Journal Pre-proofs

Stereoselective Synthesis of 9-Vinyl Substituted Unsymmetrical Xanthenes and Thioxanthenes

Anamika Prajapati, Mahendra Kumar, Ranjit Thakuria, Ashok K. Basak

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0040-4039(20)30825-X https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2020.152347 TETL 152347
To appear in:	Tetrahedron Letters
Received Date:	24 June 2020
Revised Date:	5 August 2020
Accepted Date:	6 August 2020



Please cite this article as: Prajapati, A., Kumar, M., Thakuria, R., Basak, A.K., Stereoselective Synthesis of 9-Vinyl Substituted Unsymmetrical Xanthenes and Thioxanthenes, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tetlet.2020.152347

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Stereoselective Synthesis of 9-Vinyl Substituted Unsymmetrical Xanthenes and Thioxanthenes

Anamika Prajapati,^a Mahendra Kumar,^a Ranjit Thakuria,^b Ashok K. Basak*^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, JLN Marg, Jaipur 302004, India ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781014, India ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India Corresponding author e-mail ID: <u>akbasak.chem@bhu.ac.in</u>

Abstract: Activated 2°-allylic alcohols derived from 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes and 2-(arythio)benzaldehydes undergo intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation reaction when heated with catalytic amount of a Lewis acid in 1,2-dichloroethane to provide highly *E*-selective 9-vinyl substituted unsymmetrical novel xanthenes and thioxanthenes in good yields.

Introduction: Xanthenes and thioxanthenes are important polycyclic motifs found in many biologically important compounds including natural products.¹ Xanthene and thioxanthene derivatives are known to exhibit antimicrobial,² antiparasitic,³ anti-cancer,⁴ antitumor,⁵ antiproliferic,⁶ anti-inflamatory and antioxidant activities.⁷ In addition, thioxanthenes are utilized for the development of neuroleptic and antidepressive drugs. Some thioxanthenes are used as antipsychotic drugs used in the treatment of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders.⁸ Besides these valuable biological properties, xanthene derivatives are also used in photodynamic therapy,⁹ as chirooptical molecular switches¹⁰ and as commercially available dyes¹¹ such as fluorescence, rhodamines, etc. Unsubstituted 9*H*-xanthenes and thioxanthenes are extremely useful advanced starting material for the development of novel C-H functionalization reactions,¹² organocatalytic asymmetric cross-dehydrogenative coupling reactions,¹³ anodic oxidative cross-dehydrogenative reactions¹⁴ and kinetic as well as mechanistic studies.¹⁵ Due to these widespread importance, that range from medicinal chemistry to material science, synthesis of xanthene and thioxanthene derivatives have continued attracting research interest of synthetic chemists worldwide.¹⁶

2-Aryloxybenzaldehydes and 2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes are easily accessible from commercially available 2-flurobenzaldehydes and phenols/thiophenols via direct aromatic nucleophilic substitution reaction,¹⁷ and thus have been extensively utilized for the synthesis of xanthene and derivatives. particularly 9-aryl/heteroaryl thioxanthene substituted xanthenes and thioxanthenes.¹⁸ For instance, Li et al. reported a FeCl₃-catalyzed domino reaction wherein two equivalent of electron-rich (hetero)arenes undergo condensation with 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes/2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes to provide 9-(hetero)aryl xanthenes/thioxanthenes (Scheme 1a).^{18a} Similar domino reaction catalyzed by Sc(OTf)₃ was disclosed by Panda et al.^{18b} Addition of (hetero)aryl Grignard reagents to 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes and 2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes leads to π -activated 2°-alcohols which were converted into 9-arylxanthenes/thioxanthenes via intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation (FCA) reaction using catalytic amount of FeCl₃ (Scheme 1b)¹⁷ as



Scheme 1: Synthesis of 9-substituted xanthene and thioxanthenes from 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes and 2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes.

well as organic Brønsted super acid^{18c,18d} (Scheme 1c). Notably, allylic alcohols, being another important class of π -activated alcohols,¹⁹ have also been widely used in Brønsted/Lewis acid catalyzed inter- and intramolecular FCA reaction. For 2°-allylic alcohols, these reactions often lead to stereoselective formation of alkenes.²⁰ For example, Morita-Baylis-Hillman (MBH) adducts undergo intermolecular FCA reaction with arenes providing *E*- or Z-selective trisubstituted olefins depending on the electron-withdrawing group present in the MBH adducts.²¹ Drawing inspiration from these literature reports, we devised a strategy to synthesize 9-vinyl xanthenes/thioxanthens via intramolecular FCA reaction on 2°-allylic alcohols derived from 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes and 2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes (Scheme 1d). In continuation with our ongoing effort to synthesize novel xanthene and thioxanthenes,²² herein we report a In(OTf)₃-catalyzed intramolecular FCA reaction for the synthesis of *E*-selective 9-vinyl unsymmetrical novel xanthenes and thioxanthenes.

Our investigation on the intramolecular FCA reaction commenced with (E)-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol **3a** which was obtained in two steps from 2-

phenoxybenzaldehyde **1a** following reported procedures (Scheme 2).²³ Knoevenagel type condensation of 2-phenoxybenzaldehyde **1a** with 4'-nitroacetophenone in the presence of 20 mol% piperidine and 40 mol% AcOH in refluxing benzene provided (*E*)-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one **2a** in 78% yield. Chemoselective reduction of enone **2a** under Luche conditions²⁴ provided allylic alcohol **3a** in nearly quantitative yield (98%). With the allylic alcohol **3a** in hand, we sought to find conditions for the envisaged intramolecular FCA reaction.



Scheme 2: (i) 4'-nitroacetophenone, piperidine, AcOH, benzene, reflux, 4 h, 78%; (ii) CeCl₃.7H₂O, NaBH₄, MeOH-DCM, 0 °C, 15 min, 98%.

Reaction of **3a** in the presence of 10 mol% $In(OTf)_3$ in DCM at rt (35 °C) was slow and underwent approximately 50% conversion (40% yield) to the xanthene **4a** after 24 h (entry a, Table 1). Compound **4a** was characterized unambiguously by ¹H & ¹³C NMR and HRMS data. Notably, formation of only the *E*-isomer was observed to the limits of detection by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture. Carrying out the reaction at 50 °C in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), better conversion (80%) and yield (65%) was observed after 4 h (entry b, Table 1). However, to our delight, complete conversion and satisfactory yield (78%) was obtained within 15 min when the reaction was carried out at 70 °C. The In(OTf)₃-catalyzed cyclization reaction in CH₃CN and THF as solvent provided slightly lower yield of xanthene **4a** (entries d-e, Table 1). When 10 mol% Sc(OTf)₃ was used as a catalyst, the reaction provided xanthene **4a** in 72% yield after 30 min (entry f, Table 1). Yb(OTf)₃ was found to be less effective when compared to In(OTf)₃ for the intramolecular FCA reaction. InCl₃ provided 75% yield of xanthene **4a** whereas FeCl₃ provided 65% yield of xanthene **4a** (entries h-i, Table 1). It is worth mentioning that the intramolecular FCA reaction of **3a** gave only a small amount of xanthene **4a** and a mixture of unidentified products (entry j, Table 1) under solvent free conditions at 50 °C.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we sought to evaluate the scope of the intramolecular FCA reaction. As shown in Scheme 3, the $In(OTf)_3$ -catalyzed intramolecular FCA reactions were successful on a number of 2°-allylic alcohols synthesized in two steps (as shown in Scheme 2) from a variety of 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes and 2-(arythio)benzaldehydes. In all cases, acetopheneones bearing an electron-withdrawing group (NO₂, CN, Cl, Br) at p- or m-position were utilized for the Knoevenagel type condensation reaction. Interestingly, 2-aryloxyquinoline 3-carboxyaldehyde could also be employed for the preparation of 2°-allylic alcohols. As evident from the experimental results presented in Scheme 3, the electron density of the aryl ring B as

well as the nature of substituent on the aryl rings A & C have significant effect on the reactivity of the allylic alcohols towards the intramolecular FCA reaction. For example, the cyclization reaction occurred at lower temperature when a strong electron-withdrawing NO₂-group is





replaced by a Cl-atom in the aryl ring C (e.g., 4a vs 4b). Due to the positive inductive effect, alkyl groups present in the aryl ring B increased the reactivity significantly (for comparison, 4a vs 4h vs 4l). Presence of the electronegative Cl-atom in the aryl ring A had a negative impact on the reactivity (4e vs 4f). Allyl alcohols derived from 2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes were, in general, more reactive towards the intramolecular FCA reaction due to the lower electronegativity of Salcohols having unsymmetrically substituted aryl ring provided atom. Allyl В xanthenes/thioxanthenes as a variable mixture of regioisomers which were separated by column chromatoghaphy. Isolated yield for the major regioisomers (4e-4h, 4l, 4n) of the cyclized products are shown in Scheme 3. However, unfortunately, regioisomeric mixture of xanthenes (41, 41') could not be separated by column chromatography and were obtained as a mixture in 3.5:1 ratio in 82% yield. In general, the p-position to an alkyl (methyl) group is preferred over the o-position in the FCA process to minimize the steric effect. It is worth noting that the cyclization also occurs at the o-position to an alkyl (methyl) group at moderate temperature to provide xanthenes in good yields (4i-4j, Scheme 3). Due to the presence of an additional

Journal Pre-proofs

electronegative atom (N-atom), the 2°-allylic alcohols bearing quinoline moiety as the aryl ring A, exhibited low reactivity at lower temperature. However, gratifyingly, complete conversion occurred at higher temperature using 20 mol% of In(OTf)₃ to furnish the desired novel quinoline



Scheme 3: Synthesis of 9-vinyl xanthene and thioxathene^a



fused xanthenes **4m** and **4n** in good yields. In all cases, the stereochemistry of the olefin in the synthesized 9-vinyl xanthenes and thioxanthenes was invariably found to be the thermodynamically stable *E*-configuration possessing a high coupling constant, J = 15.6 Hz. Interestingly, adding to our advantage, a solution of compound **4l** in hexanes upon storage in a freezer yielded single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. As shown in Figure 1, the ORTEP diagram further confirms the *E*-geometry of the disubstituted olefin as well as the orientation of alkyl groups in the aryl ring B. The vinyl side chain in xanthene **4l** orients in a linear fashion perpendicular to the plan containing the xanthene nucleus.



Figure 1: ORTEP diagram of compound 4l (CCDC No. 2010351)

The tentative mechanism of the xanthene synthesis is outlined in Scheme 4. $In(OTf)_3$ promotes the formation of resonance stabilized allylic carbocation **7** at the benzylic position (C15). Intramulecular FCA reaction forming C12-C13 bond provides xanthene **10** with the loss of a neutral water molecule from intermediate **9**. Intramolecular FCA forming C12-C15 bond would lead to a strained 8-membered ring that incorporates an alkene making this pathway for

Journal Pre-proofs

cyclization thermodynamically unfavorable. Although generation of a carbocation at the benzylic position of the aryl ring C that carries a strong electron-withdrawing group at the para position is of formidable challenge, the overall success of the reaction is attributed to the resonance stabilization of the resulting benzylic/allylic carbocation. Notably, the water molecule released in the intramolecular FCA reaction did not participate in hydration of the olefin present in the xanthenes/thioxanthenes under the resulting olefin due to the electron-withdrawing group present in the aryl ring C and the use of a Lewis acid for the intramolecular FCA reaction.



Scheme 4: Plausible mechanism of the intramolecular FCA reaction

In summary, a novel method for highly stereoselective synthesis of 9-vinyl xanthenes and thioxanthenes has been developed. The syntheses rely upon a $In(OTf)_3$ -catalyzed regio- and stereoselective intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation reaction of easily accessible π -activated 2°-allylic alcohols derived from a variety of 2-aryloxybenzaldehydes/2-(arylthio)benzaldehydes and commercially available acetophenones. Remarkably, the mild reaction conditions are also suitable for efficient synthesis of quinoline fused xanthenes. As the electronegativity of N-atom is less than O-atom, we anticipate that the aza-analogues of xanathenes and thioxanthenes could also be synthesized by this methodology. The presence of a versatile vinyl functionality in the products suggests that further annulation with appropriately substituted aryl ring B might be possible to generate novel polycyclic compounds. We will explore this in our ongoing study of the chemistry of xanthenes and thioxanthenes.

Notes: Authors declare no competing financial interest

Acknowledgement: AP thanks CSIR, New Delhi for a research fellowship. We are thankful to MRC, MNIT Jaipur for NMR spectra. We thank USIC, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for HRMS data. We also thank SAIF, Gauhati University for providing the single crystal X-ray diffraction data. Research grants from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi (Grant No. 02(0346)/19/EMR-II) is gratefully acknowledged.

Associated content:

The Supplementary Information is available (Experimental procedures, analytical data and copies of ¹H & ¹³C NMR spectra).

*Corresponding Author:

Ashok K. Basak-Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India. E-mail ID: akbasak.chem@bhu.ac.in

References:

1. (a) Huang, L.; Lei, T.; Lin, C. W.; Kuang, X. C.; Chen, H. Y.; Zhou, H. *Fitoterapia* **2010**, *81*, 389-392; (b) Robertson, L. P.; Lucantoni, L.; Duffy, S.; Avery, V. M.; Canol, A. R. J. Nat. Prod. **2019**, *82*, 1019-1023.

2. (a) Poupelin, J. P.; Saint-Ruf, G.; Foussard-Blanpin, O.; Narcisse, G.; Uchida-Ernouf, G.; Lacroix, R. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 1978, *13*, 67-71; (b) Lambert, R. W.; Martin, J. A.; Merette, J. H.; Parkes, K. E. B.; Thomas, J. G. *PCT Int. Appl.* WO 9706178, 1997.

3. Watanabe, M.; Date, M.; Tsukazaki, M.; Furukawa, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 36-41.

4. (a) Chatterjee, S.; Iqbal, M.; Kauer, J. C.; Mallamo, J. P.; Senadhi, S.; Mallya, S.; Bozyczko-Coyne, D.; Siman, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 1619-1622; (b) Song, Y. B.; Yang, Y. H.; You, J.; Liu, B.; Wu, L. J.; Hou, Y. L.; Wang, W. J.; Zhu, J. X. *Chem. Pharma. Bull.* **2013**, *61*, 167-175; (c) Davis, S.; Weiss, M. J.; Wong, J. R.; Lampidis, T. J.; Chen, L. B. J. Biol. Chem. **1985**, *260*, 3844-3850; (d) Sun, Z. Y.; Botros, E.; Su, A. D.; Kim, Y.; Wang, E. J.; Baturay, N. Z.; Kwon, C. H. J. Med. Chem. **2000**, *43*, 4160-4168.

5. (a) Wentland, M. P.; Perni, R. B.; Powles, R. G.; Hlavac, A. G.; Mattes, K. C.; Corbett, T. H.; Coughlin, S. A.; Rake, J. B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1994**, *4*, 609-614. (b) Showalter, H. D. H.; Angelo, M. M.; Berman, E. M.; Kanter, G. D.; Ortwine, D. F.; Rosskesten, S. G.; Sercel, A. D.; Turner, W. R.; Werbel, L. M.; Worth, D. F.; Elslager, E. F.; Leopold, W. R.; Shilliset, J. L. J. *Med. Chem.* **1988**, *31*, 1527-1539; (c) Archer, S.; Zayed, A. H.; Rej, R.; Rugino, T. A. J. Med. *Chem.* **1983**, *26*, 1240-1246.

6. Kumar, A.; Sharma, S.; Maurya, R. A.; Sarkar, J. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 20-24.

7. Kim, J.; Song, J. H. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2016, 779, 31-37.

8. Hafez, H. N.; Hegab, M. I.; Ahmed-Farag, I. S.; El-Gazzar, A. B. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 4538-4543.

9. Banerjee, A.; Mukharjee, A. K. Stain Technol. 1981, 56, 83-85.

10. Feringa, B. L. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6635-6652.

11. (a) Gutiérrez, M. I.; García, N. A. *Dyes Pigm.* 1998, *38*, 195-209; (b) Yang, Y.; Escobedo, J. O.; Wong, A.; Schowalter, C. M.; Touchy, M. C.; Jiao, L.; Crowe, W. E.; Fronczek, F. R.; Strongin, R. M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, *70*, 6907-6912; (c) Nguyen T.; Francis, M. B. *Org. Lett.* 2003, *5*, 3245-3248.

12. (a) Pintér, A.; Sud, A.; Sureshkumar, D.; Klussmann, M.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 5004-5007; (b) Chen, Q.; Yu, G.; Wang, X.; Ou, Y.; Huo, Y. *Green Chem.* 2019, 21, 798-802; (c) Shen, G.; Zhao, L.; Wang, Y.; Xia, W.; Yang, M.; Zhang, T. *RSC* Adv. 2016, 6, 84748-

84751; (d) Chen, Q.; Wang, X.; Yu, G.; Wen, C.; Huo, Y. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 2652-2656.

13. Larionov, E.; Mastandrea, M. M.; Pericás, M. A. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 7008-7013.

14. (a) Lin, M.-Y.; Xu, K.; Jiang, Y.-Y.; Liu, Y.-G.; Sun, B.-G.; Zeng, C.-C. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 1665-1672; (b) Yang, Y.-Z.; Song, R. J.; Li, J.-H. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 3228-3231; (c) Li, K.-J.; Jiang, Y.-Y; Xu, K.; Zeng, C.-C.; Sun, B.-G. *Green Chem.* **2019**, *21*, 4412-4421; (d) Yang, Y.-Z.; Wu, Y.-C.; Song, R.-J.; Li, J.-H. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, advance article; https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CC02580A.

15. (a) Lewandowska-Andralojc, A.; Grills, D. C.; Zhang, J.; Bullock, R. M.; Miyazawa, A.; Kawanishi, Y.; Fujita, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 3572-3578; (b) Ehudin, M. A.; Quist, D. A.; Karlin, K. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 12558-12569.

16. (a) For a recent review, see: Mondal, S.; Verma, A.; Saha, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 864-894; (b) Wang, L. G.; Munhenzva, I.; Sibrian-Vazquez, M.; Escobedo, J. O.; Kitts, C. H.; Fronczek, F. R.; Strongin, R. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *84*, 2585-2595; (c) Mei, G.-J.; Xu, S.-L; Zheng, W.-Q.; Bian, C.-Y.; Shi, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 1414-1421.

17. Das, S. K.; Singh, R.; Panda, G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 4757-4761.

18. (a) Li, H.; Yang, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6797-6801; (b) Singh, R.; Panda, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 1097-1105; (c) Yildiz, T.; Küçük, H. B. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 16644-16649; (d) Yildiz, T. Synth. Commun. 2018, 48, 2177-2188; (e) Yaragorla, S.; Saini P. L.; Babu, P. V.; Almansour, A. I.; Arumugam, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 2351-2355.

19. For an excellent review on π -activated alcohols, see: Bandini, M.; Tragni, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, *7*, 1501-1507.

20. For selected examples, see:(a) McCubbin, J. A.; Hosseini, H.; Krokhin, O. V. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 959-962; (b) Zhang, F.-Z., Tian, Y.; Li, G.-X.; Qu. J. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 80, 1107-1115.

21. (a) Das, B.; Majhi, A.; Banerjee, J.; Chowdhury, N.; Venkateswarlu, K.; *Chemistry Lett.*2005, 34, 1492-1493; (b) Basavaiah, D.; Krishnamacharyulu, M.; Hyma, R. S.; Pandiaraju, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 2141-2144.

- 22. Prajapati, A.; Kumar, M.; Thakuria, R.; Basak, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2019, 60, 150955.
- 23. Smith, C. D.; Rosocha, G.; Mui, L.; Batey, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4716-4727.
- 24. Gemal, A.; Luche, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5454-5459.

- *E*-selective 9-vinyl novel unsymmetrical xanthene/thioxanthene synthesis
- Novel quinoline fused xanthene synthesis
- Lewis acid catalyzed cyclization of π -activated allylic alcohols
- High yielding reactions

Graphical Abstract

