Ruthenium-Catalyzed Oxidation of Alcohols into Amides

Andrew J. A. Watson,[†] Aoife C. Maxwell,[‡] and Jonathan M. J. Williams^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, U.K., and GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, SG1 2NY, U.K.

j.m.j.williams@bath.ac.uk

Received April 6, 2009



The synthesis of secondary amides from primary alcohols and amines has been developed using commercially available $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$ with bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb) as the catalyst.

The synthesis of secondary amides has traditionally relied on the use of carboxylic acids and amines requiring stoichiometric amounts of coupling reagents such as HOAt.¹ These systems use reagents where the oxidation state of the materials is already at the required level for the product, and while giving good results, they lack atom efficiency. More recent approaches have considered the use of oxidative couplings from aldehydes,² and while the results are good, long-term storage and use of aldehydes can be troublesome due to their inherent reactivity.

Recently, several groups³ have reported catalytic amide formation from alcohols, using transition metal catalysts to oxidize the alcohol to the aldehyde in situ and then further oxidize the hemiaminal to the desired amide via the loss of 2 equiv of H₂. Alcohols have also been used as starting materials in catalytic oxidative reactions leading to nitriles,⁴ acetals,⁵ esters,⁶ lactams,⁷ and benzazoles.⁸

We wish to report that our own research into this area has led to the oxidative coupling of an alcohol with an amine

[†] University of Bath.

10.1021/ol900723v CCC: \$40.75 © 2009 American Chemical Society Published on Web 05/15/2009 to form amides using a commercially available rutheniumbased catalyst with a ketone as the oxidant (Scheme 1).





During our recent work on the use of alcohols as alkylating agents for amines using borrowing hydrogen methodology,^{9,10} we noticed that the formation of amides was observed in specific cases, sometimes as a significant

^{*} GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development.

⁽¹⁾ Carpino, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4397. For a review, see: Han, S.-Y.; Kim, Y.-A. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 2447.

^{(2) (}a) Suto, Y.; Yamagiwa, N.; Torisawa, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 5732. (b) Gao, J.; Wang, G.-U. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 2955. (c) Yoo, W.-J.; Li, C.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 13064.

^{(3) (}a) Gunanathan, C.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Science **2007**, *317*, 790. (b) Zweifel, T.; Naubron, J.-V.; Grützmacher, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, *48*, 559. (c) Nordstrøm, L. U.; Vogt, H.; Madsen, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 17672.

⁽⁴⁾ Oishi, T.; Yamaguchi, K.; Mizuno, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, DOI:1002/anie.200900418.

⁽⁵⁾ Gunanathan, C.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3146.

byproduct (as much as 30%).¹¹ The coupling of an alcohol **1** with an amine **2** is expected to proceed via oxidation of the alcohol to an aldehyde and formation of an intermediate hemiaminal. Further oxidation of the hemiaminal would lead to the amide **3**, while elimination of water and return of hydrogen would provide amine **4** (Scheme 2). The balance



between these pathways may be expected to favor amide formation in the presence of an oxidant or when the hemiaminal is stabilized. Generally, hemiaminals have low stability, either reverting to carbonyl compound and amine or undergoing dehydration. However, there are examples of isolated hemiaminals.^{12,13}

We chose to examine the coupling of 3-phenylpropan-1-ol **5** with benzylamine **6** as a model reaction (Scheme 3). In an



initial attempt using acetone as the hydrogen acceptor with *t*BuOH as the solvent, the desired amide **7** was formed with 57% conversion. The combination of $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$ with bis(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)ether (DPEphos) was chosen since it is known to be a competent catalyst for nonoxidative alcohol and amine coupling.¹¹

The choice of base was chosen as a starting point for the optimization, since base activates ruthenium chloride complexes to the catalytically active dihydride species.¹⁴ Replacement of K₂CO₃ with KHCO₃, KOH, or KO*t*Bu led to

Table 1. Optimization of Ligand, Hydrogen Acceptor,	and
Solvent for the Formation of Amide 7^a	

entry	ligand	ketone	solvent	conv n $(\%)^b$	amide $(\%)^b$
1	dppb	MeCOMe	tBuOH	90	80
2	dppb	EtCOMe	tBuOH	89	82
3	dppb	tBuCOMe	tBuOH	78	68
4	dppb	iPrCOMe	tBuOH	91	85
5	dppb	c-hexanone	tBuOH	88	79
6	dppb	PhCOMe	tBuOH	95	90
7	dppb	PhCOMe^{c}	tBuOH	93	80
8	dppb	PhCOMe	DME	92	89
9	dppb	PhCOMe	2-MeTHF	95	80
10	dppb	PhCOMe	dioxane	91	85
11	dppb	PhCOMe	toluene	77	25
12	dppb	$none^d$	tBuOH	72	69
13	$2 imes PPh_3$	PhCOMe	tBuOH	85	75
14	DPEphos	PhCOMe	tBuOH	88	78
15	Xantphos	PhCOMe	tBuOH	41	36
16	dppm	PhCOMe	tBuOH	56	41
17	dppe	PhCOMe	tBuOH	88	57
18	dppp	PhCOMe	tBuOH	95	86
19	dppb	PhCOMe	tBuOH	95	90
20	dpppe	PhCOMe	tBuOH	91	86
21	dppf	PhCOMe	tBuOH	91	82
22	$(S,S) ext{-}\mathrm{DIOP}$	PhCOMe	tBuOH	98	95

^{*a*} Conditions: 3-phenyl-1-propanol (1.0 mmol), benzylamine (1.1 mmol), oxidant (2.5 mmol), $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$ (2.5 mol %), phosphine (5 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (10 mol %), solvent (1 mL); reflux; 24 h. ^{*b*} Conversions determined by ¹H NMR; formation of Ph(CH₂)₃NHCH₂Ph as a byproduct accounts for the difference in conversion vs amide formation. ^{*c*} 20 mol % water added. ^{*d*} The reaction was performed with a steady stream of N₂ over the reaction mixture.

lower conversions, as did the use of Na_2CO_3 . However, the use of Cs_2CO_3 led to 67% amide 7, and this base was selected for further optimization reactions. In the absence of base, no conversion into the amide was observed. Similarly, the use of triethylamine as base also led to no conversion. Preliminary screening reactions established that bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb) was an effective ligand, providing 80% amide formation under these conditions.

The choice of hydrogen acceptor was then examined. The use of a ketone as the hydrogen acceptor also leads to the potential complication of reaction with the substrate amine to form an imine. We believe that under these reaction conditions, although some ketimine formation was observed at early stages in the reaction, this process is reversible due to the relative lack of stability of ketimines.¹⁵ We did not detect any isopropylamine derivatives which could have arisen through reduction of ketimine derived from acetone

Scheme 4. Conditions Used for the Formation of Amides

2.5 mol % [Ru(p-cymene)Cl₂]₂ ОH 5 mol % dppb 10 mol % Cs₂CO₃ H_2N^2 `R 3-Methyl-2-butanone (2.5 equiv) tBuOH 1.1 equiv Reflux, 24 h

^{(6) (}a) Ichikawa, N.; Sato, S.; Takahashi, R.; Sodesawa, T.; Inui, K. J. *Mol. Catal., A: Chem.* **2004**, *212*, 197. (b) Zhang, J.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, *127*, 10840. (c) Zhao, J.; Hartwig, J. F. Organometallics **2005**, *24*, 2441.

⁽⁷⁾ Naota, T.; Murahashi, S.-I. Synlett 1991, 693.

⁽⁸⁾ Blacker, A. J.; Farah, M. M.; Hall, M. I.; Marsden, S. P.; Saidi, O.; Williams, J. M. J. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 2039.

entry	alcohol	amine	amide	yield (%) ^b
1	Ph	H ₂ N ^{Ph}	Ph N Ph	68
2	Ph	H_2N^{Ph}	Ph N Ph	67
3	Ph OH	H ₂ N CI	Ph N CI	51
4	Ph OH	H ₂ N OMe	Ph H OMe	66
5	МеО	H ₂ N ^A Ph	MeO H H H	n 72
6	Ph	H ₂ N ^{Ph}	Ph N Ph	62
7	Ph Ph OH	H ₂ N ^{Ph}	Ph O Ph N Ph H	72
8	Ph_{2 OH	H ₂ N ^{Ph}	Ph h h h h h h h h h	67
9	→ → OH	H ₂ N ^{Ph}		70
10		H ₂ N ^{Ph}		71
11	ОН	H ₂ N ^{Ph}	O N H H Ph	65
12	MeO	H ₂ N ^{Ph}	MeONPh	73
13	НМОН	H_2N^{h}		36
14	Ph	HNO		31

Table 2. Oxidative Coupling of Alcohols with Amines to Give Amides^a

^{*a*} Conditions: alcohol (3.0 mmol), amine (3.3 mmol), 3-methyl-2-butanone (7.5 mmol), [Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl₂]₂ (2.5 mol %), dppb (5 mol %), Cs₂CO₃ (10 mol %), *t*BuOH (3 mL); reflux; 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yields after column chromatography and/or recrystallization.

and benzylamine. Simple alkenes, including dodec-1-ene, styrene, and cyclohexene, did not act as hydrogen acceptors for this reaction, nor did hex-1-yne.

Replacement of acetone with alternative ketones was found to be successful, as shown in Table 1. 2-Butanone (entry 2) and cyclohexanone (entry 5) gave very similar results, while pinacolone (entry 3) gave a reduced conversion, presumably for steric reasons. The use of 3-methylbutan-2-one (entry 4) or acetophenone (entry 6) gave improvements in both conversion and selectivity toward amide over amine, with only 6% and 5% secondary amine byproduct. The addition of water to the reaction mixture, which was hoped may help to disfavor elimination from the intermediate hemiaminal, led to a lower conversion and more amine byproduct (entry 7). The use of nonhydroxylic polar solvents afforded comparable results (entries 8-10), although the use of toluene (entry 11) led to the formation of secondary amine Ph(CH₂)₃NHCH₂Ph as the major product, with only a modest formation of amide. Interestingly, oxidation to give amide was reasonably successful even in the absence of an oxidant; a flow of nitrogen was employed to remove any hydrogen gas which was formed (entry 12).

An investigation into the use of other ligands demonstrated that other phosphines were also effective in providing a catalytic system. Even triphenylphosphine generated a reasonably effective catalyst (entry 13). 4,5-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene (Xantphos) (entry 15) was found to be significantly less effective than DPEphos (entry 14), despite their structural similarity. The use of a series of simple bidentate phosphines, $Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2$ (n = 1-5, entries 16–20) confirmed that bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb) was a good ligand in this process. In fact, the use of (*S*,*S*)-DIOP {(4*S*,5*S*)-(+)-*O*-isopropylidene-2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane} (entry 22), with the same tether length as dppb, was found to be even more effective.

Although DIOP performed better than dppb, we chose to use the latter as a simple, cheap, and effective ligand for the process. In addition, even though acetophenone was found to be a particularly good hydrogen acceptor in these reactions, we anticipated potential problems for the isolation of amides from any unreacted acetophenone and its reduction product 1-phenylethanol. We therefore selected 3-methyl-2-butanone as the hydrogen acceptor. Although it is not quite as effective as acetophenone, it is volatile (bp. 95 °C) as is the alcohol derived from it (bp. 112 °C).

With the catalytic system chosen (Scheme 4), the reaction was applied to the synthesis of a range of amides (Table 2) with good isolated yields. We were interested to note that introduction of a methoxy group into the molecule (entries 4, 5, and 12) reduced the formation of secondary amine significantly, and in most cases the byproduct was neglible only accounting for approximately 1% of the starting alcohol.

The use of the indole-containing substrate (entry 13) gave a lower yield than anticipated. Secondary amines are poor substrates in this reaction, showing very little product formation. In the case of morpholine (entry 14), a moderate yield of the tertiary amide product was obtained.

In summary, we have identified a new catalytic system for the convesion of primary alcohols into amides in good yields. The process uses a commercially available catalyst and is operationally straightforward.¹⁶

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC and Glaxo-SmithKline for providing funding for a studentship (to A. J. A. W.) through the collaborative EPSRC-Pharma Synthesis Programme.

Supporting Information Available: Details of experimental procedures and characterization data are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL900723V

(11) Hamid, M. H. S. A.; Williams, J. M. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 725.

(12) (a) Heine, A.; DeSantis, G.; Luz, J. G.; Mitchell, M.; Wong, C. H.; Wilson, I. A. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 369. (b) Evans, D. A.; Borg, G.; Scheidt, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3188.

(13) Suni, V.; Kurup, M. R. P.; Nethaji, M. J. Mol. Struct. 2005, 749, 177.

(14) Aranyos, A.; Csjernyik, G.; Szabó, K. J.; Bäckvall, J.-E. Chem Commun. 1999, 351.

(15) Godoy-Alcántar, C.; Yatsimirsky, A. K.; Lehn, J.-M. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2005, 18, 979.

(16) **Procedure for the formation of amides from alcohols.** To an oven-dried, nitrogen-purged Schlenk tube containing $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$ (46.9 mg, 0.075 mmol), dppb (64.0 mg, 0.15 mmol), and Cs_2CO_3 (97.7 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added alcohol (3 mmol), amine (3.33 mmol), 3-methyl-2-butanone (0.8 mL, 7.5 mmol), and *t*BuOH (3 mL), and the reaction was heated at reflux for 24 h. On completion, the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature before the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether as eluent) before recrystallization (from dichloromethane/hexane), to afford the corresponding amide in good yield.

⁽⁹⁾ For reviews on borrowing hydrogen, see: (a) Guillena, G.; Ramón,
D. J.; Yus, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2358. (b) Hamid,
M. H. S. A.; Slatford, P. A.; Williams, J. M. J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1555. (c) Lamb, G. W.; Williams, J. M. J. Chim. Oggi 2008, 26, 17.
(d) Nixon, T. D.; Whittlesey, M. K.; Williams, J. M. J. Dalton Trans. 2009, 753.

^{(10) (}a) Hamid, M. H. S. A.; Allen, C. L.; Lamb, G. W.; Maxwell, A. C.;
Maytum, H. C.; Watson, A. J. A.; Williams, J. M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 1766. (b) Hamid, M. H. S. A.; Williams, J. M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, 8263.