3-dimethyl-2thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (compound 19)

Following synthesis strategy B, compound **19** was obtained as yellow solid. Compound **19** (156 mg, 67% yield) ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 8.63 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 173.99, 163.12, 161.15, 135.74, 131.56, 125.33, 122.68, 119.73, 116.45, 100.24, 30.35, 27.82.HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂H₉Br₂N₂O₂S [M-H]⁻ 402.8751, found 402.8790.

2.2 Protein purification

BL21(DE3) cells with protein expression plasmids were cultured in LB medium with 100 μ g/ml ampicillin or 50 μ g/ml kanamycin at 37 °C. Expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG. After induction at 30 °C for 6 hours (WspR D70E and YybT) or at 16 °C overnight (DisA and RocR), cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 15 min. Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl for WspR D70E, RocR and YybT, and 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl for DisA) and lysed by sonication. Proteins were purified by GE Hitrap Nickel columns and dialyzed into lysis buffer.

2.3 The coralyne assay

BTH and analogs were stored as 100 mM stock solutions in DMSO. 300 μM ATP, 10 μM coralyne, 3 mM KI, 50 μM

BTH/analogs and 1 μ M DisA were mixed in reaction buffer, which contains 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl₂. Fluorescence measurements were performed on a Molecular Devices SpectraMax M5e microplate reader (λ_{ex} =420 nm and λ_{em} =475 nm). Reactions were monitored for 30 min with 2 min interval.

2.4 IC_{50} measurements of BTH, compound 5 and compound 6

300 μ M ATP, 33 nM ³²P-ATP and various concentrations of BTH/analogs were mixed in reaction buffer. Reaction was initiated by addition of 1 μ M DisA and performed at 30 °C for 1 hour. Aliquots of the reaction mixtures were spotted on a TLC plate (EMD Millipore TLC Cellulose). TLC running buffer was saturated (NH₄)₂SO₄ : 1.5 M KH₂PO₄ = 1:1.5.

2.5 Assay to determine if BTH is a covalent inhibitor

10 μ M DisA was incubated with 100 μ M BTH or DMSO on ice for 90 min. BTH/DMSO was removed by filtration. DisA was washed by reaction buffer for three times. DisA was then incubated with 300 μ M ATP, 10 μ M coralyne and 3 mM KI. Reaction was monitored by fluorescence emission on a Molecular Devices SpectraMax M5e microplate reader (λ_{ex} =420 nm and λ_{em} =475 nm). The reaction was monitored for 30 min with 5 min interval.

2.6 Effect of BTH on YybT, WspR D70E and RocR activity

1.5 μ M YybT reacted with 50 μ M c-di-AMP and 16 nM 32 P-cdi-AMP in the presence or absence of 100 μ M BTH in reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 20 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MnCl₂ and 1 mM DTT) at 37 °C. The reaction was stopped after 30 min. 1 μ M WspR D70E reacted with 300 μ M GTP and 33 nM 32 P-GTP in the presence or absence of 100 μ M BTH in reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl and 5 mM MgCl₂) at 37 °C. Reaction was stopped after 2 hours. 1.2 μ M RocR reacted with 50 μ M c-di-GMP and 16 nM 32 P-c-di-GMP in reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 20 mM KCl and 25 mM MgCl₂) at 37 °C. Reaction was stopped after 30 min. All the reactions were monitored by TLC.

3 Results and Discussion

BTH, is easily prepared via the condensation of 3, 5dibromosalicylic aldehyde and 2-thiohydantoin.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Conveniently, this ease of preparation allows for facile preparation of analogs *via* condensation reactions with various commercially available halo-substituted salicylic aldehydes and hydantoins, thiohydantoins or rhodanines. (Scheme 1). Electronic and steric derivations are possible by varying the halogens present on the aromatic ring.

Four types of BTH analogs (A, B, C, D, see Figure 1) were prepared. In type A, we synthesized analogs containing mixed halogens whereas type B consisted of only one type of halogens. In type C, we investigated the role of the phenolic group in BTH by replacing the OH with hydrogen, amino or methoxy groups. Type D explored how subtle changes to the heterocyclic ring of BTH would affect DisA inhibition.²¹⁻²³

3.1 Inhibition of DisA by BTH analogs



Scheme 1. Synthetic strategy for preparing BTH and analogs. Conditions for method A, B and C are listed above the arrow. The method that gave the best yield was chosen for each compound.

The coralyne assay¹⁶ (Supplementary Material, Figure S1) was performed to identify the DisA inhibition effects of BTH analogs. In the absence of c-di-AMP, iodide quenches corlayne fluorescence. As DisA synthesizes c-di-AMP, the dinucleotide forms a complex with coralyne and protects coralyne from quenching effects. Coralyne fluorescence emission intensity correlates with c-di-AMP concentration. In the presence of inhibitors, the concentration of c-di-AMP would be lower and hence the fluorescence of coralyne would be reduced.¹⁶ The coralyne assay indicated that BTH was the strongest inhibitor, followed by compound 15 in group D and compound 3 in group A. Other BTH analogs did not show significant inhibition of DisA. IC₅₀ of BTH (6.7 \pm 0.6 \times 10⁻⁵ M), compound **15** (12.4 \pm 1.0 \times 10⁻⁵ M) and compound 3 (17.9 \pm 1.7 \times 10⁻⁵ M) were obtained using radiolabeled ³²P-ATP (Figure 2). In group A, BTH, compound 1, compound 2 and compound 3 have 3'-bromo and their inhibition effects increase as 5'-halogen size increases. It appears that the bromo substitution at the 3-position is important as deleting this substitution (compound 5) resulted in loss of inhibition. Compound 6 or 7, which replaced the dibromo with mixed halogens (Cl, F or I) were also inactive, highlighting the need for bromo substitution.

The diiodinated analog compound 11 of group B showed more inhibition than the non-halogenated analog compound 8, difluorinated compound 9 and dichlorinated analog compound 10, but it was still less active than BTH (see Supplementary Material, Figure S1). The hydroxyl group in BTH was replaced with a methoxy (compound 12), amino (compound 13) and hydrogen (compound 14) to investigate if the phenolic moiety played a role in inhibition. In all of the three cases, replacement of the phenolic group with the aforementioned moieties completely diminished any inhibition effects. In group D, substitution of the nitrogen atom for sulfur atom at 1' position (2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one to 2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one, compare compound 15 with BTH) has minor impact on inhibition, whereas replacement of the thiocarbonyl by carbonyl (2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one to imidazolidine-2,4-dione, compare compound 16 with BTH) strongly reduced the inhibition effects. Substitution of the N-3 of



Figure 2. Enzymatic proficiency of DisA, 1 μ M, (converting ³²P-ATP into ³²P-c-di-AMP) in the presence of increasing amounts of inhibitors BTH, compound **15** and compound **3**.

the thiohydantoin group also eliminated inhibition by the BTH analog (compare compound **18** with BTH), Supplementary Material, Figure S1. compound **19**, the dimethyl thiohydantoin analog was also not active.

3.2 BTH is a non-covalent inhibitor

BTH is reported to be a non-competitive inhibitor¹⁷, although the binding site for inhibitors within DisA is currently unknown. BTH contains an enone moiety and hence could act via covalent inhibition. To ascertain if covalent inhibition was operative, DisA was incubated with high concentration of BTH and then unbound BTH was removed by filtration. The remaining DisA was then washed three times with reaction buffer and then assayed for activity. The BTH-treated DisA retained enzymatic activity (similar to DMSO-treated DisA, see Supplementary Material, Figure S2), revealing that BTH and analogs are not covalent inhibitors.

3.3 BTH did not affect YybT, WspR D70E and RocR activity

We were curious to know the selectivity of BTH and analogs towards cyclic dinucleotide metabolism enzymes. We therefore tested BTH against a few cyclic dinucleotide-related enzymes, namely c-di-AMP PDE YybT from *B. subtilis*²⁴, c-di-GMP DGC WspR D70E²⁵ and c-di-GMP PDE RocR²⁶ from *P. aeruginosa*. Interestingly 100 μ M of BTH did not affect any of these enzymes (Supplementary Material, Figure S3), implying that BTH is indeed specific for c-di-AMP synthase, DisA.

4 Conclusion.

Cyclic dinucleotides have emerged as interesting second messengers in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes and there has been an explosion of research activities to unravel the intricacies of signaling regulated by these nucleotides. There is however a paucity of small molecules that inhibit the metabolic enzymes of cyclic dinucleotides and such molecules could have important roles in elucidating cdn signaling and/or become next generation antibacterial agents. In this paper, we have demonstrated that bromophenol TH, the first reported inhibitor of c-di-AMP synthase is sensitive to modifications and most changes to this inhibitor abrogated inhibition. Interestingly, seemingly minor changes (for example changing an aromatic bromo to a chloro or iodo substituent) resulted in drastic inhibition profile, highlighting that halogen substitution can be used to cause dramatic changes in enzyme affinity for a ligand. Despite the presence of an enone moiety, bromophenol TH is not a covalent inhibitor of DisA. Future work will involve the search of other heterocyclic groups, apart from hydantoin or rhodanines, which could be appended to the bromophenol moiety with the ultimate goal of discovering more potent inhibitors of DisA.

Acknowledgments

Gründling for providing DisA plasmids and Dr. Zhaoxun Liang for providing RocR and YybT plasmids. Funding for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE1307218), Camille Dreyfus Foundation and Kraybill Biochemistry Fellowship (YZ).

References and notes

- 1. Hampton, T. JAMA 2013, 310, 1661-3.
- 2. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/ar-threats-2013-508.pdf
- 3. Kalia, D.; Merey, G.; Nakayama, S.; Zheng, Y.; Zhou, J.; Luo, Y.; Guo, M.; Roembke, B. T.; Sintim, H. O. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 305-341.
- 4. Witte, G.; Hartung, S.; Buettner, K.; Hopfner, K.-P. Mol. Cell 2008, 30, 167-178.
- 5. Corrigan, R. M.; Abbott, J. C.; Burhenne, H.; Kaever, V.; Gründling, A. Plos Pathog. 2011, 7. e1002217.
- Manikandan, K.; Sabareesh, V.; Singh, N.; Saigal, K.; Mechold, U.; 6. Sinha, K. M. PLoS One 2014, 9, e86096.
- Huynh, T. N.; Luo, S.; Pensinger, D.; Sauer, J. D.; Tong, L.; Woodward, J. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2015, 112, 747-56.
- Corrigan, R. M.; Gründling, A. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2013, 11, 513-24. 8
- Nelson, J. W.; Sudarsan, N.; Furukawa, K.; Weinberg, Z.; Wang, J. X.; 9. Breaker, R. R. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2013, 9, 834-9.
- 10. Rosenberg, J.; Dickmanns, A.; Neumann, P.; Gunka, K.; Arens, J.; Kaever, V.; Stülke, J.; Ficner, R.; Commichau, F. M. J. Biol. Chem. 2015, 290, 6596-606.
- 11. Bai, Y.; Yang, J.; Zarrella, T. M.; Zhang, Y.; Metzger, D. W.; Bai, G. J. Bacteriol. 2014, 196, 614-23.
- 12. Witte, C. E.; Whiteley, A. T.; Burke, T. P.; Sauer, J.-D.; Portnoy, D. A.; Woodward, J. J. Mbio 2013, 4, e00282-13.
- 13. Zhang, L.; Li, W.; He, Z. G. J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288, 3085-96.
- 14. Woodward, J. J.; Iavarone, A. T.; Portnoy, D. A. Science 2010, 328, 1703-1705.

- We sincerely thank Dr. Karl-Peter Hopfner and Dr. Angelika 🖂 A 15. Mehne, F. M.; Gunka, K.; Eilers, H.; Herzberg, C.; Kaever, V.; Stülke, J. J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288, 2004-17.
 - 16. Zhou, J.; Sayre, D. A.; Zheng, Y.; Szmacinski, H.; Sintim, H. O. Anal. Chem. 2014, 86, 2412-20.
 - 17. Zheng, Y.; Zhou, J.; Sayre, D. A.; Sintim, H. O. Chem. Commun. (Camb) 2014, 50, 11234-7.
 - 18. Kottakota, S. K.; Benton, M.; Evangelopoulos, D.; Guzman, J. D.; Bhakta, S.; McHugh, T. D.; Gray, M.; Groundwater, P. W.; Marrs, E. C.; Perry, J. D.; Harburn, J. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6310-3.
 - 19. Nazreen, S.; Alam, M. S.; Hamid, H.; Yar, M. S.; Dhulap, A.; Alam, P.; Pasha, M. A.; Bano, S.; Alam, M. M.; Haider, S.; Kharbanda, C.; Ali, Y.; Pillai, K. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2014, 24, 3034-42.
 - 20. Burgy, G.; Tahtouh, T.; Durieu, E.; Foll-Josselin, B.; Limanton, E.; Meijer, L.; Carreaux, F.; Bazureau, J. P. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 62, 728-37
 - 21. Robertson, M. J.; Hadzic, G.; Ambrus, J.; Pomè, D. Y.; Hyde, E.; Whiting, A.; Mariana, A.; von Kleist, L.; Chau, N.; Haucke, V.; Robinson, P. J.; McCluskey, A. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 352-6.
 - 22. Mendgen, T.; Steuer, C.; Klein, C. D. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 743-53.
 - 23. Russell, A. J.; Westwood, I. M.; Crawford, M. H.; Robinson, J.; Kawamura, A.; Redfield, C.; Laurieri, N.; Lowe, E. D.; Davies, S. G.; Sim, E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 905-18.
 - 24. Rao, F.; See, R. Y.; Zhang, D.; Toh, D. C.; Ji, Q.; Liang, Z.-X. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 473-482.
 - 25. Nakayama, S.; Kelsey, I.; Wang, J.; Roelofs, K.; Stefane, B.; Luo, Y.; Lee, V. T.; Sintim, H. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4856-64.
 - 26. Chen, M. W.; Kotaka, M.; Vonrhein, C.; Bricogne, G.; Rao, F.; Chuah, M. L. C.; Svergun, D.; Schneider, G.; Liang, Z.-X.; Lescar, J. J. Bacteriol. 2012, 194, 4837-4846.

Supplementary Material

The coralyne assay to determine the inhibition profile of analogs, determination of whether BTH is a covalent inhibitor and the activity of BTH towards other cyclic dinucleotide-related enzymes.