Tetrahedron Letters 50 (2009) 1734-1737

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

An expedient aralkylation of Baylis–Hillman adduct via the Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation strategy

Baylis-Hillman adduct and allyl phenylacetate.

Jeong Mi Kim, Se Hee Kim, Hyun Seung Lee, Jae Nyoung Kim*

Department of Chemistry and Institute of Basic Science, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 26 December 2008 Revised 22 January 2009 Accepted 27 January 2009 Available online 5 February 2009

Keywords: Baylis–Hillman adducts Palladium Decarboxylative protonation

Introduction of aryl group at the primary position of the Baylis–Hillman adduct has been carried out in a variety of ways.^{1–3} Friedel–Crafts reaction of Baylis–Hillman alcohol, acetate, and *aza*-Baylis–Hillman adduct with arenes has been used most frequently.² Recently, Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling protocol was reported.³ However, an efficient method for the introduction of arylmethyl group at the Baylis–Hillman adducts has not been reported although the product α -substituted acrylate ester has been used extensively in organic synthesis.⁴ Very recently, Roy and co-workers reported on the preparation of these compounds via Cp₂TiCl-mediated radical-induced addition protocol.⁴

After Tsuji's brilliant contributions, Pd-mediated decarboxylative protonation and allylation have been used widely in organic synthesis.^{5–8} Recently, we also reported on Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation protocol for the synthesis of 1,5-dicarbonyl compounds from Baylis–Hillman adducts.⁷ During the project we imagined that we could introduce arylmethyl moiety at the primary position of the Baylis–Hillman adduct and could prepare homologous series of the Friedel–Crafts products by using the Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation strategy as in Scheme 1.

As is often the case, the corresponding π -allylpalladium carboxylate intermediate cannot lose carbon dioxide without an electron-accommodating group.^{5–8} Many functional groups have been reported as the electron-accommodating moieties including ester, nitrile, and acetyl groups.^{5–8} Recently, Waetzig and Tunge

used electron-deficient aryl and heterocyclic moieties as the electron-accommodating group in their Pd-assisted decarboxylative allylation.⁹ Thus, we selected *para*-nitro derivative **3a** as the model substrate and examined the whole process: introduction of allyl *p*-nitrophenylacetate (**2a**) at the primary position of the bromide of Baylis–Hillman adduct **1a** to make **3a**, and the following Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation to desired compound **4a** (Table 1). The plausible mechanism for the Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation is depicted in Scheme 1 (vide supra).

An expedient protocol of aralkylation of Baylis-Hillman adducts has been developed. This method used

Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation strategy to the allyl ester precursor that was made from the

Introduction of **2a** was carried out using K_2CO_3/CH_3CN at room temperature in good yield (88%).¹⁰ With compound **3a** we examined the conditions of decarboxylative protonation as shown in Table 1. The formation of compounds **5a** and **6a** was also observed during the reaction besides that of **4a**.¹⁰ As shown, variable ratios of compounds **4a–6a** were observed, and were dependent on the ratio/amounts of Et₃N/HCOOH and reaction temperature. Best result was observed with 1.1 equiv of Et₃N and 1.1 equiv of HCOOH conditions in refluxing CH₃CN (entry 1). The reaction at room temperature produced carboxylic acid **6a** as the major compound (entry 4), and excess amounts of Et₃N/HCOOH increased the amounts of amino compound **5a** (entries 2 and 3). The use of ammonium formate showed similar results (entry 5).

Encouraged by the successful results, we prepared various starting materials **3a–g** by the reaction of allyl arylacetates **2a–d** and the bromide of Baylis–Hillman adducts **1a–c** in good yields (52– 91%). In some cases when the use of K_2CO_3 is less effective, we used Cs_2CO_3 or TBAF (*n*-tetrabutylammonium fluoride, THF solution) as in entries 5–7. The next Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation reactions were carried out under the optimized conditions (entry 1





© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 62 530 3381; fax: +82 62 530 3389. *E-mail address*: kimjn@chonnam.ac.kr (J.N. Kim).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.01.151







Entry	Conditions	Products (%)
1	Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %), PPh ₃ (10 mol %), Et ₃ N (1.1 equiv), HCOOH (1.1 equiv), CH ₃ CN, reflux, 2 h	4a (90), no 5a
2	Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %), PPh ₃ (10 mol %), Et ₃ N (2.4 equiv), HCOOH (2.4 equiv), CH ₃ CN, reflux, 3 h	4a (68), 5a (18)
3	Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %), PPh ₃ (10 mol %), Et ₃ N (3.0 equiv), HCOOH (6.0 equiv), CH ₃ CN, reflux, 24 h	4a (13), 5a (51)
4	Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %), PPh ₃ (10 mol %), Et ₃ N (2.0 equiv), HCOOH (2.0 equiv), CH ₃ CN, rt, 5 h	4a (12), 6a (82)
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$ (5 mol %), PPh ₃ (10 mol %), HCOONH ₄ (1.1 equiv), CH ₃ CN, reflux, 4 h	4a (79), 5a (5)

Table 2

Aralkylation of Baylis-Hillman adducts at the primary position



Entry	Conditions	Compound 3 (%)	Conditions ^a (h)	Compound 4 (%)
1	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.5 equiv), CH ₃ CN, rt, 5 h	3a (88)	2	4a (90)
2	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.5 equiv), CH ₃ CN, 50 °C, 24 h	3b (62)	2	4b (88)
3	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.5 equiv), CH ₃ CN, rt, 12 h	3c (91)	12	4c (96)
4	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.5 equiv), CH ₃ CN, rt, 7 h	3d (60)	3	4d (94)
5	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (2.0 equiv), CH ₃ CN, rt, 4 h	3e (74)	4	4e (96)
6	TBAF (2.0 equiv), THF, rt, 30 min	3f (52) ^{b,c}	2	4f (88) ^c
7	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (2.0 equiv), CH ₃ CN, rt, 12 h	3g (74)	3 ^d	4g (80)

^a Conditions: Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol %), PPh₃ (10 mol %), Et₃N (1.1 equiv), HCOOH (1.1 equiv), CH₃CN, reflux.

^b Appreciable amounts of 1:2 adduct of **2** and **1** were formed (40%) as a side product.

^c The stereochemistry is *Z*.

^d DMF was used as solvent (80 °C).

in Table 1). Good to excellent yields of products **4a**–**g** were obtained, and the results are summarized in Table 2.

The reaction of methanesulfonyl derivative 3g did not produce 4g under the same conditions in CH₃CN (24 h, reflux). Instead of 4g, we isolated acid compound 6g in 56% (Scheme 2). However,

we could prepare **4g** in good yield (80%) by exchanging the solvent CH_3CN to DMF (entry 7 in Table 2 and Scheme 2). Due to the relatively weak electron-accommodating ability of methanesulfonyl group than the nitro group of compounds **3a–f**, the reaction was sluggish in CH_3CN . However, decarboxylation was effective in



more polar solvent DMF, fortunately. The reaction of *p*-chloro derivative **3h** produced the corresponding carboxylic acid compound **6h** (78%). In this case, decarboxylation was impossible due to lack of π -electron-accommodating substituent even in DMF solvent under very harsh conditions (reflux, 24 h) as shown in Scheme 2. As expected, the reaction of ethyl ester **3i** did not produce any trace amounts of product **4a** under the same conditions (Scheme 3). We isolated amino compound **7** in 42% after 20 h.

As a next entry, we examined the feasibility for the introduction of arylmethyl moiety at the secondary position of the Baylis–Hillman adduct as shown in Scheme 4. Required starting material **3j** was synthesized using DABCO salt concept¹¹ from the acetate **1d** in 88% yield as a diastereomeric mixture (2:1). The next Pd-mediated decarboxylative protonation was carried out under the same conditions and we obtained **4j** in excellent yield (97%). However, during the preparation of compound **3k** from the reaction of **1a** and **2e**, inseparable mixture of primary **3k** and secondary **3l** was obtained (88%, 1:1 mixture) as shown in Scheme 5. Thus, we used the mixture without separation in the next decarboxylative protonation reaction and obtained compounds **4k** (38%) and **4l** (39%).

As the last manipulation, we examined Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative allylation with compound **3a** as shown in Scheme 6 under the conditions of $Pd(OAc)_2/PPh_3$ in dry toluene,^{5,8,9} and obtained product **8** (75%) as the major compound together with small amounts of **4a** (19%). The compound **8** could also be prepared from the Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative protonation reaction of compound **9** that was synthesized by the allylation of **3a** with allyl bromide.

In summary, we disclosed an efficient aralkylation protocol at either primary or secondary position of the Baylis–Hillman adducts



Scheme 5.



via the novel Pd-mediated decarboxylative protonation protocol as the key step.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, KRF-2008-313-C00487). Spectroscopic data were obtained from the Korea Basic Science Institute, Gwangju branch.

References and notes

- For the general review on Baylis–Hillman reaction, see: (a) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, A. J.; Satyanarayana, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 811–891; (b) Ciganek, E.. In Organic Reactions; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1997; Vol. 51, pp 201–350; (c) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, P. D.; Hyma, R. S. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8001–8062; (d) Kim, J. N.; Lee, K. Y. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 627–645; (e) Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 26, 1481–1490; (f) Singh, V.; Batra, S. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 4511–4574. and further references cited therein.
- For the synthesis of aryl-substituted rearranged Baylis-Hillman adducts via Friedel-Crafts reaction, see: (a) Basavaiah, D.; Krishnamacharyulu, M.; Hyma, R. S.; Pandiaraju, S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, *38*, 2141–2144; (b) Basavaiah, D.; Pandiaraju, S.; Padmaja, K. Synlett **1996**, 393–395; (c) Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. **2001**, *42*, 3025–3027; (d) Lee, H. J.; Seong, M. R.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. **1998**, *39*, 6223–6226; (e) Lee, H. J.; Kim, T. H.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. **2001**, *22*, 1063–1064; (f) Das, B.; Majhi, A.; Banerjee, J.; Chowdhury, N.; Venkateswarlu, K. Chem. Lett. **2005**, *34*, 1492–1493; (g) Shanmugam, P.; Rajasingh, P. Chem. Lett. **2005**, *34*, 1494–1495.
- For the synthesis of arylated Baylis-Hillman adducts using metal catalyst, see:

 (a) Kabalka, G. W.; Venkataiah, B.; Dong, G. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3803–3805;
 (b) Kabalka, G. W.; Dong, G.; Venkataiah, B.; Chen, C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9207–9210;
 (c) Navarre, L.; Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1108–1109;
 (d) Navarre, L.; Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. Chem. Catal. 2006, 348, 317–322;
 (e) Kantam, M. L.; Kumar, K. B. S.; Sreedhar, B. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 320–322;
 (f) Ranu, B. C.; Chattopadhyay, K.; Jana, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3847–3850.
- Mandal, S. K.; Paira, M.; Roy, S. C. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3823–3827. and further references cited therein for the synthetic usefulness of α-substituted acrylate esters.
- For Tsuji's contribution on Pd-assisted decarboxylative protonation and allylation, see: (a) Tsuji, J.; Nisar, M.; Shimizu, I. J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 3416– 3417; (b) Mandai, T.; Imaji, M.; Takada, H.; Kawata, M.; Nokami, J.; Tsuji, J. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 5395–5397; (c) Tsuji, J. Pure Appl. Chem. **1986**, 58, 869– 878.
- For the other contributions on Pd-assisted decarboxylative protonation, see: (a) Marinescu, S. C.; Nishimata, T.; Mohr, J. T.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1039–1042; (b) Ragoussis, V.; Giannikopoulos, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 683–687.
- For our recent contribution: Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, K. H.; Kim, S. H.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 6241–6244.
- For some examples on the formation of π-allylmetal complex and its synthetic applications, see: (a) Blacker, A. J.; Clark, M. L.; Loft, M. S.; Williams, J. M. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 913–914; (b) Blacker, A. J.; Clark, M. L.; Loft, M. S.; Mahon, M. F.; Humphries, M. E.; Williams, J. M. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, 6, 353–360; (c) Kadota, J.; Komori, S.; Fukumoto, Y.; Murai, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 7523-7527; (d) Kadota, J.; Katsuragi, H.; Fukumoto, Y.; Murai, S. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 979–983; (e) Utsunomiya, M.; Miyamoto, Y.; Ipposhi, J.; Ohshima, T.;

Mashima, K. Org. Lett. **2007**, 9, 3371–3374; (f) Kitamura, M.; Tanaka, S.; Yoshimura, M. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 4975–4977; (g) Burger, E. C.; Tunge, J. A. Chem. Commun. **2005**, 2835–2837; (h) Constant, S.; Tortoioli, S.; Muller, J.; Lacour, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 2082–2085; (i) Burger, E. C.; Tunge, J. A. Org. Lett. **2004**, 6, 2603–2605; (j) Corey, E. J.; William, S. J. Org. Chem. **1973**, 38, 3223–3224; (k) Tunge, J. A.; Burger, E. C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 1715–1726. and further references cited therein.

- For the Pd-mediated decarboxylative allylation and related reactions involving nitro arene or pyridine moiety, see: (a) Waetzig, S. R.; Tunge, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14860–14861; (b) Waetzig, S. R.; Tunge, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4138–4139.
- 10. Typical procedure for the synthesis of **3a** and **4a**: A mixture of **1a** (306 mg, 1.2 mmol), **2a** (221 mg, 1.0 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (207 mg, 1.5 mmol) in CH₃CN (3 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. After the usual aqueous workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 10:1:1), compound **3a** was isolated as a white solid, 348 mg (88%). A stirred mixture of **3a** (198 mg, 0.5 mmol), and Et₃N (56 mg, 0.55 mmol) in CH₃CN (3 mL) was heated to reflux for 2 h. After the usual aqueous workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/EtOAc, 9:1), compound **4a** was isolated as a white solid, 140 mg (90%). Selected spectroscopic data of prepared compounds, **3a**, **4a**, **4j**, and **8** are as follows. *Compound* **3a**: 88%; white solid, mp 56–58 °C; IR (film) 2951, 1736, 1712, 1522, 1348, 1259 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.20–3.34 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.07 (dd, *J* = 9.3 and 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45–4.49 (m, 2H), 5.14–5.21 (m, 2H), 5.72–5.85 (m, 1H), 7.07–7.10 (m, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H); ⁵ 3.05, 49.9, 52.2, 65.8, 118.5, 123.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 122.9, 131.5, 134.9, 142.3, 145.1, 147.1, 168.0, 171.8; ESIMS m/z 396 (M*+1). *Compound* **4a**: 90%; white solid, mp 77–79 °C; IR (film) 2955, 1706, 1509.

Compound **4a**: 90%; white solid, mp 77–79 °C; If (film) 2955, 1706, 1509, 1349, 1248 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.83–2.97 (m, 4H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 7.22–7.40 (m, 7H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 28.8, 35.0, 52.1, 123.6, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 129.2, 131.5, 135.4, 140.6, 146.5, 149.2, 168.4; ESIMS *m*/*z* 312 (M⁺+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NO₄: C, 69.44; H, 5.50; N, 4.50. Found: C, 69.58; H, 5.37; N, 4.42.

Compound **4f**: 88%; pale yellow solid, mp 142–144 °C; IR (film) 2928, 2208, 1511, 1346 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.72–2.78 (m, 2H), 3.07–3.12 (m, 2H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 7.34–7.42 (m, 5H), 7.63–7.68 (m, 2H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 34.3, 37.5, 109.3, 118.3, 123.9, 128.6, 128.9, 129.4, 130.3, 133.2, 144.8, 146.8, 147.4; ESIMS *m/z* 279 (M*+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₂O₂: C, 73.37; H, 5.07; N, 10.07. Found: C, 73.59; H, 5.34; N, 9.86. Compound **4j**: 97%; pale yellow oil; IR (film) 2951, 1720, 1519, 1346 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.14 (dd, *J* = 13.5 and 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 13.5 and 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 4.20 (dd, *J* = 9.3 and 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 7.10–7.25 (m, 7H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 40.4, 47.7, 51.9, 123.2, 124.9, 126.8, 128.0, 128.3, 129.7, 140.5, 142.6, 146.3, 147.6, 166.8.

Compound **8**: 75%; pale yellow oil; IR (film) 2949, 1712, 1519, 1346, 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.26–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.85–3.09 (m, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 4.90–4.97 (m, 2H), 5.49–5.61 (m, 1H), 7.01–7.07 (m, 4H), 7.28–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 33.2, 40.0, 44.9, 52.0, 117.0, 123.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 130.8, 135.4, 135.5, 141.0, 146.4, 151.6, 168.4; ESIMS *m*/*z* 352 (M⁺+1). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₁NO₄: C, 71.78; H, 6.02; N, 3.99. Found: C, 71.45; H, 6.23; N, 3.67.

 For the regioselective introduction of nucleophiles at the secondary positions of Baylis–Hillman adducts by using the DABCO salt concept, see: (a) Kim, J. N.; Kim, J. M.; Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2004, 25, 1733– 1736; (b) Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 26, 1481–1490. and further references cited therein.