Synthesis of Medium-Sized Carbocycles by Gallium-Catalyzed Tandem Carbonyl–Olefin Metathesis/Transfer Hydrogenation

Alexandre Djurovic,[†] Marie Vayer,[†] Zhilong Li,[†] Regis Guillot,[†] Jean-Pierre Baltaze,[†] Vincent Gandon,^{*,†,‡} and Christophe Bour^{*,†}

[†]Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux d'Orsay (ICMMO), CNRS UMR 8182, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment 420, Orsay 91405 Cedex, France

[‡]Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire (LCM), CNRS UMR 9168, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, route de Saclay, Palaiseau 91128 Cedex, France

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The first examples of a catalytic tandem process involving a ring-closing carbonyl-olefin metathesis and a transfer hydrogenation are described. 1,4-Cyclohexadiene has been used as an H₂ surrogate to reduce the cyclic alkenes formed after the metathesis step. The same cationic gallium(III) complex, $[IPr \cdot GaCl_2][SbF_6]$, performs the two steps with functional group tolerance. This stereoselective reaction leads to 1,2-*cis*-disubstituted cyclopentanes and various cyclohexanes. DFT computations support an unexpected mechanism involving activation of 1,4-cyclohexadiene by superelectrophilic gallium(III) dimers.

T he synthesis of substituted carbocycles from simple substrates is a major objective in organic chemistry. Among the medium-sized ones, 1,2-*cis*-disubstituted cyclopentanes are a target of choice, notably because this particular scaffold can be found in a variety of biologically active compounds.¹ A practical and chemoselective route to largeand medium-sized carbocycles is the tandem ring-closing metathesis (RCM)/hydrogenation (or transfer hydrogenation) of dienes (Scheme 1A).² So far, this strategy has always involved ruthenium-based catalysts and H₂,³ MeOH/borohydride,⁴ silanes,⁵ or formic acid⁶ as reducing agents. Owing to

Scheme 1. Tandem Metathesis/Hydrogenation Routes to Cyclic Compounds

Previous work

A. Tandem RCM/Hydrogenation (or transfer hydrogenation)





the difficulty of reducing the RCM intermediate when the endocyclic alkene is substituted ($R \neq H$), all of the studies directed toward this tandem process have been limited to the synthesis of 1,1-disubstituted cycloalkanes (for instance, X = $(CO_2R)_2$, no other substituents) or to a very few 1,1,3-trisubstituted cycloalkanes (for instance, X = $(CO_2R)_2$ and R = Me). In no report has the stereoselectivity of the reduction step been addressed. Actually, only one paper describes the synthesis of a 1,2-*cis*-disubstituted cyclopentane based on a Rucatalyzed RCM, but the hydrogenation step was achieved independently by Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenation.⁷

The carbonyl-olefin metathesis reaction is also an expedient way to generate cyclic alkenes.⁸ In contrast with the diene RCM, it is achieved from readily available ene—aryl ketones, or more recently using aliphatic ketones,^{9h} using simple Lewis acids (particularly FeCl₃ or GaCl₃ by Schindler et al),⁹ Brønsted acids,¹⁰ tropylium cations,¹¹ or organic reagents.^{12,13} Due to our interest in the development of gallium-catalyzed transformations,¹⁴ and our recent work on the gallium-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of alkenes using 1,4-cyclohexadiene as hydrogen donor,^{15,16} we decided to investigate a tandem process combining a carbonyl-olefin metathesis and a transfer hydrogenation to form cycloalkanes (Scheme 1B). We kept in mind that the judicious installation of substituents (R¹ and Ar) could allow the formation of two contiguous stereocenters and possibly address the issue of the formation of 1,2-cis-disubtituted cyclopentanes.

Received: September 13, 2019

Our catalyst of choice for the development of galliumcatalyzed reactions is the cationic NHC-stabilized gallium dichloride $[IPr \cdot GaCl_2]^+[SbF_6]^- A$ (IPr = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-imidazol-2-ylidene, see bottom of Table 1 for its

Table	1.	Catalys	Screening	and	Optimization
-------	----	---------	-----------	-----	--------------

	(5 mol%)	,] A		, +	\bigcirc
	1,4-CHD CO2Et (10 equiv) 1a 1,2-DCE, 80 °C, 1	5 h 2	a co	₂ Et 3a	CO ₂ Et
entry	modifications from the above $\operatorname{conditions}^a$	1a,	/ 2a/3a r	atio ^b	yield of 3a ^c (%)
1	none	0	16	84	68 ^d
2	1,4-CHD added after 1 h	0	64	36	
3	1 mol % of A	0	96	4	
4	40 °C	0	91	9	
5	$GaCl_3$ instead of A	7	92	1	
6	GaCl ₃ /GaBr ₃ (2.5/2.5 mol %) instead of A	0	50	50	
7	$GaCl_3/AgSbF_6$ instead of A	0	12	82	27
8	$AlCl_3$ instead of A	99	1	0	
9	$B(C_6F_5)_3$ instead of A	100	0	0	
10	FeCl ₃ instead of A	68	32	0	
11	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ instead of A	0	10	90	50
12	$[IPr \cdot InBr_2][SbF_6]$ instead of A	0	67	33	
13	$[Ph_3PAu][SbF_6]^e$ instead of A	51	49	0	
14	HOTf instead of A	0	100	0	
15	HNTf ₂ instead A	0	34	66 ^f	
16	2,4,6-Me ₃ -1,4-CHD instead of 1,4-CHD	0	96	4	
17	Et ₃ SiH instead of 1,4-CHD	0	94	6	
18	Hantzsch ester instead of 1,4-CHD	0	100	0	
19	toluene instead of 1,2-DCE	0	96	4	
20	HFIP instead of 1,2-DCE	0	0	100	92

^{*a*}Generated in situ from IPr·GaCl₃ (5 mol %) and AgSbF₆ (7 mol %); 0.1 mmol of 1a, 0.2 M. ^{*b*}Estimated by ¹H NMR, diastereomeric ratio *cis/trans* 4/1. ^{*c*}Isolated yield. ^{*d*}Same yield on a 10 mmol scale. ^{*e*}Generated in situ from Ph₃PAuCl (5 mol %) and AgSbF₆ (7 mol %). ^{*f*}Complex mixture.



structure),¹⁷ which can be employed as such or prepared in situ from the air-stable IPr GaCl₃ complex¹⁸ and AgSbF₆.¹⁵ This protocol is much more practical than the use of GaCl₃, which is very sensitive toward moisture and usually less efficient than A. After screening several experimental parameters (see Table S1), we found that the reductive cyclization of β -ketoester 1a, bearing a dimethyl substitution at the olefin terminus, could be efficiently carried out in the presence of a catalytic amount of in situ generated [IPr- $GaCl_2$ [SbF₆] A (5 mol %) and 1,4-cyclohexadiene (1,4-CHD, 10 equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 80 °C for 5 h (Table 1, entry 1). Ethyl 2-phenylcyclopentane-carboxylate 3a was obtained in 68% isolated yield with a cis/trans diastereomeric ratio of 4:1. The relative *cis* configuration was ascertained by NOE experiments and is consistent with the data available in the literature.²⁰ The presence of the cycloalkene 2a as side

product, and the monitoring of the 2a/3a ratio over time, confirmed its intermediacy toward 3a. The reaction could be scaled up to 10 mmol without affecting the yield (68% on a 0.1 or 10 mmol scale). No conversion was observed without AgSbF₆ to activate IPr·GaCl₃, nor with AgSbF₆ alone (see Table S1). Adding 1,4-CHD after 1 h drastically decreased the proportion of 3a (entry 2). Lowering the catalytic amount of gallium to 1 mol % (entry 3) or the temperature to 40 °C (entry 4) also clearly disfavored the transfer hydrogenation step. Running the reaction with GaCl₃ gave good conversion toward 2a and very little reduction (entry 5). Using a catalytic amount of an equimolar mixture of GaCl₂/GaBr₃ (entry 6) increased the proportion of 3a, suggesting the formation of a heterobimetallic superelectrophile known to have a higher Lewis acidity than the homobimetallic $(GaCl_3)_2$ superelectrophilic dimer.9h The intervention of superelectrophilic species in this chemistry will be further discussed below and in the Supporting Information (IR and DFT studies). When using a catalytic mixture of GaCl₃ and AgSbF₆, the desired product 3a was observed as a major component of the mixture, but significant decomposition lowered the isolated yield to 27% (entry 7). Among the other Lewis acids tested (entries 8-13), $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ proved to be the only catalyst offering a reactivity apparently similar to A, yet the isolated yield was lower due to substantial degradation (entry 11). Importantly, while $B(C_6F_5)_3$ has been demonstrated to be a good transfer hydrogenation catalyst,²¹ it failed at promoting the carbonylolefin metathesis step (entry 9).

It is also striking that FeCl₃, shown to be an excellent carbonyl-olefin metathesis catalyst $9^{a-e,h}$ did not perform well in the presence of 1,4-CHD and failed at promoting the transfer hydrogenation step (entry 10). The softer Lewis acid $[IPr \cdot InBr_2][SbF_6]^{22}$ formed the desired product in only 33% yield (entry 12), while [Ph3PAu][SbF6] did not deliver 3a (entry 13). Regarding the Brønsted acids, although TfOH led to 2a, it proved ineffective for the transfer hydrogenation step (entry 14). The desired product was observed when the stronger acid Tf₂NH was used, but it was admixed to many other unidentified products (entry 15). With other H₂ surrogates such as 2,4,6-Me₃-1,4-CHD,²¹ Et₃SiH, or Hantzsch ester, no hydrogen transfer to the intermediate 2a was observed (entries 16-18). The transfer hydrogenation step did not proceed in toluene (entry 19), but the tandem process worked very well in hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) (entry $20).^{23}$

The scope of the reaction was then studied. With a p-methoxyphenyl group, **3b** was obtained in 53% and 70% yield in 1,2-DCE and HFIP, respectively (Scheme 2).

The electron-withdrawing *p*-fluoro and -chloro substituents were also well-tolerated, giving **3c** and **3d** in up to 81% yield in HFIP. The tricyclic compound **3f** was also isolated in good yield from α -tetralone **1f** in HFIP.²⁴ In contrast, the desired products were not formed when α -indanone **1e** or α -benzosuberone **1g** was subjected to the reactions conditions. For the latter, only the metathesis product **2g** was isolated in quantitative yield when 1,2-DCE was employed. In HFIP, only the reduction of the alkene function of **1e** and **1g** was observed. According to the work of Schindler,^{9a} in the absence of a substituent in the β position of the ketone (R² = CO₂R for instance), the carbonyl-olefin metathesis tends to be less efficient. However, under our conditions, **1h** transformed into the *cis*-1,2-diphenylcyclopentane product **3h** in 61% yield with an excellent *cis/trans* selectivity in 1,2-DCE (20:1).²⁵ The





structure of *cis*-**3h** was ascertained by X-ray crystallography analysis.²⁶ In HFIP, only the reduction of the alkene moiety of the starting material was observed. Other 1,2-diarylpropan-2-ones bearing different substituents at the arene moiety were converted into the corresponding 1,2-*cis*-diarylcyclopentanes (**3i**-**n**), which were isolated in moderate to good yields. The best yield was actually obtained with two electron-rich *p*-methoxyphenyl groups (**3n**, 87%).

Substrates exhibiting a *gem*-dimethyl ester tether located at the β -position of the ketone were also well-tolerated (Scheme 3). Most products (cyclopentanes or cyclohexanes) were obtained in good to excellent yields (up to 98%) with electron-donating or -withdrawing substituents. Surprisingly, enone 41, displaying a *p*-bromo substituent, transformed quantitatively into the corresponding metathesis product, which proved



^aMetathesis product obtained quantitatively.

reluctant to undergo the transfer hydrogenation step. This was yet not an issue with one less carbon in the tether (4e) or with a *p*-fluoro substituent (4m).

The mechanism of the tandem process can be hypothesized as follows (Scheme 4). With FeCl₃ as catalyst, the formation of





the metathesis product **E** from **A** was shown to be a noncationic process through just **B** and the [2 + 2] cycloadduct **C**.^{9h} The formation of **C** and its scission were described as concerted. Two catalytic cycles can be envisaged for the reduction of **E** into the final product **K**. According to our previous proposal in the case of gallium catalysis,¹⁵ it could be the result of a hydride transfer from 1,4-CHD to carbocation **F**, followed by protodegallation of **G**. Alternatively, as suggested in the case of boron catalysis,^{21d} 1,4-CHD could react with the catalyst to form species **I**. Its cationic part could be used to protonate **E**, and its metal hydride moiety could then react with **J** to give **K**.

To gain a deeper insight into the mechanism of this novel hydrogenative carbonyl-olefin metathesis by a gallium catalyst,²⁷ we performed DFT calculations at the ω B97-XD/ 6-31G(d) level of theory using 1a as a model substrate and 1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene as the model NHC (noted IMD, see the SI for details). However, with [(IMD)GaCl₂]⁺ as active species, none of the above hypotheses proved energetically favorable.

Instead of $[(IMD)GaCl_2]^+$ as the active species, we then used the homodimer $\{[(IMD)GaCl_2](\mu-Cl)-[GaCl_2(IMD)]_2\}^{2+.28}$ Schindler et al. have recently shown that in the case of the FeCl_3-catalyzed carbonyl-olefin metathesis reaction the superelectrophilic homodimer $[Cl_2Fe-(\mu-Cl)FeCl_3]$ was more active than FeCl₃.^{9h} The mechanism remained concerted in their case, i.e., no carbocationic intermediate involved. With the Ga(III) homodimer, the carbonyl-olefin metathesis became much more favorable indeed, but it was found to involve carbocationic intermediates (Scheme 5). In particular, the presence of a second $[(IMD)GaCl_2]^+$ unit allowed the formation of pentacoordinated gallium species (Figure 1) and the formation of carbocations D2 and D4.

However, even using the gallium(III) homodimer, the two transfer hydrogenation hypotheses presented in Scheme 4 remained unfavorable (see D6–D9 in the SI). The main issue with one or two gallium atoms is the high free energy of activation associated with the protonolysis of the strong Csp^3 –



Ga bond in G displayed in Scheme 4 or the unfavorable formation of the gallium hydride I. We thus visited a new mechanistic hypothesis that involves the activation of cyclohexadiene by coordination (Scheme 6). The pathway leading to the cis product D12 from D10 starts with a thermoneutral step giving D11, which contains a σ -dienyl gallium complex. It easily transfers a hydride to the carbocationic counterpart through TS_{D11-D12}. The formation of the *trans* product D14 is thermodynamically more favorable but involves highest lying transition states since it takes place on the more bulky side of the carbonyl-olefin metathesis product. This mechanism, which does not involve any proto-degallation, rationalizes the cis selectivity observed and also explains why the more sterically congested 2,4,6-Me₃-CHD was not efficient, as it would be more difficult to activate by the gallium(III) homodimer (Table 1, entry 16).

In summary, we have devised an efficient gallium-catalyzed tandem carbonyl-olefin metathesis/transfer hydrogenation protocol. It represents a main group metal catalyzed version of the Ru-catalyzed diene RCM/transfer hydrogenation. It allows the synthesis of medium-sized carbocycles, notably 1,2-*cis*-disubstituted cyclopentanes. Computations support the role of gallium(III) homodimers in the two steps and reveal an unexpected activation of 1,4-cyclohexadiene.

Scheme 6. Free Energies Profile of the $\{[(IMD)GaCl_2]_2\}_2^+$ -Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation (ΔG , kcal/mol)



ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b03240.

Experimental procedures and characterization data (PDF)

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (PDF)

Coordinates and energy of the computed species (XYZ)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1920340 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: vincent.gandon@u-psud.fr. *E-mail: christophe.bour@u-psud.fr. ORCID [©]

Vincent Gandon: 0000-0003-1108-9410 Christophe Bour: 0000-0001-6733-5284

notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest. An early preprint of this work appeared on ChemRxiv.²⁹

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the China Scholarship Council (CSC), CNRS, MESRI, Université Paris-Sud, ANR ANR-18-CE07-0033-01 (HICAT), and Ecole Polytechnique for their support of this work.

REFERENCES

(1) See, for instance: (a) Hwang, S. W.; Adiyaman, M.; Khanapure, S.; Schio, L.; Rokach, J. Total Synthesis of 8-epi-PGF2.alpha. A Novel Strategy for the Synthesis of Isoprostanes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 10829–10830. (b) Porta, A.; Vidari, G.; Zanoni, G. A General Enantioselective Approach to Jasmonoid Fragrances: Synthesis of (+)-(1R,2S)-Methyl Dihydrojasmonate and (+)-(1R,2S)-Magnolione. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, *70*, 4876–4878.

(2) See: Zieliński, G. K.; Grela, K. Tandem Catalysis Utilizing Olefin Metathesis Reactions. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 9440–9454. See also e references cited therein.

(3) (a) Louie, J.; Bielawski, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Tandem Catalysis: The Sequential Mediation of Olefin Metathesis, Hydrogenation, and Hydrogen Transfer with Single-Component Ru Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11312–11313. (b) Schmidt, B.; Pohler, M. Tandem olefin metathesis/hydrogenation at ambient temperature: activation of ruthenium carbene complexes by addition of hydrides. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2512–2517. (c) Børsting, P.; Nielsen, P. Tandem ring-closing metathesis and hydrogenation towards cyclic dinucleotides. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2140–2141.

(4) Connolly, T.; Wang, Z.; Walker, M. A.; McDonald, I. M.; Peese, K. M. Tandem Ring-Closing Metathesis/Transfer Hydrogenation: Practical Chemoselective Hydrogenation of Alkenes. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 4444–4447.

(5) Menozzi, C.; Dalko, P. I.; Cossy, J. Reduction of Olefins Using Ruthenium Carbene Catalysts and Silanes. *Synlett* **2005**, 2449–2452. (6) (a) Zieliński, G. K.; Majtczak, J.; Gutowski, M.; Grela, K. A Selective and Functional Group-Tolerant Ruthenium-Catalyzed Olefin Metathesis/Transfer Hydrogenation Tandem Sequence Using Formic Acid as Hydrogen Source. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, 83, 2542–2553. (b) Zieliński, G. K.; Samojłowicz, C.; Wdowik, T.; Grela, K. In tandem or alone: a remarkably selective transfer hydrogenation of alkenes catalyzed by ruthenium olefin metathesis catalysts. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 2684–2688.

(7) Lee, K. Y.; Na, J. E.; Lee, J. Y.; Kim, J. N. Synthesis of Substituted Cyclopentenes from the Baylis-Hillman Adducts via Ring-Closing Metathesis Reaction. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2004, 25, 1280–1282.

(8) (a) Ravindar, L.; Lekkala, R.; Rakesh, K. P.; Asiri, A. M.; Marwani, H. M.; Qin, H.-L. Carbonyl–Olefin Metathesis: a Key Review. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 1381–1391. (b) Groso, E. J.; Schindler, C. S. Recent Advances in the Application of Ring-Closing Metathesis for the Synthesis of Unsaturated Nitrogen Heterocycles. Synthesis 2019, 51, 1100–1114. (c) Lambert, T. H. Development of a Hydrazine-Catalyzed Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis Reaction. Synlett 2019, 30, A–L. (d) Biberger, T.; Makai, S.; Lian, Z.; Morandi, B. Iron-Catalyzed Ring-Closing C–O/C–O Metathesis of Aliphatic Ethers. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 6940–6944.

(9) For selected examples of Lewis acid catalyzed carbonyl-olefin metathesis, see: (a) Ludwig, J. R.; Zimmerman, P. M.; Gianino, J. B.; Schindler, C. S. Iron(III)-Catalysed Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. Nature 2016, 533, 374-379. (b) Ma, L.; Li, W.; Xi, H.; Bai, X.; Ma, E.; Yan, X.; Li, Z. FeCl3-Catalyzed Ring-Closing Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 10410-10413. (c) McAtee, C. C.; Riehl, P. S.; Schindler, C. S. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons via Iron(III)-Catalyzed Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 2960-2963. (d) Ludwig, J. R.; Phan, S.; McAtee, C. C.; Zimmerman, P. M.; Devery, J. J.; Schindler, C. S. Mechanistic Investigations of the Iron(III)-Catalyzed Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10832-10842. (e) Groso, E. J.; Golonka, A. N.; Harding, R. A.; Alexander, B. W.; Sodano, T. M.; Schindler, C. S. 3-Aryl-2,5-Dihydropyrroles via Catalytic Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2006-2011. (f) Albright, H.; Vonesh, H. L.; Becker, M. R.; Alexander, B. W.; Ludwig, J. R.; Wiscons, R. A.; Schindler, C. S. GaCl₃-Catalyzed Ring-Opening Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4954-4958. (g) Ludwig, J. R.; Watson, R. B.; Nasrallah, D. J.; Gianino, J. B.; Zimmerman, P. M.; Wiscons, R. A.; Schindler, C. S. Interrupted Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis via Oxygen Atom Transfer. Science 2018,

361, 1363–1369. (h) Albright, H.; Riehl, P. S.; McAtee, C. C.; Reid, J. P.; Ludwig, J. R.; Karp, L. A.; Zimmerman, P. M.; Sigman, M. S.; Schindler, C. S. Catalytic Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis of Aliphatic Ketones: Iron(III) Homo-Dimers as Lewis Acidic Superelectrophiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 1690–1700.

(10) Catti, L.; Tiefenbacher, K. Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis Inside a Self-Assembled Supramolecular Host. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 14589–14592.

(11) Tran, U. P. N.; Oss, G.; Pace, D. P.; Ho, J.; Nguyen, T. V. Tropylium-Promoted Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis Reactions. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 5145–5151.

(12) For examples of organocatalyzed carbonyl-olefin metathesis, see: (a) Griffith, A. K.; Vanos, C. M.; Lambert, T. H. Organocatalytic Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 18581–18584. (b) Hong, X.; Liang, Y.; Griffith, A. K.; Lambert, T. H.; Houk, K. N. Distortion-Accelerated Cycloadditions and Strain-Release-Promoted Cycloreversions in the Organocatalytic Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 471–475. (c) Ni, S.; Franzén, J. Carbocation Catalysed Ring Closing Aldehyde-Olefin Metathesis. *Chem.* **2018**, *54*, 12982–12985.

(13) Tran, U. P. N.; Oss, G.; Breugst, M.; Detmar, E.; Pace, D. P.; Liyanto, K.; Nguyen, T. V. Carbonyl–Olefin Metathesis Catalyzed by Molecular Iodine. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 912–919.

(14) (a) Michelet, B.; Tang, S.; Thiery, G.; Monot, J.; Li, H.; Guillot, R.; Bour, C.; Gandon, V. Catalytic Applications of $[IPr\cdot GaX_2][SbF_6]$ and Related Species. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2016**, *3*, 1603–1613. (b) Li, Z.; Thiery, G.; Lichtenthaler, M. R.; Guillot, R.; Krossing, I.; Gandon, V.; Bour, C. Catalytic Use of Low-Valent Cationic Gallium(I) Complexes as π -Acids. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 544–549. (c) Pareek, M.; Bour, C.; Gandon, V. Gallium-Catalyzed Scriabine Reaction. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 6957–6960.

(15) Michelet, B.; Bour, C.; Gandon, V. Gallium-Assisted Transfer Hydrogenation of Alkenes. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 14488–14492.

(16) Wang, D.; Astruc, D. The Golden Age of Transfer Hydrogenation. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, 115, 6621–6686.

(17) (a) Tang, S.; Monot, J.; El-Hellani, A.; Michelet, B.; Guillot, R.; Bour, C.; Gandon, V. Cationic Gallium(III) Halide Complexes: a New Generation of π -Lewis Acids. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 10239– 10243. (b) Bour, C.; Monot, J.; Tang, S.; Guillot, R.; Farjon, J.; Gandon, V. Structure, Stability, and Catalytic Activity of the Fluorine-Bridged Complexes IPr·GaCl₂(μ -F)EF_{n-1} [EF_n⁻ = SbF₆⁻, PF₆⁻, BF₄⁻]. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 594–599.

(18) Marion, N.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Stevens, E. D.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Nolan, S. P. Synthesis, Characterization, and Structure of [GaCl₃(NHC)] Complexes. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3256–3259.

(19) Of note, other perfluoroanions (PF_{6} , BF_{4}) cannot be used because the Ga⁺ ion abstracts a fluorine atom out of them (see ref 17b).

(20) Dziechciejewski, W. J.; Weber, R.; Sowada, O.; Boysen, M. M. K. Cycloalkene Carbonitriles in Rhodium-Catalyzed 1,4-Addition and Formal Synthesis of Vabicaserin. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 4132–4135.

(21) (a) Chatterjee, I.; Oestreich, M. B(C₆F₅)₃-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation of Imines and Related Heteroarenes Using Cyclohexa-1,4-Dienes as a Dihydrogen Source. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1965–1968. (b) Chatterjee, I.; Qu, Z.-W.; Grimme, S.; Oestreich, M. B(C₆F₅)₃-Catalyzed Transfer of Dihydrogen From One Unsaturated Hydrocarbon to Another. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12158-12162. (c) Chatterjee, I.; Oestreich, M. Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation of Imines and Alkenes Using Cyclohexa-1,4-Dienes as Dihydrogen Surrogates. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2463-2466. (d) Keess, S.; Oestreich, M. Cyclohexa-1,4-Dienes in Transition-Metal-Free Ionic Transfer Processes. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 4688-4695. (22) Michelet, B.; Colard-Itté, J.-R.; Thiery, G.; Guillot, R.; Bour, C.; Gandon, V. Dibromoindium(II) Cations as a π -Lewis Acid: Characterization of [IPr·InBr₂][SbF₆] and its Catalytic Activity Towards Alkynes and Alkenes. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 7401-7404.

Organic Letters

(23) For the cooperativity between strong Lewis Acids and HFIP, see inter alia: (a) Qi, C.; Hasenmaile, F.; Gandon, V.; Lebœuf, D. Calcium(II)-Catalyzed Intra- and Intermolecular Hydroamidation of Unactivated Alkenes in Hexafluoroisopropanol. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 1734–1739. (b) Qi, C.; Gandon, V.; Lebœuf, D. Calcium(II)-Catalyzed Intermolecular Hydroarylation of Deactivated Styrenes in Hexafluoroisopropanol. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 14245–14249.

(24) Single diastereomer whose configuration could not be determined.

(25) Miller, A. R.; Curtin, D. Y. Locked Aryl Rotation in Cis-Diarylacenaphthenes, Torsionally Rigid Analogues of Cis-1,2-Diarylcyclopentanes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, 98, 1860–1865.

(26) See the Supporting Information.

(27) For detailed mechanistic studies of gallium-promoted reactions, see inter alia: (a) Hanson, C. S.; Psaltakis, M. C.; Cortes, J. J.; Devery, J. J., III. Catalyst Behavior in Metal-Catalyzed Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11870–11880. (b) Novikov, R. A.; Denisov, D. A.; Potapov, K. V.; Tkachev, Y. V.; Shulishov, E. V.; Tomilov, Y. V. Ionic Ga-Complexes of Alkylidene- and Arylmethy-lidenemalonates and Their Reactions with Acetylenes: An In-Depth Look into the Mechanism of the Occurring Gallium Chemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 14381–14390.

(28) Of note, the GaCl₃-catalyzed Friedel–Crafts methylation of benzene with MeCl is second order in gallium, see: DeHaan, F. P.; Brown, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1969**, *91*, 4844–4850.

(29) Djurovic, A.; Vayer, M.; Li, Z.; Guillot, R.; Baltaze, J.-P.; Gandon, V.; Bour, C. Synthesis of Medium Sized Carbocycles by Gallium-Catalyzed Tandem Carbonyl-Olefin Metathesis/Transfer Hydrogenation. *ChemRxiv* 2019, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv.8206247.v2.