Platinum-thallium cluster complexes

Raisa Stadnichenko, Brian T. Sterenberg, Arlene M. Bradford, Michael C. Jennings and Richard J. Puddephatt*

Department of Chemistry, University of Western Ontario, London, N6A 5B7, Canada

Received 21st August 2001, Accepted 3rd December 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 13th February 2002 DALTON FULL PAPER

The synthesis of new Pt₃Tl complexes [Pt₃{ μ_3 -Tl(diketonate)(OH₂)}(μ_3 -CO)(μ -dppm)₃][PF₆]₂, **2**, dppm = Ph₂CH₂PPh₂, by reversible addition of thallium(1) β -diketonates to the complex cation [Pt₃(μ_3 -CO)(μ -dppm)₃]²⁺, is reported. The thallium(1) units are easily displaced from platinum by halide ions X⁻ (X = Cl, Br, I) to give [Pt₃(μ_3 -CO)(μ_3 -X)(μ -dppm)₃]⁺, or by SnCl₃⁻ to give [Pt₃(μ_3 -CO)(μ_3 -SnCl₃)(μ -dppm)₃]⁺. With trifluoroacetate there was an equilibrium with [Pt₃(μ_3 -CO)(μ_3 -O₂CCF₃)(μ -dppm)₃]⁺ and [Pt₃{ μ_3 -Tl(diketonate)(O₂CCF₃)}(μ_3 -CO)-(μ -dppm)₃]⁺. The structures of two Pt₃Tl clusters are reported, and the Pt₃Tl unit is shown to be tetrahedral.

Introduction

There has been much interest in the use of thallium(I) as a ligand in late transition metal chemistry,¹⁻¹⁷ and many of the known examples involve PtTl bonding.¹⁻¹³ Most Pt–Tl bonded complexes contain simple Pt–Tl or Pt–Tl–Pt units^{4,6-9,11-13} but Tl–Pt–Tl units are also known.^{5,10} Platinum–thallium bonds in cluster complexes are known as depicted in Chart 1,¹⁻³ but the



only structurally characterized Pt_3Tl complex is $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Tl) (\mu$ -CO)₃(PCy₃)₃]⁺, isolated as the [RhCl₂(cod)]⁻ salt, A in Chart 1.¹ Complex A has d(PtPt) = 2.667(1)-2.668(1) and d(PtTl) =3.034(1)-3.047(1) Å so is regarded as having a distorted tetrahedral core.1 Complex C (Chart 1) has an encapsulated thallium(I) ion bridging two Pt_3 triangles, with d(PtPt) =2.658(3)-2.682(3) and d(PtTl) = 2.860(3)-2.992(3) Å.³ The complex $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3]^{2+}$, 1,¹⁸ forms bimetallic cluster complexes with many other main group and transition elements, including the Pt₃ReTl complex B (Chart 1), which was characterized by its spectroscopic properties.² Thallium(I) may act as a 2-electron donor using its 6s² electrons, but it may also act as an electrophilic group, accepting an electron pair from an electron-rich metal into an empty 6p orbital.¹⁻¹⁷ It is possible that both of these forms of bonding may contribute to the metal-thallium bond, resulting in little net electron transfer. Since there are few Pt₃Tl cluster complexes, it was of interest to extend the series of known complexes by studying the binding of thallium(I) directly to complex 1,¹⁸ and this article reports that thallium(I) can bind easily and reversibly to give a series of cluster complexes containing tetrahedral Pt₃Tl units.

Results

The synthesis of new Pt₃Tl complexes by addition of thallium(I) β -diketonates¹⁹ to the complex cation [Pt₃(μ_3 -CO)(μ -dppm)₃]²⁺,

 Table 1
 Selected ³¹P NMR data for the complexes

Complex	$\delta(\mathbf{P})$	¹ J(PtP)	² J(TlP)	$^{3}J(PP)$
2a	-1.97	3745	487	140
2b	-1.27	3765	412	110
2c	-2.44	3783	485	100
2d	-0.10	3754	459	110
2e	-1.41	3752	485	100
2f	-1.85	3766	465	130
2g	-0.28	3774	463	150
2h	-1.71	3757	465	100



Scheme 1 Synthesis of the Pt₃Tl clusters.

1, is shown in Scheme 1. The reactions to give complexes $[Pt_3{\mu_3-Tl(diketonate)(OH_2)}(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]_2$, 2, appeared to be quantitative when monitored by ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, and the products could be isolated as air-stable orange solids by precipitation from acetone solution. However, the reactions are clearly equilibria and slow crystallization from acetone–ether or acetone–pentane solutions often gave single crystals of the precursor cluster complex 1. Cyclopentadienylthallium(I) failed to form a complex with 1, perhaps due to steric effects with the cyclopentadienyl group.

The presence of the Pt₃Tl core in clusters **2** was clearly demonstrated by the ³¹P NMR spectra, which showed the presence of a coupling ²*J*(TlP) = 412–487 Hz (Table 1). A typical ³¹P NMR spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. The spectra, especially in the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellite regions, were broad. The spectra were essentially unchanged at low temperature, suggesting that the broadening is not a result of fluxionality. The ¹H NMR spectra were also broad, but showed the expected resonances

1212 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 1212–1216



Fig. 1 The ³¹P NMR spectrum of complex **2a**. The central doublet arises from ${}^{2}J(\text{TIP})$ and satellite spectra from ${}^{1}J(\text{PtP})$.

for the dppm and the β -diketonate ligands. In addition, a broad singlet resonance at $\delta = 2.3-2.4$ ppm was assigned to a water ligand. None of the resonances showed coupling to thallium, and it is possible that there is easy intermolecular exchange of the diketonate and aqua ligands between thallium centres. When the reactions of **1** with thallium diketonates are carried out in dry acetone, it is likely that the acetone solvates are formed but it has not been possible to isolate such complexes in pure form or to grow crystals for structure determination. On workup, the aqua complexes are isolated.

The binding of thallium(I) to platinum is evidently not strong and attempted ligand substitution reactions at thallium(I) tended to cause displacement from platinum. For example, reactions with halide ions X⁻ gave precipitation of TIX and formation of $[Pt_3(\mu_3\text{-CO})(\mu_3\text{-X})(\mu\text{-dppm})_3]^+$, as shown in Scheme 2. The thallium(I) centre in **2a** was not



Scheme 2 Reactions of the Pt₃Tl clusters.

displaced by mercury(o) but it was displaced by $SnCl_3^-$. With trifluoroacetate there was an equilibrium with $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)-(\mu_3-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ and $[Pt_3\{\mu_3-Tl(diketonate)(O_2CCF_3)\}-(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$, and crystals of complex **3** (Scheme 1) were isolated from one such reaction.

The structures of complexes **2a** and **3** were determined crystallographically, and are shown in Fig. 2 and 3. Selected bond parameters are given in Table 2. For both complexes, the $[Pt_3{\mu_3-Tl}(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3]$ core of the cluster was clearly defined but the ligands on thallium exhibited disorder and the bond parameters for them are less precise. Both **2a** and **3** contain a roughly tetrahedral Pt₃Tl core with similar mean distances d(PtPt) = 2.645 and 2.638 Å and d(PtTl) = 2.911 and 2.903 Å in **2a** and **3** respectively. The mean distance d(PtTl) is shorter than in complex **A**, Chart 1, of 3.038 Å but similar to that in complex **C** of 2.932 Å.^{1,2} The cluster structure is similar to those in $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Hg)(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3]^{2+}$ and in $[Pt_3(\mu_3-SnF_3)-$



Fig. 2 A view of the structure of the dicationic cluster complex 2a, with 30% probability ellipsoids. For clarity, thermal ellipsoids are not shown for carbon atoms of the dppm ligands.



Fig. 3 A view of the structure of the cationic cluster complex **3**, with 30% probability ellipsoids.

 $(\mu_3\text{-}\mathrm{CO})(\mu\text{-}dppm)_3]^+,$ each of which has a roughly tetrahedral core.^{20}

In terms of bonding, the units Tl(OH₂)(diketonate) and $[Tl(O_2CCF_3)(diketonate)]^-$ can be thought of as having thallium(I) with sp³ hybridization and a stereochemically active lone pair of electrons, that is then donated to the a₁ acceptor orbital of the Pt₃ triangle of complex 1 to form the tetrahedral cluster. The donor group can be thought of as isoelectronic with $[SnX_3]^-$ or with PX₃. The donor $[SnX_3]^-$ forms a similar cluster as shown in Scheme 2, and is evidently a better donor than thallium(I). We have attempted to prepare the analogous lead(II) derivatives since these would be strictly isoelectronic with thallium(I) but without success. It should also be noted that the isoelectronic donors Hg(0) and Tl(I) behave somewhat differently, as seen in Scheme 2. The neutral Hg(0) does not take on extra ligands, whereas thallium(I) does. Thus, if the clusters are considered as having the main group metal acting as a 2-electron-donor to a Pt₃ cluster, the Tl(I) and Hg(0) derivatives are similar. However, considering them as tetranuclear clusters, the mercury(0) and thallium(1) derivatives are 54- and 60-electron clusters respectively. In these complexes, it is probably best to consider the main group metal as a 2-electron donor.

Table 2 Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)-{\mu_3-Tl(acac)(OH_2)}(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]_2 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$, 2a, and $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO){\mu_3-Tl(MeCOCHCOBu}(OCOCF_3)}(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]_2 \cdot 0.75Me_2CO$, 3

	2a	3
Pt(1)–Pt(2)	2.642(1)	2.640(1)
Pt(1)-Pt(3)	2.655(2)	2.632(1)
Pt(2)-Pt(3)	2.639(2)	2.642(1)
Pt(1)-Tl	2.894(3)	2.867(1)
Pt(2)-Tl	2.891(3)	2.906(1)
Pt(3)-Tl	2.947(1)	2.935(1)
Pt(1)-C(1)	2.17(4)	2.18(2)
Pt(2)-C(1)	2.17(4)	2.14(2)
Pt(3)-C(1)	2.26(2)	2.21(2)
Tl–O(a)	2.58(3)	2.27(2)
Tl–O(b)	2.26(3)	2.30(2)
Tl–O(c)	2.51(2)	2.39(2)
$P_{t}(2) P_{t}(1) P_{t}(3)$	50 76(8)	60 14(3)
$P_{t}(2) = t(1) - t(3)$ $P_{t}(2) = P_{t}(2) = P_{t}(1)$	60.37(8)	50.14(3)
Pt(2) - Pt(3) - Pt(1)	59.87(3)	60.09(3)
Pt(2) - Pt(1) - T1	62.76(10)	63.54(3)
Pt(3) - Pt(1) - T1	63.99(6)	64.34(3)
Pt(3) - Pt(2) - T1	64 22(6)	63 67(3)
Pt(1) - Pt(2) - T1	62.89(10)	62.03(3)
Pt(2) - Pt(3) - T1	62.09(10)	62 55(3)
Pt(1) - Pt(3) - T1	61.95(7)	61 71(3)
Pt(2) - Tl - Pt(1)	54 34(3)	54 43(2)
Pt(2) - Tl - Pt(3)	53 73(5)	53 78(2)
Pt(1)-T1-Pt(3)	54 06(5)	53.94(2)
1.(1) 11 11(5)	2	22.5 .(=)

O(a), O(b) are in diketonate ligands, O(c) is in the aqua or trifluoro-acetate ligand.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out under a N₂ atmosphere using Schlenk techniques. IR spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls by using a Perkin-Elmer 2000 spectrometer. The ¹H, ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian Mercury 400 or an Inova 400 spectrometer; the Pt₃Tl complexes did not give satisfactory ¹³C or ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectra. Chemical shifts are referenced to Me₄Si (¹H), CFCl₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% H₃PO₄ (³¹P). The complex [Pt₃(µ₃-CO)(µ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ was prepared according to the reported method,¹⁸ and thallium(I) diketonates were prepared and characterized by the literature methods.¹⁹ Characterization data: Tl(acac), IR: v(CO) 1571 (s), 1514 (m) cm⁻¹ ¹H NMR: δ = 5.22 [s, 1H, CH]; 1.89 [s, 6H, CH₃]; **Tl(hfac)**, IR: v(CO) 1665 (s), 1557 (w), 1530 (m) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 5.47$ [s,1H, CH]; ¹⁹F NMR: $\delta = -76.9$ [s, 6F, CF₃]; Tl(etac), IR: v(CO) 1590 (s), 1509 (m) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 5.05$ [s, 1H, CH]; 2.07 [q, 4H, CH₂]; 0.98 [t, 6H, CH₃]; **Tl(dpm)**, IR: v(CO) 1602 (s), 1507 (w), 1498 (m) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: δ = 5.31 [s, 1H, CH]; 0.94 [s, 18H, ^tBu]; **Tl(dbm)**, IR: v(CO) 1593 (s), 1550 (m) cm⁻¹ ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.4-7.95$ [m, 10H, Ph]; 6.05 [s, 1H, CH]; Tl(tfac); IR: v(CO) 1617 (s), 1516 (m) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 5.38$ [s, 1H, CH]; 1.96 [s, 3H, CH₃]; ¹⁹F NMR: $\delta = -76.20$ [s, CF₃]; Tl(tbac), IR: v(CO) 1585 (s), 1548 (w), 1507 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone): δ 5.29 [s, 1H, CH]; 1.88 [s, 3H, CH₃]; 1.05 [s, 9H, ^tBu]; **Tl(bzac)**, IR: v(CO) 1588 (s), 1569 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone): δ = 7.35– 7.82 [m, 5H, Ph]; 5.78 [s, 1H, CH]; 1.94 [s, 3H, CH₃].

Syntheses

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(acac)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2a). To a solution of [Pt₃(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃] [PF₆]₂ (50 mg, 0.024 mmol) in acetone (5 mL) was added a solution of Tl(acac) (7.5 mg, 0.024 mmol) in acetone (5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h, then filtered and the solution was concentrated to 3 mL. Ether was added to precipitate the complex product as an orange solid in 60% yield. IR: ν (CO) = 1792 (s) cm⁻¹. NMR in acetone-d₆: δ (¹H) = 6.3 [m, 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 5.8 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 5.6 [s, 1H, CH,

acac]; 2.18 [s, 6H, CH₃, acac]; δ ⁽³¹P) = -1.97 [d, 6P, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3745 Hz, ²*J*(TlP) = 487 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 145 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for: C₈₁H₇₅F₁₂O₄P₈Pt₃ Tl: C, 40.9; H, 3.2. Found: C, 41.2; H, 3.1%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(hfac)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2b). This was prepared similarly but using Tl(hfac). The complex was isolated as an orange solid in 62% yield. IR: ν (CO) 1790 (m) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 6.2 [m, 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 5.9 [s, 1H, CH, hfac]; 5.8 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; δ (¹⁹F) = -2.75 [d, 12F, ¹*J*(PF) = 175 Hz, PF₆]; -77.7 [s, 6F, CF₃, hfac]; δ (³¹P) = -1.27 [d, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3765 Hz, ²*J*(TlP) = 412 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 108 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for C₈₁H₆₉F₁₈O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 39.2; H, 2.7. Found: C, 39.4; H, 2.5%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(etac)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2c). This was prepared similarly from Tl(etac). The orange product was isolated in 58% yield. IR: ν (CO) 1790 (s) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 6.35 [m, 3H, H^aCP2, dppm]; 5.7 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 5.5 [s, 1H, CH, etac]; 2.3 [br, 4H, CH₂, etac]; 1.05 [t, 6H, *J*(HH) = 7 Hz, CH₃, etac]; δ (³¹P) = -2.44 [d, 6P, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3783 Hz, ²*J*(TIP) = 485 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 85 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for: C₈₃H₇₉F₁₂O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 41.4; H, 3.3. Found: C, 41.3; H, 3.0%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(dpm)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2d). This was prepared similarly from Tl(dpm) and isolated in 73% yield. IR: ν (CO) 1780 (m) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 5.8 [m, 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 5.2 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 5.0 [s, 1H, CH, dpm]; 1.9 [s, 18H, ^tBu, dpm]; δ (³¹P) = -0.1 [d, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3754 Hz, ²*J*(TlP) = 459 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 108 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for C₈₇H₈₇-F₁₂O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 42.4; H, 3.6. Found: C, 42.6; H, 3.5%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(dbm)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2e). This was prepared similarly using Tl(dbm) and isolated in 75% yield. IR: v(CO) = 1792 (s) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 6.8 [m 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 6.5 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 5.7 [s, 1H, CH, dbm]; δ (³¹P) = -1.4 [d, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3752 Hz, ²*J*(TlP) = 485 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 98 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for: C₉₁H₇₉F₁₂O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 43.7; H, 3.2. Found: C, 43.4; H, 3.0%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(tfac)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2f). This was prepared similarly but using Tl(tfac) and the yellow–orange product was isolated in 62% yield. IR: ν (CO) = 1792 (s) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 6.3 [m, 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 5.75 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 5.45 [s, 1H, CH, tfac]; 2.05 [s, 3H, CH₃, tfac]; δ (¹⁹F) = -73.5 [d, 12F, ¹*J*(PF) = 708 Hz, PF₆]; -75.0 [s, 3F, CF₃, tfac]; δ (³¹P) -1.85[d, ¹*J*(PF) = 3766 Hz, ²*J*(TlP) = 465 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 135 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for C₈₁H₇₂F₁₅O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 40.0; H, 3.0. Found: C, 39.6; H, 2.7%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(tbac)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2g). This was prepared in a similar way using Tl(tbac) and isolated in 64% yield. IR: ν (CO) = 1790 (m) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 6.35 [m, 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 5.9 [s, 1H, CH, tbac]; 5.7 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 2.35 [s, 3H, CH₃, tbac]; 1.25 [s, 9H, 'Bu, tbac]; δ (³¹P) = -0.28 [d, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3774 Hz, ²*J*(TlP) = 463 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 190 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for C₈₄H₈₁F₁₂O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 41.7; H, 3.4. Found: C, 41.5; H, 3.1%.

[Pt₃{μ₃-Tl(bzac)(OH₂)}(μ₃-CO)(μ-dppm)₃][PF₆]₂ (2h). This was prepared similarly using Tl(bzac) and isolated as an orange–yellow solid in 75% yield. IR: ν (CO) = 1824 (s) cm⁻¹. NMR: δ (¹H) = 6.7 [s, 1H, CH, bzac]; 6.4 [m, 3H, H^aCP₂, dppm]; 5.8 [m, 3H, H^bCP₂, dppm]; 2.3 [s, 3H, CH₃, bzac]; δ (³¹P) = -1.71 [d, ¹*J*(PtP) = 3757 Hz, ²*J*(TIP) = 465 Hz, ³*J*(PP) = 102 Hz, dppm]. Anal. Calc. for C₈₆H₇₇F₁₂O₄P₈Pt₃Tl: C, 42.3; H, 3.2. Found: C, 42.0; H, 2.9%.

 $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Cl)(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]$. To a solution of complex 1 (40 mg) in methanol (10 mL) was added KCl (2.9 mg), and the

Complex	2a	3	
Formula	$C_{81}H_{74}Cl_2F_{12}O_3P_8Pt_3T$	$\Gamma I = C_{86}H_{79}F_9O_5P_7Pt_3TI \cdot 0.75C_3H_6O$	_
M	2431.70	2413.48	
T/K	293(2)	150(2)	
Wavelength/Å	0.71073	0.71073	
Crystal system, space g	group Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	
a/Å	13.459(3)	14.692(2)	
b/Å	23.090(5)	29.713(3)	
c/Å	14.721(3)	21.744(2)	
βl°	103.99(3)	99.054(4)	
Volume/Å ³ , Z	4439(1), 2	9373.6(18), 4	
Density (calc.)/Mg m	3 1.819	1.710	
μ/mm^{-1}	6.795	6.362	
Ind. refl.	13736	16840	
Abs. corr.	Integration	Integration	
Data/restr./param.	13736/1/428	16840/0/486	
Goof on F^2	1.104	1.096	
<i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0795, wR2 = 0.	.1899 $R1 = 0.0944, wR2 = 0.2625$	

mixture was stirred for 2 h. The solvent volume was reduced and water (5 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was washed with water and dried under vacuum. Yield 98%. Anal. Calc. for C₇₆H₆₆ClF₆OP₇Pt: C, 46.9; H, 3.4. Found: C, 47.3; H, 3.8%. IR (Nujol): $v(CO) = 1767 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. NMR in acetone-d₆: $\delta(^{1}\text{H}) = 5.72$, 5.92 [m, J(HH) = 14 Hz, CH₂]; $\delta(^{31}\text{P}) =$ $-17.6 \text{ [s, }^{1}J(\text{PtP}) = 3700$, $^{3}J(\text{PP}) = 165$, dppm]; $\delta(^{13}\text{C}) = 197.0$ [sept, $^{1}J(\text{PtC}) = 890 \text{ Hz}$, $^{2}J(\text{PC}) = 17 \text{ Hz}$, CO]

Similarly were prepared: $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Br)(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]$. Yield 97%. Anal. Calc. for $C_{76}H_{66}BrF_6OP_7Pt$: C, 45.9; H, 3.3. Found: C, 45.1; H, 3.5%. IR (Nujol): $v(CO) = 1753 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. NMR in acetone- d_6 : $\delta(^1H) = 5.71$, 5.84 [m, J(HH) = 14 Hz, CH₂]; $\delta(^{31}P) = -17.1$ [s, $^1J(PtP) = 3800$, $^3J(PP) = 160$, dppm]; $\delta(^{13}C) = 195.8$ [sept, $^1J(PtC) = 896 \text{ Hz}$, $^2J(PC) = 20 \text{ Hz}$, CO]. [Pt₃(μ_3 -I)-(μ_3 -CO)(μ -dppm)_3][PF₆]. Yield 97%. Anal. Calc. for $C_{76}H_{66}$ -BrF₆OP₇Pt: C, 44.8; H, 3.3. Found: C, 44.5; H, 3.5%. IR (Nujol): $v(CO) = 1767 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. NMR in acetone- d_6 : $\delta(^1H) = 5.57$, 6.08 [m, J(HH) = 14 Hz, CH₂]; $\delta(^{31}P) = -17.8$ [s, $^1J(PtP) = 3890$, $^3J(PP) = 165$]; $\delta(^{13}C) = 192.7$ [sept, $^1J(PtC) = 850 \text{ Hz}$, $^2J(PC) = 18 \text{ Hz}$, CO].

Cluster exchange reactions

These reactions were carried out in NMR tubes containing solutions of complex **2**, and products were identified by their ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra. For reactions with halide ions, the solutions were filtered to remove insoluble TlX and the products $[Pt_3(\mu_3-X)(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]$ were identified by the characteristic NMR spectra reported above.

X-Ray structure determinations

Crystals of [Pt₃{Tl(-OC(Me)CHC(Bu)O)(O₂CCF₃)}(dppm)₃-(CO)]·3/4 acetone were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into an acetone solution. An orange crystal was mounted on a glass fibre. Data were collected at low temperature (150 K) using a Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer using COLLECT software.^{21a} The unit cell parameters were calculated and refined from the full data set. Crystal cell refinement and data reduction was carried out using DENZO.^{21a} The data were scaled using SCALEPACK^{21a} and no other absorption corrections were applied. The crystal data and refinement parameters are listed in Table 3. The SHELXTL 5.1 program package was used to solve the structure by Patterson, followed by successive difference Fouriers.^{21b} The Pt₃Tl cation was modelled with a 50/50 isotropic mixture of Me/Bu groups on the acac ligand, disordered across the two positions. The methylene and phenyl groups of the cation were also refined isotropically. The hexafluorophosphate anion was disordered and was refined

as a 60/40 mixture with isotropic fluorine atoms. The remaining atoms of the molecule were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were calculated geometrically and were riding on their respective carbon atom. The solvent molecule was refined isotropically complete with hydrogen atoms in the model and refined to 75% occupancy.

The crystals of $[Pt_3(\mu_3\text{-}CO){\mu_3\text{-}Tl(acac)(OH_2)}(\mu\text{-}dppm)_3]$ -[PF₆]₂·CH₂Cl₂ were obtained from dichloromethane. Data were collected and treated similarly, except that room temperature collection was used. There was unresolved disorder of the acac and H₂O ligands and these were treated isotropically. All other heavy atoms were anisotropic, with disorder in the anions. There was also a high thermal parameter for methylene carbon atom C(3), probably a result of unresolved disorder.

CCDC reference numbers 169369 and 169370.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b1/b107579a/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NSERC for financial support and R. J. P. thanks the Government of Canada for a Canada Research Chair.

References

- 1 O. J. Ezomo, D. M. P. Mingos and I. D. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 924.
- 2 L. Hao, J. Xiao, J. J. Vittal, R. J. Puddephatt, Lj. Manojlovic-Muir, K. W. Muir and A. A. Torabi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 658.
- 3 L. Hao, J. J. Vittal and R. J. Puddephatt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 269; L. Hao, J. J. Vittal and R. J. Puddephatt, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 3115.
- 4 A. L. Balch and S. P. Rowley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 6139.
- 5 J. K. Nagle, A. L. Balch and M. M. Olmstead, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, **110**, 319; M. Dolg, P. Pyykko and N. Runeberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 7450.
- 6 K. E. Berg, J. Glaser, M. C. Read and I. Toth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 7550.
- 7 M. Maliarik, K. Berg, J. Glaser, M. Sandstrom and I. Toth, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 2910.
- 8 J. Autschbach and T. Ziegler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 5320; M. R. Russo and N. Kaltsoyannis, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2001, 312, 221.
- 9 R. Uson, J. Fornies, M. Tomas, R. Garde and R. I. Merino, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 1383.
- 10 I. Ara, J. R. Berenguer, J. Fornies, J. Gomez, E. Lalinde and R. I. Merino, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 6461.
- 11 R. Uson, J. Fornies, M. Tomas, R. Garde and P. Alonso, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 1837.
- 12 V. J. Catalano, B. L. Bennett, R. L. Yson and B. C. Noll, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 10056.

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 1212–1216 1215

- 13 O. Renn, B. Lippert and I. Mutikainen, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1993, 208, 219.
- 14 A. L. Balch, B. J. Davis, E. Y. Fung and M. M. Olmstead, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1993, 212, 149.
- 15 A. L. Balch, F. Neve and M. M. Olmstead, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 2995.
- 16 V. J. Catalano, B. L. Bennett, H. M. Kar and B. C. Noll, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 10235.
- 17 M. A. Casado, J. J. Perez-Torrente, J. A. Lopez, M. A. Ciriano, F. J. Lahoz and L. A. Oro, Inorg. Chem., 1999, 38, 2482.
- 18 G. Ferguson, B. R. Lloyd and R. J. Puddephatt, Organometallics, 1986, 5, 344.
- 19 R. C. Palenik and G. J. Palenik, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 1992, 22, 1395; B. M. Trost, P. E. Strege, L. Weber,

- T. J. Fullerton and T. J. Dietsche, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3407; W. H. Nelson, W. J. Randall and D. F. Martin, Inorg. Synth., 1967, 9,

- W. H. Nelson, W. J. Rahdall and D. F. Martin, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1967, 9, 52;
 R. West and R. J. Riley, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1958, 5, 295;
 C. Z. Moore and W. H. Nelson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1969, 8, 143;
 C. Arunasalam, S. R. Drake, M. B. Hursthouse, K. A. Malik,
 S. A. Miller and D. M. P. Mingos, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1996, 2435;
 A. G. Lee, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1971, 2009;
 Z. Yuan and
 R. J. Puddephatt, *Chem. Mater.*, 1998, 10, 2293.
- 20 G. Schoettel, J. J. Vittal and R. J. Puddephatt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 6400; M. C. Jennings, G. Schoettel, S. Roy, R. J. Puddephatt, G. Douglas, Lj. Manojlovic-Muir and K. W. Muir, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 580.
- 21 (a) Nonius, Delft, 1998; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, Madison, WI, 1999