

# Domino Synthesis of 2,3-Dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans via Tandem Prins Cyclization-Skeletal Reorganization

Mizuki Kato and Akio Saito\*

Division of Applied Chemistry, Institute of Engineering, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, 2-24-16 Naka-cho, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588, Japan

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A domino synthesis of 2,3-dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans based on Prins-type cyclization of 3,5-diynols and aldehydes is described. In the present reaction, skeletal reorganization of the Prins-cyclized intermediates proceeds via a ringopening reaction followed by intramolecular (hemi)acetalization of the resulting 4-en-1-yn-3-ones. Furthermore, a representative product undergoes a Diels-Alder reaction with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) to afford a highly substituted 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran.

he cyclization of an oxocarbenium intermediate generated from a homoallylic alcohol and an aldehyde in the presence of an acid  $(MX_n)$  is known as the Prins cyclization (Scheme 1), which is widely utilized in natural product

Scheme 1. Prins Cyclization



synthesis as a powerful method for constructing oxygencontaining heterocycles.<sup>1</sup> The cyclization generally proceeds via a six-membered chairlike transition state to produce tetrahydropyrans with high stereoselectivities.<sup>2</sup> Because the acid can act not only as a promoter but also as a source of the nucleophilic anion depending on the reaction conditions, various functional groups such as halides,<sup>3</sup> oxygen-centered,<sup>4</sup> sulfur-centered,<sup>5</sup> nitrogen-centered,<sup>6</sup> and carbon-centered nucleophiles' can be introduced into position 4 of the products by modulating the nature of the acid and the addition of a nucleophile. Furthermore, the Prins cyclization has been extended to its variants of other unsaturated alcohols with functionalities such as silvlated alkenes,<sup>1e,8</sup> alkynes,<sup>9</sup> allenes,<sup>10</sup> and conjugated dienes,<sup>11</sup> although these have been less studied. Also, tetrahydrofurans can be obtained by the varying substitution styles of the unsaturated alcohol-s.  $^{2a,3b,8a,9a-c,10e,11b}$  However, to the best of our knowledge, Prins-type cyclization of conjugated diynyl alcohols and its application to domino reactions<sup>1d,12</sup> have not been reported.

As a part of our continuing studies on efficient syntheses of cyclic compounds, we have developed domino reactions involving the acid-catalyzed metathesis between alkynes and carbonyls or imines.<sup>13</sup> In the course of investigating the metathesis of conjugated diynes and aldehydes, it was found that 2,3-dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans were formed in the reaction between 3,5-diynols and aldehydes (Scheme 2).



Although a flash vacuum pyrolysis of 3-acyloxymethyl-2methylfuran,<sup>14a</sup> an olefination of 2-alkylidenebutyrolactone,<sup>14b</sup> and a cyclocondensation of 2-cinnamoyl ketene dithioacetals with oxalyl chloride<sup>14c</sup> have been known as preparations of 2,3dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans that have a further functionalizable diene moiety and are expected to be converted into various biologically interesting polycyclic furans,<sup>15</sup> these methods have limitations in reactants.<sup>14</sup> Herein, we report the domino synthesis of 2,3-dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans via skeletal reorganization of the Prins-cyclized intermediates derived from 3,5-diynols and aldehydes.

In the initial study, acids and additives were evaluated for the domino reaction of 3,5-diynol 1a and benzaldehyde (2a, 2 equiv) in dichloromethane (DCM, Table 1). The use of trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf), HOTf, and  $HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$  as the acids (1 equiv) afforded the desired

Received: July 6, 2018

Table 1. Evaluation of Acids and Additives

ОН 1а (0.4	Ph + 0 <sup>Ph</sup> mmol) <b>2a</b> (2 equiv)	acid, additive DCM, rt, 8 h	Ph J J J J J J J J J	Ph
entry	acid (equiv)	additive	3aa <sup>a</sup> (%)	$1a^{a}$ (%)
1	TMSOTf (1)		17	6
2	HOTf (1)		21	6
3	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ (1)		25	27
4	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2(1)$	MeOH (1)	37	46
5	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2(1)$	MeOH (2)	21	79
6	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2(1)$	MeOH (5)	-	100
7	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ (3)	MeOH (3)	80 <sup>b</sup>	_
8	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ (3)	<sup>i</sup> PrOH (3)	57 <sup>b</sup>	_
9	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ (3)	<sup>t</sup> BuOH (3)	trace	trace
10	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ (3)	$H_2O(3)$	25	3
<sup>a</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup> H NMR. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.				

furans **3aa** in 17–25% yields at room temperature (entries 1– 3, see also the Supporting Information for details of other acids). Furthermore, the addition of methanol (1 equiv) with HBF<sub>4</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (1 equiv) showed a better result, in which the yield of **3aa** was improved up to 37% (entry 4). Unfortunately, the reaction with an increased amount of methanol (2 or 5 equiv) was sluggish (entry 5 or 6). In contrast, increasing the amounts of both methanol and HBF<sub>4</sub> by 1 equiv led to good conversions to **3aa**, and finally, the use of 3 equiv each afforded **3aa** in high yield (80%, entry 7). Furthermore, the present reaction could be successfully scaled up (**1a**, 5 mmol; **3aa**, 81%; see entry 25 in Table S-1). Notably, the addition of other alcohols or H<sub>2</sub>O instead of methanol led to reduced yields of **3aa** (entries 8–10).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we next investigated the scope of 3,5-diynols 1 and aldehydes 2 in the domino synthesis of tetrahydrofurans 3 (Scheme 3). Similar to benzaldehyde (2a), the para-substituted 2b-e and the metasubstituted 2g,h reacted smoothly with 3,5-diynol 1a in the presence of HBF<sub>4</sub> and methanol to give the corresponding furans 3ab-ae, 3ag, and 3ah in 62-81% yields. With panisaldehyde (2f) as the substrate, lower conversion to 3af (24% yield, 17% recovery of 1a) was observed, probably because of its diminished electrophilicity as a result of the pmethoxy substituent. On the other hand, sterically hindered obromobenzaldehyde (2i) and pivalaldehyde (2l) afforded the desired 3ai and 3al in good yields (69% and 60%), respectively, although lower yields of 3aj (42%) or 3ak (13%) were attained from the reactions with cinnamaldehyde (2i) or cyclohexanecarbaldehyde (2k). It should be mentioned that 4-en-1-yn-3-ones 4aj and 4ak were formed in relatively good yields (56 and 61%) in the reaction of 1a with 2j and 2k, respectively, at a lower temperature  $(-40 \, ^\circ \text{C})$  in the absence of methanol (Scheme 4).

To our delight, the present method could be applied to the domino reactions of other 3,5-diynols 1b-g and aldehyde 2a (Scheme 3). In particular, *p*- and *m*-anisyl derivatives 3ba and 3ca, *p*-tolyl derivative 3ea, and butyl derivative 3ga were obtained in moderate to good yields (56–75%) at room temperature. On the other hand, the formation of *p*-nitrophenyl derivative 3fa proceeded slowly (23% yield) because the nitro group reduced the electron density of the



<sup>*a*</sup>Yields of isolated products are given. <sup>*b*</sup>Recovery of **1a**: 17%. <sup>*c*</sup>Reaction time: 18 h. <sup>*d*</sup>**4da** was obtained as a byproduct in 25% yield. <sup>*e*</sup>Reaction time, 24 h; recovery of **1f**, 62%.

Scheme 4. Formation of 4-En-2-ynones 4



diyne, and consequently, 62% of the substrate 1f was recovered. In the case of *o*-anisyl derivative 3da (38% yield), 4-en-1-yn-3-one 4da was detected as a byproduct (25% yield). Considering the reaction of 1a with 2j or 2k at -40 °C (Scheme 4), it is evident from these results that the 4-en-1-yn-3-one 4 was an intermediate in the domino synthesis of 2,3-dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans 3.

To gain insight into the involvement of 4 as an intermediate, the formation of 4aa from 3,5-diynol 1a with aldehyde 2a and the formation of 3aa from 4aa were examined as control experiments (Scheme 5, see also the Supporting Information for other control experiment). As expected, in the presence of HBF<sub>4</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>, the reaction of 1a with aldehyde 2a at -40 °C afforded the corresponding 4-en-1-yn-3-one 4aa in 72% yield. Although the addition of methanol and isopropanol led to the slow formation of 4aa (Scheme 5a), likely because of the reduced acidity of the promoter by these alcohols and the competition between 1a and these alcohols for addition to 2a, the 4-en-1-yn-3-ones 4aa were obtained in 70% and 65% yields, respectively (Scheme 5a). Furthermore, treatment of the isolated 4aa with HBF<sub>4</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> in the presence of methanol at room temperature gave the desired furans 3aa in 75% yield.

## Scheme 5. Control Experiments



These results support the involvement of 4 as the intermediate. The use of isopropanol instead of methanol hindered the conversion of 4aa to 3aa, which was not produced at all in the absence of alcohols (Scheme 5b). Therefore, it was concluded that alcohol additives are essential for the cyclization of 4aa to 3aa.

On the basis of these results and previous reports of the Prins cyclization,  $^{1,4a}$  a proposed mechanism for the domino synthesis of 2,3-dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans 3 is shown in Scheme 6. In this mechanism, 5-endo cyclization of the



oxocarbenium intermediates A derived from 3,5-diynols 1 and aldehydes 2 followed by addition of methanol ( $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{M}e$ ) or the generated  $H_2O$  ( $\mathbb{R}^3 = H$ ) to carbon of inner alkyne give the Prins-cyclized intermediates B. The intermediates B are then converted into oxocarbenium intermediates C via the ringopening of furan rings by acid. Subsequently, intramolecular (hemi)acetalization of intermediates C in the 5-*exo* mode leads to ring-closing intermediates D, which then undergo acidmediated propargylic substitution reaction with methanol to produce allenyl ethers E.<sup>16</sup> Finally, the intermediates E are hydrolyzed to give furans 3. Because the intramolecular acetalization and/or the propargylic substitution reaction did not proceed at -40 °C, intermediates C and/or D were hydrolyzed to yield 4-en-1-yn-3-ones 4. Accordingly, methanol would play the role of nucleophiles in both Prins cyclization<sup>4a</sup> and propargylic substitution reactions.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, less sterically hindered methanol showed results superior to isopropanol in both conversions, namely, of 4aa from 1a with 2a and of 3aa from 4aa (Scheme 4).

As an application of the present domino reaction, synthesis of 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans via the Diels–Alder reaction was also investigated (Scheme 7). The Diels–Alder reaction of





diene **3aa** with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD, 4 equiv) proceeded at 110 °C to give 4,5,6,7-tetrasubstituted 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran **5** in 43% yield after oxidative treatment using 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-*p*-benzoquinone (DDQ). Because the synthetic methods of 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans have been primarily focused on the construction of tetrahydrofuran rings,<sup>17</sup> this finding provides a novel procedure for the construction of aromatic rings.<sup>18</sup>

In conclusion, we developed a synthetic method for 2,3dialkylidenetetrahydrofurans from 3,5-diynols and aldehydes under mild conditions. On the basis of control experiments, it was proposed that the domino synthesis of these heterocycles likely proceeded via Prins cyclization of 3,5-diynols and aldehydes followed by a skeletal reorganization that involved ring-opening of the Prins-cyclized intermediates and intramolecular (hemi)acetalization of the 4-en-1-yn-3-one intermediates. Furthermore, as one of the few construction methods of aromatic rings in the synthesis of 2,3dihydrobenzofurans, the Diels—Alder reaction of the present product was demonstrated. Studies on the formation of other regioisomers in the Prins-type cyclization of 3,5-diynols are underway.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b02114.

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: akio-sai@cc.tuat.ac.jp.

#### ORCID ©

Akio Saito: 0000-0002-8291-2059

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by a JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (Grant No. 18K06573), by the Shorai Foundation for Science and Technology, and by the Takeda Science Foundation.

## REFERENCES

(1) Recent reviews: (a) Olier, C.; Kaafarani, M.; Gastaldi, S.; Bertrand, M. P. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 413. (b) Pastor, I.; Yus, M. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *16*, 1277. (c) Han, X.; Peh, G.; Floreancig, P. E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 2013, 1193. (d) Subba Reddy, B. V.; Nair, P. N.; Antony, A.; Lalli, C.; Grée, R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2017, 1805. (e) Diez-Poza, C.; Barbero, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2017, 4651.

(2) (a) Yang, X.-F.; Mague, J. T.; Li, C.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 739. (b) Alder, R. W.; Harvey, J. N.; Oakley, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4960. (c) Jasti, R.; Anderson, C. D.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9939.

(3) (a) Al-Mutairi, E. H.; Crosby, S. R.; Darzi, J.; Harding, J. R.; Hughes, R. A.; King, C. D.; Simpson, T. J.; Smith, R. W.; Willis, C. L. *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 835. (b) Jaber, J. J.; Mitsui, K.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4679. (c) Dobbs, A. P.; Pivnevi, L.; Penny, M. J.; Martinović, S.; Iley, J. N.; Stephenson, P. T. Chem. Commun. 2006, 3134. (d) Kataoka, K.; Ode, Y.; Matsumoto, M.; Nokami, J. *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 2471. (e) Kishi, Y.; Nagura, H.; Inagi, S.; Fuchigami, T. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3876. (f) Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Narayana Kumar, G. G. K. S.; Swamy, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 2205. (g) Refs 2a and 2c.

(4) (a) Zhang, W.-C.; Li, C.-J. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2403.
(b) Barry, C. St. J.; Crosby, S. R.; Harding, J. R.; Hughes, R. A.; King, C. D.; Parker, G. D.; Willis, C. L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2429. (c) Murty, M. S. R.; Rajasekhar, K.; Harikrishna, V.; Yadav, J. S. Heteroat. Chem. 2008, 19, 104. (d) Refs 2c and 3a-d.

(5) (a) Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Maity, T.; Narayana Kumar, G. G. K. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 8874. (b) Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Jayasudhan Reddy, Y.; Sivasankar Reddy, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 2877. (c) Matsumoto, K.; Fujie, S.; Ueoka, K.; Suga, S.; Yoshida, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2506. (d) Sarmah, B.; Baishya, G.; Das, P. J. ChemistrySelect 2016, 1, 2762. (6) (a) Ref 3a. (b) Epstein, O. L.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16480. (c) Sabitha, G.; Bhikshapathi, M.; Nayak, S.; Yadav, J. S.; Ravi, R.; Kunwar, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5727. (d) Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Maity, T.; Narayana Kumar, G. G. K. S. Synthesis 2008, 2008, 2739.

(7) (a) Yang, X.-F.; Wang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. Synlett 2005, 2005, 1912. (b) Tian, X.; Jaber, J. J.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3176. (c) Reddy, U. C.; Bondalapati, S.; Saikia, A. K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 2009, 1625.

(8) (a) Peng, F.; Hall, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3070.
(b) Su, Q.; Panek, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2425. (c) Keck,
G. E.; Kraft, M. B.; Truong, A. P.; Li, W.; Sanchez, C. C.; Kedei, N.;
Lewin, N. E.; Blumberg, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6660.
(d) Wender, P. A.; DeChristopher, B. A.; Schrier, A. J. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 2008, 130, 6658. (e) Overman, L. E.; Castaneda, A.; Blumenkopf,
T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1303. (f) Semeyn, C.; Blaauw, R.
H.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3426.

(9) (a) Miranda, P. O.; Díaz, D. D.; Padrón, J. I.; Bermejo, J.; Martín, V. S. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1979. (b) Chavre, S. N.; Choo, H.; Lee, J. K.; Pae, A. N.; Kim, Y.; Cho, Y. S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7467.
(c) Nikolic, N. A.; Gonda, E.; Longford, C. P. D.; Lane, N. T.; Thompson, D. W. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2748. (d) Miranda, P. O.; Díaz, D. D.; Padrón, J. I.; Ramírez, M. A.; Martín, V. S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 57. (e) Miranda, P. O.; Ramírez, M. A.; Martín, V. S.; Padrón, J. I. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 6260. (f) Furman, B.; Dziedzic, M.; Justyniak, I. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3103. (g) Ref 3b.

(10) (a) Olier, C.; Gastaldi, S.; Gil, G.; Bertrand, M. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7801. (b) Hu, X.-H.; Liu, F.; Loh, T.-P. Org. Lett.
2009, 11, 1741. (c) Cho, Y. S.; Karupaiyan, K.; Kang, H. J.; Pae, A. N.; Cha, J. H.; Koh, H. Y.; Chang, M. H. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2346.
(d) Luo, H.-Q.; Hu, X.-H.; Loh, T.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 1041. (e) Okada, T.; Shimoda, A.; Shinada, T.; Sakaguchi, K.; Ohfune, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6130.

(11) (a) Li, L.; Sun, X.; He, Y.; Gao, L.; Song, Z. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 14925. (b) Xie, Y.; Cheng, G.-J.; Lee, S.; Kaib, P. S. J.; Thiel, W.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 14538. (12) (a) Kopecky, D. J.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8420. (b) Li, H.; Loh, T.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7194.
(c) Overman, L. E.; Pennington, L. D. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7143.
(d) Chavre, S. N.; Ullapu, P. R.; Min, S. J.; Lee, J. K.; Pae, A. N.; Kim, Y.; Cho, Y. S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3834. (e) Chen, Z.-H.; Tu, Y.-Q.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Zhang, F.-M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 724. (f) Cho, Y. S.; Kim, H. Y.; Cha, J. H.; Pae, A. N.; Koh, H. Y.; Choi, J. H.; Chang, M. H. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2025. (g) Elsworth, J. D.; Willis, C. L. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1587. (h) Reddy, B. V. S.; Borkar, P.; Yadav, J. S.; Sridhar, B.; Grée, R. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7677. (i) Abas, H.; Linsdall, S. M.; Mamboury, M.; Rzepa, H. S.; Spivey, A. C. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2486. (j) Reddy, B. V. S.; Swathi, V.; Bhadra, M. P.; Kanaka Raju, M.; Kunwarring, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 1889.

(13) (a) Saito, A.; Umakoshi, M.; Yagyu, M.; Hanzawa, Y. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1783. (b) Saito, A.; Kasai, J.; Odaira, Y.; Fukaya, H.; Hanzawa, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5644. (c) Saito, A.; Kasai, J.; Konishi, T.; Hanzawa, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6980. (d) Murai, K.; Tateishi, K.; Saito, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 10352. (e) Recent review on alkyne-carbonyl metathesis: Saito, A.; Tateishi, K. Heterocycles 2016, 92, 607.

(14) (a) Trahanovsky, W. S.; Cassady, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8197. (b) Murray, A. W.; Murray, N. D.; Reid, R. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1230. (c) Zhao, Y.-L.; Chen, L.; Yang, S.-C.; Tian, C.; Liu, Q. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5622. (d) See also Trost, B. M.; Frontier, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11727.

(15) Examples of 2,3-dihydrofurans: (a) Fish, I.; Stößel, A.; Eitel, K.; Valant, C.; Albold, S.; Huebner, H.; Möller, D.; Clark, M. J.; Sunahara, R. K.; Christopoulos, A.; Shoichet, B. K.; Gmeiner, P. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 9239. (b) Choi, M.; Jo, H.; Park, H.-J.; Kumar, A. S.; Lee, J.; Yun, J.; Kim, Y.; Han, S.; Jung, J.-K.; Cho, J.; Lee, K.; Kwak, J.-H.; Lee, H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2015, 25, 2545. (c) Kataoka, K.; Shiota, T.; Takeyasu, T.; Minoshima, T.; Watanabe, K.; Tanaka, H.; Mochizuki, T.; Taneda, K.; Ota, M.; Tanabe, H.; Yamaguchi, H. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1262.

(16) Kim, P.; Olmstead, M. M.; Nantz, M. H.; Kurth, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 4029.

(17) Recent examples: (a) Li, R.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2018**, 57, 1697. (b) Yang, J.; Mo, H.; Jin, X.; Cao, D.; Wu, H.; Chen, D.; Wang, Z. J. Org. Chem. **2018**, 83, 2592. (c) Liu, L.; Yuan, Z.; Pan, R.; Zeng, Y.; Lin, A.; Yao, H.; Huang, Y. Org. Chem. Front. **2018**, 5, 623. (d) Zhang, R.; Yan, Z.; Lin, S. Synlett **2018**, 29, 336.

(18) (a) Weyershausen, B.; Dötz, K. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1998, 1739. (b) Pyschev, A. I.; Butenko, L. I.; Verin, S. V. Mendeleev Commun. 1995, 5, 99.