# Synthesis of 2-Thioxohydropyridine-3-carbonitrile, 2-Alkylthiopyridine, Thienopyridine, Pyrazolopyridine Derivatives and Their Theoretical Calculations

Fawzy A. Attaby\*, Ahmed H. H. Elghandour, Hussein M. Mustafa and Yasser M. Ibrahem Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

Cyanothioacetamide (1) reacted with but-2-enal (2) to give the corresponding 4-methyl-2-sulfanylpyridine-3-carbonitrile (7) which was used as a good starting material for the synthesis of 1-(3-amino-4methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridin-2-yl)ethan-1-one (10), 3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxamide (15), 3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxylate (18) and 3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridin-2-ylarylketone **25a-c** through its reactions with each of (1-chloroacetone (8), 3-chloropentane-2,4-dione (11) or ethyl 2-chloro-3-oxo-butanoate (19)), 2-chloroacetamide (13), ethyl 2-chloroacetate (16) and 2bromo-1-arylethan-1-one **23a-c**, respectively. Considering the data of elemental analyses, IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, mass spectra and theoretical calculations, structures of the newly synthesized heterocyclic compounds were elucidated.

# INTRODUCTION

In conjunction with our previous work<sup>1-8</sup> cyanothioacetamide (1) was used as a good synthon for the synthesis of 2-thioxohydropyridine-3-carbonitrile derivatives, which were used for building up several newly synthesized pyrazolopyridine and thienopyridine derivatives. The reported biological activities of pyridines<sup>9-11</sup> and thienopyridines<sup>12-14</sup> stimulated our interest for the synthesis of several new derivatives of these ring systems which are required for the medicinal chemistry program.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It has been found that Shelyakin et al.<sup>15</sup> reported that cyanothioacetamide (1) reacted with but-2-enal (2) in ethanolic sodium ethoxide to afford the corresponding 4-methyl-2-thioxopyridine-3-carbonitrile (7a) but on carrying out such reaction in our laboratory we found that such reaction was proceeded via very low yield and an impure product. This induced our attention to change the reaction condition, and thus 1 reacted with 2 in absolute ethanol containing a catalytic amount of triethylamine to afford the reaction product via the non-isolated products 3-5. The IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) spectrum of such reaction product showed the bands corresponding to NH, CN, C=S and C=C groups while its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed the signals of CH<sub>3</sub>, pyridine H-3, H-4, H-5, H-6 and NH protons. Moreover, its mass spectrum gave m/z = 152 which corresponded to the molecular weight of the molecular formula  $C_7H_8N_2S$  of the assigned structure (cf. Chart 1). By considering the above-mentioned data in addition to that of the elemental analyses, such reaction product could be formulated as 4-methyl-2-thioxo-1,3,4-trihydropyridine-3-carbonitrile (6) (cf. Chart 1). On refluxing such a reaction prod-



\* Corresponding author. E-mail: Fattaby@hotmail.com or Fawzy@chem-sci.cairo.eun.eg

pyridine-3-carbonitrile (7a). Considering the data of IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and elemental analyses the structure 7 was elucidated (cf. Tables 1 and 2). Moreover, its mass spectrum gave m/z =

Comp.	Colour	Yield	M.P.	Molecular	% Chemical Analysis (Calcd./Found)					
-		(%)	(°C)	formula	С	Н	N	S	Cl	
6	Yellowish-	72	155-7	C-H-N-S	55.23	5.30	18.40	21.07		
	white	12	155-7	C71181425	55.0	5.5	18.2	20.9		
7	Vallow	97	196.9	СНИК	55.98	4.03	18.65	21.35		
	Tenow	$C_7 H_6 N_2 S_7$		$C_7 \Pi_6 N_2 S$	55.7	4.1	18.7	21.5		
10	Brown	69	197-9	$C_{10}H_{10}N_2OS$	58.23	4.89	13.58	15.55		
	DIOWII				56.4	5.0	13.3	15.7		
14	Yellowish-	70	154	C.H.N.OS	52.16	4.38	20.27	15.47		
	white	70	154	C911911305	52.3	4.5	20.0	15.2		
15	Brown	76	220.2	C.H.N.OS	52.16	4.38	20.27	15.47		
	DIOWII	70	220-2	C91191 <b>1</b> 305	52.0	4.4	20.4	15.6		
18	Vellow	68	68 2870 CHNOS		55.91	5.12	11.86	13.57		
	Tenow	00	207-9	$C_{11} H_{12} H_2 C_2 S$	56.1	5.3	11.7	13.7		
20	Vellow	62	85	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S	58.51	4.91	17.06	19.53		
	Tenow	02	05	081181425	58.3	5.1	16.9	19.4		
22	Pale-	66	238-9	$C_7H_8N_4$	56.75	5.44	37.81			
	brown				56.8	5.3	37.7			
25a	Vellow	68	207-0	СНИЯ	69.97	5.03	11.06	13.34		
	Tenow	00	$227-2$ $C_{14} \Pi_{12} N_{2}$		70.1	5.1	11.2	13.5		
25b	Brown	72	267-8	$C_{15}H_{14}N_2S$	70.83	5.55	11.01	12.61		
	DIOWII				70.9	5.4	10.9	12.8		
25c	Yellow	77	311-3	C. H. CIN-S	61.20	4.04	10.20	11.67	12.90	
	10110	, ,	511-5	C14111CH V25	61.4	4.2	10.3	11.7	13.1	

Table 1. Characterization and Analytical Data of the Newly Synthesized Compounds

Table 2. IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectral Data

Comp.	IR (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (δ ppm)
6	3157 (NH); 3089 (olefinic CH); 2946, 2833 (sat. CH);	1.0 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.1 (d, 2H, pyridine H-3, H-4); 4.1 (s, br., 1H,
	2222 (CN) and 1600 (C=C).	NH) and 6.5-6.9 (dd, 2H, pyridine H-5, H-6).
7	3143 (NH); 3081 (olefinic CH); 2934, 2852 (sat. CH);	1.2 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.2-6.7 (dd, 2H, pyridine H-5, H-6) and 12.3
	2218 (CN) and 1605 (C=C).	(s, br., 1H, SH).
10	3460, 3291 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 2978 (CH sat.) and 1712 (CO-acetyl).	0.9 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 2.4 (s, 3H, COCH <sub>3</sub> ); 5.2 (s, br., 2H, NH <sub>2</sub> ); 6.8-7.3
		(dd, 2H, pyridine H-5, H-6).
14	3410, 3289 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 2985 (sat. CH); 2219 (CN); 1683 (CO	1.2 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.1 (s, 2H, -SCH <sub>2</sub> -); 5.1 (s, br., 2H, NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.7-
	amidic) and 1602 (C=C).	7.0 (dd, 2H, pyridine H-4, H-5).
15	3459, 3325, 3254 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 2982 (sat. CH); 1672 (CO	1.1 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 5.21 (s, br., 4H, two NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.8-7.1 (dd, 2H,
	amidic) and 1600 (C=C).	pyridine H-5, H-6).
18	3460, 3289 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 2986 (CH sat.); 1718 (CO-ester)	0.9 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 1.4 (t, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ); 4.3 (q, 2H, CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ); 5.4
	and 1601 (C=C).	(s, br., 2H, NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.8-7.3 (dd, 2h, pyridine H-5, H-6).
20	2980 (sat. CH); 2214 (CN) and 1604 (C=C).	1.2 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.1 (s, 3H, SCH <sub>3</sub> ) and 6.7-7.1 (dd, 2H, pyridine H-
		5, H-6).
22	3462, 3334, 3248, 3197 (NH <sub>2</sub> and NH); 2982 (sat. CH)	1.3 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 5.1 (s, br., 3H, NH, NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.8-7.3 (dd, 2H,
	and 1600 (C=C).	pyridine H-5, H-6).
25a	3422, 3387 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 3051 (aromatic CH); 2987 (sat. CH)	1.5 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 5.5 (s, br., 2H, NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.2-7.8 (m, 7H, aromatic
	and 1712 (CO ketonic) and 1603 (C=C).	and pyridine H-5, H-6 protons).
25b	3431, 3385 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 3043 (aromatic CH); 2982 (sat. CH)	1.3 (s, 6H, two CH <sub>3</sub> ); 5.7 (s, br., 2H, NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.5-7.6 (m, 6H,
	and 1715 (CO ketonic) and 1600 (C=C).	aromatic and pyridine H-5, H-6 protons).
25c	3420, 3379 (NH <sub>2</sub> ); 3063 (aromatic CH); 2988 (sat.	1.5 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 5.3 (s, br., 2H, NH <sub>2</sub> ) and 6.5-7.6 (m, 6H,
	CH) and 1717 (CO ketonic) and 1602 (C=C).	aromatic and pyridine H-5, H-6 protons).

Table 3.										
Comp. No.	Total energy (eV)	Binding energy (Kcal)	Isolated A.E. (Kcal)	Electronic energy (Kcal)	Nuclear- Nuclear repulsion (Kcal)	Heat of formation (Kcal)	E <sub>HOMO</sub> (IP) (eV)	E <sub>LUMO</sub> (EA) (eV)	Energy gap (eV)	Dipole moment (D)
6	-1614.16	-1844.10	-35362.40	-180052.80	142846.30	61.38	-8.8942	-0.8599	8.0343	6.061
7	-1586.90	-1741.19	-34836.77	-165919.25	129341.30	60.05	-8.9711	-0.7531	8.2180	3.475
7a	-1586.42	-1730.16	-34836.77	-166595.00	130028.06	71.078	-8.7699	-1.1231	7.6468	7.720
9	-2346.68	-2554.90	-51535.90	-287231.00	233140.10	26.92	-8.8478	-0.8703	7.9775	4.125
10	-2347.52	-2574.40	-51535.90	-297619.60	243509.20	7.47	-8.1767	-0.8134	7.3633	6.277
12	-2950.53	-3086.20	-64923.40	-408398.70	340389.00	1.14	-9.0348	-1.0391	7.9957	6.178
14	-2412.14	-2444.90	-53154.80	-289779.30	234179.50	26.89	-8.9472	-0.9283	8.0189	4.644
15	-2413.13	-2467.80	-53154.70	-300195.20	244572.60	4.04	-8.2543	-0.7371	7.5172	5.544
17	-2823.55	-2945.60	-62137.30	-358739.30	293656.40	29.08	-8.7920	-0.8018	7.9902	2.976
18	-2824.36	-2964.10	-62137.30	-376688.30	311586.90	47.60	-8.2252	-0.8467	7.3785	5.424
20	-1742.73	-2021.46	-38148.52	-195383.40	155213.40	54.90	-8.6081	-0.6363	7.9718	3.153
20a	-1742.91	-1998.50	-38148.50	-198834.80	158687.70	77.80	-8.5723	-1.0835	7.4888	7.781
21	-1833.28	-1980.80	-40276.30	-202592.30	160335.20	84.26	-8.9876	-0.6240	8.3636	2.674
22	-1833.17	-1978.30	-40276.30	-208316.10	166061.50	86.80	-8.6083	-0.2645	8.3438	2.939
24a	-3013.86	-3477.16	-65992.30	-427441.20	357971.80	63.36	-8.6546	-0.8189	7.8327	3.728
25a	-3014.95	-3502.17	-65992.30	-440008.70	370514.30	38.35	-8.1182	-0.8783	7.2399	2.177
25b	-3170.89	-3785.04	-69304.02	-477952.77	404863.69	30.57	-8.1114	-0.8640	7.2474	1.793
25c	-3375.22	-3486.05	-74312.73	-479989.47	402190.68	31.36	-8.2214	-1.0021	7.2139	3.443

150 which corresponded to the dehydrogenated product of **6**. Furthermore, by considering the theoretical calculations (cf. Table 3) structure **7** was elucidated.

#### **Theoretical Consideration**

Table 3 presents the ground state electronic structural data of both 6 and 7. Fig. 1 shows the prospective drawing of 6 and 7 drawn to their optimised geometry computed at the AM1 level. Compound 7 is theoretically more stable than 6 as revealed by the corresponding  $\Delta H_f$  values and is much less polar. That is, the high polarity of the reaction medium shifts the reaction towards 7. Furthermore, 7 is a stronger electron-acceptor and a weaker electron-donor than 6. The possibility of thione-thiol tautomerism is investigated; in the case of 7 the thiol form is shown in Fig. 1 and its corresponding ground state properties are given in Table 3. 7 also, is energetically more favourable than 7a, yet much less polar. In high polar medium, 7a would thus predominate.

Compound 7 was used as a good starting material for the present study owing to the presence of more than one active site in its structure. Thus, it has been found that pyridine-3-carbonitrile derivative 7 reacted with 1-chloroacetone (8) in sodium ethoxide to give a reaction product formed via the loss of hydrogen chloride. Mass spectrum of such reaction product gave m/z = 206, which corresponded to the molecular weight of the molecular formula  $C_{10}H_{10}N_2SO$  of the assigned structure (cf. Chart 2). Considering the above-



Fig. 1. Prospective drawing of compounds drawn at its AM1 geometry.



mentioned data in addition to IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and elemental analyses data, this reaction product was formulated as a 1-(3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridin-2-yl)ethan-1-one (10). The formation of such a thienopyridine derivative was proposed to proceed through the non-isolated intermediate 9.

In a similar way compound 7 reacted with 3-chloropentane-2,4-dione (11) to give the previously isolated compound 10 with the same aspects (m.p, Mixed m.p., IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and mass spectra) of that obtained from the reaction of 7 with 8. The structure of 10 was further confirmed by considering the data of elemental analyses, IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (cf. Tables 1, 2). The IR spectra of 10 showed the absence of CN group and instead the band of the newly born NH<sub>2</sub> group was detected. Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed no signals of -CH<sub>2</sub>CO- protons while the NH<sub>2</sub> protons were detected. Based on both IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectral data it could be concluded that both the -CH<sub>2</sub>CO- protons and the CN group were involved in the cyclization step to give the non-isolable intermediate (I) in case of reaction with 1chloroacetone while the addition of the anions from the -CH(COCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> to the CN groups afforded the non-isolable 3-iminothienopyridine intermediate (II) in case of the reaction with 3-chloropentane-2,4-dione. These intermediates, in turn, added water molecules to give 10 (cf. Equation 1).

Work was also extended to shed more light on the chemical reactivity of 7. Thus, 7 reacted with 2-chloro-acetamide (13) to afford 2-(3-cyano-4-methyl-2-pyridyl-thio)acetamide (14) via the loss of hydrogen chloride (cf. Chart 2). The structure of 14 was established based on the elemental analyses, IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (cf. Chart 2 and Ta-

# **Equation** 1



bles 1, 2). The mass spectra of 14 gave m/z = 207 which corresponded to the exact molecular weight of a molecular formula C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>OS of an assigned structure (cf. Chart 2). More evidence for the structure 14 was given through their cyclization in an ethanolic potassium hydroxide solution. The IR spectrum of this cyclization product showed no bands for the CN group while bands of the newly born NH<sub>2</sub> were detected. Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum had no signals of the S-CH<sub>2</sub> protons and this proved that both the CN group and S-CH<sub>2</sub> protons were involved in the cyclization step. Considering all the above-mentioned data, this cyclization product was formulated as 3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxamide (15) (cf. Tables 1, 2, Equation 2 and Chart 2).

### Equation 2



The synthetic potentiality of 7 was further demonstrated via its reaction with ethyl 2-chloroacetate (**16**) in sodium methoxide to give a reaction product formed via dehydrochlorination. The IR spectrum of this reaction product showed the bands corresponded to the NH<sub>2</sub> group and ester CO. Its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum revealed the signals corresponded to NH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub> at pyridine, pyridine H-5, H-6 and CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-protons (cf. Table 2). Moreover, its mass spectrum gave m/z =236 which corresponded to the exact molecular weight of a molecular formula C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> of the assigned structure. Considering all the above data, this reaction product was formulated as ethyl 3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2carboxylate (**18**) through the non-isolable intermediate **17** (cf. Chart 3).

# Chart 3



#### **Theoretical Consideration**

The reaction of 7 with 13, would theoretically give the open structure 14 or the cyclic one 15. Table 3 summarizes the ground state electronic properties of 14 and 15, whereas Fig. 2 presents the two compounds drawn to their optimized geometries. While 15 seems to be energetically more stable than 14, the energy difference is appreciable  $\approx 23$  Kcal/mol. Furthermore, 15 is more polar and hence would be stabilized by the reaction medium to a much higher extent. Furthermore, the energy gap  $\Delta E$  (defined as the difference of energy between the HOMO and LUMO) indicates that 14 is less reactive. The reaction of 7 with 8 or 11 to finally give 10 proceeds via two routes and intermediates 9 and 12. The ground state electronic properties of all these structures are presented in Table 3. Inspection of the data given in this table we can conclude that 10 is much more stable than 9 and also more polar.

In a similar manner, compound 7 reacted with ethyl 2-chloro-3-oxo-butanoate (19) to give the corresponding thienopyridine derivative 10. The reaction product 10 was most probably formed via the addition of the anions from  $-CH(COOEt)COCH_3$  to the CN group to give the non-iso-lable 3-iminothienopyridine intermediate. This intermediate then added water to liberate acetic acid and gave the final isolable 10 (cf. Equation 3).

Synthon 7 reacted also with methyl iodide in sodium methoxide to give the corresponding 4-methyl-2-methylthiopyridine-3-carbonitrile **20** whose structure was elucidated based on elemental analyses, IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (cf.

# **Equation 3**



Tables 1, 2 and Chart 3). A further confirmation of structure **20** was given through its reaction with hydrazine hydrate to give the sulfur-free reaction product **22**. Compound **22** was most probably formed via the substitution of the S-CH<sub>3</sub> group to give the non-isolable 2-hydrazino pyridine **21**. The hydrazino group was then added to the CN group to afford the corresponding 4-methylpyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridine-3-ylamine **22**. The structure of **22** was established based on elemental analyses, IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (cf. Tables 1, 2 and Chart 4).



Fig. 2. Prospective drawing of compounds drawn at its AM1 geometry.





Moreover, the mass spectrum of **22**, gave m/z = 148, which corresponded to the exact molecular weight of a molecular formula C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>4</sub> of the assigned structure. Good evidence of structure **22** was given through its synthesis via another route. Thus, compound **7** reacted with hydrazine hydrate to give a reaction product which was found to be identical in all aspects with the **22** obtained from the reaction of **20** with hydrazine hydrate (cf. Chart 4 and Equation 4).

**Equation 4** 



#### **Theoretical Consideration**

Methylation of 7 may take place to afford the  $-SCH_3$ (20) or the  $-N-CH_3$  (20a) derivatives. Both structures are drawn to their optimized geometries and are presented in Fig. 3, whereas the corresponding ground state properties are given in Table 3. The CH<sub>3</sub>N- derivative seems to be energetically and thermodynamically more stable. Thus, methylation seems to occur on the HN- and then rearranged to the  $-SCH_3$ form. The formation of 18 from 17 is energetically and thermodynamically favorable especially in polar solvents (cf. Table 3).

Chemical reactivity of 7 was further investigated through its reaction with 2-bromo-1-(phenyl or 4-methylphenyl, 4-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-one (**23a-c**) to give the corresponding 3-amino-4-methylthieno[2,3-b]pyridin-2-yl-(phenyl, 4-methylphenyl or 4-chlorophenyl)ketone **25a-c** via the non-isolable products **24a-c**. The structures of **25a-c** were elucidated by considering the data of elemental analyses, IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (cf. Tables 1, 2 and Chart 4).

#### **Theoretical Consideration**

The final products of Chart 4, namely both 22 and 25, are formed via intermediates 21 and 24, respectively. The ground state electronic properties of all components investigated in Chart 4 are presented in Table 3. The corresponding geometrical features are shown in Fig. 4. It is interesting to note that all ground state properties of 21 and 22 are almost the same with small differences that favour 21 slightly; 22 is formed through the cyclization which occurs spontaneously. 25 is more stable than 24 by about 25 Kcal./mol. However, 24 is much more reactive as indicated by  $\Delta E_{gap}$ . Fig. 5 presents free-energy relationships involving  $\Delta H_g$ , E<sub>1</sub>,  $\mu$  and energy gap against the Hammet constant  $\sigma_p$  for the substituents a-c for compound 25.



Fig. 3. Prospective drawing of compounds drawn at its AM1 geometry.

# EXPERIMENTAL

All melting points were uncorrected. IR spectra (KBr discs) were recorded on Brucker Vector 22 and Perkin-Elmer FT-IR type 4 spectrophotometers. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on Varian EM 390 MHz. Gemnai-200 MHz. and Brucker WP-80 spectrometers using TMS as an internal standard and CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO as solvents and chemical shifts are expressed as ppm units. Mass spectra were recorded on Hewlett-Packard GC-MS type 2988 using inlet type at 70 eV. Microanalyses were performed by the Microanalytical Center of Cairo University. Molecular orbital calculations were performed by considering the Schordinger equation,<sup>16</sup> variational methods and Hartree-Fock theory,<sup>17</sup> Semi-empirical methods,<sup>18</sup> Modifiedneglect of the Diatomic Overlap (MNDO)<sup>19</sup> and Austin mode 1 (AM1).<sup>20-22</sup>

### Synthesis of 6

A solution of 1 and buten-2-al (2) (0.01 mole of each) in ethanol (50 mL) containing a catalytic amount of triethyl-



Fig. 4. Prospective drawing of compounds drawn at its AM1 geometry.

amine or piperidine (0.5 mL) was heated under reflux for 1-2 hours. The mixture was cooled and the product so formed was collected by filtration and then crystallized from ethanol to give  $\mathbf{6}$  (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

#### Synthesis of 7 Method (A)

# Method (A)

A solution of 1 with buten-2-al (2) (0.01 mole of each) in ethanol (50 mL) containing a catalytic amount of triethylamine or piperidine (0.5 mL) was heated under reflux for 5-7 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled and the product so formed was collected by filtration and washed with cold ethanol then crystallized from ethanol to give 7 (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

### Method (B)

A solution of **6** in ethanol (50 mL) containing a catalytic amount of triethylamine (0.5 mL) was heated under reflux for 3-5 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled and the product so formed was collected by filtration and then crystallized from ethanol to give **7** (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

#### Synthesis of 14

A mixture of 7 and chloroacetamide (13), 0.01 mole of each, in methanol containing sodium methoxide (prepared by 0.01 atom Na in methanol) was refluxed for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then evaporated till dryness and then cooled. The product so formed was collected by filtration, washed with cold ethanol and then crystallized from ethanol to give 14 (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

#### Synthesis of 15

A solution of **14** (0.01 mole) in ethanol containing KOH 10% (10 mL) was refluxed for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then poured onto water and acidified with hydrochloric acid. The product so formed was collected by filtration, washed with cold water and then crystallized from ethanol to give **15** (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

#### Synthesis 10, 18 and 25a-c: (General method)

A solution of 7 with each of (8, 11 or 19), 16 and 23a-c (0.01 mole of each) in ethanol (50 mL) containing the catalytic amounts of triethyl amine or piperidine (0.5 mL) was refluxed for 3-5 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled and the products so formed were collected by filtration, washed with cold ethanol and then crystallized from ethanol to give 10, 18 and 25a-c, respectively (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

#### Synthesis of 20

A solution of 7 and methyl iodide (0.01 mole of each) in



Fig. 5. Free energy relationships for  $E_T$ ,  $\Delta H_f$ , energy gab and  $\mu$  against  $\sigma_{Hammet}$  constant of compound **25a-c**.

methanolic sodium methoxide (prepared by 0.01 atom of Na in 20 mL methanol) was heated under reflux for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled and poured onto ice-cold water and then acidified with hydrochloric acid. The products so formed were collected by filtration, washed with water and crystallized from ethanol to give **20** (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

# Synthesis of 22

A mixture of 7 or 20 (0.01 mole of each) and hydrazine hydrate (15 mL) was heated under reflux for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was then heated till dryness and then acidified with acetic acid. The product so formed after cooling, was filtrated and washed with cold ethanol then crystallized from ethanol to give 22 (cf. Tables 1 and 2).

Received October 18, 2001.

#### **Key Words**

Thieno[2,3-b]pyridin-2-yl)ethan-1-one; Thieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxylate; Thieno[2,3-b]pyridin-2-ylarylketone; 2-Methylthiopyridine-3-carbonitrile and pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridine-3-ylamine.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Attaby, F. A.; Abdel-Fattah, A. M. *Phosphours, Sulfur and Silicon* **1996**, *119*, 257.
- 2. Attaby, F. A. Phosphours, Sulfur and Silicon 1997, 126, 27.
- 3. Attaby, F. A. Phosphours; Sulfur and Silicon 1998, 139, 1.
- Attaby, F. A.; Eldin, S. M.; Elneairy, M. A. A. *Heteroatom Chem.* 1998, 9, 571.
- Attaby, F. A.; Eldin, S. M.; Elneairy, M. A. A. J. Chem. Res. (M). 1998, 10, 2754; (S) 10, 632.
- 6. Attaby, F. A.; El-neairy, M. A. A.; Elsayed, M. S.

Phosphours, Sulfur and Silicon 1999, 149, 49.

- 7. Attaby, F. A.; Abdel-Fattah, A. M. *Phosphorus, Sulfur and Silicon* **1999**, *155*, 253.
- Attaby, F. A.; Elneairy, M. A. A.; Eldin, S. M.; El-Louh, A. K. K. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2001, 48, 5.
- Lohaus, G.; Dittmar, W. S.; Afric Patent, **1968**, 6, 906, 036;
  C. A., **1970**, 73, 120308.
- Youngdale, G. A., U. S. Patent, **1980**, 4, 288, 440; C. A., **1982**, 96, 6596c.
- Todd, H., Br. Patent, **1970**, 1,203, 149; C. A., 73, **1970**, 120508b.
- 12. Umemura, K.; Kawai, H.; Ishihara, H.; Nakkashima, M. *Jpn. J. Pharmcol.* **1995**, *67*, 253.
- Yao, S. K.; Ober, J. C.; Maffrand, J. J.; Anderson, H. V.; Buja, L. M.; Willerson, J. T. Am. J. Physiol. 1994, 267, 488.

- achet, M.; Ohlmann, P.; Hechler, B.; Lecchi, A.; Chevalier, J.; Cassel, D.; Mannucci, P. M.; Cazenave, J. P. Br. J. Haematol. 1995, 91, 434.
- Shelyakin, V.; Dyachenko, V. D.; Sharanin, Yu. A. *Khim.* Geterotsikl Soedin 1995, 2, 269; C. A., 1995, 123, 256481v.
- 16. Schrodinger, E. Ann. Pgysik 1926, 79, 361.
- Levine, I. N. *Quantum Chemistry*; 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.; Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1983.
- Pople, J. A.; Beveridge, D. L.; Ostiund, N. S. J. Quantum Chem. 1967, 1, 293.
- 19. Dewar, M. J. S.; Theil, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4899.
- 20. Ruedenberg, K. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1962, 34, 326.
- 21. Burstein, K. Y.; Isaev, A. N. *Theor. Chem. Acta* **1984**, *64*, 397.
- 22. Prllman, A.; Port, G. N. J. Theor. Chem. Acta 1973, 32, 77.