Synthesis and coordinative properties of a hybrid bis(diphenylphosphino)methane-terpyridine

Guillaume Pickaert,^a Michèle Cesario,^b Laurent Douce^a and Raymond Ziessel^{*a}

^a Laboratoire de Chimie, d'Electronique et Photonique Moléculaires, Ecole Européenne de Chimie, Polymères et Matériaux, Université Louis Pasteur, 25 rue Becquerel, F-67087 Strasbourg Cedex 02, France. E-mail: ziessel@chimie.u-strasbg.fr

^b Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, CNRS, F-91128 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 31st March 2000, Accepted 15th May 2000

A newly-synthesized 4'-[bis(diphenylphosphino)methane]–2,2':6',2''-terpyridine-based (dppm–terpy) ligand forms complexes by selective complexation of the terpy or diphos segment, respectively, with Fe(II) or Pd(II) salts; in the latter case, an X-ray structural analysis reveals the formation of a neutral square-planar complex assembled from the deprotonated ligands.

The 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (terpy) molecule is widely used in transition metal chemistry as a meridionally coordinating and tridentate chelating ligand. Multiple applications have been found in the areas of analysis and the design of molecular electronic devices.1 Phosphine-functionalized terpy entities, such as terpyPPh₂² and terpyPO₃H₂,³ readily complex many different transition metals and bind strongly to certain semiconductors. We have shown recently that terpyCH₂P(O)Ph₂ can be deprotonated under mild conditions to produce a useful synthon for the preparation of carotenoid-based photoactive molecular-scale wires.⁴ In light of the rich coordination chemistry of bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm), a species well known in organometallic chemistry as a monodentate, bidentate or bridging ligand,⁵ it was anticipated that the hitherto unreported terpy analogue (dppm-terpy) might be a valuable building block for the construction of multimetallic networks. Interest in such polynuclear assemblies is stimulated by the study of intramolecular electron or energy transfer processes⁶ as well as by the coupling of luminophoric metal centers to photoactive catalytic sites.

We present here, the synthesis and coordination behaviour of a hybrid diphos/terpy ligand where the complexation behaviour is governed by the choice of the metal precursor. Ligand **L** is prepared in a stepwise fashion from the deprotonated monophosphine-terpy ligand **1**, followed by nucleophilic substitution with PPh₂Cl as illustrated in Scheme 1. The dppm–terpy ligand **L** is characterized by a ³¹P NMR signal at δ –3.7 (singlet), compared to intermediate **1** which gives a singlet at δ –8.5. The



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, BuⁿLi, $Pr_{2}^{i}NH$, THF, -78 °C; ii, Ph₂PCl, THF.

corresponding phosphine oxide $L(O)_2$, obtained under phase transfer conditions with NaIO₄ as oxidant, shows a ³¹P NMR signal at δ 29.5, with the CH proton appearing as a triplet at δ = 5.00, $J_{\rm HP}$ 14.4 Hz. A strong IR absorption band is found at 1210 cm⁻¹ ($v_{\rm P=O}$).

The ambivalent reactivity of dppm-terpy is demonstrated by virtue of its interaction with Fe and Pd precursors. Thus, reaction of L with Fe(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O gives a deep-violet complex whose structure is assigned as $C^{1,\dagger}$ Both the intense MLCT absorption band centred at 562 nm ($\varepsilon = 20450 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ cm^{-1}) and the slightly shifted singlet observed ($\delta 3.2$ in CDCl₃) in the ³¹P NMR spectrum are indicative of complexation at the terpy segment. Note that the related Fe(II) complex formed from $L(\bar{O})_2$ gives a singlet at δ 30.0 (CD₃CN). In contrast, ligand L reacts with $[Pd(acac)_2]$ (acac = acetylacetonate) to form a sparingly soluble, deep-yellow compound ($\lambda_{max} = 407 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon =$ 43450 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) that lacks the signal characteristic of the CH fragment in the proton NMR spectrum but displays a ³¹P NMR signal at δ –29.9 (singlet in CD₂Cl₂). The presence of uncomplexed terpy fragments within this latter complex, labelled as C^2 in Scheme 2, was confirmed by an X-ray diffraction study (vide infra). The dppm-terpy ligands are deprotonated during the process so that the overall product is a neutral palladium(π) complex. It is likely that the acac anion operates as a buffer to deprotonate the ligand.7



Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: i, Fe(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O, methanol– dichloromethane; ii, [Pd(acac)₂], THF; iii, [Ru(terpy)(dmso)Cl₂], methanol, AgBF₄, 80 °C; all reactions were carried out using argon degassed solutions.



Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of complex C² (Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level); Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pd–P(1) 2.317(2), Pd–P(2) 2.315(2), P(1)–C(terpy) 1.756(4), P(1)–C(phenyl) 1.826(5), P(1)–C(phenyl) 1.822(5), P(2)–C(terpy) 1.764(4), P(2)–C(phenyl) 1.818(4), P(2)–C(phenyl) 1.823(5), P(2)*–Pd–P(2) 180.0, P(2)–Pd–P(1)* 109.09(5), P(2)–Pd–P(1) 70.91(5), P(1)–C(terpy)–P(2) 99.5(2).

The single-crystal X-ray analysis‡ (Fig. 1) indicates that the palladium atom is in a square-planar environment with the four P atoms coordinated to the metal centre and with two uncoordinated terpyridines. The crystals consist of discrete neutral centrosymmetric molecules, the Pd atom being located on an inversion centre. As expected, all six N-atoms are in a transoidal arrangment that minimizes electronic interactions.8 An angle of $16.0(0)^{\circ}$ between the planes defined by the two pyridine rings containing N(5A) and N(15A) and a dihedral angle of 16.8(0)° between the planes of the external rings containing N(15A) and N(9A) illustrate twisting about the interannular C-C bonds, as well as the slight distortion within the terpy subunit. Owing to the anionic nature of the coordinated diphos-terpy ligands the C-P bond distances (ca. 1.76 Å) are shorter than in related Pd(II)-phosphine complexes.⁹ The bite angle P(1)–Pd–P(2) of 70.91(5)° and the twist of the phenyl rings around the P atom versus the plane defined by the square containing the palladium (71-75°) are in good agreement with values expected for a regular square-planar coordination polyhedron.

This mononuclear Pd(II) complex is of interest as a potential *metallo-synthon* for the construction of more elaborate molecular architectures in which the electronic interaction between both sites could, in principle, be tuned by the oxidation state of the central metal. In order to demonstrate this principle, we chose to complex the free terpy moieties with [Ru(terpy)(dm-so)Cl₂]¹⁰ under mild conditions. The heterotrinuclear complex C³ has a characteristic MLCT absoption band at 482 nm (ε = 43000 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), and exhibits a singlet in the ³¹P NMR spectra at δ -10.6 (CD₃CN). The MALDI-TOF mass spectra is in keeping with the proposed structure.[†]

The redox behaviour of these complexes was studied by cyclic voltammetry in MeCN (for C^1 and C^3) or dichloromethane (for C^2) containing NBuⁿ₄PF₆ (0.1 mol dm⁻³) as supporting electrolyte. The Pd(II) complex C^2 exhibits two irreversible oxidation waves at $E_{pa}(1) = 0.69$ and $E_{pa}(2) = 1.04$ V vs. SCE using ferrocene as internal reference (Fc/Fc⁺ = 0.41 V). No peaks are seen upon reductive scans, at least above -2.0V vs. SCE. The oxidative processes can be ascribed to the successive oxidation of the anionic terpy–diphos ligands. Within the mixed Ru/Pd complex C³, ligand-based oxidation steps are found at $E_{pa}(1) = 0.87$ and $E_{pa}(2) = 1.06$ V vs SCE. This complex also exhibits a single metal-based oxidation at 1.34 V vs. SCE ($\Delta E_p = 80 \text{ mV}$) and two irreversible terpybased reductions at $E_{pc}(1) = -1.28$ V and $E_{pa}(2) = -1.49$ V. It is surmised that the unusual irreversibility of the ligand reduction is due to the strong electron density provided by the anionic Ph₂PCPPh₂ fragment appended to the terpy units. As expected, complex C¹ exhibits three well-defined and reversible redox processes; namely, a single oxidation at 1.26 V ($\Delta E_p =$ 70 mV) and two ligand-centered reductions at -1.20 V ($\Delta E_p =$ 74 mV) and -1.39 V vs. SCE ($\Delta E_p = 66$ mV). The easier Fe(II) oxidation vs. Ru(II) in C³ is in keeping with related unsubstituted terpy complexes.¹¹

Preliminary steady-state emission studies show that complex C^2 , in the solid state (0.5% dispersed in MgSO₄), exhibits an intense but structureless emission band at 590 nm when excited at 400 nm. This emission is not observed in deoxygenated acetonitrile solution. Additional photophysical measurements will be carried out in order to explore the photoreactivity of C^3 .

In summary, we describe a simple strategy for the synthesis of hybrid ligands carrying hard and soft complexation centres. The diphos or the terpy part of the ligand can be complexed with good selectivity, using either $Pd(\pi)$ or $Fe(\pi)$, respectively. Further complexation of the free terpy centers with redox-active $Ru(\pi)$ fragments facilitates preparation of linear heterotrinuclear complexes in a controlled manner. On-going experiments will study the chemistry of these novel multitopic systems.

Notes and references

[†] Synthetic details will be reported elsewhere. All new compounds gave satisfactory elemental analyses and were authenticated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, FTIR and MS. All ³¹P NMR chemical shift are referenced using H₃PO₄ (85% in water) as internal standard. *Selected data*: for C¹; FAB *m/z* (*m*-NBA) 1386.0 [M − ClO₄]⁺. Found: C, 64.49, H, 4.13, N, 5.41. C₈₀H₆₂N₆O₈P₄Cl₂Fe requires C, 64.66; H, 4.21; N, 5.66%. For C²; FAB⁺ (*m*-NBA): *m/z* 1335.0 [M+H]⁺. Found: C, 71.85, H, 4.47, N, 6.17. C₈₀H₆₀N₆P₄Pd requires C, 71.94; H, 4.53; N, 6.29%. For C³; MALDI-TOF *m/z* 2439.4 [M − PF₆]⁺, 2294.9 [M − 2PF₆]. Found: C, 51.57, H, 3.48, N, 7.53. C₁₁₀H₈₂N₁₂P₈PdRu₂F₂₄.2C₂H₃N requires C, 51.35; H, 3.33; N, 7.35%.

‡ *Crystal data* for C²: C₈₀H₆₀N₆P₄Pd, M = 1335.62, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, yellow crystals, a = 11.477(4), b = 25.327(9), c = 11.595(5) Å, $\beta = 98.54(4)^\circ$, V = 3333(2) Å³, Z = 2, T = 293 K, $D_c = 1.331$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 0.425$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1376. The final conventional R_1 factor is 0.0705 for 4185 data and 398 parameters, and 0.11 for all data, $wR_2 = 0.1423$ (all data), goodness of fit = 1.068; largest peak and hole in the final difference map were within +0.59 and -0.45 e Å³. CCDC 182/1638. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b0/b002586k for crystallographic files in .cif format.

- E. C. Constable, Transition Metals in Supramolecular Chemistry, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994, p. 81; R. Ziessel, J. Chem. Educ., 1997, 74, 673; R. Ziessel, Synthesis, 1999, 1839.
- 2 E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger, A. G. Schneider and M. Zehnder, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 2427.
- 3 S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. K. Nazeeruddin, P. Pechy, F. P. Rotzinger, R. Humphry-Baker, K. Kalyanasundaram and M. Grätzel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 5937.
- 4 G. Pickaert and R. Ziessel, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 3497.
- 5 R. J. Puddephatt, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1983, 12, 99.
- 6 B. Whittle, N. S. Everest, C. Howard and M. D. Ward, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 2025.
- 7 H. Hashimoyo, S. Okeya and Y. Nakamura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1988, 61, 1593.
- 8 A. Harriman, M. Hissler, R. Ziessel, A. De Cian and J. Fisher, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1995, 4067 and references therein.
- 9 J. Barkley, M. Ellis, S. J. Higgins and M. K. McCart, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 1725.
- 10 V. Grosshenny and R. Ziessel, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 453, 19.
- 11 D. E. Morris, K. W. Hanck and M. Keith DeArmond, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1983, 149, 115.