Communications

Alkene Oxidation

A Practical and Mild Method for the Highly Selective Conversion of Terminal Alkenes into Aldehydes through Epoxidation–Isomerization with Ruthenium(IV)–Porphyrin Catalysts**

Jian Chen and Chi-Ming Che*

The Wacker-type oxidation of alkenes to carbonyl compounds is one of the most important oxidation reactions in synthetic chemistry and the pharmaceutical industry.^[1] The conversion of alkenes RCH=CH₂ into acetaldehyde (R = H) or methyl ketones ($R \neq H$) through the Wacker process [Eq. (1)] has

$$R \sim [0] \qquad R \sim (1)$$

been well documented;^[1] however, the highly selective formation of aldehydes through catalytic oxidation of RCH=CH₂ ($R \neq H$) without C=C bond cleavage [Eq. (2)]

remains a challenge. Previous work by Feringa,^[2a] Murahashi, and co-workers,^[2b] and Wenzel^[2c] showed that the oxidation of aliphatic alkenes (such as oct-1-ene and dec-1-ene), *N*-allyl amides/lactams, and allyl esters with O₂ or air in the presence of certain palladium or palladium/copper catalysts affords a mixture of aldehyde and methyl ketone products. Recently, Ho et al. reported the palladium/copper-catalyzed oxidation of several aliphatic 1,5-dienes with O₂ to form aldehydes in 60-99% yield.^[2d]

During our efforts to develop new oxidation technology based on ruthenium–porphyrin catalysts, we found that the oxidation of a wide variety of terminal alkenes with 2,6-

```
[*] J. Chen, Prof. Dr. C.-M. Che
Shanghai–Hong Kong Joint Laboratory in Chemical Synthesis
Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry
The Chinese Academy of Sciences
354 Feng Lin Road, Shanghai 200032 (China)
Prof. Dr. C.-M. Che
Department of Chemistry and
Open Laboratory of Chemical Biology of the
Institute of Molecular Technology for Drug Discovery and Synthesis
The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road (Hong Kong)
Fax: (+852) 2857-1586
E-mail: cmche@hku.hk
[**] We are thankful for the financial support of The University of Hong
```

Kong (Generic Drugs Research Program), the Area of Excellence Scheme (AoE 10/01P) administered by the University Grants Council (HKSAR), and the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (HKU7099/01P). J.C. thanks the Croucher Foundation of Hong Kong for a postgraduate studentship.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

4950 © 2004 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200460545

dichloropyridine *N*-oxide (Cl₂pyNO) in the presence of dichlororuthenium(iv)-porphyrin catalysts [Ru^{IV}(por)Cl₂] (por = tdcpp **1**, tmp **2**)^[3] produced aldehydes in up to 99% yield with 100% substrate conversion without C=C bond cleavage. This unexpected ruthenium-catalyzed "Wacker-



type oxidation" of terminal alkenes^[4,5] reported herein apparently proceeds by a different mechanism to those proposed for the palladium- or palladium/copper-catalyzed reactions.^[2] We also report herein a direct one-pot diazoacetate olefination of aldehyde substrates generated in situ by this ruthenium–porphyrin-catalyzed oxidation of alkenes.

When a solution of 1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (**3**), Cl₂pyNO (1.03 equiv), and catalyst **1** (0.5 mol %) in CDCl₃ was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, the β , γ -unsaturated aldehyde 4-phenylbut-3-enal (**4**, styrylacetaldehyde) was formed in 99 % yield [Eq. (3)]. No ketone products were detected in the reaction mixture. Similar results were obtained with CHCl₃ or



 CH_2Cl_2 as the solvent. Other solvents, such as benzene, toluene, acetone, ether, and methanol, were inferior to $CHCl_3$ and CH_2Cl_2 for this catalytic process.

We propose that the 1,3-diene **3** is first oxidized by Cl_2pyNO to form epoxide **5** in the presence of catalyst **1** (Scheme 1). The same catalyst, or a derivative thereof,



Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism for the formation of aldehyde 4 by the Cl_2pyNO oxidation of 3 catalyzed by 1.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4950-4954

www.angewandte.org

induces subsequent isomerization of the epoxide to the β , γ unsaturated aldehyde.^[6] We abbreviate the epoxidation of terminal alkenes followed by isomerization of the epoxide products as E–I reactions.

To provide support for the above mechanism, we examined the effect of Cl₂pyNO on the catalysis (Table 1). With excess Cl₂pyNO, the yield of aldehyde 4 decreased significantly from 99 to 51%, and epoxide 5 was obtained in 49% yield. This result could be rationalized by considering coordination of the epoxide to active rutheniumporphyrin species for the isomerization reactions. Excess Cl₂pyNO would compete with the epoxide for coordination to ruthenium, thus decreasing the yield of the aldehyde. We found that the use of 1.01-1.03 equivalents of Cl₂pyNO gave the best results in terms of reaction time (30 min) and aldehyde yield (99%). Changing the temperature from room temperature to 10°C or 40°C did not affect the reaction appreciably.

Table 1: Oxidation of 3 catalyzed by 1 with varying amounts of $Cl_2pyNO.^{[a]}$

Entry	Cl ₂ pyNO [equiv]	Conversion of 3 [%]	Yield [%] ^[b]	
			5	4
1	2.0	100	49	51
2	1.03	100	0	99
3	0.9	90	0	>99

[a] Reaction conditions: 3: 0.1 mmol, 1: 0.5 mol %, CDCl₃: 0.5 mL; 25 °C, open to air. [b] Determined by 1 H NMR spectroscopy (based on consumed substrate).

We also examined the effect of catalyst loading on the E–I reaction. When a lower loading of 1 (0.3 mol %) was used, with a molar ratio $3/\text{Cl}_2$ pyNO/1 of 1:1.03:0.003, a mixture of 5, 4, and 3 was detected after the reaction. Figure 1 shows the



Figure 1. Time-course plot for the Cl₂pyNO oxidation of **3** catalyzed by **1**. Reaction conditions: **3**: 0.1 mmol, Cl₂pyNO: 1.03 equiv, **1**: 0.3 mol%, CDCl₃: 0.5 mL; 17 °C, open to air. The product yields were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. More **1** (0.3 mol%) was added when the reaction had proceeded for about 2.2 h.

Communications

time course for this catalytic process. Evidently, after the reaction had proceeded for 2 h, **3** and **5** had not been completely converted into **4**. Analysis of the ruthenium–porphyrin species in the reaction mixture revealed that catalyst **1** had been converted into $[Ru^{II}(tdcpp)(CO)]$.^[7] Upon subsequent addition of a new batch of **1**, both **3** and **5** were completely converted into **4** in excellent yields within 15 min.

The E–I reaction of **3** with Cl₂pyNO was catalyzed equally efficiently by **2**, but less efficiently by $[Ru^{VI}(tdcpp)O_2]$. The oxidation of **3** with Cl₂pyNO catalyzed by $[Ru^{VI}(tdcpp)O_2]$ under similar conditions to those used with catalyst **1** (Cl₂pyNO: 1.03 equiv, catalyst loading: 1.7 mol%) afforded **4** in 41% yield within 5 h. However, the complex $[Ru^{II}(tdcpp)(CO)]$ was a relatively inactive catalyst in the E–I reaction.

A series of other 1,3-dienes were treated with Cl₂pyNO (1.01–1.03 equiv) and **1** (0.5–1.0 mol%) at room temperature [Eq. (4), Table 2]. With dienes **6–10**, the corresponding β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes **13–17** were obtained in 81–99% yield and were stable enough to be purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. However, the aldehyde product **18a**, formed in 90% yield from the oxidation of diene **11**, was converted into **18b** upon flash chromatography on silica gel. The nonterminal diene **12** was oxidized more slowly to afford the β , γ -unsaturated ketone **19** in 99% yield after a reaction time of 6 h at 60°C (Table 2, entry 7).







[a] Reaction conditions: diene: 0.1 mmol, Cl₂pyNO: 1.03 equiv, 1: 0.5–1.0 mol%, CDCl₃: 0.5–1.0 mL; open to air. [b] Determined by GC or ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [c] Reaction conditions: diene: 0.65 mmol, Cl₂pyNO: 1.03 equivalents, 1: 1.0 mol%, CHCl₃: 10 mL; open to air. [d] Yield of isolated product.

6



Table 3: Oxidation of terminal alkenes 20–26 with $\mathsf{Cl}_2\mathsf{pyNO}$ catalyzed by $1^{[a]}$



[a] Reaction conditions: alkene: 0.1 mmol, Cl₂pyNO: 1.03 equiv, 1: 1.0– 2.0 mol%, CDCl₃: 0.5–2.0 mL; open to air. [b] Determined by GC or ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [c] The corresponding epoxide was produced in 99% yield (determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy).

When styrene (20) was treated with Cl_2pyNO (1.03 equiv) and 1 (1.0 mol%) in CH_2Cl_2 at reflux for 5 h, styrene oxide

and phenylacetaldehyde (27) were obtained as a mixture in 90 and 10% yield, respectively.^[8] To our surprise, when more of catalyst 1 was added, and the reaction time was increased, the styrene oxide was completely converted into aldehyde 27. For example, the reaction of styrene with Cl₂pyNO (1.03 equiv) in the presence of 2.0 mol % of 1 in $CHCl_3$ at 60 °C for 12 h afforded 27 in 99% yield; no benzaldehyde was observed.^[5] Other styrene derivatives 21-25 could also be converted into the corresponding aryl acetaldehydes 28-32 in excellent yields [Eq. (5) and Table 3]. However, with the nonaromatic alkene 26, only the epoxide product was obtained.

Recently, the research groups of Woo,^[9a] Aggarwal,^[9b] and Zhang^[9c] reported that the iron and ruthenium *meso*-tetraaryl porphyrins [Fe^{II}(ttp)] (H₂ttp = *meso*-tetrakis(*p*-tolyl)porphyrin), [Fe^{III}(tpp)Cl], and [Ru^{II}(tpp)(CO)] catalyze the olefination of certain classes of aldehydes with ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) in the presence of triphenylphosphane. We observed that both **1** and

60

12

7

99

19



 $[Ru^{II}(tdcpp)(CO)]$ also catalyze such olefination reactions. We recognized that the aldehyde products of the **1**-catalyzed E–I reactions could be used in situ as the substrates for olefination reactions and wanted to develop a practical one-pot E–I–olefination reaction, that is, a one-pot diazoacetate olefination starting directly from alkenes rather than from aldehydes.

By using the "1+Cl₂pyNO" protocol, **3** (0.1 mmol) was converted into aldehyde **4** in CHCl₃ within 30 min. Remarkably, upon removal of the solvent, followed by the addition of Ph₃P (1.2 equiv), toluene (1 mL), and EDA (1.2 equiv) and heating the reaction mixture at 80°C for 2 h, the olefination product **34** was obtained in 99% yield [Eq. (6)].

4-Oxo-4-aryl butanal derivatives are useful compounds in organic synthesis. For example, the preparation and application of 4-oxo-4-phenylbutanal (**39**) have been studied extensively.^[10] In this work, we found that **39** could be prepared in 52% yield (by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; isolated yield: 41%) through the E–I reaction of silyl enol ether **35** (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Oxidation of silyl enol ether **35** with Cl₂pyNO catalyzed by **1**. (The yields of **37–39** were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy).

The same reaction also afforded hydroxyketoaldehyde **37** in 23 % yield. When 2.06 equivalents of Cl_2pyNO were used, **37** could be obtained in 88 % yield (determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy). From a one-pot E–I–olefination reaction of **35** similar to that of **3**, we isolated the olefination product **40** in 55 % yield [Eq. (7)].

In summary, we have developed a mild and practical method with $[Ru^{IV}(tdcpp)Cl_2]$ as a catalyst for the highly regioselective formation of aldehydes from terminal alkenes without C=C bond cleavage. This protocol supplements the Wacker process for the oxidation of terminal alkenes to



ketones or aldehydes. The catalytic reactions reported herein can be conducted in air at room temperature to afford a series of isolable β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes in good to excellent yields. The present work provides a new, practical, and convenient method for preparing multifunctionalized compounds. The application of this method to the synthesis of natural products is in progress.

Received: May 4, 2004

Keywords: homogeneous catalysis \cdot metalloporphyrins \cdot N ligands \cdot oxidation \cdot ruthenium

- a) J. Smidt, W. Hafner, R. Jira, J. Sedlmeier, R. Sieber, R. Rüttinger, H. Kojer, *Angew. Chem.* **1959**, *71*, 176–182; b) J. Smidt, W. Hafner, R. Jira, R. Sieber, J. Sedlmeier, A. Sabel,
 - Angew. Chem. **1962**, 74, 93–102; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1962**, 1, 80–88; c) J. Tsuji, Synthesis **1984**, 369– 384; d) J. Tsuji, Palladium Reagents and Catalysts: Innovation in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, **1998**.
 - [2] a) B. L. Feringa, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1986, 909–910; b) T. Hosokawa, S. Aoki, M. Takano, T. Nakahira, Y. Yoshida, S.-I. Murahashi, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1991, 1559– 1560; c) T. T. Wenzel, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1993, 862–864; d) T.-L. Ho, M. H. Chang, C. Chen, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6955–6957.
 - [3] Porphyrin ligands: H₂tdcpp = meso-tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphyrin, H₂tmp = meso-tetramesitylporphyrin.
 - [4] In previously reported alkene oxidations with Cl₂pyNO or air catalyzed by ruthenium porphyrins, only small or trace amounts of aldehydes, if any,

were formed; see, for example: a) H. Ohtake, T. Higuchi, M. Hirobe, *Heterocycles* **1995**, *40*, 867–903; b) J. T. Groves, M. Bonchio, T. Carofiglio, K. Shalyaev, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 8961–8962; c) A. Berkessel, M. Frauenkron, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1997**, 2265–2266; d) T.-S. Lai, R. Zhang, K.-K. Cheung, H.-L. Kwong, C.-M. Che, *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1583–1584; e) C.-J. Liu, W.-Y. Yu, S.-G. Li, C.-M. Che, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7364–7369; f) Z. Gross, S. Ini, *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 2077–2080; g) Z. Gross, S. Ini, *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 1446–1449; h) X.-Q. Yu, J.-S. Huang, W.-Y. Yu, C.-M. Che, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 5337–5342; i) R. Zhang, W.-Y. Yu, K.-Y. Wong, C.-M. Che, *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 8145–8153; j) J.-L. Zhang, H.-B.

Communications

Zhou, J.-S. Huang, C.-M. Che, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 1554–1562; k) J.-L. Zhang, C.-M. Che, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1911–1914; l) J.-L. Zhang, Y.-L. Liu, C.-M. Che, *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2906–2907; m) A. Berkessel, P. Kaiser, J. Lex, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 4746– 4756; n) P. Le Maux, M. Lukas, G. Simonneaux, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2003**, *206*, 95–103.

- [5] Note that the aerobic oxidation of styrene catalyzed by [Ru^{II}(Cl₈tpfpp)(CO)] (H₂Cl₈tpfpp=octachlorotetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin) primarily affords benzaldehyde, a C= C bond-cleavage product; see: E. R. Birnbaum, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *270*, 433–439.
- [6] Various Lewis acids and some metal complexes (including the iron-porphyrin complex [Fe^{III}(tpp)OTf]; H₂tpp=meso-tetraphenylporphyrin) were previously reported to be good reagents or catalysts for the isomerization of epoxides to form aldehydes; see, for example: a) H. Alper, D. Des Roches, T. Durst, R. Legault, J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3611-3613; b) R. Sudha, K. M. Narasimhan, V. G. Saraswathy, S. Sankararaman, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 1877-1879; c) S. Kulasegaram, R. J. Kulawiec, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6547-6561; d) B. C. Ranu, U. Jana, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8212-8216; e) K. Suda, K. Baba, S. Nakajima, T. Takanami, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7243-7246; f) F. Martínez, C. del Campo, E. F. Llama, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1749-1751.
- [7] This transformation of the catalyst might arise from the decarbonylation of the aldehyde by the ruthenium—porphyrin species. Previously, it was observed that the catalyst [Ru^{VI}(tmp)O₂] was converted into [Ru^{II}(tmp)(CO)] during the epoxidation of alkenes: B. Scharbert, E. Zeisberger, E. Paulus, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *493*, 143–147.
- [8] The oxidation of styrene with iodosylbenzenes or *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide catalyzed by metal complexes, including iron/manganese porphyrins or corroles, also affords a mixture of styrene oxide and 27, with the former being the major product; see, for example: a) J. P. Collman, T. Kodadek, J. I. Brauman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2588-2594; b) J. F. Kinneary, J. S. Albert, C. J. Burrows, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6124-6129; c) F. Minisci, F. Fontana, S. Araneo, F. Recupero, S. Banfi, S. Quici, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 226-232; d) Z. Gross, G. Golubkov, L. Simkhovich, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4211-4213; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4045-4047; e) G. Golubkov, J. Bendix, H. B. Gray, A. Mahammed, I. Goldberg, A. J. Dibilio, Z. Gross, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 2190-2192; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2132-2134.
- [9] a) G. A. Mirafzal, G. Cheng, L. K. Woo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 176–177; b) V. K. Aggarwal, J. R. Fulton, C. G. Sheldon, J. de Vicente, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6034–6035; c) Y. Chen, L. Huang, M. A. Ranade, X. P. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 3714–3717.
- [10] For selected examples, see: a) C. G. Kruse, J. P. Bouw, R. van Hes, A. van de Kuilen, J. A. J. den Hartog, *Heterocycles* 1987, 26, 3141–3151; b) G. A. Molander, S. W. Andrews, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30, 2351–2354; c) G. A. Molander, K. O. Cameron, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2617–2619; d) G. A. Molander, K. O. Cameron, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 830–846; e) F. Manescalchi, A. R. Nardi, D. Savoia, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 2775–2778; f) K. Okada, K. Matsumoto, K. Oshima, K. Utimoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 8067–8070.