Synthesis of Pyrrole Derivatives Using Thioimidates

Masataka Yokoyama,* Yasuhiro Menjo, He Wei, and Hideo Togo

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chiba University, Yayoi-cho 1-33, Inage-ku, Chiba 260 (Received April 26, 1995)

Dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate, ethyl propiolate, nitro olefins, or 2-nitroalkyl acetates react with thioimidate in the presence of organic bases to give the corresponding pyrroles in moderate-to-good yields.

A previous paper presented the synthesis of oxazoles and thiazoles by using imidothioates.¹⁾ As an extension of this work we intended to synthesize the pyrroles, starting from the stabilized imidothioate. Although many synthetic methods of pyrrole derivatives have been reported, due to their utility,²⁾ the present method is a simple and effective procedure for the synthesis of pyrrole derivatives having a non-substituent in the 5-position or poly-substituents.

Imidothioates **1a** and **1b** were allowed to react with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) (**4a**) or ethyl propiolate (**4b**) in the presence of bases to afford the corresponding pyrrole derivatives in moderate yields (Scheme 1).

At a first experiment, some bases [n-BuLi, t-BuOK, EtONa, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU), and potasium pivalate (t-BuCO₂K)] were examined for this reaction. The use of t-BuCO₂K as a sterically hindered base showed a successful result without the polymerization of 4a. That is, 1a was allowed to react with

4a in the presence of t-BuCO₂K at 0—25 °C under stirring for 24 h to give a Michael addition product **2** in 34% yield together with a cyclized product **3** (7%). The main product **2** was then cyclized to **3** in 65% yield upon a treatment with DBU.

Imidothioate 1b was successively treated with butyllithium and 4a or 4b to give the corresponding pyrroles, 5a or 5b, in the yields listed in Table 1.

Recently, Barton et al. have reported on a useful synthesis of pyrrole derivatives from the reaction of nitro olefins or 2-nitroalkyl acetates with 2-isocyano esters. ^{3a)}

Table 1. Synthesis of Pyrroles 3, 5a, and 5b Prepared

Imidothioates	4		Yield (Product)	
	R^1	R^2	%	
1a	CO_2Me	$CO_2Me(\mathbf{4a})$	29 ^a (3)	
1b	$\mathrm{CO_{2}Me}$	$CO_2Me(\mathbf{4a})$	45 $(5a)$	
1b	\mathbf{H}	$\mathrm{CO}_2\mathrm{Et}(\mathbf{4b})$	37 $(5b)$	

a) Overall yield was shown based on 1a.

$$R^1$$
 $=$ R^2 $Aa: (R^1=R^2=CO_2Me)$ $Ab: (R^1=H, R^2=CO_2Et)$

Scheme 1.

Imidothioates	Nitro olefins 6		Conditions	Yield (Product)
${ m R}^1$	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3		%
Ph(1a)	$p ext{-MeOC}_6 ext{H}_4$	Et	Δ , 4 h	84 (10a)
$\mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{1c})$	$p ext{-} ext{MeOC}_6 ext{H}_4$	\mathbf{Et}	Δ , 3 d	$52 \ (10b)$
Me(1c)	Ph	Et	Δ , 3 d	$47\ (10c)$
$H(\mathbf{1d})$	Ph	\mathbf{Et}	Δ , 3 d	$35\ (10d)$
$Ph(\mathbf{1a})$	${ m Me}$	Et	R.t., 2 h then Δ , 4 h	$52 \ (10e)$
Me(1c)	${ m Me}$	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	R.t., 20 h then Δ , 48 h	12 (10f)
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{1d})$	${ m Me}$	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	R.t., 20 h then Δ , 48 h	$47\ (10g)$
$Ph(\mathbf{1a})$	${ m Me}$	$n\text{-}\mathrm{C}_5\mathrm{H}_{11}$	R.t., 2 h then Δ , 4 h	69 (10h)
			[P	2 _3

Table 2. Synthesis of Pyrroles 10a—h Prepared

Scheme 2.

This reaction can give the pyrrole derivatives by using the imidothioate 1 in the place of the ill-smelling α -isocyanoesters, because N-(methylthio-methylene)glycine ethyl ester 1d is synthetically equivalent to 2-isocyano esters.

Imidothioates **1a**, **1c**, and **1d** were allowed to react with 1-nitro-1-phenyl-1-butenes **6** in the presence of a guanidine base (1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine) under refluxing with 1,4-dioxane to afford the corresponding pyrrole derivatives, **10a—10h**, in 35—84% yields (Table 2).

In preparing 10a, the reaction was performed at room temperature to give the corresponding intermediate 11 in 87% yield. The thus-obtained 11 was then treated with DBU to afford 10a quantitatively. To obtain good yields of 10b—10h, the reaction requires a long reaction time. For example, the yield of 10b was 39% under refluxing for 1 d and 52% under refluxing for 3 d. It is known that 2-nitro-2-alkenes 6 are unstable to bases to give the polymers easily. Therefore, 2-nitro-2-alkenes

6 were generated in situ from the corresponding 1-(1-nitroethyl)alkyl acetates, and were used for preparing 10e—10h.

The formation of 10 is considered as shown in Scheme 2: Carbanions generated from ethyl imidothioates (1a, 1c, and 1d) with a guanidine base react with 6 to give the adducts 7, which can cyclize to 8 through an internal attack of the intermediate carbanion on the azomethine carbon. Then, 8 can change to 10 along with an elimination of nitrous acid, followed by aromatization via [1,5] sigmatropic shift of hydrogen.

The characterization of pyrroles prepared by the present method is summarized in Table 3.

The pyrroles produced here would be ideal building blocks for porphyrins and bile pigments,^{3b)} because the use of 1d gives 5-unsubstituted pyrroles, such as 10d and 10g, and the 2-position can be protected by an easily removable group, such as an ethoxycarbonyl. The substituents in the 3- and 4-positions originate from the readily variable nitro olefin components. In conclusion,

Table 3. Characterization of Pyrroles 3, 5a, 5b, and 10a—h Prepared

Compound	Mp	IR (KBr or neat)	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ /TMS)	Molecular
	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	ν/cm^{-1}	δ	$formula^{a)}$
3	114—115	3290, 2950, 1700	1.33 (3H, t, J=7.26 Hz), 3.96 (3H, s), 3.72 (3H, s), 4.28 (2H, q, J=7.26 Hz), 7.44—7.46 (3H, m), 7.54—7.58 (2H, m),	$C_{16}H_{17}NO_6$ (331.3)
$\mathbf{5a}^{4)}$	$146-147$ $(149-150)^{4}$	3210, 2920, 1700	9.35 (1H, br). 3.77 (6H, s), 7.36—7.45 (3H, m), 7.53—7.57 (2H, m), 8.58 (1H, br).	4)
5b	158—158.5	3240, 1650, 1450 1240	1.28 (3H, t, J =7.25 Hz), 4.25 (2H, q, J =7.25 Hz), 7.02 (1H, d, J =2.97 Hz), 7.27—7.54 (6H, m), 7.64 (2H, d, J =1.32 Hz), 7.67 (2H, d, J =1.32 Hz), 8.55 (1H, br).	C ₁₉ H ₁₇ NO ₂ (291.3)
10a	143.5—144.5	3250, 2920, 1650	0.92 (3H, t, J=7.58 Hz), 1.13 (3H, t, J=7.25 Hz), 2.55 (2H, q, J=7.58 Hz), 3.86 (3H, s), 4.15 (2H, q, J=7.25 Hz), 6.92—6.96 (2H, m), 7.26—7.55 (7H, m), 9.00 (1H, br).	C ₂₂ H ₂₃ NO ₃ (349.4)
10b	127—128	3250, 2930, 1640	0.95 (3H, t, J=7.59 Hz), 1.13 (3H, t, J=7.25 Hz), 2.28 (3H, s), 2.34 (2H, q, J=7.59 Hz), 3.84 (3H, s), 4.13 (2H, q, J=7.25 Hz), 6.89—6.92 (2H, m), 7.21—7.25 (2H, m), 8.73 (1H, br).	C ₁₇ H ₂₁ NO ₃ (287.4)
$\mathbf{10c}^{5)}$	$153 - 154 (157.3 - 154)^{5)}$	3250, 2920, 1650	0.95 (3H, t, J =7.58 Hz), 1.09 (3H, t, J =7.25 Hz), 2.28 (3H, s), 2.33 (2H, q, J =7.58 Hz), 4.22 (2H, q, J =7.25 Hz), 7.29—7.35 (5H, m), 8.85 (1H, br).	5)
10d	78.5—79	3250, 2920, 1650	1.08 (3H, t, J =7.58 Hz), 1.11 (3H, t, J =7.25 Hz), 2.40 (2H, q, J =7.58 Hz), 4.14 (2H, q, J =7.25 Hz), 6.79 (1H, d, J =2.96 Hz), 7.30—7.39 (5H, m), 9.02 (1H, br).	$C_{15}H_{17}NO_2$ (243.3)
10e	105—107	3220, 2950, 1640	1.37 (3H, t, J =7.14 Hz), 1.61 (3H, t, J =7.51 Hz), 2.36 (3H, s), 2.57 (2H, q, J =7.51 Hz), 4.33 (2H, q, J =7.14 Hz), 7.31—7.47 (5H, m), 8.79 (1H, br)	$C_{16}H_{19}NO_2$ (257.3)
$\mathbf{10f}^{6)}$	$86.5 - 87$ $(89 - 90)^{6}$	3250, 2920, 1650	1.04 (3H, t, J =7.58 Hz), 1.34 (3H, t, J =7.25 Hz), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.28 (3H, s), 2.38 (2H, q, J =7.58 Hz), 4.29 (2H, q, J =7.25 Hz), 8.55 (1H, br).	6)
$\mathbf{10g}^{7)}$	Oil ⁷⁾	3270, 2920, 1700	1.17 (3H, t, J =7.69 Hz), 1.35 (3H, t, J =7.26 Hz), 2.29 (3H, s), 2.43 (2H, q, J =7.69 Hz), 4.31 (2H, q, J =7.26 Hz), 6.67 (1H, d, J =2.99 Hz), 8.73 (1H, br).	7)
10h	95.9—96	3200, 2900, 1640, 1430	0.84—1.63 (9H, m), 1.36 (3H, t, J=7.25 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.50—2.56 (2H, m), 4.32 (2H, q, J=7.25 Hz), 7.31—7.44 (5H, m), 8.78 (1H, br).	C ₁₉ H ₂₅ NO ₂ (299.4)

a) Satisfactory microanalyses obtained: C, \pm 0.14; H, $\pm 0.16;$ N, $\pm 0.10\%.$

the imidothioates are useful reagents for the pyrroles synthesis.

Experimental

The melting points were determined using a Yamato Melting Point Apparatus MP-21 and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-FX-270 spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 215 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL JMS-HX 110A mass spectrometer. Wakogel B-5F and C-200 were used for preparative TLC (PTLC) and column chromatog-

raphy, respectively.

Methyl N-[1-Ethoxycarbonyl-2,3-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1-propenyl]benzimidothioate (2). Potassium pivalate (254 mg, 1.10 mmol) was added to a solution of thioimidate $1a^{1)}$ (237 mg, 1.00 mmol) in DMF (3mL). Then, DMAD (0.37 mL, 3.0 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was first stirred at this temperature for 30 min, and then at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with benzene, and washed successively with water, aqueous NaHCO₃, and water. The benzene extract was dried over Na₂SO₄, concentrated under

reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc: hexane, 1:2) to give 130 mg of **2** (oil, 34%) together with 22.6 mg of **3** (7%). IR (neat) 2930, 1700, 1600 cm⁻¹. 1 H NMR δ =1.13 (3H, t, J=7.25 Hz), 2.48 (3H, s), 3.28 (2H, s), 3.59 (3H, s), 3.69 (3H, s), 3.99 (2H, q, J=7.25 Hz), 7.38—7.44 (3H, m), 7.60—7.63 (2H, m). MS (FAB): 380 (M+1)⁺. Found: C, 56.93; H, 5.45; N, 3.63 %. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}NO_8S$: C, 56.98; H, 5.58; H, 3.69%.

2-Ethyl 3,4-Dimethyl 5-Phenylpyrrole-2,3,4-tricarboxylate (3). Compound 2 (176 mg, 0.46 mmol) was refluxed with DBU (0.21 mL, 1.4 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL) for 24 h. The reaction mixture was first washed with 1 M-HCl (1 M=1 moldm⁻³), and then with water, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to give crude 3, which was then purified by PTLC (EtOAc:hexane, 1:2) to give 3 as a colorless solid (99.6 mg, 65%).

Dimethyl 2,5-Diphenylpyrrole-3,4-dicarboxylate (5a). Typical Procedure. To a solution of imidothioate 1b (474 mg, 1.96 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added 1.3 mL of butyllithium (1.63 M in hexane) at -78 °C. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was slowly added with DMAD (0.72 mL, 5.88 mmol) and then stirred for 1 h. The resulting reaction mixture was washed with 1 M-HCl and extracted with diethyl ether. The ether extract was dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated, and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc: hexane, 1:2) to give 294 mg of pyrrole derivative 5a in 45% yield.

Ethyl 4-Ethyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-phenylpyrrole-2-carboxylate (10a). Typical Procedure. dothioate 1a (147 mg, 0.618 mmol), 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2nitro-1-butene (141 mg, 0.680 mmol), and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (guanidine base) (85.0 µL, 0.680 mmol) was first dissolved in 1 mL of 1,4-dioxane, and then refluxed for 4 h. After the resulting reaction mixture was filtered through a short column on silica gel (eluent; CH₂Cl₂), the filtrate was concentrated. Compound 10a was obtained (101 mg, 84%) yield) after purification by PTLC (EtOAc:hexane, 1:5). When this reaction was carried out at room temperature, methyl N-[1-ethoxycarbonyl-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-nitropentyl]benzimidothioate 11 was obtained in 87% yield. This compound gave multiple ¹H NMR spectra as a mixture of diastereoisomers. IR (neat) 2930, 1720, 1600, 1540, 1360 cm^{-1} . MS (FAB) 353 $(M+1)^+$.

Ethyl 4-Ethyl-3-methyl-5-phenylpyrrole-2-carboxylate (10e). Typical Procedure. Imidothioate 1a (237 mg, 1.00 mmol) and guanidine base (0.38 mL, 3.0 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL of 1,4-dioxane. A solution of 1-methyl-2-nitrobutyl acetate^{3b)} (263 mg, 1.50 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (4 mL) was added dropwise over 45 min to the above-mentioned

solution at room temperature. After stirring for 75 min, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h. The resulting reaction mixture was worked up in the usual way, and then purified in the same manner as in the synthesis of **10a** to give **10e** (135 mg, 52% yield).

(E)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitro-1-butene. Typical Procedure. A solution of p-anisaldehyde (1.25 mL, 10.0 mmol), 1-nitropropane (1.07 mL, 12.0 mmol), and ethylenediammonium diacetate (180 mg, 1.00 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) was refluxed for 24 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl₃: hexane, 2:1). This compound was obtained as a yellow oil (1.06 g, 77%). IR (neat) 2920, 1630, 1580, cm⁻¹. HNMR δ =1.29 (3H, t, J=7.43 Hz), 2.90 (2H, q, J=7.43 Hz), 3.87 (3H, s), 3.87 (3H, s), 6.98 (2H, m), 7.42 (2H, m), 8.02 (1H, s). This compound is considered to be the E isomer, because NOE was not observed between the olefinic proton and ethyl group.

We acknowledge support of this work by a Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research No. 06453061 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

References

- 1) M. Yokoyama, Y. Menjo, M. Watanabe, and H. Togo, Synthesis, 12, 1467 (1994).
- 2) a) J. M. Patterson, Synthesis, 1976, 281; b) R. A. Jones and G. P. Bean, "The Chemistry of Pyrroles," Academic Press, New York (1977); c) R. J. Sundberg, in "Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry," ed by A. R. Katritzky and C. W. Rees, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1984), Vol. 4, p. 313.
- 3) a) D. H. R. Barton and S. Z. Zard, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, **1985**, 1098; b) D. H. R. Barton, J. Kervagoret, and S. Z. Zard, *Tetrahedron*, **46**, 7585 (1990).
- 4) R. Huisgen, H. Gotthardt, and H. O. Bayer, *Angew. Chem.*, **76**, 185 (1964).
- 5) J. B. Paine, III, J. R. Brough, K. K. Buller, and E. E. Erikson, J. Org. Chem., **52**, 3986 (1987).
- G. G. Kleisphen and A. H. Corwin, J. Org. Chem., 25, 1048 (1960).
- 7) J. M. Conlon, J. A. Elix, G. I. Feutrill, A. W. Johnson, Md. W. Roomi, and J. Whelan, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1974, 713.
- 8) C. B. Gairaud and G. R. Lappin, J. Org. Chem., 18, 3 (1953).