This article was downloaded by: [New Mexico State University] On: 17 September 2013, At: 04:48 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

A Comparison of Methods for N-Oxidation of Some 3-Substituted Pyridines

David H Bremner^a, Keith R Sturrock^a, Grant Wishart^a, Stewart R Mitchell^a, Stuart M Nicoll^a & Gareth Jones^a

^a Division of Chemistry, School of Molecular and Life Sciences, University of Abertay Dundee, Bell Street, Dundee, Scotland, DD1 1HG Published online: 22 Aug 2006.

To cite this article: David H Bremner, Keith R Sturrock, Grant Wishart, Stewart R Mitchell, Stuart M Nicoll & Gareth Jones (1997) A Comparison of Methods for N-Oxidation of Some 3-Substituted Pyridines, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 27:9, 1535-1542, DOI: 10.1080/00397919708006090

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397919708006090

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and

are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

A COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR N-OXIDATION OF SOME 3-SUBSTITUTED PYRIDINES

David H Bremner*, Keith R Sturrock, Grant Wishart, Stewart R Mitchell, Stuart M Nicoll and Gareth Jones

Division of Chemistry, School of Molecular and Life Sciences, University of Abertay Dundee, Bell Street, Dundee, Scotland DD1 1HG

Abstract: The results from the N-oxidation of four 3-substituted pyridines using five different reagents are reported. The best yields are obtained with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid.

As part of a synthetic programme investigating the synthesis and reactions of novel thieno[2,3-b]pyridines¹ we required an efficient route to ethyl 3-pyridyl-acetate N-oxide (2a). There are a number of methods available for N-oxidation² and previously we used the most facile route utilising hydrogen peroxide (30%) in glacial acetic acid but in our hands we obtained only moderate yields after a lengthy reaction time and tedious work up. We therefore embarked upon an investigation of some other readily available oxidising agents in order to evaluate their potential in the N-oxidation of 3-substituted pyridines generally. The pyridines used are shown in scheme 1 and the oxidising agents studied were 30% hydrogen peroxide in glacial acetic acid² (method A), m-chloroperoxybenzoic

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed





a R=CH2CO2Et, b R=CH3, c R=CH2CH3, d R=CONH2

acid³ (method B), sodium perborate monohydrate⁴ (method C), potassium peroxymonosulfate^{5,6} (methods D and E) and magnesium monoperoxyphthalate⁷ (method F). Although, the oxidation of pyridines to their corresponding pyridine N-oxides is not new, this comparison will prove useful to others when cost and safety of reagents are important issues. Hydrogen peroxide in glacial acetic acid is one of the most commonly used reagents for the preparation of pyridine N-oxides and its use is well documented in the literature². The oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides and sulfones⁸, the conversion of alkenes to oxiranes⁹, and Noxidations of heterocyclics¹⁰ with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid have also been reported. Sodium perborate monohydrate is a cheap, stable and widely used industrial oxidising agent which in laboratory reactions has been reported as an oxidant in the cleavage of 1,2-diketones¹¹, the oxidation of pyridines¹², anilines¹³, sulfides¹⁴, alkenes¹⁵ and trialkylboranes¹⁶. Potassium peroxymonosulfate, available under the commercial name of Oxone, has been utilised as a reagent for the oxidation of sulfides¹⁷ and for the N-oxidation of heterocycles both in acid conditions^{18,19} and neutral and basic conditions^{20,6}. Magnesium monoperoxyphthalate is a newer reagent which is stable at ambient temperatures and has been shown to oxidise alkenes, ketones, sulfides, sulfoxides and pyridines⁷ in high yields, however many of these are not isolated yields but estimates determined by titration or glc.

The yields of products (2a-d) obtained by the oxidation of pyridines (1a-d) are shown in table 1. Method A gave acceptable yields of the N-oxides but took up to 72 hours for complete reaction and the work-up procedure was rather tedious requiring destruction of excess peroxide with carbon and removing solvents *in vacuo* prior to chromatography. Initially, in method B, m-chloroperoxybenzoic

Pyridine	N-Oxide	A	В	С	D	E	F
1a	2a	69	93	73	50	57	55
1b	2b	94	84	77	50	23	39
1c	2c	64	83	69	52	52	87
1d	2d	74	70	52	52	1	64

Table 1 Reaction yields of pyridine N-oxides 2a-d METHOD

acid was used according to the literature procedure⁹ but yields were low due, presumably, to loss of product during aqueous work-up. We therefore developed an improved novel work-up procedure which involves the exclusion of water. Thus after completion of the reaction (tlc), solid sodium metabisulfite was added to destroy any excess oxidising agent and then solid potassium carbonate was used to remove m-chlorobenzoic acid. After filtration of the solids the pure N-oxides were obtained in good yields by evaporation of the solvent followed by column chromatography. The yields from method C, based on that published by Greenhalgh¹⁷, were disappointing and increasing the amount of oxidant used,

coupled with the use of higher boiling solvents or the application of ultrasound failed to produce any improvement. Since we were unable to complete the oxidation (according to tlc) the problem may lie in the solubility of the pyridine in water which is present as a requirement of the method. The use of sodium perborate (method D) gave reasonable yields of N-oxides except in the case of nicotinamide. However this method required heating at 60° for 24 hr followed by a series of evaporations and filtrations before the product is isolated by column chromatography. It has been reported⁶ that acetone in the presence of Oxone produces a dioxirane which acts as the oxidising agent in these reactions. However, in our hands (method E), the yields of ethyl 3-pyridylacetate N-oxide (2a) and 3-ethylpyridine N-oxide (2c) were comparable to that of Oxone in the presence of wet alumina (method C) and in the other two cases the yields were much lower. Magnesium monoperoxyphthalate gave an acceptable yield for 3ethylpyridine N-oxide (2c) however the yields of the other N-oxides ranged from 39-64 % and the reaction times were in the region of 5 days. In many of these reactions the yields are well short of 100 % but all reactions were run until tlc had indicated that no starting material remained, except in the case of method D where unreacted starting material was recovered. Additionally the work up of these reactions afforded only the required product and none of the starting pyridines.

Conclusions

From the results of the oxidations of the four 3-substituted pyridines to their corresponding pyridine N-oxides it is obvious that m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (Method B) gives the highest yields. This would normally be the reagent of choice for anyone who was not concerned over the cost or safety of reagents in large scale synthesis. Moreover, we have developed an improved work up

procedure for water soluble products. Magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (Method F) has been proposed a safer and more cost effective alternative⁷, however the present study shows that the use of this reagent does not give a significant improvement of reaction yields of 3-substituted pyridine N-oxides when compared to other existing oxidation methods.

N-Oxide	Mpt (^O C) [Lit] / Bpt (^O C/mm Hg) [Lit]	υ _{N-O} /cm ⁻¹	
2a	96-98 ⁰ [97-98 ⁰] ²¹	1163	
2b	$120^{\circ}/4 \text{ mm} [146-149^{\circ}/15 \text{ mm}]^{22}$	1171	
2c	$100^{\circ}/4 \text{ mm} [125-126^{\circ}/15 \text{ mm}]^{23}$	1162	
2d	291-292 ⁰ [291-293 ⁰] ²⁴	1236	

Lable 2 Data for pyridine N-0xides 2a	Table 2	2 Data	for	pyridine	N	-oxides	2a-
---------------------------------------	---------	--------	-----	-----------------	---	---------	-----

Experimental

Melting points were determined using an Electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Column chromatography was performed using pressurised short path columns with Kieselgel 60, particle size < 0.063 mm (Merck No. 7729) and reactions were monitored with Merck DC-Alufoilien Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ (Merck No. 5554). Oxone, sodium perborate, m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, magnesium monoperoxyphthalate and the pyridines were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and were used without further purification.

Method A

Pyridine (1a-d, 1.0 g) was dissolved in glacial acetic acid (20 ml) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (3 ml) was added. The reaction was stirred at 70°C until tlc

had indicated no more starting material remained (4-72 h). Activated charcoal (0.5 g) was added to destroy any excess peroxide and the solution was filtered through Celite before removing the solvent *in vacuo*. The resulting product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to afford the corresponding pyridine N-oxide (2a-d).

Method B

Pyridine (1a-d, 1.0 g) was stirred in dichloromethane at room temperature and mchloroperoxybenzoic acid (70%; 1.1 eq) was added portionwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and the excess oxidising agent was destroyed by the careful addition of sodium metabisulphite. The mixture was filtered and solid potassium carbonate was added to neutralise m-chlorobenzoic acid and the filtrate was subsequently dried over magnesium sulfate and all solids removed by filtration. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* to give the crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to give the appropriate pyridine N-oxide (2a-d,).

Method C

Pyridine (1a-d, 1.0 g) was added to a vigorously stirred suspension of wet alumina (prepared¹⁷ by adding 10 ml of water to 50 g of Brockman grade 1 alumina (200 mesh) and shaking until a free flowing powder was obtained) and Oxone (1 eq.) in dichloromethane (20 ml). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h, cooled and the solids filtered and washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was evaporated to afford the crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to give the appropriate pyridine N-oxide (2a-d).

Method D

Pyridine (1a-d, 1.0 g) was dissolved in glacial acetic acid and sodium perborate.H₂O (1.5 eq.) was added. The mixture was heated at 60° for 24 h, filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was flooded with acetone and filtered once more. The solution was dried over magnesium sulfate to give the crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to give the appropriate pyridine N-oxide (2a-d).

Method E⁶

Oxone (0.03 mol) in water (100 ml) was added dropwise to a mixture of pyridine (1a-d, 0.0126 mol), acetone (5 ml) and phosphate buffer (50 ml). Potassium hydroxide solution was added to maintain the pH at 7.5 - 8.0. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, extracted with dichloromethane, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to give the crude product. Chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to gave the appropriate pyridine N-oxide (2a-d).

Method F

Pyridine (1a-d, 1.0 g) in ethanol (30 ml) was stirred with magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (3.0 g, 90%) at room temperature until tlc indicated no starting material remained (approximately 5 days). The solid was removed by filtration, washed with chloroform, and the filtrate evaporated to yield the crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate to give the appropriate pyridine N-oxide (2a-d).

References

- 1. Bremner D.H., Dunn A.D. and Wilson K.A. Synthesis 1992, 1209.
- 2. Ochai E. "Aromatic Amine Oxides" Elsevier, New York, 1967.

- McDonald R., Steppel R. and Dorsey J. "Organic Synthesis Collected Vol. VI" Wiley, New York, 1967, pp 276.
- Fieser M. "Reagents for Organic Synthesis" Vol 14, Wiley, New York, 1989, pp 290.
- 5. Stephanon S.E. US Patent No 2,802,722, 1957.
- 6. Murray R. and Jeyaraman R. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2847.
- Brougham P., Cooper M.S., Cummerson D.A., Heaney H. and Thompson N Synthesis 1987, 1015.
- 8. Bordwell F. and Pitt B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 57, 572.
- Paquette L.A. and Barrett J. "Organic Synthesis Collected Vol V" Wiley, New York, 1967, pp 467.
- 10. Delia T., Olsen M. and Brown G. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 2766.
- 11. Allen C.F.H. and Clarke J.H. J. Chem Ed. 1942, 19, 72.
- 12. McKillop A. and Kemp D. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 3299.
- 13. Huestis L. J. Chem. Ed. 1977, 54, 327.
- 14. McKillop A. and Tarbin J.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1505.
- 15. Xie G., Xu L., Ma S., Hou W. and Yao F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2967.
- 16. Kabalka G., Shoup T. and Goudgaon N Tetrahedron Lett 1989, 30, 1483.
- 17. Greenhalgh R. Synlett 1992, 235.
- 18. Bamberger E. and Rudolf L. Chem. Ber. 1902, 35, 1082.
- 19. Jones L. and Hartshorn E. J Am. Chem. Soc. 1924, 46, 1440.
- 20. Gallopo A. and Edwards J. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1684.
- 21. Tan R. and Taurins A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 32, 2737.
- 22. Boekelheide V. and Linn W.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 1286.
- 23. Essery J. and Schofield K. J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 4953.
- 24. Taylor E.C. and Crovetti A.J. J. Org. Chem. 1954, 19, 1633.

(Received in the UK 29th October 1996)