Accepted Manuscript

New water soluble bis-imidazolium salts with a saldach scaffold: Synthesis, characterization and *in vitro* cytotoxicity/ bactericidal studies

Reda F.M. Elshaarawy, Zeinab H. Kheiralla, Abeer A. Rushdy, Christoph Janiak

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0020-1693(14)00318-1 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2014.05.029 ICA 16011
To appear in:	Inorganica Chimica Acta
Received Date:	5 April 2014
Revised Date:	21 May 2014
Accepted Date:	26 May 2014



Please cite this article as: R.F.M. Elshaarawy, Z.H. Kheiralla, A.A. Rushdy, C. Janiak, New water soluble bisimidazolium salts with a saldach scaffold: Synthesis, characterization and *in vitro* cytotoxicity/ bactericidal studies, *Inorganica Chimica Acta* (2014), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2014.05.029

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

New water soluble bis-imidazolium salts with a saldach scaffold: Synthesis, characterization and *in vitro* cytotoxicity/ bactericidal studies.

Reda F.M. Elshaarawy,^{a,c,*} Zeinab H. Kheiralla,^b Abeer A. Rushdy^b and Christoph Janiak^{c,*}
^a Faculty of Science, Suez University, Suez, Egypt. Email: reda_elshaarawi@science.suez.edu.eg; Reda.El-Shaarawy@uni-duesseldorf.de
^bBotany Department, University College for Women, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Email: <u>kheiralla@hotmail.com</u>

^c Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Heinrich-Heine Universität Düsseldorf, 40204 Düsseldorf, Germany. Email: janiak@uni-duesseldorf.de

Abstract

A series of water-soluble bis-imidazolium salts of the type $H_2({}^{l}Pr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂} (4) and their mononuclear complexes [M(III)Cl{(${}^{i}Pr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂}] (M = Mn, 5; Fe, 6), (X = Cl, a; PF₆, b; BF₄, c), where saldach = *N*,*N*`-bis(salicylidene)-(±)-*trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane, have been synthesized and characterized using elemental analysis, electronic, spectral, magnetic as well as conductometric methods and MALDI-TOF-, ESI-MS. All complexes possess a distorted square pyramidal coordination geometry with MN₂O₂Cl chromophore, as revealed by the elemental, spectral and literature data. These salts have been evaluated for *in vitro* cytotoxicity against HepG-2 and MCF-7 cell lines. Among them, 4c (IC₅₀ = 22.17 µM) exhibited potency against MCF-7. The bactericidal efficacy of 4a-c was screened against a panel of common pathogenic bacteria. Compound 4a was found to be the most potent antibacterial agent and could inhibit all the bacterial strains more effectively than standard antibiotics.

Keywords: Bis-imidazolium salts - Metallosaldach - cytotoxicity - antibacterial.

1. Introduction

One of the most chemotherapeutic problems we are facing today in the context of fighting bacterial infectious diseases is the relentless increase and spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR)
 [1,2]. Thus, studies for the identification of novel targets and drugs for the treatment of infectious diseases are at the forefront. Several approaches to negating antibiotic resistance are currently being investigated, including inactivation of enzymes in essential metabolic pathways and inhibiting signal transduction systems [3,4]. These approaches involve the

development of new antimicrobial drugs with modes of action that circumvent current
 resistance mechanisms [5,6].

Most of the platinum-based anticancer drugs [7] had an enormous impact on current cancer chemotherapy. However, the spectrum of cancer that can be treated with platinum agents is narrow and treatment efficacy suffers from side effects and resistance phenomena [8,9]. In order to overcome clinical problems associated with the relatively limited activity of platinum-based agents against the broad spectrum of human malignancies, acquired resistance and side effects, novel non-platinum metal-based anticancer complexes have been developed [9,10].

10 Notably, metallo-saldach compounds are very well-studied class of chemical nucleases 11 that bind, cleave, and damage nucleic acids [11,12] via oxidative alkylation of nucleobases 12 [13]. Furthermore, various Fe(III)-saldach derivatives have been implicated in efficient 13 asymmetric catalysis and in catalyzing the hydrolytic cleavage of DNA and RNA [14]. Recent 14 studies have demonstrated that Fe(III)-saldach induces apoptosis in human embryonic kidney 15 cells [15]. Moreover, the in vitro DNA cleavage activity of Fe(III)-salen complexes is 16 inversely correlated with their apoptotic activities in cultured human cells [16]. Mn(III)-17 saldach complexes were shown to exhibit superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase activity and 18 are considered to be synthetic SOD mimics [17,18]. Also Mn(III)-saldach induces tumor 19 selective apoptosis in human cells [19].

20 In the race to synthesize new pharmaceutical drugs, ionic liquids (ILs) have attracted a 21 great deal of attention. IL strategies can take advantage of the dual nature (discrete ions) of 22 ILs to realize enhancements which may include controlled solubility, bioavailability or 23 bioactivity, stability, elimination of polymorphism, new delivery options (e.g., slow release or 24 the IL-API as 'solvent'), or even customized pharmaceutical cocktails [20]. 1,3-25 Dialkylimidazolium salts have become an attractive candidates for application in medicinal 26 chemistry due to their tunable properties and ability to generate biological responses upon 27 binding to several biological targets [21]. They have been recognized as bactericidal [22], 28 fungicidal [22], acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitor [23], AMP deaminase inhibitor [24], 29 delivery of anti-inflammatory drugs [25], local anesthetic [22], anti-nociceptive [20], 30 anticholinergic [20], anticancer drugs [26] and in protein formulations [27]. Carson et al. have 31 reported the broad spectrum antibiofilm activity of 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ILs 32 against a panel of clinically important microbes [28]. Recently, the anti-microbial effect of a 33 series of imidazolium-based ionic liquids revealed broad-spectrum activities against *cocci*, 34 rods and fungi [29]. Also the length of N-3 alkyl substituent plays a significant role in the

anti-tumor activity. Noteworthy, imidazolium units can slowly interact with the C=N or C≡N
 module, through electrophilic-nucleophilic interaction, leading to the fragmentation of the
 molecule [30].

In continuation of our ongoing programs directed toward the development of novel, potent, selective and less toxic therapeutic agents [31], we now report a concise, practical synthetic route and *in vitro* biological (antimicrobial and anticancer) evaluation of new saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts and their metal complexes (Scheme 1) which may allow us to develop a promising therapeutic strategy to combat antibiotic resistance and offer potent cytotoxic agents.

10

(Scheme 1)

11 **2.** Materials and methods

12 Melting points were measured using a BÜCHI Melting point B-540 apparatus; all melting 13 points were measured in open glass capillaries and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses for C, 14 H, N, were performed with a Perkin–Elmer 263 elemental analyzer. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER Tensor-37 FT-IR spectrophotometer in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹ as 15 KBr disc in the 4000-550 cm⁻¹ region with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution or with an ATR (attenuated total 16 reflection) unit (Platinum ATR-QL, diamond). For signal intensities the following 17 abbreviations were used: br (broad), sh (sharp), w (weak), m (medium), s (strong), vs (very 18 strong). UV/Vis spectra were measured at 25 °C in ethanol (10⁻⁵ mol/L) on a Shimadzu UV-19 20 2450 spectrophotometer using quartz cuvettes (1 cm). NMR-spectra were obtained with a Bruker Avance DRX200 (200 MHz for ¹H) or Bruker Avance DRX500 (125, 202 and 21 470 MHz for ¹³C, ³¹P and ¹⁹F respectively) spectrometer with calibration to the residual 22 proton solvent signal in DMSO-d₆ (¹H NMR: 2.52 ppm, ¹³C NMR: 39.5 ppm), CDCl₃ (¹H 23 NMR: 7.26 ppm, ¹³C NMR: 77.16 ppm) against TMS ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm) for ¹H and ¹³C, 24 85% phosphoric acid ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm) for ³¹P and CFCl₃ ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm) for ¹⁹F NMR. 25 26 Multiplicities of the signals were specified s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet) or m 27 (multiplet). The mass spectra of the synthesized saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts and their 28 metal complexes were acquired in the linear mode for positive ions on a UHR-QTOF maXis 29 4G (Bruker Daltonics) and BRUKER Ultraflex MALDI-TOF instrument equipped with a 337 30 nm nitrogen laser pulsing at a repetition rate of 10 Hz. The 2+ charge assignment of ions in 31 HR-ESI-MS was confirmed by the m/z = 0.5 difference between the isotope peaks (x, x+1, 32 x+2). The MALDI matrix material (1,8-dihydroxy-9(10H)-anthracenone (dithranol, DIT, 33 ${}^{12}C_{14}H_{10}O_3$, M = 226.077 g/mol) was dissolved in chloroform at a concentration of 10 34 mg/mL. MALDI probes were prepared by mixing compound solution (1 mg/mL in CH₂Cl₂)

with the matrix solution (1:10, v/v) in a 0.5 mL Eppendorf[®] micro tube. Finally 0.5 µL of this 1 2 mixture was deposited on the sample plate, dried at room temperature and then analyzed. Peaks with chlorine showed the isotope ratio ${}^{35/37}$ Cl = 75.8:24.2. Manganese (55 Mn 54.938 Da, 3 100%) or iron (⁵⁶Fe 55.934 Da, 91.2%) are either isotope pure or with a predominant isotope 4 (⁵⁴Fe 53.939, 5.8%; ⁵⁷Fe 56.935 Da, 2.1%). For the mass spectral assignment: Peaks are based 5 on ¹²C with 12.0000 Da,³⁵Cl with 34.968 Da, ⁵⁵Mn 54.938 Da, ⁵⁶Fe 55.934. dithranol, DIT, 6 ${}^{12}C_{14}H_{10}O_3$, M = 226.077 g/mol $C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 = [4 - 2 \text{ anions}]^{2+} = 624.45 C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2 = [4 - 2 \text{ anions}]^{2+}$ 7 $2H^+ - 2 \text{ anions}]^0 = 622.44 \text{ Me}_2\text{Im} = C_5H_8N_2 = 96.078 \text{ Me}_2\text{Im}H = C_5H_9N_2 = 97.086$ 8 $C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCl = 713.3$. The molar conductances of 10^{-3} mol/L solution of various salts 9 10 have been measured at ambient temperature with a digital conductivity meter (S30 11 SevenEasy[™] conductivity, Mettler-Toledo Electronics, LLC, Polaris Parkway, Columbus). 12 The overall accuracy of the conductance measurements was found to be $\pm 0.2\%$. Magnetic 13 measurements of target complexes were carried out at room temperature using a Vibrating 14 Sample Magnetometer (VSM), (Model PAR 155).

15 Chemicals were obtained from the following suppliers and used without further 16 purification: salicylaldehyde, 2-*iso*-propylphenol, (±)-trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane, 17 anhydrous MgCl₂ and Mn(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O (Sigma–Aldrich), paraformaldehyde (Roth), 1,2-18 dimethylimidazole, 1-butylimidazole (Alfa Aesar), triethylamine (Et₃N) and anhydrous ZnCl₂ 19 (GRÜSSING GmbH) and FeCl₃ (Acros).

20 3. Experimental

The preparation details of the key starting materials 3-isopropylsalicylaldehyde (1), 3-isopropyl-5-chloromethyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (2), 3-(3-(iso-Propyl)-5-formyl-4hydroxybenzyl)-1,2-dimethylimidazol-3-ium chloride (3a) and anion metathesis products (3b,c) can be seen in electronic supplementary information.

25 **3.1** General procedure for the preparation of rac-trans- $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach $(1,2-Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2$ 26 (4a-c):

A methanolic solution (10 mL) of (\pm)-*trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane (dach) (0.23 g, 2.0 mmol) in a Schlenk tube, was added dropwise to a methanolic solution (20 mL) of salicylaldehyde-imidazolium salt H(^{*i*}Pr)sal(Me₂Im⁺-X⁻) **3**a-c (4.0 mmol) into a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred under N₂ at 60 °C for 3 h. Then the solvent was partially removed under reduced pressure, and the yellow products of **4**a-c were precipitated by the addition of ethyl acetate and kept in the refrigerator overnight. Solvent was decanted off and the obtained crude product was sonicated for 15 min

1 in Et_2O (3 x 25 mL). Et_2O was also decanted off and the residual solid was washed 2 intensively with MeOH / Et_2O mixture (1:2) to remove unreacted materials and then re-3 dissolved in MeOH. EtOAc was added slowly (~15 min) to precipitate the products as pale 4 yellow-dark orange solids which were collected by filtration and dried under vacuum. 5 Samples of the isolated solids were characterized as follows.

6 N,N'-Bis[3-iso-propyl-5-((1,2-dimethylimidazol-3-ium)methylene)-salicylidene)-rac-trans-

- *1,2-cyclohexanediamine dichloride monohydrate* (4a): Yellow-orange powder, (2.54 g, 89
 %); mp 61-63 °C. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3436 (m, br, v_(O-H)), 3131 (m, sh, v_{asym(C-H)}, Im and Ar),
- 3074 (m, sh, v_{sym(C-H)}, Im and Ar), 2931 (m, sh, v_(CH₃)) 2862 (m, sh, v_(C-H)), 1629 (vs, sh, 9 $v_{(C=N)}$), 1536, 1460, 1384 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{hend})}$), 1321 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1271 (s, sh, $v_{(Ar-O)}$), 10 1163 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{bend}}$, Im), 770 (m, sh), 645 (m, sh), 505 (m, br). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, 200 MHz) 11 CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 13.98 (s, 2 H, 2 x Ar-OH), 8.55 (s, 2 H, 2 x H-C=N), 7.77 (d, J = 2.00 Hz, 2 12 13 H, 2 x Im-H), 7.72 (d, J = 1.97 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Im-H), 7.39 (d, J = 1.55 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Ar-H), 7.25 14 $(d, J = 1.83 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, 2 \text{ x Ar-H}), 5.33 (s, 4 \text{ H}, 2 \text{ x N}(3)-CH_2-Ar), 3.77 (s, 6 \text{ H}, 2 \text{ x N}(1)-CH_3),$ 15 3.40 (m, 2 H, 2 x Cyhex-H), 3.22 (m₍₇₎, 2 H, 2 x CH(CH₃)₂), 2.64 (s, 6 H, 2 x C(2)-CH₃), 1.80 16 (m, 4 H, 4 x Cyhex-H), 1.49 (m, 4 H, 4 x Cyhex-H), 1.16 (d, J = 7.89 Hz, 12 H, 2 x CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 165.23 (HC=N), 159.99 (C-OH), 144.58 17 (N(1)C(CH₃)N(3)), 137.67 (C, Ar), 129.88 (CH, Ar), 123.79 (CH, Ar), 122.89 18 (N(1)CHCHN(3)), 121.16 (N(1)CHCHN(3)), 117.99 (C-C=N), 71.03 (CH Cyhex), 50.57 19 (N(3)-CH₂-Ar), 35.09 (N(1)-CH₃), 34.83 (CH₂, Cyhex), 20 32.87 (CH(CH₃)₂), 29.37 21 (CH(CH₃)₂), 24.12 (CH₂, Cyhex), 9.79 (C(2)-CH₃). ESI MS: m/z 624.4 (<5%, [C₃₈H₅₂N₆O₂]^{+•} = $[M - 2Cl^{-} + e^{-}]^{+}$, 431.3 (20%, $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^{+} - Me_2Im]^{+}$), 312.2 (50%, 22 23 Me₂ImH – Me₂ImCH₂]²⁺). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{38}H_{52}Cl_2N_6O_2$ ·H₂O (M = 713.80): C, 63.94; H, 24 25 7.63; N, 11.77; Found: C, 63.83; H, 7.83; N, 11.49.

26 N,N'-Bis-[3-iso-propyl-5-((1,2-dimethylimidazol-3-ium)methylene)-salicylidene)-rac-trans-

27 1,2-cyclohexanediamine bis-(hexafluorophosphate) monohydrate (4b): Yellow powder, 28 (3.39 g, 91 %); mp 84-85 °C. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3438 (m, br, v_(O-H)), 3181 (m, sh, v_{asym(C-H)},

- 29 Im and Ar), 3154 (m, sh, $v_{sym(C-H)}$, Im and Ar), 2938 (m, sh, $v_{(CH_3)}$) 2869 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1633
- 30 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1539, 1466, 1389 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1324 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1273 (s, sh, $v_{(Ar-D)}$), 127
- 31 _{O)}), 1160 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{bend}}$, Im), 838 (vs, sh, $v_{(PF_6)str}$), 774 (m, sh), 676 (m, sh), 557 (s,
- 33 x H-C=N), 7.66 (d, J = 2.12 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Im-H), 7.62 (d, J = 2.02 Hz,, 2 H, 2 x Im-H), 7.35

1 (d, J = 1.99 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J = 2.21 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Ar-H), 5.27 (s, 4 H, 2 x N(3)-2 CH₂-Ar), 3.75 (s, 6 H, 2 x N(1)-CH₃), 3.51 (s, br, 2 H, 2 x Cyhex-H), 3.22 (m₍₇₎, 2 H, 2 x CH(CH₃)₂), 2.61 (s, 6 H, 2 x C(2)-CH₃), 1.83 (m, 4 H, 4 x Cyhex-H), 1.55 (m, 4 H, 4 x 3 4 Cyhex-**H**), 1.34 (dd, 12 H, $J_1 = 1.62$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.93$ Hz, 2 x CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 165.96 (HC=N), 160.11 (C-OH), 144.68 (N(1)C(CH_3)N(3)), 137.68 (C, 5 Ar), 129.94 (CH, Ar), 123.90 (CH, Ar), 122.91 (N(1)CHCHN(3)), 121.19 (N(1)CHCHN(3)), 6 7 118.51 (C-C=N), 71.14 (CH Cyhex), 50.64 (N(3)-CH₂-Ar), 35.12 (N(1)-CH₃), 32.91 (CH₂) 8 Cyhex), 29.38 (CH(CH₃)₂), 26.73 (CH(CH₃)₂), 24.07 (CH₂, Cyhex), 9.81 (C(2)-CH₃) (see Figure S1 in supplementary data). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, DMSO- d_6): -142.97 ppm (septet, ² J_{PF} 9 = 711.24 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO- d_6): -70.58 ppm (doublet, ¹ $J_{\rm FP}$ = 715.68 Hz) (see 10 Figure S2 in supplementary data). HR-ESI-MS: 527.3376 (<5%, [$C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH'$]⁺), 11 431.2691 (25%, $[C_{28}H_{35}N_2O_2]^+ = [C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$), 312.2074 (100%, 12 $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2]^{2+}$, 264.1729 (60%, $[C_{33}H_{44}N_4O_2]^{2+} = [C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2Im]^{2+}$), 208.47409 13 $(10\%, [C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH - Me_2ImCH_2]^{2+})$. MALDI-TOF MS, m/z: 849.4 [M + DIT -14 $H^{+} - 2 PF_{6}^{-}$, 769.4 (<10%, [M - PF_{6}^{-}]^{+}), 657.3 (40%, [C_{38}H_{52}N_{6}O_{2} + DIT - Me_{2}ImH^{+} - 15 $Me_2Im]^+$, 431.2 (75%, $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$), 227.0 (100%, $[DIT+H^+]^+$). 16 17 Anal. Calcd. for $C_{38}H_{52}F_{12}N_6O_2P_2$ H_2O (M = 932.80): C, 48.93; H, 5.83; N, 9.01; Found: C, 18 49.14; H, 5.75; N, 9.20.

19 Rac-trans-N,N'-Bis-[3-iso-propyl-5-((1,2-dimethylimidazol-3-ium)methylene)-salicylidene)-20 rac-trans-1,2-cyclohexanediamine bis-(tetrafluoroborate) monohydrate (4c): Pale yellow powder, (3.04 g, 93 %); mp 76–78 °C. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3441 (m, br, v_(0-H)), 3183 (m, sh, 21 22 v_{asym(C-H)}, Im and Ar), 3151 (m, sh, v_{sym(C-H)}, Im and Ar), 2936 (m, sh, v_(CH₂)) 2867 (m, sh, v_(C-H)) _{H)}), 1632 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1539, 1466, 1388 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1324 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1274 23 (s, sh, $v_{(Ar-O)}$), 1159 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{hend}}$, Im), 1060 (vs, sh, $v_{(BF_4)str}$), 865 (m, sh), 771 (m, 24 sh), 678 (m, sh). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 13.98 (s, 2 H, 2 x Ar-OH), 8.54 (s, 25 26 2 H, 2 x H-C=N), 7.66 (d, J = 2.08 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Im-H), 7.62 (d, J = 2.05 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Im-H), 27 7.36 (d, J = 1.96 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Ar-H), 7.22 (d, J = 2.08 Hz, 2 H, 2 x Ar-H), 5.27 (s, 4 H, 2 x 28 N(3)-CH₂-Ar), 3.75 (s, 6 H, 2 x N(1)-CH₃), 3.52 (s, br, 2 H, 2 x Cyhex-H), 3.22 (m₍₇₎, 2 H, 2 29 x CH(CH₃)₂), 2.61 (s, 6H, 2 x C(2)-CH₃), 1.82 (m, 4 H, 4 x Cyhex-H), 1.50 (m, 4 H, 4 x Cyhex-H), 1.17 (dd, $J_2 = 6.87$ Hz, $J_1 = 1.32$ Hz, 12 H, 2 x CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 30 DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 165.59 (HC=N), 158.77 (C-OH), 144.70 (N(1)C(CH₃)N(3)), 136.53 (C, 31 Ar), 129.36 (CH, Ar), 124.52 (CH, Ar), 122.91 (N(1)CHCHN(3)), 121.20 (N(1)CHCHN(3)), 32 33 118.07 (C-C=N), 71.44 (CH Cyhex), 50.61 (N(3)-CH₂-Ar), 35.13 (N(1)-CH₃), 33.13 (CH₂)

1 Cyhex), 26.41 (CH(CH₃)₂), 24.41 (CH(CH₃)₂), 22.67 (CH₂, Cyhex), 9.84 (C(2)-CH₃) (see

2 Figure S4 in supplementary data). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): -148.72 ppm (singlet)

3 (see Figure S5 in supplementary data). MALDI-TOF MS, m/z: 849.5 (10%, [M + DIT – H⁺ –

4 2 BF_4^{-}]⁺), 711.4 (25%, [M - BF_4^{-}]⁺), 657.4 (60%, [C₃₈H₅₂N₆O₂ + DIT - Me₂ImH⁺ - Me₂

5 $Me_2Im]^+$, 431.3 (100%, $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$), 227.0 (90%, $[DIT+H^+]^+$).

6 Anal. Calcd. for $C_{38}H_{52}B_2F_8N_6O_2$ H₂O (M = 816.48): C, 55.90; H, 6.67; N, 10.29; Found: C,

7 56.29; H, 6.66; N, 10.35.

3.2 General procedure for the preparation of chlorido-metallosaldach-bis-imidazolium
 complexes [M(III)Cl{(ⁱPr)2saldach(Me2Im⁺-X⁻)2}] (M = Mn, Fe) (5a-c, 6a-c)

10 3.2.1. Synthesis of Mn(III) complexes (5a-c):

A yellow solution of the saldach-bis-imidazolium salts, $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach(Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ 4a-c, 11 12 (0.9 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) was degassed for 15 minutes. An ethanolic solution (5 mL) of 13 $Mn(OAc)_2$ ·4H₂O (269 mg, 1.1 mmol) was then added with the vellow solution turning dark 14 brown immediately, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 hours under N₂. LiCl (69.9 15 mg, 1.65 mmol) was then added and the solution was refluxed for an additional 2 h under air bubbling through the solution. After evaporating the solvent under reduced pressure, the 16 17 residue was re-dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml), over-layered ethyl acetate (3 ml) and the mixture 18 kept in a refrigerator overnight. The precipitated solid was filtered off and washed with ethyl acetate and diethyl ether. Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane yielded pure 19 20 $[Mn(III)Cl{(^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}}]$ 5a-c.

Chlorido-trans-[[2,2`-]](1,2-cyclohexanediyl) bis(nitrilomethylidyne)] bis[4-((1,2-dimethyl-21 22 imidazolium)methylene-6-(ⁱPr-phenolato)]-[N,N`,O,O`] manganese(III) dichloride 23 sesquihydrate (5a:1.5H₂O): Dark-brown powder (679 mg, 93 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3434 (m, br, $v_{(O-H)}$, lattice water), 3132 (m, sh, $v_{asym(C-H)}$, Im and Ar), 2948 (m, sh, $v_{(CH_2)}$) 2864 (m, 24 sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1620 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1547, 1439, 1387 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{hend})}$), 1332 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 25 1280 (s, sh, $v_{(Ar-O)}$), 1179 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{hend}}$, Im), 832 (m, sh), 761 (m, sh), 678 (m, sh), 26 27 575 (m, sh, v_(Mn-N)), 474 (w, br, v_(Mn-O)). MALDI-TOF MS, *m/z*: 849.5 (10%, [ligand 4a + DIT $-H^{+} - 2 Cl^{-}l^{+}$, 710.3 (10%, [C₃₈H₅₀N₆O₂Mn + DIT - Me₂ImH⁺ - Me₂Iml⁺), 657.3 (30%, 28 29 $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 + DIT - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+)$, 520.2 (20%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2MnCl - 2 Me_2Im]^+)$, 506.1 (5%, $[Mn(DIT)_2 - H^+]^+$, 431.3 (20%, $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$), 227.0 30 31 $(100\%, [DIT+H^+]^+)$. Anal. Calcd. for C₃₈H₅₀Cl₃MnN₆O₂·1.5H₂O (M = 811.16): C, 56.27; H, 32 6.59; N, 10.36; Found: C, 56.16; H, 6.23; N, 10.29. $\mu_{eff} = 4.86 \mu_{B.}$

1 Chlorido-trans-[[2,2`-]](1,2-cyclohexanediyl) bis(nitrilomethylidyne)] bis[4-((1,2-dimethylimidazolium)methylene-6-(ⁱPr-phenolato)]-[N,N`,O,O`] manganese(III) bis-(hexafluoro-2 phosphate) monohydrate (5b·H₂O): Brown powder (835 mg, 91 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3436 3 (m, br, v_(O-H), lattice water), 3157 (m, sh, v_{asym(C-H)}, Im and Ar), 2956 (m, sh, v_(CH₂)) 2868 (m, 4 sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1619 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1549, 1440, 1389 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1332 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 5 1279 (s, sh, $v_{(Ar-O)}$), 1179 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{bend}}$, Im), 840 (vs, sh, $v_{(PF_6)str}$), 840 (s, sh), 782 6 (m, sh), 740 (m, sh), 678 (m, sh), 573 (m, sh, $v_{(Mn-N)}$), 559 (m, sh, $\delta_{(P-F)}$), 473 (w, br, $v_{(Mn-O)}$). 7 8 MALDI-TOF MS, m/z: ligand spectrum with 657.3 and 431.3; and 710.3 (5%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2Mn + DIT - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+)$, 520.2 (10%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2MnCl - 2]$ 9 $Me_2Im]^+$), 506.0 (<5%, $[Mn(DIT)_2 - H^+]^+$ 227.0 (100%, $[DIT+H^+]^+$). Anal. Calcd. for 10 C₃₈H₅₀ClF₁₂MnN₆O₂P₂·H₂O (M = 1021.18): C, 44.69; H, 5.13; N, 8.23; Found: C, 44.55; H, 11 12 5.19; N, 8.20. $\mu_{eff} = 4.96 \ \mu_{B.}$

13 Chlorido-trans-[[2,2'-]](1,2-cyclohexanediyl) bis(nitrilomethylidyne)] bis[4-((1,2-dimethylimidazolium)methylene-6-(ⁱPr-phenolato)]-[N,N`,O,O`] manganese(III) bis-(tetrafluoro-14 15 borate) sesquihydrate (5c·1.5H₂O): Reddish-brown powder (724 mg, 88 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻ 16 ¹): 3437 (m, br, $v_{(O-H)}$, lattice water), 3149 (m, sh, $v_{asym(C-H)}$, Im and Ar), 2958 (m, sh, $v_{(CH_2)}$) 2867 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1620 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1548, 1441, 1389 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1334 (m, 17 sh, v_(C-H)), 1281 (s, sh, v_(Ar-O)), 1178 (s, sh, v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)bend}, Im), 1058 (vs, sh, v_{(BF₄)str}), 830 18 (m, sh), 779 (m, sh), 756 (m, sh), 679 (m, sh), 572 (m, sh, $v_{(Mn-N)}$), 471 (w, br, $v_{(Mn-O)}$). 19 20 MALDI-TOF MS, *m/z*:ligand spectrum with 849.5, 657.4 and 431.3, and 710.3 (15%, 21 $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2Mn + DIT - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+)$, 520.2 (20%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2MnCl - 2]$ $Me_2Im]^+$), 506.0 (<5%, $[Mn(DIT)_2 - H^+]^+$, 227.0 (100%, $[DIT+H^+]^+$). Anal. Calcd. for 22 23 $C_{38}H_{50}B_2ClF_8MnN_6O_2 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ (M = 913.33): C, 49.94; H, 5.85; N, 9.20; Found: C, 49.63; H, 5.91; N, 9.44. $\mu_{eff} = 4.91 \ \mu_{B}$. 24

25 3.2.2. Synthesis of Fe(III) complexes (6a-c):

A yellow solution of the saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach(Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ **4**a-c (0.9 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) was degassed for 15 minutes. An ethanolic solution (5 mL) of FeCl₃ (177 mg, 1.1 mmol) was then added with the yellow solution turning dark reddishbrown immediately, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 hours under N₂. Then, the solution was concentrated and the residue was kept in a refrigerator overnight. The precipitated solid was filtered off and washed with cold ethanol (2 x 3mL) and diethyl ether (3 x 3mL) to yield [Fe(III)Cl{(iPr)₂saldach(Me₂Im⁺- X⁻)₂}] **6**a-c.

1 Chlorido-trans-[[2,2`-]](1,2-cyclohexanediyl) bis(nitrilomethylidyne)] bis[4-((1,2-dimethyl-2 imidazolium)methylene-6-(ⁱPr-phenolato)]-[N,N`,O,O`] iron(III) dichloride sesquihydrate (6a 1.5H₂O): reddish-brown powder (687 mg, 94 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3429 (m, br, $v_{(O-H)}$, 3 lattice water), 3137 (m, sh, $v_{asym(C-H)}$, Im and Ar), 2935 (m, sh, $v_{(CH_2)}$) 2865 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 4 1613 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1549, 1449, 1388 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1334 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1278 (s, 5 sh, v_(Ar-O)), 1177 (s, sh, v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{bend}, Im), 834 (m, sh), 745 (m, sh), 678 (m, sh), 570 (m,} 6 7 sh, v_(Fe-N)), 498 (w, br), 467 (m, sh, v_(Fe-O)). MALDI-TOF MS, m/z: 746.3 (10%, 8 $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCl + DIT - Me_2Im]^+)$, 711.3 (60%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2Fe + DIT - Me_2Im]^+)$) $Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2ImI^+$, 552.2 (30%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCl(OMe) - 2 Me_2ImI^+$, 521.2 (80%, 9 $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCl - 2 Me_2Im]^+)$, 507.1 (20%, $[Fe(DIT)_2 - H^+]^+$, 226.9 (100%, $[DIT+H^+]^+)$. 10 Anal. Calcd. for C₃₈H₅₀Cl₃FeN₆O₂·1.5H₂O (M = 812.07): C, 56.20; H, 6.58; N, 10.35; Found: 11 12 C, 56.14; H, 6.42; N, 10.72. $\mu_{eff} = 5.63 \mu_{B}$. Chlorido-trans-[[2,2`-][(1,2-cyclohexanediyl) bis(nitrilomethylidyne)] bis[4-((1,2-dimethyl-13 14 *imidazolium*)*methylene-6-(*^{*i*}*Pr-phenolato*)]*-*[*N*,*N*`,*O*,*O*`] *iron*(*III*) *bis-(hexafluorophosphate)* monohydrate (6b·~2H₂O): Brown powder (842 mg, 90 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): Dark-brown 15 powder (556 mg, 93 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3430 (m, br, $v_{(O-H)}$, lattice water), 3145 (m, sh, 16 vasym(C-H), Im and Ar), 2954 (m, sh, v(CH₃)) 2867 (m, sh, v(C-H)), 1615 (vs, sh, v(C=N)), 1549, 17 1442, 1391 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1328 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1279 (s, sh, $v_{(Ar-O)}$), 1178 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C)}$), 1178 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C)$ 18 C=C + H-C=N)_{bend}, Im), 842 (vs, sh, v_{(PF₆)str}), 780 (m, sh), 740 (m, sh), 680 (m, sh), 557 (m, sh, 19 20 $\delta_{(P-F)}$), 572 (w, br, $v_{(Fe-N)}$), 471 (m, br, $v_{(Fe-O)}$). MALDI-TOF MS, *m/z*: 746.3 (<5%, 21 $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeC1 + DIT - Me_2Im]^+$, 711.3 (45%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2Fe + DIT - Me_2Im]^+$), 711.3 (45%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2Fe + DIT - Me_2Im]^+$) 22 $Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2ImI^+$, 521.2 (40%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCI - 2 Me_2ImI^+)$, 507.1 (20%, $[Fe(DIT)_2 - 2Me_2ImI^+]$), 507.1 (20%, $[Fe(DIT)_2 - 2Me_2ImI^+]$)), 507.1 (20%, $[Fe(DIT)_2 - 2Me_2ImI^+]$)), 507.1 (20%, $[Fe(DIT)_2 - 2Me_2ImI^+]$))), 507.1 (20%, $[Fe(DIT)_2 - 2Me_2ImI^+]$)))))))))) $H^+]^+$, 227.0 (100%, [DIT+H⁺]⁺). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{38}H_{50}ClF_{12}FeN_6O_2P_2$ ·~2H₂O (M = 23 1040.10): C, 43.88; H, 5.23; N, 8.08; Found: C, 44.01; H, 5.20; N, 8.11. μ_{eff} = 5.86 μ_B. 24 25 Chlorido-trans-[[2,2'-]](1,2-cyclohexanediyl) bis(nitrilomethylidyne)] bis[4-((1,2-dimethyl-26 imidazolium)methylene-6-(ⁱPr-phenolato)]-[N,N`,O,O`] iron(III) bis-(tetrafluoroborate) *monohydrate* (6c[·]H₂O): Dark-red powder (816 mg, 89 %). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3442 (m, br, 27

v_(O-H), lattice water), 3150 (m, sh, v_{asym(C-H)}, Im and Ar), 2938 (m, sh, v_(CH₃)) 2868 (m, sh, v_{(C-} _{H)}), 1616 (vs, sh, $v_{(C=N)}$), 1551, 1453, 1391 (s, sh, $v_{(C=C_{Ar} + C-H_{bend})}$), 1329 (m, sh, $v_{(C-H)}$), 1282 29

28

(s, sh, $v_{(Ar-O)}$), 1179 (s, sh, $v_{(H-C=C + H-C=N)_{bend}}$, Im), 1060 (vs, sh, $v_{(BF_4)str}$), 834 (m, sh), 748 (30

31 sh), 678 (m, sh), 573 (m, sh, v_(Fe-N)), 497 (w, br), 467 (m, sh, v_(Fe-O)), 443 (w, br). MALDI-

32 TOF MS, m/z: 711.3 (20%, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2Fe + DIT - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$), 521.2 (15%,

- $1 \quad [C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCl 2 \ Me_2Im]^+), \ 507.1 \ (5\%, \ [Fe(DIT)_2 H^+]^+, \ 227.0 \ (100\%, \ [DIT+H^+]^+).$
- 2 Anal. Calcd. for $C_{38}H_{50}B_2ClF_8FeN_6O_2 \cdot H_2O$ (M = 905.77): C, 50.39; H, 5.79; N, 9.28; Found:
- 3 C, 50.54; H, 5.78; N, 9.33. μ_{eff} = 5.75 $\mu_{B.}$

4 3.3 In vitro anticancer (cytotoxicity) activity

5 3.3.1 Reagents: Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO), crystal violet and Trypan blue dye were

6 purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo, USA). Dulbecco's modified Eagle's

7 medium (DMEM), Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI-1640), Fetal Bovine

8 Serum (FBS), 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer solution,

9 L-glutamine, gentamycin and 0.25% Trypsin- EDTA were obtained from Lonza.

10 *Crystal violet stain*: prepared as 0.5% crystal violet solution by dissolving 0.5 g of crystal
11 violet stain in 50 mL methanol, then the solution was completed to 100 mL with deionized

12 water, filtered through Whatmann No.1 and stored at room temperature.

13 3.3.2 Cell cultures: Two human tumor cell lines, MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma) and Hepg2 14 (liver hepatocellular carcinoma) were obtained from the VACSERA Tissue Culture Unit and 15 cultured in either RPMI-1640 or DMEM media supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated 16 FBS, 1% L-glutamine, HEPES buffer and 50 µg/mL gentamycin. All cells were maintained at 17 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ and sub-cultured two times a week. Cell 18 toxicity was monitored by determining the effect of the tested samples on cell morphology 19 and cell viability. The effect of the vehicle solvent (DMSO) on the growth of these cell lines 20 was evaluated in all the experiments by exposing untreated control cells to the maximum 21 concentration (0.5%) of DMSO used in each assay.

22 3.3.3 Cytotoxicity assay: The in vitro cytotoxicity of the saldach-bis-imidazolium salts and 23 their chlorido M(III) complexes were measured by the cytotoxic effect assay according to the 24 procedure adopted by the Regional Center for Mycology & Biotechnology, Egypt. Briefly, the cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a cell concentration of 1×10^4 cells per well in 100 µL of 25 26 growth medium. Fresh medium containing different concentrations of the tested sample was 27 added after 24 h of seeding. Serial two-fold dilutions of the tested compound were added to 28 confluent cell mono layers dispensed into 96-well, flat-bottomed micro titer plates (Falcon, 29 NJ, USA) using a multichannel pipette. The micro-titer plates were incubated at 37 °C in a 30 humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ for a period of 48 h. Three wells were used for each 31 sample concentration. Control cells were incubated without tested sample and with or without 32 DMSO. The little percentage of DMSO present in the wells (maximal 0.1%) is not affecting 33 the experiment. After incubation of the cells for 24 h at 37 °C, various concentrations of

1 sample (1.50-70.04 µM) were added, and the incubation was continued for 48 h then the 2 viable cells yield was determined by a colorimetric method. After the end of the incubation 3 period, media were aspirated and the crystal violet solution (1%) was added to each well for at 4 least 30 minutes. The stain was removed and the plates were rinsed using tap water until all excess stain was removed. Glacial acetic acid (30%) was then added to all wells and mixed 5 6 thoroughly, and then the absorbance of the plates were measured after gently shaken on Micro 7 plate reader (TECAN, Inc.), using a wavelength of 490 nm. All results were corrected for 8 background absorbance detected in wells without stain. Treated samples were compared with 9 the cell control in absence of the tested compounds. All experiments were carried out in 10 triplicate and the average values were calculated. The cell cytotoxic effect of each tested 11 compound was calculated [32].

12 3.4 Antibacterial survey

3.4.1 **Reagents**: Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and Ampicillin antibiotic were obtained from
Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

15 3.4.2 Bacterial cultures: Multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains used in this study from National 16 Organization for Drug Control and Research (NODCAR), Cairo, Egypt. The different strains 17 are Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus, ATCC-29737), Staphylococcus epidermidis (S. 18 epidermidis, ATCC-12228), Streptococcus pneumoniae and (S. pneumoniae, ATCC-49619) 19 as representatives for the Gram-positive bacteria and Escherichia coli (E. coli, ATCC-10536), 20 Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa, ATCC-27853), Shigella flexneri (S. flexneri, 21 ATCC-12022), Klebsiella pneumonia (K. pneumonia, ATCC-13883) and Neisseria 22 meningitidis (N. meningitidis, ATCC-13090) as the most important Gram-negative pathogenic 23 bacteria. Stock cultures grown aerobically on nutrient broth (NB) agar slants (Hi-Media) at 37°C were maintained at 4°C. Pre-cultures containing 10⁵ CFU/ml, grown aerobically in 24 25 Mueller Hinton (MH) liquid medium (Hi-Media) at 37°C for 5 h, were used as inoculum for 26 all experiments.

3.4.3 Bactericidal assay (antibacterial susceptibility testing): Antibacterial susceptibility of the bacterial strains was carried out by agar well diffusion method [33] towards the most potent cytotoxic compounds (4a-c). As an indicator of antibacterial activity, the clear zone around the wells was measured as inhibition zones and the diameter of these zones of inhibition (ZOI, mm) were measured accurately using a transparent meter rule and recorded if the zone of inhibition was ≥ 10 mm [34]. Duplicates were maintained in each extract and the

1 average values were calculated for the eventual antibacterial activity. Ampicillin,

2 Antibacterial, was employed as standard drugs

3 Determination of MIC and MBC; As a parameter of the antibacterial efficacy, the minimal 4 inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of new compounds (4a-c) against Gram-positive and Gram-negative isolates were determined using 5 6 the macro-dilution broth susceptibility test. Freshly prepared MH broth was used as diluents 7 in the macro-dilution method. A serial dilution of each target compound was prepared within 8 a desired range (0.12 mM to 28.02 mM). One milliliter of the Stock cultures was then 9 inoculated and tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, control tubes without any addition 10 were assayed simultaneously. MIC was examined visually, by checking the turbidity of the 11 tubes. Furthermore the tubes having lesser concentration than MIC level were inoculated on 12 MHA plate for MBC determination.

13 **4. Results and Discussion**

14 4.1 Chemistry

15 4.1.1 Synthesis of rac-trans- $(H_2({}^iPr)_2)$ saldach $(Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2$ $(X = Cl, 4a; PF_6, 4b; BF_4, 4c)$

16 The synthesis of saldach-scaffold bearing bis-imidazolium terminal compartments 4a-c is 17 depicted in Scheme 2. The key starting materials salicylaldehyde-imidazolium salts 3a-c were 18 synthesized starting from 2-iso-propylphenol following modified literature procedures [35]. 19 Under extra dry conditions and using anhydrous magnesium dichloride as O-magnesiation 20 reagent, 2-iso-propylphenol was ortho-formylated by paraformaldehyde in the presence of 21 catalytic amounts of triethylamine. 3-iso-Propylsalicylaldehyde was then chloromethylated to 22 yield 5-chloromethyl-3-iso-propylsalicylaldehyde 2 in high purity (see Scheme 2A). 23 Compound 2 allowed the preparation of a common precursor, 3a, through the quarternization 24 reaction of 1,2-dimethylimidazole with 2 to afford the salicylaldehyde-imidazolium chloride 25 (3a). This salt was then readily metathesized into the corresponding hexafluorophosphate and 26 tetrafluoroborate salts (3b,c) via reaction with aqueous hexafluorophosphoric acid, HPF₆(aq), 27 and sodium tetrafluoroborate, NaBF₄, respectively. The ligands, rac-trans-28 $H_2(^1Pr)_2$ saldach(Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ (4a-c), were synthesized by Schiff-base condensation reaction 29 between compounds 3a-c and (\pm) -trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane (rac-trans-dach) in 30 methanolic solution (see Scheme 2B). The rac-trans-configuration of the N,N'-31 bis(salicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine (saldach) backbone was selected, because metal-32 ligand binding will force the ligand to get a flat chair (planar) conformation, similar to the

1,2-cyclohexanediamine moiety present in oxaliplatin, a very promising metal-based drug
[36]. Furthermore, the imine bond and salicyl effect lead to a very rigid configuration with
only possible rotation around the N-C axis of diaminocyclohexane group. The saldachbis(imidazolium) salts 4a-c were isolated in high yields and characterized by FTIR, UV/Vis,
¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ¹⁹F NMR, ³¹P NMR, ESI-MS, MALDI-TOF MS and conductivity
measurements.

7

(Scheme 2)

8 4.1.1 Synthesis of the metallosaldach-imidazolium salts $[M(III)Cl\{({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach(Me_{2}Im^{+}-$ 9 $X^{-})_{2}\}]$ (M = Mn, 5; Fe, 6), (X = Cl, a; PF₆, b; BF₄, c)

10 A two-step one-pot preparative route (see Scheme 3) was used to prepare the chlorido 11 Mn(III) complexes, $[Mn(III)Cl\{({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}\}]$ (X = Cl, PF₆, BF₄) (5a-c). This 12 synthetic route involve exchange of acetate ligands in Mn(OAc)₂·4H₂O by 13 (${}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}$ under anaerobic conditions (N₂-atmosphere). Then spontaneous 14 oxidation of Mn(II) center into Mn(III) species was allowed by molecular oxygen [37], 15 followed by coordination of a chloride ion from lithium chloride (LiCl).

16

(Scheme 3)

17 The Fe(III) complexes, $[Fe(III)Cl\{({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}\}]$ (X = Cl, PF₆, BF₄) (6a-c), 18 were prepared by refluxing a solution of the corresponding saldach ligands, 19 $(H_{2}({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2})$, with anhydrous Fe(III) chloride in methanol (UV-20 spectroscopy grade) under aerobic conditions (cf. Scheme 3).

Unfortunately all attempts to obtain X-ray diffraction quality single crystals of the Mn(III)- and Fe(III)-saldach-imidazolium chlorides ((**5,6**)a-c) were unsuccessful. Yet, the metal-ligand structures suggested in this work based upon elemental and spectral analysis (FTIR, UV-Vis, MALDI-TOF [³⁸] conductivity as well as magnetic measurements and match with the structures of reported metal-saldach analogues (Table S1, supplementary data).

26

4.2 Characterizations of the saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts and their complexes

27 4.2.1 Microanalytical data, conductivity and mass spectrometry

Saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts (4a-c) and their complexes were prepared in high yields,
gave satisfactory C, H, and N elemental analyses, which are consistent with the proposed
formula for the ligands and their chelate complexes (see the Experimental section).

31 Molar conductance values of all the saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts and their complexes in 32 EtOH (1×10^{-3} M) at 25 °C are in the region of 49.1-84.5 and 51.3-95.0 µS/cm, respectively, 33 in accordance with their ionic nature. The molar conductivities of the saldach-

1 bis(imidazolium) salts $H_2(^{i}Pr)_2$ saldach(Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ decrease in the following order: 4c (X = 2 BF_4 > 4a (X = Cl) > 4b (X = PF_6). The HR-ESI⁺ mass spectra of 4a and 4b show dominant signals for the doubly-charged 3 cation $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2]^{2+}$ and species assuming both imidazolium groups have been lost, 4 $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$ and $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2Im]^{2+}$. 5 The MALDI-TOF spectra of 4b and 4c display signals corresponding to the cation-(DIT-6 H⁺)-matrix adduct $[M + DIT - H^+ - 2 X_6^-]^+$, the cation with one anion $[M - X^-]^+$, the cation 7 where both imidazolium groups have been lost (with and without DIT) $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 + DIT -$ 8 $Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2ImI^+$ and $[C_{38}H_{52}N_6O_2 - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2ImI^+$. The protonated DIT+H⁺ 9 10 matrix signal is usually the base peak. The DIT-matrix adduct ion is formed as a result of the 11 intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the phenolic oxygen and π - π stacking of the aromatic 12 rings [39]. 13 In the metal complexes 5,6a-c the metal-containing ions consist of metal-ligand species where both imidazolium groups have been lost, $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2M + DIT - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$ 14 15 and $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2MCl - 2 Me_2Im]^+$. Either the chlorido ligand is retained or replaced by a DIT ligand. Additionally, a signal for a metal-bis(DIT) complex, $[M(DIT)_2 - H^+]^+$, is also 16

observed. In the iron complexes 6a, b also a signal for $[C_{38}H_{50}N_6O_2FeCl + DIT - Me_2ImH^+ - Me_2Im]^+$ was detected. The protonated DIT+H⁺ matrix signal is also the base peak in the MALDI-TOF-MS of the metal complexes.

20

21 4.2.2 IR spectroscopic data

22 The most informative evidence confirming the anchoring of central saldach backbone to 23 the terminal imidazolium groups was obtained by FT-IR spectra. All saldachbis(imidazolium) salts show a broad band at the range of 3436-3441 cm⁻¹ attributed to the 24 25 stretching vibration of the intramolecular hydrogen bonded phenolic OH group. All saldach-26 bis(imidazolium) salts contain two O-H···N intramolecular hydrogen bonds within the 2-27 hydroxybenzylidene-imine moieties (Scheme 4) which is further confirmed by the 28 displacement of the azomethene (-HC=N-) stretching band of 4a-c to lower wavenumber, ca 1631 cm⁻¹, compared with that of the free azomethene moiety [40,41]. Moreover, an 29 30 additional band around 1271 was observed for the samples, which was assigned to the stretching vibration of Ar-O. The vibrational bands at ~865 and ~770 cm^{-1} are due to the in-31 32 plane and out-of plane flexible vibrations of the imidazolium ring.

33

(Scheme 4)

1 The presence of the saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts as well as its binding mode within the 2 complexes was assigned on the basis of vibrational spectroscopy. The general displacement of 3 the $v_{(C=N)}$ bands to lower frequencies compared to that of the free ligands (Figure 1) (see Table 4 S2, supplementary data) confirms the coordination of the azomethene nitrogen atom to the 5 metal center [42].

6

(Figure 1)

The appearance of a new band in the spectra of complexes around 570 cm⁻¹, assigned to $v_{M(III)-N}$ vibrations (M= Mn, Fe) [43], is further support for this coordination. Strong bands attributable to phenolic oxygen $v_{(Ar-O)}$ undergo positive frequency shift, which is evidence for the ligation of the phenolate oxygen to metal ion, this is further confirmed by the appearance of a new band at 467-474 cm⁻¹ which is assigned to the v_{M-O} vibration. The presence of $v_{(O-H)}$ bands around 3430 cm⁻¹ confirms the hydrate nature suggested by the analytical data for new complexes.

The IR spectral studies reveal that, the imine nitrogen atoms and phenolate oxygen atomscoordinate to a metal atom.

16 4.2.3 NMR studies and tautomerism scenario

17 We could identify the possible tautomeric equilibria with their relative tautomer 18 population, in the saldach-bis-imdazolium salts 4a-c by comparing with the NMR studies of 19 the related salen and salophen Schiff base-based ligands (Scheme S1, supplementary data) 20 isolated in the former work [44,45] and salophen ligands [46]. These studies reveal that the 21 central salen/ salophen/ saldach backbone is in the expected O-protonated (enol-imine) 22 tautomeric form (cf. Scheme S1, supplementary data). However, at first glance in the ${}^{1}H$ 23 NMR spectrum of the ligand 4a (Figure 2) appear to be quite complicated. It exhibits two 24 groups of signals due to a pair of tautomers with different populations, the neutral bis-25 (enolimine) with some contribution of the ionic phenolate-iminium resonance structure (cf. 26 Scheme 4). Two singlets at 13.98 ppm, 2H, of phenolic O-H typical for bis-(enolimine) 27 tautomer and at 13.56 ppm, 1H, for O-H of enolimine/ phenolate-iminium tautomer. The NH 28 resonance (8.55 ppm) is a singlet which is consistent with the structure of the bis-(enolimine) 29 tautomer and a singlet/doublet set (8.62/ 8.43 ppm), this is agrees with enolimine/ phenolate-30 iminium tautomer. Thus, ¹H NMR spectrum demonstrates that, in 4a the central saldach 31 backbone is in the enolimine form with some contribution of the enolimine/ phenolate-32 iminium form in the solution (cf. Scheme 4).

1 Contrary, examination of the ¹H NMR spectra of $H_2(^{i}Pr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ (4b,c) 2 (see supplementary data) leads us to highlight the following aspects: (i) The singlets 3 corresponding to the phenol OH and azomethine (H-C=N) protons are observed at 13.98 ppm 4 (2H) and round 8.54 (2H), respectively. (ii) The central saldach backbone is in the Oprotonated tautomeric form not in the N-protonated tautomeric form and the downfield shift 5 6 of phenolic proton signal in all ligands as a result of the intramolecular H-bond to the imine 7 nitrogen (cf Scheme 4). (iii) The phenyl, imidazolium, methylene, cyclohexyl, methyl and 8 isopropyl protons are slight affected by anion metathesis, depending on the different 9 arrangement adopted by the protons.

10 Further evidence for the O-protonated tautomer in both compartments is provided by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (see supplementary data). Claramunt et al. remarked that the ¹³C 11 12 resonance varies from ~ 160 ppm for an enol-imine to ~ 180 ppm for a pure keto-enamine tautomer [47], making the ¹³C resonance a powerful parameter to discern the relative 13 tautomeric population. The ¹³C NMR spectra of $H_2({}^{i}Pr)_2$ saldach $(1,2-Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2$ exhibits 14 15 resonance at ca 160.0 ppm typical for enol-imines tautomer (160.9 ppm) [48]. Furthermore, 16 signal around 166 ppm is observed, which can be assigned to the carbons of the aldimine (H-17 C=N) moieties [49]. Thus, NMR studies of 4b,c reveal that, the acidic protons of the central 18 saldach backbone are bond to O and not bond to N.

¹⁹ ¹H-¹³C COSY NMR (HMQC) experiments (Figure S4, S8, supplementary data) prove ²⁰ correlations between with the "imine" proton (<u>H</u>-C=N-Cyhex), which appears as a singlet ²¹ (8.53 ppm for X = PF₆; 8.54 ppm for X = PF₆), and aldimine carbon (H-<u>C</u>=N-Cyhex), which ²² appears at (165.96 ppm for X = PF₆; 166.00 ppm for X = PF₆). This correlation pattern has ²³ already been observed for the *O*-protonated ligands $H_2({}^{i}Pr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ and was ²⁴ proposed for the pure *O*-protonated tautomer. These results demonstrate that the acidic ²⁵ protons are bond to oxygen only in solution.

26

4.2.4 Electronic Absorption Spectroscopy and magnetic susceptibility

In H₂(^{*i*}Pr)₂saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ (4a-c) the absorption centered at *ca* 222 and 259 nm originate from the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions associated with the phenolic chromophor [50], while the peak at 325 nm can be assigned to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition involving the imine group [50], (see Figure S16 in supplementary data).

By going from the free saldach-bis-imdazolium salts to their mononuclear complexes $[M(III)Cl{(i^Pr)_2saldach(Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2}]$ ((5,6)a-c), the UV/Vis spectra give further evidence for complexation where the characteristic bands of the neat saldach-bis-imdazolium salts are red-shifted (Table S3, Figure S7-S10, supplementary data). The most important feature in the

1 near-UV region of the M(III)-saldach-imidazolium salts is the shift of the imine $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ 2 transition band from 324 nm ($\varepsilon \ge 10^3 = 0.48 - 1.10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) to higher wavelengths over 400 nm (ε 3 $\ge 10^3 = 0.46 - 0.77 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) which indicates the coordination of metal ion with ligands [51]. In 4 addition, the low intensity broad absorption round 500 nm ($\varepsilon \ge 10^3 = 0.09 - 0.84 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) which 5 can be assigned to the three allowed d–d transitions expected for complexes with a square 6 pyramidal geometry, ($d_{xz} \rightarrow d_{x2-y2}$), ($d_{xy} \rightarrow d_{x2-y2}$, d_{yz}) and ($d_{z2} \rightarrow d_{x2-y2}$) [52]. 7 The magnetic moment values for the complexes (4.85-4.96 μ_B for Mn(III) saldach and

7 The magnetic moment values for the complexes (4.85-4.96 μ_B for Mn(III) saldach and 8 5.63-5.86 μ_B Fe(III) saldach) are also supportive of square pyramidal geometry with high spin 9 metal centers [53].

10 4.3 Pharmacology

11 Many clinical trials of new active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) end in failure due to 12 the low efficacy of the drug due to a limited bioavailability or solubility. With respect 13 amphiphilicity, lipophilicity and solubility of imidazolium units, the anchoring of 14 imidazolium compartments to the $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach provides a synergetic effect: it increases 15 water solubility and at the same time enhances the pharmacological effect. Also the 16 symmetric compounds, with two imidazolium units, are easier to synthesize than the 17 unsymmetric ones, with one imidazolium unit

18 4.3.1 In vitro cytotoxicity

The *in vitro* cytotoxicity of the saldach-bis(imdazolium) salts, H₂(^{*i*}Pr)₂saldach(1,2-19 Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂, 4a-c as well as their metal complexes was evaluated in relation to the 20 21 anticancer drug doxorubicin (C₂₇H₂₉NO₁₁, 543.52 g/mol) against human breast carcinoma 22 (MCF-7) and human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cell lines (Tables S5, S6 23 supplementary data). IC₅₀ values of the target compounds are in the range of 18.1->50.9 μ g 24 (Figure 3, Table 1). The cell viability assays revealed that, all compounds had inhibitory effects on 25 the growth of MCF-7 cell line more effectively than HepG2 cell line. Also H₂(ⁱPr)₂saldach-26 $(Me_{Jm}^{+})_{2}X^{-}$ (4a-c) are more effective in inducing cell death (cytotoxic) than their M(III)-27 complexes $[M(III)Cl{(iPr)_2saldach(Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2}]$ ((5,6)a-c).

28 29

(Figure 3)

(Table 1)

30 Noteworthy, the saldach-bis-imidazolium chloride, hexafluorophosphate and 31 tetrafluoroborate (4a-c) showed different levels of cytotoxicity against MCF-7 cells where the 32 tetrafluoroborate salt (4c) (IC₅₀ = 22.17 μ M) is the most effective in inducing cell death and 33 the chloride derivative (4a) (IC₅₀ = 33.34 μ M) affect MCF-7 cell viability more than the

hexafluorophosphate one (4b) (IC₅₀ = 40.41 μ M). Lipophilicity and/or vulnerability to 1 2 hydrolytic cleavage seem to be the key structural features leading to the observed anion-3 dependent cytotoxicity. Interestingly, the antitumor activity of imidazolium salts may be due to their amphiphilic structure, in which the hydrophilic cationic segments, 1,2-4 dimethylimidazolium, could have strong electrostatic interactions with the phosphate groups 5 of DNA and hydrogen-bonding association between the anions, BF₄ and PF₆, with the DNA 6 7 bases [⁵⁴]. Tetrafluoroborate salt, 4c, is more cytotoxic than hexafluorophosphate analog, 4b, 8 because of [BF₄]⁻ anions have higher tendency to establish, on average, more hydrogen bonds 9 with the DNA bases than the $[PF_6]^-$ anion.

Notably, Fe(III)-saldach-bis-imidazolium (6a-c) complexes appeared to be slightly more 10 11 cytotoxic than Mn(III) analogs (5a-c). The IC_{50} values for the parent ligands and their metal 12 complexes suggest that, chelation of the saldach-bis-imdazolium salts to the Mn(III)/Fe(III) 13 ions significantly decreased their cytotoxicity. This is probably because the hydrogen bonding 14 interactions between two phenolic hydroxyl groups of the free ligands and the functional 15 groups positioned to the edges of DNA bases are no longer possible [55]. Notably, a 16 hydrophobic isopropyl substituents at the ortho-position of the phenolic moieties increase the 17 lipophilicity of the molecule and affect the propensity of a ligand to bind to DNA, contributed to 18 the enhanced cytotoxicity [56].

19 The lower cytotoxic activities of these metal complexes compared to the parent ligands may be 20 assigned to either difference in their mechanism of action, electronic effects and/or the 21 complex geometry. Where coordination metal ion to the ligand compel the cyclohexane ring to lie 22 perpendicular to the plan of complex [57] and may hinder or even prevent thier intercalation 23 with DNA nucleobases (Scheme 5). Consequently, Fe(III) and Mn(III) saldach complexes 24 may induce apoptosis via the mitochondrial pathway [58]. Furthermore, Fe(III) complexes are 25 more cytotoxic than Mn(III) complexes due to the ability of iron chelates to generate reactive 26 oxygen species (ROS) [59] that damage-induced signaling cascades in the tumor cells.

27

(Scheme 5)

28 4.3.2 Antibacterial Screening

The saldach-bis-imidazolium compounds 4a-c and standards drugs Gentamycin ($C_{21}H_{43}N_5O_7$, 477.596 g/mol), Tetracycline ($C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_8$, 444.435 g/mol), Amoxicillin ($C_{16}H_{19}N_3O_5S$, 365.400 g/mol) were *in vitro* assessed separately for their capacity to inhibit the growth of the pathogenic bacterial strains by observing the inhibitory zone. From the bactericidal activity data, ZOIs (Figure 4), Table S6 (see supplementary data) it has been observed that, the selected compounds showed good to moderate activity against bacteria as

1 compared to known standard drugs. All compounds inhibited the growth of Gram-positive 2 strains slightly more effective than Gram-negative strains. This could be ascribed to their cell 3 walls structural differences, where the outer walls of Gram-negative species are more 4 of complex than those Gram-positive organisms, so all tested 5 compounds diffuse easily through the loose outer wall of Gram-positive bacteria. The antibacterial 6 activities of the target compounds decrease in the following order: chloride salt of saldach-7 bis(imidazolium) chloride (4a) > hexafluorophosphate (4c) > tetrafluoroborate (4b). The 8 imidazolium units with chloride anions demonstrate weak antibacterial activity as revealed by 9 higher MIC/ MBC values. Exchange of the halide by other anions resulted in an increase of bactericidal and bacteriostatic activities of imidazolium-supported saldach salts. For example, 10 the counterion-dependent antibacterial activity of $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach $(1,2-Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2$ against S. 11 12 aureus and E. coli follows the trend below:

13 14 X (MIC/ MBC mM)_{S. aureus}: BF₄ (1.33/4.99) > PF₆ (1.37/10.11) > Cl (14.30/14.47) X (MIC/ MBC mM)_{E. coli}: BF₄ (1.35/5.05) > PF₆ (1.39/2.58) > Cl (3.50/3.46)

Similar results were obtained by Pernak *et al.* for imidazolium ionic liquids with an appended alkoxy functional group and anions ([Cl], $[BF_4]$ and $[PF_6]$) [60].

Also 4a showed greater antibacterial effect against different bacterial types while 4b and
4c exhibited high resistance against *S. pneumoniea* (ATCC 49619) and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC
27853), respectively.

20

(Figure 4)

21 To investigate growth-inhibitory effects of 4a-c against S. aureus and E. coli, the minimum 22 inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) were 23 determined by broth dilution method, from the percentages of inhibition at five different 24 concentration levels, 0.12 mM-28.02 mM, and the obtained results are recorded in Table 2. 25 The bacterial growth inhibition is susceptible to the concentration of the compound and the 26 activity is greatly enhanced at the higher concentration. The observed MIC and MBC values 27 for 4a, 4b and 4c against S. aureus and E. coli revealed that, although 4a has the greatest 28 antibacterial effect, ZOIs, compared to 4b and 4c it needs a high concentration to become 29 bactericidal and can be classified as a new good candidate in the fight against resistant 30 antibiotic bacteria.

The nature of the cell wall, geometry of molecule, positive charge density, hydrophilicity, lipophilicity, pharmacokinetic factors, etc. play decisive roles in determining an antibacterial activity of a Schiff-base and its metal complexes [61]. These factors may lead to enhanced antibacterial activity in two different ways: (i) by interactions of the cationic imidazolium

1 group with the negatively charged microbial cell wall to enhance the bactericidal activity [61],

2 (ii) by the ability of the hydrophobic isopropyl substituents to help to penetrate the lipophilic

(Table 2)

- 3 cell walls and enhance the bactericidal activity [62].
- 4 5

6

5. Conclusion

7 In conclusion, a series of water-soluble bis-imidazolium salts, $H_2({}^{l}Pr)_2$ saldach(1,2-8 $Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2$, and their Mn(III)/ Fe(III) complexes have been prepared. The isolated 9 compounds have been structurally and biologically characterized. NMR and IR spectroscopies 10 affords signatures of the central saldach in the saldach-bis-imidazolium ligands 4. Ligand 4a, 11 is in the O-protonated tautomeric form with strong contributions of the ionic, phenolate-12 iminium tautomer in contrast to the literature on salen and saldach ligands. The aim of this 13 protocol to develop new promising candidates for antibacterial and anticancer chemotherapy. 14 Structure-activity relationships for new compounds against human breast carcinoma (MCF-7) 15 and human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cell lines revealed a correlation between the 16 hydrophilicity of target compound as tuned by the substituents on the phenolate ligand, and its 17 cytotoxicity and antibacterial activity. The parent ligands (4a-c) act as better anticancer and bactericidal agents rather than their complexes ((5,6)a-c). 4c (IC₅₀ = 19.40 μ M) exhibited 18 19 remarkable results against breast cancer. Also antimicrobial screening showed significant and 20 broad spectrum potency against pathogenic bacterial strains as compared to control drugs. 21 Hence, more distant chemical refinements of the target compounds may serve as a platform 22 towards discovery of exceptionally active antibacterial drug. Noably, exchange of the phenol 23 in the free ligand, by the metal-phenolate bond in the complexes led to an unexpected 24 dramatic decrease in cytotoxic properties. This effect might be a consequence of a reduced 25 degree of the interaction with the specific DNA nucleobase targets though H-bonding.

26

27 Acknowledgment.

28 This work was in part supported by DFG grant Ja466/24-1 (initiation of bilateral cooperation
29 RFME-CJ) and DAAD.

30

31 Appendix A. Supplementary data

32 Supplementary data (experimental, spectral and biological data) associated with this article 33 can be found, in the online version, at doi:

1 **References**

- A. Tanitame, Y. Oyamada, K. Ofuji, M. Fujimoto, K. Suzuki, T. Ueda, H. Terauchi, M. Kawasaki, K. Nagai, M. Wachi, J. Yamagishi, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 12 (2004) 5515-5524.
- [2] D.T. Chu, J.J. Plattner, L. Katz, J. Med. Chem. 39 (1996) 3853-3874.
- [3] L. Ballell, R.A. Field, K. Duncan, R.J. Young, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49 (2005) 2153-2163.
- [4] J.F. Barrett, J.A. Hoch, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42 (1998) 1529-1536.
- [5] T. Miyamoto, J. Matsumoto, K. Chiba, H. Egawa, K. Shibamori, A. Minamida, Y. Nishimura, H. Okada, M. Kataoka, M. Fujita, T. Hirose, J. Nakano, J. Med. Chem. 33 (1990) 1645-1656.
- [6] N. Nakada, H. Shimada, T. Hirata, Y. Aoki, T. Kamiyama, J. Watanabe, M. Arisawa, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 37 (1993) 2656-2661.
- [7] (a) K.R. Barnes, S.J. Lippard, Met. Ions Biol. Syst. 42 (2004) 143-177; (b) L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, P.Y. Huang, F. Xu, P.J. Peng, Z.Z. Guan, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 61 (2008) 33-38; (c) L.J.K. Boerner, J.M. Zaleski, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 9 (2005)135-144. (d) K. I. Ansari, B.P. Mishra, S. S. Mandal, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1779 (2008) 66-73; (e) B. Stordal, N. Pavlakis, R. Davey, Cancer Treat. Rev. 33 (2007) 347-357.
- [8] J. L. Czlapinski, T. L. Sheppard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (2001) 8618-8619.
- [9] I. Ott, R. Gust, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 340 (2007) 117-126.
- [10] (a) G. Sava, S. Zorzet, C. Turrin, E. Vita, M. Soranzo, G. Zabucchi, M. Cocchietto, A. Bergamo, S. DiGiovine, G. Pezzoni, L. Sartor, S. Garbisa, Clin. Cancer Res. 9 (2003) 1898-1905; (b) P. Köpf-Maier, Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 47 (1994) 1-16; (c) P. Köpf-Maier, Anticancer Res. 19 (1999) 493-504; (d) C.G. Hartinger, M.A. Jakupec, S. Zorbas-Seifried, M. Groessl, A. Egger, W. Berger, H. Zorbas, P.J. Dyson, B.K. Keppler, Chem. Biodivers. 5 (2008) 2140-2155; (e) R. Gust, I. Ott, D. Posselt, K. Sommer, J. Med. Chem. 47 (2004) 5837-5846; (f) B. K. Keppler, M.R. Berger, M.E. Heim, Cancer Treat. Rev. 17 (1990) 261-277.
- [11] (a) S. Bhattacharya, S.S. Mandal, Chem. Commun. (1996) 1515-1516; (b) C.J. Burrows, R.P. Hickerson, J.G. Muller, B. Felden, S.E. Rokita, Biophysical J. 76 (1999) A5-a5; (c) J.G. Muller, S.J. Paikoff, S.E. Rokita, C.J. Burrows, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 54 (1994) 199-206; (d) S. Routier, J.L. Bernier, M.J. Waring, P. Colson, C. Houssier, C. Bailly, J. Org. Chem. 61 (1996) 2326-2331; (e) D.J. Gravert, J.H. Griffin, J. Org. Chem. 58 (1993)

820-822; (f) H.Y. Shrivastava, S.N. Devaraj, B.U. Nair, J. Inorg. Biochem. 98 (2004) 387-392.

- [12] S.E. Rokita, C.J. Burrows, Salen-metal Complexes, Small Molecule DNA and RNA Binders, vol. 1 (2003) Wiley-VCH.
- [13] J.G. Muller, L.A. Kayser, S.J. Paikoff, V. Duarte, N. Tang, R.J. Perez, S.E. Rokita, C.J. Burrows, Coord. Chem. Rev. 185-186 (1999) 761-774.
- [14] (a) M. Irisawa, N. Takeda, M. Komiyama, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1995) 1221-1222; (b) M. Komiyama, N. Takeda, H. Shigekawa, Chem. Commun. (1999) 1443-1452; (c) D. Prema, A.V. Wiznycia, B.M.T. Scott, J. Hilborn, J. Desper, C.J. Levy, Dalton Trans. 42 (2007) 4788-4496; (d) A.V. Wiznycia, J. Desper, C.J. Levy, Chem. Commun. 37 (2005) 4693-4695.
- [15] G.A. Woldemariam, S.S. Mandal, J. Inorg. Biochem. 102 (2008) 740-747.
- [16] K.I. Ansari, J.D. Grant, G. Woldemariam, S. Kasiri, S.S. Mandal, Org. Biomol. Chem. 7 (2009) 926-932.
- [17] S.R. Doctrow, K. Huffman, C.B. Marcus, G. Tocco, E. Malfroy, C.A. Adinolfi, H. Kruk, K. Baker, N. Lazarowych, J. Mascarenhas, B. Malfroy, J. Med. Chem. 45 (2002) 4549-4558.
- [18] Y. Rong, S.R. Doctrow, G. Tocco, M. Baudry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96 (1999) 9897-9902.
- [19] K. I. Ansari, J. D. Grant, S. Kasiri, G. Woldemariam, B. Shrestha, S. S. Mandal, J. Inorg. Biochem. 103 (2009) 818-826.
- [20] W.L. Hough, M. Smiglak, H. Rodriguez, R.P. Swatloski, S.K. Spear, D.T. Daly, J. Pernak, J.E. Grisel, R.D. Carliss, M.D. Soutullo, New J. Chem. 31 (2007) 1429-1436.
- [21] K.M. Hindi, M.J. Panzner, C.A. Tessier, C.L. Cannon, W.J. Youngs, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 3859-3884.
- [22] (a) J. Pernak, I. Goc, I. Mirska, Green Chem. 6 (2004) 323-329; (b) D. Demberelnyamba,
 K.-S. Kim, S. Choi, S.Y. Park, H. Lee, C.J. Kim, I.D. Yoo, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 12 (2004) 853-857; (c) J. Pernak, K. Sobaszkiewicz, I. Mirska, Green Chem. 5 (2003) 52-56; (d) J. Pernak, K. Sobaszkiewicz, J. Foksowicz-Flaczyk, Chem. Eur. J. 10 (2004) 3479-3485.
- [23] F. Stock, J. Hoffman, J. Ranke, B. Ondruschka, B. Jastorff, Green Chem. 6 (2004) 286-290.
- [24] A.C. Skladanowski, P. Stepnowski, K. Kleszczynski, B. Dmochowska, Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 19 (2005) 291-296.

- [25] F. Hosseinzadeh, M. Mahkam, M. Galehassadi, Des. Monomers Polym. 15 (2012) 379-388.
- [26] (a) S. Budagumpi, R.A. Haque, S. Endud, G. Ur Rehman, A.W. Salman, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2013) 4367-4388; (b) A. Gautier, F. Cisnetti Metallomics 4 (2012) 23-32; (c) S.V. Malhotra, V. Kumar, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 20 (2010) 581-585.
- [27] (a) K. Fujita, D.R. MacFarlane, M. Forsyth, Chem. Commun. (2005) 4804-4806; (b) J.
 Von Hagen, U. Michelsen, U.S. Patent US7470778B2 (2008).
- [28] L. Carson, P.K.W. Chau, M.J. Earle, M.A. Gilea, B.F. Gilmore, S.P. Gorman, M.T. McCann, K.R. Seddon, Green Chem. 11 (2009) 492-497.
- [29] (a) J. Pernak, K. Sobaszkiewicz, I. Mirska, Green Chem. 5 (2003) 52-56; (b) J. Pernak, I. Goc, I. Mirska, Green Chem. 6 (2004) 323-329; (c) K.M. Docherty, C.F. Kulpa, Green Chem. 7 (2005) 185-189; (d) M.T. Garcia, N. Gathergood, P.J. Scammells, Green Chem. 7 (2005) 9-14; (e) P.J. Scammels, J.L. Scott, R.D. Singer, Aust. J. Chem. 58 (2005) 155-169; (f) N. Gathergood, P.J. Scammels, Aust. J. Chem. 55 (2002) 557-560; (g) J. Łuczak, C. Jungnickel, I. Łacka, S. Stolte, J. Hupka, Green Chem. 12 (2010) 593-601.
- [30] (a) S. Budagumpi, R. A. Haque, A.W. Salman, M.Z. Ghdhayeb, Inorg. Chim. Acta 392 (2012) 61–72; (b) A.W. Salman, R.A. Haque, S. Budagumpi, H.Z. Zulikha, Polyhedron 49 (2013) 200–206.
- [31] (a) R.F.M Elshaarawy, Synthesis and reactions of some pyridoyl derivatives with anticipated biological activities, M.Sc. thesis, Suez Canal university, Egypt, (2001); (b)
 B. Wisser, C. Janiak, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633 (2007) 1796-1800; (c) R.F.M Elshaarawy, H. K. Ibrahim, E. Eltamany, I. Mohy-Eldeen, Maced. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. 27(1) (2008) 65-79; (d) A.-C. Chamayou, M. A. Neelakantan, S. Thalamuthu, C. Janiak, Inorg. Chim. Acta 365 (2011) 447-450.
- [32] (a) Mosmann, T., 1983 J. Immunol. Methods, 65: 55-63; (b) Vijayan, P., C. Raghu, G. Ashok, S.A. Dhanaraj, Indian J. Med. Res. 120 (2004) 24-29.
- [33] C. Perez, P.M. Bazerque, Acta Biol. Med. Exp. 15 (1990) 113-115.
- [34] A. J. Vlietink, L. Van Hoof, J. Totte, H. Laure, D. V. Berhe, P. C. Rwangabo, J. Mrukiyunmwami, J. Ethnopharm. 46 (1995) 31-47.
- [35] R.F.M. Elshaarawy, C. Janiak, Eur. J. Med. Chem. (2013), in press.
- [36] A. Hille, I. Ott, A. Kitanovic, I. Kitanovic, H. Alborzinia, E. Lederer, S. Wölfl, N. Metzler-Nolte, S. Schäfer, W.S. Sheldrick, C. Bischof, U. Schatzschneider, R. Gust J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 14 (2009) 711-725.

- [37] M.J. Sabater, A. Corma, J.V. Folgado, H. Garcia, J. Phys. Org. Chem. 13 (2000) 57-62.
- [38] C. Núňez, R. Bastida, A. Macías, L. Valencia, J. Ribas, J.L. Capelo, C. Lodeiro, Dalton Trans. 39 (2010) 7673-7683.
- [39] M.M. Stone, A.H. Franz, C.B. Lebrilla, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 13 (2002) 964-974.
- [40] M. Azam, Z. Hussain, I. Warad, S.I. Al-Resayes, M.S. Khan, M. Shakir, A. Trzesowska-Kruszynskae, R. Kruszynski Dalton Trans. 41 (2012) 10854-10864.
- [41] M.R. Mauryaa, A.K. Chandrakar, S. Chand, J. Mol. Cat. A 270 (2007) 225-235.
- [42] (a) Webster F.X., Silverstein R.M., "Spectrophotometer Identification of Organic Compounds", 6th Ed., John Wiley, New York, 87 (1992); (b) O. Pouralimardan, A.-C. Chamayou, C. Janiak, H.H.-Monfared, Inorg. Chimica Acta 360 (2007) 1599-1608; (c) R. I. Kureshy, K. J. Prathap, T. Roy, N.C. Maity, N.H. Khan, S.H.R. Abdi, H.C. Bajaj, Adv. Synth. Catal. 352 (2010) 3053-3060; (d) T. Chang, L.Jin, H. Jing, ChemCatChem 1 (2009) 379-383.
- [43] (a) K. Nakomoto, "Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds", 3rd Ed., Wiley Interscience, New York (1978); (b) R.F.M. Elshaarawy, Y. Lan, C. Janiak Inorg. Chim. Acta 401 (2013) 85-94; (c) G. Karimipour, M. Montazerozohori, N.H. Naeini, Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. 30 (2011) 13-18; (d) X. Guojin, W. Saili, F. Yingguo, Z. Libo, T. Yuhai, Z. Yuansuo, Chin. J. Catal. 33 (2012) 473-477.
- [44] (a) K. Kurzak, I. Kuzniarska-Biernacka, B. Zurowska, J. Solution Chem. 28 (1999) 133-151; (b) O.T. Benjelloun, M. Akkurt, S.Ö. Yıldırım, M. Daoudi, N. Ben Larbi, A. Kerbal, B. Bennani, O. Büyükgüngör, A.F. Jalbout, T. Ben Hadda, ARKIVOC xi (2008) 56-63; (c) B.M. Drašković, G.A. Bogdanović, M.A. Neelakantan, A.-C. Chamayou, S. Thalamuthu, Y.S. Avadhut, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, S. Banerjee, C. Janiak, Cryst. Growth Des. 10 (2010) 1665-1676; (d) A. Blagu, D. Cinčić, T. Friščić, B. Kaitner, V. Stilinović, Maced. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. 29 (2010) 117-138.
- [45] (a) Ě. Tozzo, S. Romera, M.P. dos Santos, M. Muraro, R.H. de A. Santos, L.M. Lião, L. Vizotto, E.R. Dockal, J. Mol. Struct. 876 (2008) 110-120; (b) P.J.K. Inba, B. Annaraj, S. Thalamuthu, M.A. Neelakantan Spectrochim. Acta A 104 (2013) 300-309.
- [46] (a) N. B. Pahor, M. Calligaris, P. Delise, G. Dodic, G. Nardin, L. Randaccio, Dalton Trans. (1976) 2478-2483; (b) K. Lippe, D. Gerlach, E. Kroke, J.r. Wagler, Organometallics 28 (2008) 621-629; (c) A. Dalla Cort, F. Gasparrini, L. Lunazzi, L. Mandolini, A. Mazzanti, C. Pasquini, M. Pierini, R. Rompietti, L. Schiaffino, J. Org.

Chem. 70 (2005) 8877-8883; (d) C.-G.F. von Richthofen, A. Stammler, H. Bögge, T. Glaser, J. Org. Chem. 77 (2012) 1435-1448.

- [47] R.M. Claramunt, C. López, M.D. Santa María, D. Sanz, J. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 49 (2006) 169-206.
- [48] S.H. Alarcon, A.C. Olivieri, M. Gonzalez-Sierra, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. (1994) 1067-1070.
- [49] (a) A. Dalla Cort, F. Gasparrini, L. Lunazzi, L. Mandolini, A. Mazzanti, C. Pasquini, M. Pierini, R. Rompietti, L. Schiaffino, J. Org. Chem. 70 (2005) 8877-8883; (b) D. M. Boghaei, S. Mohebi, J. Chem. Res., Synop. (2002) 72-75.
- [50] (a) S. M. Crawford, Spectrochim. Acta 19 (1963) 255-270; (b) B. Bosnich, J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 90 (1968) 627-632; (c) S. Di Bella, I. Fragala, I. Ledoux, M. A. Diaz-Garcia, T. J.
 Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 9550-9557.
- [51] L.J. Boucher, M.O. Farrell, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35 (1973) 3731-3738.
- [52] B.P. Gaber, V. Miskowski, T.G. Spiro, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96 (1974) 6868-6873.
- [53] O. Kahn, Molecular Magnetism; VCH, New York, (1993).
- [54] L. Cardoso, N.M. Micaelo, ChemPhysChem 12 (2011) 275-277.
- [55] S. Tabassum, M. Zaki, M. Afzal, F. Arjmand, Dalton Trans. 42 (2013) 10029–10041.
- [56] N. Berthet, V. Martel-Frachet, F. Michel, C. Philouze, S. Hamman, X. Ronotb, F. Thomas, Dalton Trans 42 (2013) 8468–8483
- [57] A. Hille, R. Gust, Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 342 (2009) 625 631.
- [58] (a) G. A. Woldemariam, S. S. Mandal, J. Inorg. Biochem. 102 (2008) 740–747; (b) K. I. Ansari, J. D. Grant, G. A. Woldemariam, S. Kasiri, S. S. Mandal, Org. Biomol. Chem. 7 (2009) 926–932; (c) K. I. Ansari, S. Kasiri, J. D. Grant, S. S. Mandal, Dalton Trans. (2009) 8525–8532; (d) K. I. Ansari, J. D. Grant, S. Kasiri, G. A. Woldemariam, B. Shrestha, S. S. Mandal, J. Inorg. Biochem. 103 (2009) 818–826.
- [59] A. Hille, I. Ott, A. Kitanovic, I. Kitanovic, H. Alborzinia, E. Lederer, S. W_lfl, N. Metzler-Nolte, S. Sch_fer, W. Sheldrick, C. Bischof, U. Schatzschneider, R. Gust, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 14 (2009) 711–725.
- [60] J. Pernak, K. Sobaszkiewicz, I. Mirska, Green Chem. 5 (2003) 52-56.
- [61] (a) M. Kong, X.G. Chen, K. Xing, H.J. Park, Int. J. Food Microbiol. (2010) 51-63. (b)
 V.P. Daniel, B. Murukan, B.S. Kumari, K. Mohanan, Spectrochim Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 70 (2008) 403-410.
- [62] E.I. Rabea, M.E.I Badawy, T.M. Rogge, C.V. Stevens, M. Höfte, W. Steurbaut, G. Smagghe, Pest. Manag. Sci. 61 (2005) 951-960.

Tables Captions

Table 1 IC_{50} values of saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts (4a-c) and their M(III)-complexes (5,6a-c)derivatives towards MCF-7 and HepG-2 cell lines

 Table 2 MIC (mg/mL) and MBC (mg/mL) assay results for the saldach-bis-(imdidazolium) salts (4a-c) and standard drugs

 Iter Table 1 IC₅₀ values of saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts (4a-c) and their M(III)-complexes (5,6a-c) derivatives towards MCF-7 and HepG-2 cell lines

 Ice Compound
 IC₅₀ (μ M) for the tested compounds

 MCF-7
 HerCont

CompoundMCF-7HepG-24a 33.34 ± 2.41 >505a>50>506a46.30 ± 3.15 >504b40.41 ± 2.89 >505b48.96 ± 3.54 >506b45.86 ± 3.11 >504c22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>502.21 ± 1.17	$\frac{\text{COMPC}}{\text{MCF-7}}$ 33.34 ± 2.41 >50 46.30 ± 3.15 40.41 ± 2.89 48.96 ± 3.54 45.86 ± 3.11	HepG-2 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50
MCF-7HepG-24a 33.34 ± 2.41 >505a>50>506a 46.30 ± 3.15 >504b 40.41 ± 2.89 >505b 48.96 ± 3.54 >506b 45.86 ± 3.11 >504c 22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	$\begin{array}{r} \text{MCF-7} \\ 33.34 \pm 2.41 \\ > 50 \\ 46.30 \pm 3.15 \\ 40.41 \pm 2.89 \\ 48.96 \pm 3.54 \\ 45.86 \pm 3.11 \\ \end{array}$	HepG-2 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50
4a 33.34 ± 2.41 >505a>50>506a 46.30 ± 3.15 >504b 40.41 ± 2.89 >505b 48.96 ± 3.54 >506b 45.86 ± 3.11 >504c 22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	33.34 ± 2.41 >50 46.30 ± 3.15 40.41 ± 2.89 48.96 ± 3.54 45.86 ± 3.11	>50 >50 >50 >50 >50 >50
$5a$ >50 $6a$ 46.30 ± 3.15 >50 $4b$ 40.41 ± 2.89 >50 $5b$ 48.96 ± 3.54 >50 $6b$ 45.86 ± 3.11 >50 $4c$ 22.17 ± 1.33 >50 $5c$ >50>50 $6c$ >50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	>50 46.30 ± 3.15 40.41 ± 2.89 48.96 ± 3.54 45.86 ± 3.11	>50 >50 >50 >50 >50
$6a$ 46.30 ± 3.15 >50 $4b$ 40.41 ± 2.89 >50 $5b$ 48.96 ± 3.54 >50 $6b$ 45.86 ± 3.11 >50 $4c$ 22.17 ± 1.33 >50 $5c$ >50>50 $6c$ >50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	46.30 ± 3.15 40.41 ± 2.89 48.96 ± 3.54 45.86 ± 3.11	>50 >50 >50 >50
4b 40.41 ± 2.89 >505b 48.96 ± 3.54 >506b 45.86 ± 3.11 >504c 22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	40.41 ± 2.89 48.96 ± 3.54 45.86 ± 3.11	>50 >50 >50
5b 48.96 ± 3.54 >506b 45.86 ± 3.11 >504c 22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	48.96 ± 3.54 45.86 ± 3.11	>50 >50
6b 45.86 ± 3.11 >504c 22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17	45.86 ± 3.11	>50
4c 22.17 ± 1.33 >505c>50>506c>50>50Doxorubicin>50 2.21 ± 1.17		
5c >50 >50 6c >50 >50 Doxorubicin >50 2.21 ± 1.17	22.17 ± 1.33	>50
6c >50 >50 Doxorubicin >50 2.21 ± 1.17	>50	>50
Doxorubicin >50 2.21 ± 1.17	>50	>50
	>50	2.21 ± 1.17
0		>50 >50 >50

 Table 2 MIC (mM) and MBC (mM) assay results for the saldach-bis-(imdidazolium) salts (4a-c) and standard drugs

-			
Compounds	Bacterium	MIC (mM)	MBC (mM)
4 a	<i>S. aureus</i> (ATCC 29737)	14.30 ± 1.88	14.47 ± 2.03
4 b		1.37 ± 0.31	10.11 ± 0.76
4 c		1.33 ± 0.27	4.99 ± 0.55
Gentamycin		NA	NA
Tetracycline		7.20 ± 0.66	NA
4 a	<i>E. coli</i> (ATCC 10536)	3.50 ± 0.49	3.46 ± 0.47
4 b		1.39 ± 0.30	2.58 ± 0.38
4 c		1.35 ± 0.28	5.06 ± 0.61
Gentamycin		6.70 ± 0.63	NA
Tetracycline		NA	NA

NA= not assigned

R

Figures Captions

RCF

Figure 1 Selected IR region, for comparison of the azomethine and phenolate stretching vibrations and their splitting patterns in 4b, 5b and 6b.

Figure 2 ¹H NMR spectra of 4a (200 MHz, DMSO- d_6).

Figure 3 Concentration dependent analysis of cytotoxic effects of the bis-(Me₂Im⁺X⁻)saldach salts

(4a-c) and their metal complexes (5,6a-c) on the human MCF-7 and HepG-2 cell lines.

Figure 4 Graph of zone of inhibition/mm for target compounds against different bacterial species.



Figure 1 Selected IR region, for comparison of the azomethine and phenolate stretching vibrations and their splitting patterns in 4b, 5b and 6b.



Figure 2 ¹H NMR spectra of **4**a (200 MHz, DMSO- d_6).



Figure 3 Concentration dependent analysis of cytotoxic effects of the bis- $(Me_2Im^+X^-)$ saldach salts (4a-c) and their metal complexes (5,6a-c) on the human MCF-7 and HepG-2 cell lines.



Figure 4 Graph of zone of inhibition/mm for target compounds against different bacterial species.

Schemes Captions

Scheme 1: $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts and chlorido-metal derivatives [M(III)Cl{(${}^iPr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂}] used in this work (saldach = *N*,*N*`-bis(salicylidene)-(±)*trans*-1,2-diamino-cyclohexane).

Scheme 2: Synthesis of: (A) salicylaldehyde salts $[H({}^{i}Pr)sal(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})]$ (**3**a-c), (B) saldach-bis-(1,2-dimethylimidazolium) salts $[rac-trans-(H_{2}({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach-(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}]$ (**4**a-c).

Scheme 3 Synthesis of metallosaldach-bis(imidazolium) complexes $[M(III)Cl{rac-trans-({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach-(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}}]$ ((5,6)a-c).

Scheme 4 tautomeric equilibrium and hydrogen bonding in *rac-trans*- $(H_2(^iPr)_2saldach-(1,2-Me_2Im^+-X^-)_2(X = PF_6, BF_4)$.

Scheme 5 Hydrogen-bonding of saldach-bis-(imdidazolium) salts to nucleobases in DNA.



Scheme 1: $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach-bis(imidazolium) salts and chlorido-metal derivatives [M(III)Cl{(${}^iPr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂}] used in this work (saldach = *N*,*N*`-bis(salicylidene)-(±)*trans*-1,2-diamino-cyclohexane).



Scheme 2: Synthesis of: (A) salicylaldehyde salts $[H({}^{i}Pr)sal(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})]$ (3a-c), (B) saldach-bis-(1,2-dimethylimidazolium) salts [rac-trans- $(H_{2}({}^{i}Pr)_{2}saldach-(Me_{2}Im^{+}-X^{-})_{2}]$ (4a-c).



Scheme 3 Synthesis of metallosaldach-bis(imidazolium) complexes [M(III)Cl{*rac-trans-*(ⁱPr)₂saldach-(Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂}] ((5,6)a-c).



Scheme 4 tautomeric equilibrium and hydrogen bonding in *rac-trans*- $(H_2(^iPr)_2saldach-(1,2-Me_2Im^+-X^-))$

 $)_{2}(X = PF_{6}, BF_{4}).$



Scheme 5 Hydrogen-bonding of saldach-bis-(imdidazolium) salts to nucleobases in DNA.

A COLORINA

New water soluble bis-imidazolium salts with a saldach scaffold: Synthesis, characterization and *in vitro* cytotoxicity/ bactericidal studies.

Reda F.M. Elshaarawy,^{a,c,*} Zeinab H. Kheiralla,^b Abeer A. Rushdy^b and Christoph Janiak^{c,*}

^a Faculty of Science, Suez University, Suez, Egypt. Email: reda_elshaarawi@science.suez.edu.eg; Reda.El-Shaarawy@uni-duesseldorf.de

^bBotany Department, University College for Women, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Email: <u>kheiralla@hotmail.com</u>

^c Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Heinrich-Heine Universität Düsseldorf, 40204 Düsseldorf, Germany. Email: janiak@uni-duesseldorf.de

A new family of water-soluble bis-imidazolium salts, $H_2({}^iPr)_2$ saldach(1,2-Me₂Im⁺-X⁻)₂ (4a-c) and their mononuclear Mn(III)/ Fe(III) complexes has been successfully isolated and evaluated for their cytotoxic/ bactericidal effects.



New water soluble bis-imidazolium salts with a saldach scaffold: Synthesis, characterization and *in vitro* cytotoxicity/ bactericidal studies.

Reda F.M. Elshaarawy,^{a,c,*}, Zeinab H. Kheiralla^b, Abeer A. Rushdy^b and Christoph Janiak^{c,*}.

^a Faculty of Science, Suez University, Suez, Egypt. Email: reda_elshaarawi@science.suez.edu.eg; Reda.El-Shaarawy@uni-duesseldorf.de

^b Botany Department, University College for Women, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Email: <u>kheiralla@hotmail.com</u>

^c Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Heinrich-Heine Universität Düsseldorf, 40204 Düsseldorf, Germany. Email: janiak@uni-duesseldorf.de

Highlights

- Novel water-soluble saldach scaffold with two dangling imidazolium terminals were developed.
- Their Mn(III) and Fe(III) complexes with the [M(N₂O₂)Cl] core have been synthesized and characterized.
- Optimization of $X = BF_4^-$ (IC₅₀ = 22.17 µM) may offer a candidate for breast cancer chemotherapy.
- $X = BF_4^-$ ((MIC/ MBC)_{S. aureus} = 1.33/4.99 mM) may be a *S. aureus* antibiotic candidate.
- $X = PF_6^-$ ((MIC/MBC)_{E. coli} = 1.39/2.58 mM) could serve as E. coli infection fighter.