A EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL BIOLOGY

SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY & BIO-NANOTECHNOLOGY

Accepted Article

Title: Repurposing the Pummerer Rearrangement: Determination of Methionine Sulfoxides in Peptides

Authors: Carolyn C Woodroofe, Rolf E Swenson, Joseph Ivanic, Rodney L. Levine, and Sarah Monti

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: ChemBioChem 10.1002/cbic.201900463

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201900463



WILEY-VCH

www.chembiochem.org

10.1002/cbic.201900463

Repurposing the Pummerer Rearrangement: Determination of Methionine Sulfoxides in Peptides Carolyn C. Woodroofe*^[a], Joseph Ivanic^[b], Sarah Monti^[c], Rodney L. Levine^[c], and Rolf E. Swenson^[a]

Abstract

The reversible oxidation of methionine residues in proteins has emerged as a biologically important post-translational modification. However, detection and quantitation of methionine sulfoxide in proteins is difficult. Our aim is to develop a method for specifically derivatizing methionine sulfoxide residues. We report a Pummerer rearrangement of methionine sulfoxide treated sequentially with trimethylsilyl chloride and then 2-mercaptoimidazole or pyridine-2-thiol to produce a dithioacetal product. This derivative is stable to standard mass spectrometry conditions, and its formation identified oxidized methionine residues. The scope and requirements of dithioacetal formation are reported for methionine sulfoxide and model substrates. The reaction intermediates have been investigated by computational techniques and by ¹³C NMR. These provide evidence for an alpha-chlorinated intermediate. The derivatization allows for detection and quantitation of methionine sulfoxide in proteins by mass spectrometry and potentially by immunochemical methods.

Table of Contents graphic



Keywords: Protein modifications, reaction mechanism, Pummerer rearrangement, sulfoxides, oxidoreductases

*Corresponding author: carolyn.woodroofe@nih.gov

Author Affiliations

^[a]Imaging Probe Development Center, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, 9800 Medical Center Dr, Rockville MD 20850

^[b] Advanced Biomedical Computational Sciences Group, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer

Research operated by Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., 1050 Boyles St, Frederick, MD 21702

^[c] Laboratory of Biochemistry, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, 50 South Dr, Bethesda, MD 20892

Introduction

Oxidative modification of proteins by reactive oxygen species had long been viewed as an inevitable, negative by-product of aerobic metabolism. Over the past two decades, many investigators have established that cells produce reactive oxygen species in a controlled fashion. These reactive oxygen species mediate the reversible oxidative modification of specific proteins as an important mechanism of cellular regulation.^[1] Methionine in proteins is often thought to be a generic hydrophobic residue, functionally replaceable with another hydrophobic residue such as valine or leucine. However, this frequently is not the case because of the presence of sulfur in methionine. The methionine can be oxidized to methionine sulfoxide, and all aerobic organisms contain methionine sulfoxide reductases capable of reducing the sulfoxide back to the thioether.^[2,3] Moreover, the cycle also constitutes a reversible post-translational covalent modification analogous to phosphorylation that can regulate cellular metabolism.^[4,5,6] The reversible oxidative modification of methionine enables methionine residues to provide a catalytically efficient antioxidant defense that scavenges reactive oxygen species.

Progress in identifying the proteins and pathways affected by methionine oxidation and reduction has been slow because detection and quantitation of methionine sulfoxide in specific proteins is difficult. A general antibody capable of specifically binding to any methionine sulfoxide residue would be very useful, but such antibodies cannot be raised.^[7,8] Mass spectrometry would seem an ideal method for analysis, and various mass spectrometric methods have been proposed,^[9] but they often suffer from artifactual sulfoxide formation during sample preparation or analysis. A method for covalently and specifically derivatizing methionine sulfoxide residues could circumvent these issues. Such a method could be utilized in a variety of detection techniques, including one and two dimensional separations, mass spectrometry, and fluorescence. Modified proteins or their proteolytic digests could also be enriched by affinity techniques based on the covalent modification.

The Pummerer rearrangement is a reaction specific to sulfoxides. Sulfoxides can be O-alkylated or acylated with a strong electrophile or other acid, forming an adduct that then eliminates to give rise to a thionium ion. The thionium ion may undergo a variety of reactions: in the most common incarnation of the Pummerer rearrangement, an acetate ion or similar weak to moderate nucleophile adds to generate an alpha-substituted sulfide as the product, essentially transferring the oxidation from the original sulfur atom to the adjacent carbon. Attack of water yields a hemithioacetal, which can decompose to the corresponding aldehyde and thiol. Other nucleophiles have included carboxylic acids, alcohols, phenyl rings, indoles, phenols, anilines and amides.^[10,11] Intramolecular Pummerer-like rearrangements have recently been reviewed.^[12] Sulfur nucleophiles, while known, are somewhat underrepresented in comparison, with just a few examples of conversion of a sulfoxide to a dithioacetal.^[13,14] We now report the application of Pummerer rearrangement using thiol nucleophiles to selectively transform oxidized methionine residues from sulfoxides into dithioacetals.

Results and Discussion

An attempt to quantitate methionine oxidation via the Pummerer rearrangement was reported in 1972 by Lunder.^[15] However, we have been unable to reproduce his results, and there are no published

papers that utilized this protocol. Classical Pummerer protocols were often carried out in acetic acid or trifluoroacetic acid with acetic anhydride or trifluoroacetic anhydride as initiators.^[11] These harsh conditions usually covalently modify or degrade proteins. Trimethylchlorosilane^[16] (TMSCI) was an intriguing alternative to acetic anhydride or trifluoroacetic anhydride, particularly as TMSCI has been used as a cleavage and deprotection reagent in peptide synthesis^[17] and would be expected to cause minimal protein decomposition. In addition, TMSCI is often used for transient protection of sensitive groups, as it readily forms adducts with hydroxyls or amines that are spontaneously removed during aqueous workup.^[18,19] We considered that TMSCI could transiently protect alcohols, amines, or other sensitive side chains during the activation step, an effect that should simultaneously increase solubility in organic solvents. Subsequent interception of the thionium ion or other reactive intermediate^[20] with an appropriate thiol could give rise to a dithioacetal adduct, as shown in Scheme 1. Dithioacetals are generally quite stable to all but forcing conditions,^[21] including mass spectrometry, and a methionine-



Scheme 1. Proposed labeling approach

based dithioacetal should be readily differentiated from a methionine thioether by MS or other analytical methods. Such an approach could offer the ability to both monitor total sulfoxide levels and determine the regiospecificity of oxidation; i.e. which specific methionine residues within the protein of interest were in the sulfoxide oxidation state.

We chose the commercially available Fmoc-Met[O]-OH (**1**) as our initial test substrate, as the Fmoc group is both acid-stable and presents a useful UV handle for LC analysis studies. Initial reaction of **1** with TMSCl in THF was promising, as a mass of 370 corresponding to a putative thionium intermediate was observed by LCMS. Upon treatment with 2-mercaptopyridine (**2d**, Table 1) robust signals with m/z 481 were observed, consistent with the desired adduct. With this preliminary result in hand, we set out to explore the scope and limitation of the reaction of **1** to form dithioacetal adducts.

An initial screen of small molecule thiols for adduct formation, with a focus on thiol-substituted heterocycles, suggested that the scope of effective nucleophiles was relatively narrow (Table 1). Most tested thiols formed adducts of **1** in very modest amounts, even when the structure was closely related to a thiol that formed the corresponding dithioacetal adduct in good yield. 4-Mercaptopyridine (**2e**) for example, yielded far less adduct than **2d**, and 2-mercaptopyrimidine (**2i**) yielded very little adduct. 2-Mercaptoimidazole (**2a**), in contrast, displayed adduct formation similar to that of **2d**. Closer analysis suggested the formation of multiple regioisomeric products for most nucleophiles, presumably owing to formation of the thionium ion from either carbon adjacent to the unsymmetrical sulfoxide (Scheme 2).

Accepted Manuscr



Table 1. Thiol nucleophiles^{*a*}

	Thiol name	Thiol structure	Fmoc-Met Adduct (3+4) ^b	DMSO Adduct (5) ^c
2a	2-mercapto imidazole	∬N∕−SH H	82	70
2b	3-Carboxy-2- mercaptopyridine	N SH	6	6.1
2c	3-Carboxy-6- mercaptopyridine	HS N CO ₂ H	48	19
2d	2-Mercaptopyridine	N SH	81	78
2e	4-Mercaptopyridine	N	12	56
2f	6-Amino-2-mercapto benzothiazole	H ₂ N SH	4	9
2g	2-mercapto benzimidazole	N N H H	37	42
2h	Cysteamine	H ₂ N SH	5.2	-
2 i	2-mercapto pyrimidine	N SH	7	21
2j	Thiourea	S H₂N NH₂	21	-
2k	Thiazolidine	SH	15	46

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

^{*a*}Reactions were performed at 0.25 mmol scale (Fmoc-Met(O)-OH, **1**) following the General Procedure for Dithioacetal Formation or 1 mmol scale (DMSO), following the General Procedure for Condensation of DMSO with Thiol Nucleophiles. ^{*b*}R = Fmoc-alanine; estimated percent yield of adducts are reported based on integration of the 280 nm absorbance trace between 3 min and 5 min. No correction was made for the contribution of the nucleophile to the absorbance of **3+4**. ^{*c*}R = H; isolated percent yield



Scheme 2. Mechanism of dithioacetal formation.

Adducts of C3 produced two distinct diastereomers. The dithioacetal adducts **3a** and **4a**, formed from reaction of **1** with **2a**, eluted as a single slightly asymmetric peak in most cases, and this nucleophile was therefore used in reaction optimization screenings. While some conversion was observed with a single equivalent of **2a**, the best yields were obtained with the addition of 4 equivalents or more. Estimated conversions (LCMS) of **1** to **3** + **4** for all nucleophiles are listed in Table 1. The formation of **2a** dithioacetal adduct was also examined for simpler systems, using DMSO and methyl phenyl sulfoxide as substrates, to ensure a single product (Scheme 3). The expected adducts **5a** and **6a** were formed in 70% and 64% isolated yield after preparative HPLC. The thiol nucleophiles previously examined via HPLC analysis of their reaction with **1** were screened against a DMSO substrate in order to enable full characterization and yield analysis of the products **5b-k**. The results are shown in Table **1**.



Scheme 3. Model system Pummerer rearrangement

The reaction was most effective when conducted in two steps: an initial activation step in ethereal solvent with 0.2-0.33 M TMSCI followed by condensation with a thiol, typically 2-mercaptoimidazole. The initial activation appeared to be a multi-step process itself, as premature addition of the thiol nucleophile resulted in the production of significant amounts of reduced Fmoc-Met-OH (7), presumably due to thiol attack on a sulfur-electrophile species. However, allowing the reaction mixture to progress

Table 2. Effect of solvent on Fmoc-Methionine Sulfoxide Pummerer Reaction^a



Solvent	Dithioacetal	Thioether	Starting	
	Adduct	7	Material	
	3a+4a ^b		1	
Acetic acid	17	58	-	
Chloroform	7	41	-	
Dichloromethane	6	43	-	
Diglyme	83	1	-	
Dioxane	82	3	-	
Dimethoxyethane	71	6	-	
Triglyme	71	3	-	
THF	79	3	-	
Ethyl Acetate	62	8	-	
Acetonitrile	43	31	-	
Dimethylacetamide	-	32	63	
Dimethylformamide	-	90	3	
NMP	-	54	37	
Toluene	-	19	79	
Trifluoroethanol	-	94	-	

^aReaction as shown in Scheme 2; percent yields of each species are based on LCMS analysis. A 1 mmolportion of TMSCI at ambient temperature was added to a suspension of 0.25 mmol Fmoc-Met(O)-OH (1) in 3 mL of the indicated solvent and the mixture was stirred for a minimum of 16 h, followed by the

10.1002/cbic.201900463

addition of **2a** and stirring for a further 24 h. ^{*b*}Adduct percent yields are reported as the sum of mixed isomers.

from a turbid and opaque mixture in the ethereal solvent to a translucent solution prior to addition of 2mercaptoimidazole delivered consistent LCMS yields of approximately 80% dithioacetal adduct **3a** and **4a**, as judged by absorbance at 280 nm. Reactions were analyzed at 280 nm in order to maximize the Fmoc absorbance signal while minimizing the imidazole contribution to dithioacetal absorbance.

The reaction was strongly dependent on solvent, as shown in Table 2, with ethereal solvents consistently producing optimal yields. Less polar solvents such as dichloromethane or chloroform were significantly less effective, while EtOAc and acetonitrile were moderately effective. Dimethylformamide, N-methylpyrrolidone and dimethylacetamide yielded varying amounts of reduction to the thioether **7**. Acidic solvents such as trifluoroethanol or acetic acid tended to favor reduction to **7** over formation of **3a** and **4a**. In the case of trifluoroethanol, it is possible that the well-precedented mechanism of alcohol oxidation by activated sulfoxide dominates,^[22] which would support the high efficiency of reduction to **7**. Mixed-phase systems such as 1:1 water:THF or water:DCM were not effective.

A variety of activating agents were screened for effectiveness. Silyl chlorides were found to be generally effective, although increased bulk around the silane corresponded to a requirement for extended reaction times (Table 3). Indeed, TBDPSCI failed to generate any 3a or 4a products. Several traditional Pummerer electrophiles proved to be ineffective in the conversion to **3a** and **4a**, as acetic or trifluoroacetic anhydrides yielded no adduct (Table S1). Interestingly, chloride appears to be required for dithioacetal formation. While it is perhaps unsurprising that the strongly reactive TMSBr does not yield a great deal of desired products,^[23,24] or that the less reactive TMS-imidazole and TMSpolyphosphate are ineffective, it is telling that the addition of LiCl to the TMS-polyphosphate reaction is sufficient to "rescue" this reaction and produce a significant amount of dithioacetal adduct. LiBr and LiI were not effective in this context, perhaps owing to the in situ generation of TMSBr or TMSI.^[25] Of the non-silyl based activating agents tested, only those containing chloride were even modestly effective (Table S1). The Pummerer-like reaction of thioethers with N-chlorosuccinimide is known,^[26] and treatment of 1 with NCS followed by addition of 2a gave rise to a moderate yield of 3a + 4a as well. The addition of base was not productive as smaller amounts tended to promote thioether 7 formation and larger amounts suppressed Pummerer-like reactions altogether. Lower temperature promoted the side reduction to 7, while modestly heating the reaction to 40 °C or 60 °C accelerated the activation for adduct formation, with an optimal activation time of 2-4 h. Isolated yields of the product mixture 3a and 4a using 1 as a substrate were identical (77%) for the reaction with activation at RT (20 h) or 40 °C (3 h). The dithioacetal adduct of the terminal methyl group **3a** was isolated and characterized. However, the adducts 4a of the internal C3 methylene were inseparable chromatographically and appeared to be less stable to prolonged exposure to aqueous TFA solution. This runs counter to other dithioacetals, which are typically stable to the mild acidic conditions of preparative HPLC^[21], and could be largely due to the relatively electron-poor nature of 2a, which is in resonance with a thiourea form.



Table 3. Silane-Based Pummerer Activation of Fmoc-Met(O)-OH (1)^a

R ¹	R ²	R ³	Х	Reaction	Adduct	Thioether	Starting
				Time	(3a+4a)	(7)	Material (1)
Me	Me	Me	Cl	16-20h	82±0.8	2±0.4	-
Me	Me	Me	Br	16h	8±0.5	44±2.6	-
Me	Me	Me	Imidazole	16h	-	11±6.9	82±10.5
Me	Me	Me		16h	-	56±1.8	38±2.5
			PP^b				
Me	Me	Allyl	Cl	20h	77±1.6	4±1.9	-
Me	Me	Vinyl	Cl	40h	77±3.2	4±0.3	-
Me	Me	Et	Cl	40h	73±0.6	6±4.5	-
Me	Me	<i>i</i> Propyl	Cl	40h	51±0.6	34±4.9	-
Me	Me	<i>t</i> Butyl	Cl	64h	45±6	31±6	-
Me	Me	Ph	Cl	24h	75±0.1	3±0.7	-
Me	Me	Pfp	Cl	40h	79±0.85	4.5±1.5	-
Me	Me	CH ₂ Cl	Cl	40h	65±2.7	5±2.1	-
Me	Me	Cl	Cl	16h	85±4.9	2±0	-
CH ₂ CHSiMe ₂ Cl ^c	Me	Me	Cl	20h	78±3.5	3±1.4	-
Et	Et	Et	Cl	64h	35±4.5	39±8.2	-
<i>t</i> Butvl	Ph	Ph	Cl	64h	-	55±4.1	24±5.8

^{*a*}Activation was carried out by stirring for a minimum of 16 h at RT after addition of 1 mmol of a silyl activating agent R¹R²R³SiX to 0.25 mmol of **1** in 3 mL of dioxane. Upon clarification of the reaction solution, **2a** was added and the reaction was stirred for an additional 24 h before sampling. Yields are based on LCMS analysis and are an average of at least 2 runs. Adduct yields are reported as the sum of mixed isomers. ^{*b*}Polyphosphate ^{*c*}Entry refers to 1,2-bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)ethane.

We propose that the mechanism of dithioacetal formation involves a fast initial reaction of the sulfoxide **1** with TMSCI to generate the adduct **8** shown in Scheme 2, which could then either first undergo chloride exchange to form species **9** or lose TMSOH directly to form thionium ion **10**. The dependence of dithioacetal **3a+4a** formation on the presence of a halide ion, preferably a chloride ion, suggests that the active species is either the chloride-thionium ion pair **10** or quite possibly the alpha-chloro thioether **11**. The latter species has been invoked previously,^[20] and Jung et al observed incorporation of a chloride ion into an aromatic ring under Pummerer rearrangement conditions.^[27] Both of these examples used the highly reactive thionyl chloride.

To empirically examine the nature of the active intermediate, we carried out the reaction on **1** that had been 13 C -labeled at the methyl group of the side chain and monitored the reaction by 13 C NMR

spectroscopy. The parent sulfoxide ¹³C -enriched resonances appeared at 38.86 and 38.78 ppm presumably owing to diastereomeric oxidation of the parent **7**. Treatment with excess TMSCl in deuterated THF for 2 h at 40 °C yielded roughly equivalent new signals at 50.6, 13.5 and 14.1 ppm. This result is consistent with formation of alpha-chlorinated thioethers **11a+11b** as a statistical mixture either at the ¹³C -enriched methyl (50.6 ppm) or at the interior C3 methylene (13.50, 14.1 ppm). A trace of presumed thioether **7** was also observed at 15.3 ppm. Subsequent condensation of the reaction with excess **2a** saw these peaks migrate to 40.2, 13.8, and 14.5 ppm, respectively; consistent with formation of one primary (**3a**) and two secondary (diastereomeric, **4a**) dithioacetals. A similar experiment was carried out using unlabeled DMSO as a substrate. The initial ¹³C NMR showed a single signal at 42.0 ppm, which gave rise to a split signal at 53.00/52.99 ppm as well as a signal at 15.0 ppm after 20 h of TMSCl treatment. Condensation with **2a** resulted in peaks at 42.7 and 15.4 ppm. ¹³C NMR spectra for both model systems are shown in Figure S1.

To shed light on the mechanisms and energetic profiles of the synthesis processes described above, we performed quantum chemistry calculations on the Pummerer rearrangement of DMSO using the Density Functional Theory (DFT) method with the hybrid meta-GGA M11 functional. All reported results represent the M11/cc-pVTZ level of theory (see Methods for full details).

We first investigated the initial step, reaction of DMSO with TMSCI, in order to elucidate: (i) the highest energy barriers, or "bottlenecks," that must be overcome and (ii) which active species product, chloridethionium ion pair or alpha-chloro thioether, is produced. Geometry optimizations and subsequent vibrational frequency calculations (to confirm true minima and transition states) were performed in gas and tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent phases. Figure 1 illustrates the full computed energy profile for this initial activation process. Note that gas-phase structures are shown where transition states have been definitively mapped to their corresponding neighboring minima. The activation process is seen to proceed through three intermediates, and thus four distinct transition states. The final energetically most stable product is the alpha-chloro thioether. This computed mechanism affirms that shown in Scheme 2; however, important details are garnered from the guantum chemistry results. One of these is that the rate-limiting step, or bottle-neck, is the proton transfer, shown as TS2 in Figure 1, with computed barriers of 37 (gas) and 38 (THF) kcal/mol (relative to separated reactants DMSO and TMSCI). The best experimental thioacetal yields were obtained with aprotic ethereal solvents (Table 2) which cannot participate directly in proton exchange. While ethereal solvents may participate in hydrogen bonding, thereby slightly lowering the energy of the proton transfer transition state, stabilization of minima is also likely. The slight increase in energy barrier (one kcal/mol) when going from gas to THFsolvent phase suggests that the hydrogen bonding capabilities of the solvent do not appreciably facilitate the proton transfer step.



Figure 1. Computed reaction mechanism and energy profiles describing the initial activation process leading to formation of alpha-chlorinated thioether: DMSO + TMSCl \rightarrow MeSCH₂Cl + TMSOH. Calculations were performed using the M11/cc-pVTZ level of theory in gas (black lines and text, structures shown) and THF solvent (blue lines and italic text) phases. All energies (kcal/mol) are relative to separated reactants DMSO + TMSCl. The main points to note are: (i) the pathway to thioether formation progresses through four distinct transition states and three intermediates, (ii) the proton transfer step is predicted to be rate-limiting, having computed barriers of 37 (gas) and 38 (THF) kcal/mol, and (iii) as shown at far right, upon formation of TMSOH and the chloride-thionium ion pair MeS(+)CH2--Cl(-), the isolated latter species should convert to the much more stable alpha-chlorinated thioether MeSCH₂Cl. Selected bond lengths (Å) are also shown.

Thus, the only real way to go from TMSO-S(+)Me₂ to TMSOH + MeS(+)=CH₂ is via intramolecular proton transfer, as exemplified by TS2. We also find that for the portion of the activation process leading to TMSOH---MeS(+)=CH₂ (I2), solvent effects (THF) are negligible for four of the stationary points, including the rate-limiting proton transfer step, but stabilize the other species (I1a, TS1b, I1b) by 5 to 12 kcal/mol.

The other key point is that upon formation of the chloride-thionium ion pair, conversion to the substantially more stable alpha-chloro thioether (MeSCH₂Cl) should readily occur. The computed gas-phase barrier of 34 kcal/mol is lowered to 18 kcal/mol when modeled in THF solvent. This step is illustrated at far right of Figure 1 where TMSOH was considered a spectator species. We speculate that if the proton transfer energy barrier can be overcome, then formation of alpha-chloro thioether (MeSCH₂Cl) product is almost certain. Since the separated products TMSOH + MeSCH₂Cl are computed to be more stable than the separated reactants by 17 (gas) and 14 (THF) kcal/mol, the complete exothermic activation process is highly unlikely to be reversible because the backwards proton transfer energy barrier is over 50 kcal/mol. These quantum chemistry results nicely account for the experimental observations, particularly the slowness of reaction and irreversibility. They also indicate that the alpha-chloro thioether is produced, rather than ion pair, in line with the ¹³C NMR results.

Next, we determined reaction energies in THF solvent for the final step, formation of thioacetals: RSH + MeSCH₂Cl \rightarrow RSCH₂SMe + HCl. We investigated the four thiols 2-mercaptoimidazole (**2a**), 2-mercaptopyridine (**2d**), 4-mercaptopyridine (**2e**), and 2-mercaptopyrimidine (**2i**). Full potential energy surface (PES) scans were performed using the cc-pVDZ basis sets to discover lowest-energy structures for separated reactants and products. Their geometries were then optimized and vibrational frequencies computed using the cc-pVTZ basis sets. The results are shown in Figure 2 where electronic reaction energies (ΔE), lying between -6.5 and -5.3 kcal/mol, indicate favorable thioacetal formation. However, free energies of reaction (ΔG_{298}) are less exothermic, with products computed to be only slightly more stable than reactants by 3.8 to 2.4 kcal/mol. There are many complex factors that can shift these reaction energies up/down by a few kcal/mol, and thus cause experimental yields to vary significantly as seen in Table 1. These factors include reaction conditions, explicit solvent interactions, and reactant-reactant/product-product complex formations. As such, all we can really surmise from the computed results is that thioacetal formation is barely exothermic and that actual experimental yields may vary due to other factors that are difficult to model with kcal/mol accuracy.



Figure 2. Computed structures and energetics for conversions of four thiols to dithioacetals: *RSH* + MeSCH₂Cl \rightarrow *RSCH***₂SMe** + HCl. The M11(THF)/cc-pVTZ level of theory was used, electronic (ΔE) and free (ΔG_{298}) energies of reaction are given in kcal/mol.

Having investigated the optimal conditions for dithioacetal formation in small molecules, we turned our attention to using the optimized method for labeling methionine sulfoxide residues in peptides. Conversion of sulfoxides to dithioacetals offers a stable mass tag to differentiate oxidized methionine residues definitively from the thioether state. We chose the peptide met-enkephalin (H-Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met-OH, **12**) for our study. The peptide was oxidized with NaIO₄ to the sulfoxide form **13**. The

sulfoxide-containing peptide 13 was then activated for a minimum of 2 days in 10% v/v TMSCl in dioxane, followed by addition of solid 2-mercaptoimidazole and stirring for at least 24 h. In the case of the oxidized peptide, more than 70% of peptide-associated absorbance at 220 nm corresponded to a mass of 672, consistent with the expected dithioacetal adduct 14a + 15a. Approximately 17% of the signal corresponded to reduction to methionine thioether form 12. In a control reaction using the parent peptide, > 90% of 220 nm absorbance corresponded to a mass of 574 consistent with the starting peptide **12** (Figure S2.) Approximately 6% of peptide absorbance corresponded to dithioacetal adducts 14a + 15a, presumably formed after air oxidation of 12 to 13. The use of an inert atmosphere was found to be useful in suppressing background dithioacetal formation. The requirement for ethereal solvents may not be compatible with the solubility of some proteins. However, prior fragmentation with trypsin, pepsin, or other proteases commonly employed in protein chemistry may enable Pummerer-based dithioacetal formation of the resulting fragments. In addition, TMSCI is expected to transiently protect many polar groups, such that minimal initial solubility may be sufficient to ultimately enable reaction. Although total conversion of the peptide will be less than indicated by the absorbance percentage, owing to contribution of the thioimidazole substituent at 220 nm, our protocol presents clearly differentiable results between otherwise-identical peptides containing either sulfoxide or thioether functionality.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that the Pummerer rearrangement can be used to covalently label oxidized methionine side chains with good efficiency. We have described the use of silyl chlorides, especially TMSCI, to convert alkyl sulfoxides into intermediate alpha-chlorinated thioethers, which subsequently undergo chlorine displacement by thiol nucleophiles. The efficacy of a variety of thiol nucleophiles has been investigated, and 2-mercaptoimidazole and 2-mercaptopyridine proved to be most effective. Ethereal solvents were shown to be optimal for dithioacetal formation. The alpha-chlorinated intermediates were investigated by computation and by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Finally, we have demonstrated the use of our optimized conditions to selectively label a peptide containing an oxidized methionine residue while the corresponding non-oxidized peptide remained essentially unlabeled. It should be possible to raise an antibody specific for the dithioacetal derivative. Such an antibody could be used to detect and quantitate methionine sulfoxide in proteins by immunochemical methods or for affinity purification of derivatized peptides prior to mass spectrometric sequencing. We anticipate that this approach can be used to identify peptides with oxidized methionine residues by tagging them with a thiol nucleophile functionalized with mass or other reporter groups.

Experimental Section

General

Reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Fmocmethionine ¹³C -methyl was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Met-Enkephalin was obtained from Chempep Inc. NMR spectra were obtained on a 400 MHz Varian NMR and processed using MestReNova software. LCMS data for small molecules were acquired on an Agilent Technologies

10.1002/cbic.201900463

1290 Infinity HPLC system using a 6130 quadrupole LC/MS detector and a Poroshell 120 SB-C18 2.7 um column (4.6 x 50 mm). Peptides were analyzed using an Agilent 1200 series HPLC system with an LC/MSC Trap XCT detector and a Zorbax 300SB-C18 3.5 um column (4.6 x 50 mm). Preparative HPLC chromatography was performed on a Shimadzu system using a 30 mm x 150 mm Zorbax SB C18 column (Agilent) or a 19mm x 150 mm Xbridge C4 column (Waters). Flash chromatography was performed on a Teledyne Isco Combiflash Rf+ instrument using hexane:ethyl acetate gradients. HRMS data was acquired on a Waters XEVO G2-XS QTOF running MassLynx version 4.1.

Quantum chemistry. The computations in this study were executed using the GAMESS^[28,29] package and molecular structures were illustrated using MacMolPlt.^[30] We used the density functional theory (DFT)^[31] method with the hybrid meta-GGA M11 functional.^[32] Calculations were performed in gas and tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent phases, and the latter was accomplished using the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM)^[33,34,35,36] (with a high density of tesserae: NTSALL = 960 in \$TECAV). Geometries were optimized (maximum Cartesian gradient < 10^{-4} Hartree/Bohr) using analytic gradients and Hessians were computed seminumerically (double differences) using analytic gradients. The cc-pVDZ basis sets^[36,37] were used to probe potential energy surfaces for locations of lowest-energy conformations and transition state structures (having exactly one imaginary frequency). Refined optimized geometries and subsequent Hessians were computed using the cc-pVTZ basis sets^[36,37] and minimum energy paths connecting transition states to corresponding reactant/product minima were determined using the second-order intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) method of Gonzalez and Schlegel.^[38] All data presented and discussed in the manuscript represent the M11/cc-pVTZ level of theory. Structures and energies (electronic (*E*) and free (*G*₂₉₈)) of all stationary points described are given in the Supporting Information.

Synthesis

General Procedure for Dithioacetal Formation: TMSCI 127 uL (108 mg, 1 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of sulfoxide (0.25 mmol) in 3 mL of dioxane. The reaction was stirred for at least 24 h at RT, at which point the thiol nucleophile (1.04 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred for an additional 24 h at RT. Neutralization with 1 M triethylammonium bicarbonate solution was followed by either preparative HPLC or extraction and flash chromatography purification to afford the pure material.

S-(((1H-imidazol-2-yl)thio)methyl)-N-(((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-L-homocysteine (3a): White amorphous solid. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 7.80 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 – 7.26 (m, 2H), 4.46 – 4.27 (m, 5H), 4.24 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.37 - 3.27 (m, 2H), 2.84 (s, 1H), 2.76 (q, *J* = 6.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.22 – 2.14 (m, 1H), 1.99 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (CD₃CN + D₂O): δ 174.6, 157.6, 144.5, 141.8, 138.8, 128.5, 127.9, 125.9, 122.2, 120.7, 67.3, 53.4, 47.6, 39.8, 31.2, 28.1. HRMS: Calculated for C₂₃H₂₄N₃O₄S₂⁺470.1208; found 470.1206

General Procedure for Condensation of DMSO with Thiol Nucleophiles: TMSCI 127 uL (108 mg, 1 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (19.5 mg, 17.7 uL, 0.25 mmol) in 3 mL of dioxane. The reaction was stirred for 24 h at RT, and the thiol nucleophile **2** (1.04 mmol) was then added. The reaction was stirred for an additional 24 h at RT. Neutralization with 1 M triethylammonium

bicarbonate solution was followed by either preparative HPLC or extraction and flash chromatography purification to afford the pure material.

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)-1H-imidazole (5a). 28.2 mg white solid, 70% ¹H NMR (CD₃OD): δ 7.62 (s, 2 H); 4.33 (s, 2 H); 2.27 (s, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃OD): δ 140.3, 123.2, 43.2, 15.2. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₅H₉N₂S₂ 161.0207; Found 161.0207.

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)nicotinic acid (5b). 3.2 mg white solid, 6.1%. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 8.54 (ddd, J = 4.8, 1.9, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (ddd, J = 7.8, 1.8, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 168.3, 161.3, 153.1, 140.5, 124.9, 120.3, 35.8, 15.9. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₈H₁₀NO₂S₂ 216.0153; Found 216.0152

6-(((methylthio)methyl)thio)nicotinic acid (5c). 10.3 mg white solid, 19%. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 8.96 (dd, *J* = 2.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H).¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN) δ 166.6, 164.7, 151.6, 138.1, 123.3, 122.6, 36.1, 15.7. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₈H₁₀NO₂S₂ 216.0153; Found 216.0152

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)pyridine (5d).^[39] Colorless liquid, 33.3 mg, 78%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.46 (ddd, *J* = 4.9, 1.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 7.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dt, *J* = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (ddd, *J* = 7.3, 4.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H).¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 157.9, 149.7, 136.3, 122.8, 120.1, 35.9, 15.7. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₇H₁₀NS₂ 172.0255; Found 172.0254.

4-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)pyridine (5e): Colorless liquid, 23.9 mg, 56%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.45 (s, 2H), 7.25 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN) δ 161.0; 142.4, 123.6; 37.0, 15.7. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₇H₁₀NS₂ 172.0255; Found 172.0253

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)benzo[d]thiazol-6-amine (5f). Yellowish solid, 5.4 mg, 9%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.68 (dt, *J* = 8.7, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dt, *J* = 2.4, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (ddd, *J* = 8.7, 2.3, 0.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.3, 146.8, 144.2, 137.5, 122.6, 115.5, 105.7, 39.9, 15.9. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₉H₁₁N₂S₃ 243.0084; Found 243.0082.

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (5g): White solid, 22 mg, 42%. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD): δ 7.48 (br s, 2 H); 7.18-7.23 (m, 2 H); 4.40 (s, 2 H); 2.25 (s, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃OD): δ 150.5, 124.1, 123.7, 111.0, 39.8, 15.4. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₉H₁₁N₂S₂ 211.0364; Found 211.0364

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)pyrimidine (5i): White solid, 9.0 mg, 21%. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 8.56 (d, 2 H, J = 4.9); 7.12(t, 1 H, J = 4.9); 4.33 (s, 2 H); 2.21 (s, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃OD) δ 172.6, 158.9, 118.5, 37.3, 15.6. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₆H₉N₂S₂ 173.0207; Found 173.0200.

2-(((Methylthio)methyl)thio)-4,5-dihydrothiazole (5k). Colorless liquid, 20.6 mg, 46%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.36 – 4.14 (m, 4H), 3.41 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 165.1, 64.4, 38.2, 35.7, 15.9. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₅H₁₀NS₃ 179.9975; Found 179.9981.

2-(((Phenylthio)methyl)thio)-1H-imidazole (6a). TMSCI 127 uL (108 mg, 1 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of methyl phenyl sulfoxide (35 mg, 0.25 mmol) in 3 mL of dioxane. The reaction was stirred for 24 h at RT, then 2-mercaptoimidazole (104 mg, 1.04 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred for an additional 24 h at RT. Neutralization with 1 M triethylammonium bicarbonate solution was followed by preparative HPLC (5% MeCN 2 min, then ramp to 50% MeCN over 13 min), concentration of the appropriate fractions, and lyophilization to afford 30 mg (54%) of the pure material as a white solid. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 7.43-7.46 (m, 2 H); 7.28-7.27 (m, 5 H); 4.70 (s, 2 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃OD): δ 140.0, 134.3, 132.3, 130.7, 129.2, 123.4, 42.4. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calculated for C₁₀H₁₁N₂S₂ 223.0364; Found 223.0369.

¹³C -Methyl Fmoc-methionine sulfoxide. To a stirred solution of ¹³C -methyl Fmoc-methionine (10.3 mg, 27.7 umol) in 4 mL of 50% aqueous MeOH was added sodium periodate (7.1 mg, 1.2 equiv), and the reaction was stirred for 16 h at RT. Saturated ammonium chloride solution was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 x 4 mL DCM. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to yield ¹³C -methyl Fmoc-methionine oxide (white solid, 10.7 mg, quantitative).

¹³C NMR Experiment

¹³C -Methyl Fmoc-methionine oxide (10.7 mg, 27.7 umol) was dissolved in THF-d8 (1 mL) and the ¹³C NMR spectrum was acquired. TMSCI (50 uL, 13.9 equiv) was added, and the reaction was heated to 40° C. After 2 h, the reaction was cooled and the ¹³C NMR spectrum was acquired. After returning the NMR sample to the reaction, mercaptoimidazole (39.8 mg, 14 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred at RT for 24 h. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of the reaction was then acquired once again.

Met-Enkephalin Sulfoxide (13). Met-Enkephalin (**12**, 20 mg, 35 umol) was dissolved in 1 mL of deionized water, and sodium periodate (9.0 mg, 42 umol) was added. The reaction was stirred overnight, then neutralized with AcOH. The desired oxidized peptide was purified by preparative HPLC on a Waters C4 column using a gradient of 10->45% MeCN (0.05% TFA) in water (0.05% TFA). 13.3 mg of pure material was obtained after lyophilization.

Pummerer Reaction of Met-Enkephalin. Met-enkephalin sulfoxide (**13**, 0.6 mg, 1 umol) and metenkephalin (**12**, 0.6 mg, 1 umol) were each suspended in 0.1 mL of a solution of TMSCl in dioxane (10% v/v) that had been degassed by passing Ar through for 30 sec. The suspension was stirred for 2 days at RT, at which point 2 mg of 2-mercaptoimidazole were added to each vial. The reaction was stirred further, and samples were withdrawn and diluted in $H_2O/MeCN$ prior to LCMS analysis. Integration of the 220 nm trace was performed between 4 and 6 min and peaks were assigned based on mass analysis.

Supporting Information

Computational details and NMR spectra can be accessed free of charge via the Internet at wiley.com.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Burchelle N. Blackman for performing high-resolution mass spectrometry. This research was supported by the NIH Intramural Research Program and NHLBI. S.M. and R.L.L were

supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (Grant ZIA HL000225). J.I. was supported with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under Contract No. HHSN 261200800001E. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services.

References

[2] Boschi-Muller, S.; Olry, A.; Antoine, M.; Branlant, G. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2005 1703, 231-238.

[4] Hung, R. J.; Spaeth, C. S.; Yesilyurt, H. G.; Terman, J. R. Nat Cell Biol 2013 15, 1445-1454.

- [6] Lim, J. M.; Kim, G.; Levine, R. L. Neurochem. res. 2018 Epub Jan 11, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/s11064-017-2460-0.
- [7] Oien, D. B.; Canello, T.; Gabizon, R.; Gasset, M.; Lundquist, B. L.; Burns, J. M.; Moskovitz, J.. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2009 485, 35-40.
- [8] Wehr, N. B.; Levine, R. L. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2012 53, 1222-1225.
- [9] Ghesquiere, B.; Gevaert, K. Mass spectrometry reviews 2014 33, 147-156.

[10] De Lucchi, O.; Miotti, U.; Modena, G. In *Organic Reactions Vol 40* (Ed.: L.A. Paquette) John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Chichester **1991** pp. 157-405.

- [12] Smith, L.H.S.; Coote, S.C.; Sneddon, H.F.; Procter, D.J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010 49, 5832-5844
- [13] Tanikaga, R.; Hiraki, Y.; Ono, N.; Kaji, A. J. Chem Soc Chem Comm. 1980 2, 41-42.
- [14] Hiraki, Y.; Kamiya, M.; Tanikaga, R.; Ono, N.; Kaji, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1977 50(2), 447-452.
- [15] Lunder, T.L. Anal. Biochem. **1972** 49, 585-588.
- [16] Lane, S.; Quick, S.J.; Taylor, R.J.K. *Tet. Lett.* **1984** *25(10),* 1039-1042.
- [17] Tamamura, H.; Ishihara, T.; Otaka, A.; Koide, T.; Miyoshi, K.; Ibuka, T.; Fujii, N. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1996 1911-1912.
- [18] Fan, Y.; Gaffney, B.L.; Jones, R.A. Org. Lett. 2004 6(15), 2555-2557.
- [19] Zhu, X.-F.; Williams, H.J.; Scott, A.I. Syn. Comm. 2003 33(7), 1233-1243.
- [20] Kosugi, H.; Watanabe, Y.; Uda, H. Chem. Lett. 1989 18(10), 1865-1869.

[21] Green, T.F.; Wuts, P.G.M. In *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, 3rd ed, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. **1999** pp. 329-333 and 724-727,

- [22] Tidwell, T.T. Synthesis, **1990** 857-870.
- [23] Olah, G.A.; Narang, S.C. Tetrahedron 1982 38(15), 2225-2277.
- [24] Olah, G.A.; Gupta, B.G.B.; Narang, S.C. Synthesis 1977 583-584.
- [25] Olah, G.A.; Narang, S.C.; Gupta, B.G.B.; Malhotra, R. Synthesis 1979 61-62.
- [26] Magnus, P.; Kreisberg, J.D. Tet Lett 1999 40, 451-454
- [27] Jung, M.E.; Kim, C.; von dem Bussche, L. J. Org. Chem. 1994 59, 3248-3249.
- [28] Schmidt, M. W.; Baldridge, K. K.; Boatz, J. A.; Elbert, S. T.; Gordon, M. S.; Jensen, J. H.; Koseki, S.; Matsunaga, N.;
- Nguyen, K. A.; Su, S. J.; Windus, T. L.; Dupuis, M.; Montgomery, J. A. J. Comput. Chem. **1993** 14 (11), 1347-1363.
- [29] Gordon, M. S.; Schmidt, M. W. (2005) In Theory and Applications of Computational Chemistry: The First Forty
- years; (Eds.: Dykstra, C. E.; Frenking, G.; Kim, K. S.; Scuseria, G. E.) Elsevier: Amsterdam. 2005
- [30] Bode, B. M.; Gordon, M. S. J. Mol. Graphics Modell. 1998 16, 133-138.
- [31] Kohn, W.; Sham, L. J. Phys. Rev. 1965 140, 1133-1138.
- [32] Peverati, R.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2011, 2 (21), 2810-2817.
- [33] Miertus, S.; Scrocco, E.; Tomasi, J. J. Chem. Phys. **1981**, 55, 117-129..
- [34] Li, H.; Jensen, J. H. J. Comput. Chem., 2004, 25, 1449-1462.

^[1]Janssen-Heininger, Y. M.; Mossman, B. T.; Heintz, N. H.; Forman, H. J.; Kalyanaraman, B.; Finkel, T.; Stamler, J. S.; Rhee, S. G.; van der Vliet, A. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2008** *45*, 1-17.

^[3] Weissbach, H.; Resnick, L.; Brot, N. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2005 1703, 203-212.

^[5] Lee, B. C.; Peterfi, Z.; Hoffmann, F. W.; Moore, R. E.; Kaya, A.; Avanesov, A.; Tarrago, L.; Zhou, Y.; Weerapana, E.; Fomenko, D. E.; Hoffmann, P. R.; Gladyshev, V. N. *Mol. Cell* **2013** *51*, 397-404.

^[11] Feldman, K.S. Tetrahedron 2006 62, 5003-5034.

- [35] Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R.. Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 2999-3094.
- [36] Li, H. J. Chem. Phys., **2009**, 131, 184103.
- [37] Dunning, T. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1989 90, 1007-1023.
- [38] Gonzalez, C.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Chem. Phys. 1989 90, 2154-2161.
- [39] Nakamura, S.; Ito, Y. Wang, L., Toru, T. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1581-1589