Synthesis of phosphine substituted β -diketiminate based isomeric Ge(II) complexes[†][‡]

N. Dastagiri Reddy,*^a Anukul Jana,^b Herbert W. Roesky,*^b Prinson P. Samuel^b and Carola Schulzke^c

Received 29th July 2009, Accepted 2nd October 2009 First published as an Advance Article on the web 9th November 2009 DOI: 10.1039/b915403e

Treatment of phosphine substituted β -diketiminate lithium, Ph₂PC[C(Me)N(Dipp)]₂LiOEt₂ (1) (Dipp = 2,6-'Pr₂C₆H₃) with dioxane·GeCl₂resulted in a five-membered *N*,*P* chelate complex, Ph₂PC[C(Me)N(Dipp)]₂GeCl (2). An isomer of 2 CH{[C(CH₂PPh₂)N(Dipp)][C(Me)N(Dipp)]}GeCl (5) was obtained from the germanium complex L'Ge (L' = CH[C(=CH₂)N(Dipp)][C(Me)N(Dipp)])Ge (4) and Ph₂PCl. In 5 the PPh₂ group remains uncoordinated. Both complexes were characterized by X-ray structural analysis and in the case of 5 both enantiomers crystallize in the same unit cell.

Introduction

 β -Diketiminate ligands occupy a distinct position in metalcoordination chemistry, which is evident from the number of articles published every year on these systems.¹ What makes them important is their tunability, which is both electronic and steric. The steric environment provided by these ligands, especially the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl substituted diketiminate, has been exploited in main-group chemistry for synthesizing species with low valent elements² and active metals.³ Both steric and electronic factors have been utilized in developing efficient homogeneous catalysts⁴ and model systems of biological relevance.⁵ Barring one example⁶ (Fig. 1, **B**, Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl) β -diketiminate ligands usually form chelate complexes with metals through the N atoms (**C**). Incorporation of groups like –CN and –NO₂ at the R³ position



^aDepartment of Chemistry, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry, 605014, India. E-mail: ndreddy.che@pondiuni.edu.in; Fax: 91413 2655987; Tel: 91 413 26554484

(A) has led in some cases to the formation of either coordination polymers⁷ or bimetallic systems,⁸ without affecting chelation (D), while in most cases they have remained spectators.⁹

Phosphines have been well known for stabilizing transition metals especially the late and heavier ones.10 Introducing a phosphine moiety at the R^2 or R^3 position would lead to potential ligands for synthesizing heterobimetallic systems involving maingroup elements and late transition metals. Recently, there have been reports on phosphine substituted β -diketiminate ligands, in which phosphine moieties occupy the R³ position.¹¹ We have been interested in heterobimetallic germylenes,¹² which are potential catalysts for olefin polymerization. In continuation of our research in such systems, we explored the reaction of a phosphine substituted (at the R³ position) β -diketiminate ligand 1 with dioxane GeCl₂. In parallel, we have also designed and executed a synthetic route, via germylene, for making β -diketiminate Ge(II) complex with a free phosphine moiety at the R^2 position. These reactions have led to the formation of isomers, however, with different coordination modes, which will be discussed here in detail.

Results and discussion

An equimolar reaction of **1** and the dioxane complex of GeCl₂ in ether gives a pale yellow colored product in almost quantitative yield. The product **2** was structurally characterized as an N,Pchelate complex of germanium (Scheme 1), which has been isolated and purified by washing it with *n*-hexane. Compound **2** is formed exclusively and shows a single resonance at δ 15.16 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** exhibits as many as eight doublets for isopropyl methyl groups and four multiplets for methyne protons due to the unsymmetrical nature of the molecule. Interestingly, two of the methyne protons of the isopropyl groups are quite shielded (δ 1.68 and 2.53 ppm). Upon examination of the orientation of methyne protons in the solid state we have found that two protons are in close proximity to the center of the phenyl groups of the phosphine moiety and hence fall in the shielding region of the ring current (see ESI †for orientation). This observation suggests complex **2** has the same structure both in the solid and solution state.

^bInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Tammannstrasse 4, Göttingen, 37077, Germany. E-mail: hroesky@gwdg.de; Fax: 49 551 393373; Tel: 49 551 393001

^cSchool of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ORTEP diagram of **2** showing proximity of methyne protons to phenyl groups. CCDC reference numbers 741341 & 741342. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b915403e

[‡] Dedicated to Prof. Richard A. Walton on the occasion of his 70th birthday.



Single crystals of **2** were obtained from *n*-hexane solution, and a molecular structure with selected bond distances and bond angles is given in Fig. 2. Surprisingly, a thorough search for N,Pchelate complexes of germanium in CCDC resulted in one fourmembered ring compound¹³ and two six-membered rings,¹⁴ and no five-membered rings were reported. Ge–N and Ge–P bond distances are in the range of those reported for such complexes.¹⁵ The five-membered ring is slightly puckered and no four atoms in the ring fall in one plane. The N(1)–C(26), C(27)–C(28) bond lengths, typical of single bonds, and C(26)–C(27), C(28)–N(2) bond lengths, typical of double bonds, support the formulation given for **2** in Scheme 1.



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 2. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Ge(1)–N(1) 1.9700(16), Ge(1)–Cl(1) 2.2934(8), Ge(1)–P(1) 2.4300(11), N(1)–C(26) 1.361(2), C(26)–C(27) 1.385(3), C(27)–C(28) 1.473(3), N(2)–C(28) 1.285(2); N(1)–Ge(1)–Cl(1) 95.63(6), N(1)–Ge(1)–P(1) 80.49(5), Cl(1)–Ge(1)–P(1) 93.16(3), C(26)–N(1)–Ge(1) 123.02(12), N(1)–C(26)–C(27) 121.52(16), C(27)–P(1)–Ge(1) 97.91(7), C(26)–C(27)–P(1) 114.08(14), N(2)–C(28)–C(27) 117.44(16).

The chelation mode of the β -diketiminate in 2 was unexpected, since we were aiming at complex 3 (Scheme 1) in which the phosphine moiety remains a spectator. However, recently it has been demonstrated by Burford and coworkers that the

 β -diketiminate ligand **1** is in a unusual coordination mode in its As(III) and Sb(III) complexes (Fig. 3, I). The Al(III) forms an N,N' chelate complex, in which the –PPh₂ remains uncoordinated (Fig. 3, II).^{11b} It is evident from their observation that the mode of coordination depends upon the nature of the metal. In addition to the coordination modes observed so far (I and II in ref. 11b, III in this paper), there is also another mode possible (IV).



Recently, from the work of Driess and coworkers^{3j} as well as from our results¹⁶ we have learnt that the germylene **4** can be utilized for effecting substitution at the α carbon of the ligand back-bone. In order to introduce the Ph₂P group at this position we have employed Ph₂PCl. A quantitative reaction has occurred when a solution of **4** in *n*-hexane is treated with Ph₂PCl. Product **5** was characterized by NMR and single crystal X-ray studies (Scheme 2).



As shown in Fig. 4, the N,N'-chelation mode of the ligand is retained and the Ph₂P group does not interact with Ge(II). As with the previously reported β -diketiminate Ge(II) complexes,^{3),17} Ge deviates away from the plane of the ring, and Ge–N and Ge–Cl bonds are also typical of such complexes. As given in Fig. 4, unlike in **2**, all the C–C and C–N bond lengths of the β -diketiminate skeleton in **5** are almost equal.

The ³¹P NMR chemical shifts of 2 (15.16 ppm) and 5 (-13.47 ppm) are diagnostic of the coordination mode in these complexes. The complexes 2 and 5 are chiral and the chirality is due to the presence of the out of plane Ge–Cl bond as well as the way the ligand coordinates in 2, and the unsymmetrical nature of the ligand in 5. Interestingly, in 5 both enantiomers are present in the same unit cell (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **5**, showing both the enantiomers. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Ge(1)-N(1) 1.984(3), Ge(1)-N(2) 1.967(3), Ge(1)-Cl(1) 2.3250(11), N(1)-C(14) 1.348(4), C(14)-C(15) 1.400(5), C(15)-C(16) 1.387(5), N(2)-C(16) 1.351(5); N(2)-Ge(1)-N(1) 91.35(12), N(2)-Ge(1)-Cl(1) 93.70(9), N(1)-Ge(1)-Cl(1) 94.61(8).

Experimental

General considerations

All manipulations were performed in a dry and oxygen-free atmosphere (N_2 or Ar) by using Schlenk-line and glove-box techniques. Solvents were purified with the M-Braun solvent drying system. Compounds 1^{11b} (used MeLi in ether) and 4^{16a} were prepared by literature methods. Other chemicals were procured and used as received. ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 or 300 MHz instrument and referenced to the deuterated solvent in the case of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. ³¹P NMR spectra were performed by the Analytisches Labor des Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Göttingen. Melting points were measured in sealed glass tubes with a Büchi melting point B 540 instrument and are not corrected.

Crystallographic details. Suitable crystals of **2** and **5** were mounted on a glass fiber and data were collected on an IPDS II Stoe image-plate diffractometer (graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at 133(2) K. The data were integrated with X-Area. The structures were solved by Direct Methods (SHELXS-97)¹⁸ and refined by full-matrix least square methods against F^2 (SHELXL-97). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined by using a riding model. Crystallographic data are given in Table 1.

Synthesis of 2. To a cooled (-30 °C) suspension of dioxane GeCl₂ complex (1.50 g, 6.47 mmol) in ether (40 mL) was added a suspension of 1 (4.44 g, 6.51 mmol) in ether (40 mL) using a L-bent tube. The resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and filtered using Celite®. All the volatiles were removed from the filtrate and 50 mL of *n*-hexane was added to the residue. The mixture was stirred well for about 30 min and filtered to obtain a yellow colored powder (2.82 g). The filtrate was kept at room temperature and after one day pale yellow colored crystals were obtained (1.50 g). Total yield 4.32 g (94%).

Table 1 Crystal data for complexes 2 and 5

Empirical formula, CCDC-No.	2 C ₄₁ H ₅₀ ClGeN ₂ P, 741342	5 C ₄₁ H ₅₀ ClGeN ₂ P, 741341
T/K	133(2)	133(2)
Crystal system	Triclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$
a/Å	11.003(2)	10.060(2
b/Å	11.678(2)	25.670(5)
c/Å	16.335(3)	29.272(6)
α (°)	86.03(3)	90
β (°)	87.29(3)	90
γ (°)	67.40(3)	90
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	1932.7(7)	7559(3)
Ζ	2	8
$ ho_{\rm c}/{ m Mg}~{ m m}^{-3}$	1.220	1.247
μ/mm^{-1}	0.932	0.954
F(000)	748	2992
Crystal size/mm	$0.49 \times 0.36 \times 0.34$	$0.50 \times 0.12 \times 0.10$
θ range [°]	1.89-27.04	1.39-26.02
Reflections collected/unique	17957/8316	59909/14663
_	[R(int) = 0.0832]	[R(int) = 0.1146]
Reflections observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	7725	12018
Data/restraints/parameters	8316/0/425	14663/0/847
$R_1, \mathrm{w}R_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$	0.0439, 0.1177	0.0480, 0.0861
R_1 , w R_2 (all data) ^{<i>a</i>}	0.0467, 0.1199	0.0635, 0.0911
GoF	1.068	1.000
Residual density	1.211/-1.222	0.453/-0.492
max./min./e Å ⁻³		
^{<i>a</i>} $R1 = \sum F_{o} - F_{c} / \sum F_{o} \cdot wR2 = [\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \sum w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{0.5}.$		

Mp. 288–290 °C. ¹H NMR (300.01 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 0.62 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.71 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.81–0.84 (two doublets, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.17–1.21 (two doublets, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.28 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.54 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.68 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.77 (s, 3H, CCH₃), 1.91 (s, 3H, CCH₃), 2.53 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.02 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.98 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 6.95–7.20 (m, 12H, Ph), 7.82–7.90 (m, 2H, Ph), 8.03–8.11 (m, 2H, Ph) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.47 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 21.64, 21.80, 23.03, 23.77, 24.06, 24.29, 24.32, 24.43, 24.49, 24.57, 24.62, 26.81, 27.61, 28.35, 28.54, 28.91, 91.37, 92.03, 123.30–147.32 (28 resonances), 167.45,

167.48, 173.62, 173.97 ppm; ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.50 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 15.16 ppm. Elemental analysis for $C_{41}H_{50}$ ClGeN₂P (%): Calcd.: C, 69.37; H, 7.10; N, 3.95. Found: C, 69.65; H, 7.66; N, 3.96.

Synthesis of 5. To a cooled (-60 °C) solution of 4 (1.40 g, 2.86 mmol) in *n*-hexane (30 mL) was added a solution of Ph₂PCl (0.64 g, 2.90 mmol) in n-hexane (20 mL). The solution turned yellow and a yellow precipitate started forming while the mixture was brought to rt. After stirring the mixture overnight it was filtered and the precipitate was dried under vacuum (0.80 g). Keeping the filtrate at rt for one day also afforded orange yellow crystals along with some powder (1.02 g). Total yield 1.82 g (90%). Mp. 173–175 °C. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 1.09 (d, 3H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 1.15 (d, 3H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 1.26–1.32 (three doublets, 9H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.39 (s, 3H, CCH₃), 1.42 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.47 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.52 (d, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.14 (q, 2H, CH₂), 3.23 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.39 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.93 (m, 1H, $CH(CH_3)_2$, 4.03 (m, 1H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 4.92 (d, 1H, CH), 6.88–8.05 (several multiplets, 16H, Ph) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.77 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 23.32, 23.97, 24.22, 24.47, 24.82, 24.89, 26.77, 27.75, 28.21, 28.28, 28.66, 29.18, 29.41, 37.12, 37.31, 101.86, 101.92, 124.00–147.21 (23 resonances), 164.31, 166.16 ppm; ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (121.50 MHz, C_6D_6) δ -13.47 ppm. Elemental analysis for C₄₁H₅₀ClGeN₂P (%): Calcd.: C, 69.37; H, 7.10; N, 3.95. Found: C, 69.45; H, 7.08; N, 3.34.

Conclusions

In summary, we have synthesized two isomeric β -diketiminate based Ge(II) complexes by two entirely different methods and they have been structurally characterized. Ligand 1 has shown versatile coordination modes and the way in which it coordinates to Ge(II) in 2 is the first of its kind. The presence of a free phosphine moiety in complex 5 can be exploited to coordinate further with other metals to obtain heterometallic Ge(II) complexes. Currently, we are exploring the route to incorporate two phosphine moieties in the backbone of the β -diketiminate ligand.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. NDR thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung and Department of Science & Technology, New Delhi for financial support.

Notes and references

- 1 L. Bourget-Merle, M. F. Lappert and J. R. Severn, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 3031–3065, and references therein.
- 2 (a) C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H.-G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, H. Hao and F. Cimpoesu, Angew. Chem., 2000, **112**, 4444–4446; C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H.-G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, H. Hao and F. Cimpoesu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, **39**, 4274–4276; (b) N. J. Hardman, B. E. Eichler and P. P. Power, Chem. Commun., 2000, 1991–1992; (c) N. J. Hardman, P. P. Power, J. D. Gorden, C. L. B. Macdonald and A. H. Cowley, Chem. Commun., 2001, 1866–1867; (d) A. Kempter, C. Gemel and R. A. Fischer, Inorg. Chem., 2005, **44**, 163–165; (e) A. Kempter, C. Gemel, N. J. Hardman and R. A. Fischer, Inorg. Chem., 2006, **45**, 3133–3138; (f) A. Kempter, C. Gemel, T. Cadenbach and R. A. Fischer, Organometallics, 2007, **26**, 4257–4264; (g) A. Kempter, C. Gemel, T. Cadenbach and R. A. Fischer, Inorg. Chem., 2007, **46**, 9481–9487;

(h) N. J. Hardman, R. J. Wright, A. D. Phillips and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, **125**, 2667–2679.

- 3 (a) V. Jancik, L. W. Pineda, A. C. Stückl, H. W. Roesky and R. Herbst-Irmer, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 1511-1515; (b) S. Singh, A. Pal, H. W. Roesky and R. Herbst-Irmer, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2006, 4029-4032; (c) G. Bai, H. W. Roesky, J. Li, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., 2003, 115, 5660-5664; G. Bai, H. W. Roesky, J. Li, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5502-5506; (d) Y. Peng, H. Fan, H. Zhu, H. W. Roesky, J. Magull and C. E. Hughes, Angew. Chem., 2004, 116, 3525-3527; Y. Peng, H. Fan, H. Zhu, H. W. Roesky, J. Magull and C. E. Hughes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 3443-3445; (e) V. Jancik and H. W. Roesky, Angew. Chem., 2005, 117, 6170-6172; V. Jancik and H. W. Roesky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6016-6018; (f) P. M. Gurubasavaraj, S. K. Mandal, H. W. Roesky, R. B. Oswald, A. Pal and M. Noltemeyer, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 1056–1061; (g) C. Cui, S. Kopke, R. Herbst-Irmer, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt and B. Wrackmeyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 9091-9098; (h) H. Zhu, J. Chai, Q. Ma, V. Jancik, H. W. Roesky, H. Fan and R. Herbst-Irmer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 10194-10195; (i) S. Nembenna, H. W. Roesky, S. K. Mandal, R. B. Oswald, A. Pal, R. Herbst-Irmer, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 13056-13057; (j) M. Driess, S. Yao, M. Brym and C. van Wüllen, Angew. Chem., 2006, 118, 4455-4458; M. Driess, S. Yao, M. Brym and C. van Wüllen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 4349-4352; (k) L. W. Pineda, V. Jancik, H. W. Roesky, D. Neculai and A. M. Neculai, Angew. Chem., 2004, 116, 1443-1445; L. W. Pineda, V. Jancik, H. W. Roesky, D. Neculai and A. M. Neculai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 1419-1421; (1) M. Stender, A. D. Phillips and P. P. Power, Inorg. Chem., 2001, 40, 5314-5315; (m) Y. Ding, H. Hao, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 4806-4811; (n) Y. Ding, Q. Ma, I. Uson, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 8542-8543; (o) Y. Ding, Q. Ma, H. W. Roesky, R. Herbst-Irmer, I. Usón, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 5216-5220
- 4 (a) M. Cheng, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 11018–11019; (b) M. Cheng, N. A. Darling, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, Chem. Commun., 2000, 2007–2008; (c) M. Cheng, D. R. Moore, J. J. Reczek, B. M. Chamberlain, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 8738–8749; (d) D. R. Moore, M. Cheng, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, Angew. Chem., 2002, 114, 2711–2714; D. R. Moore, M. Cheng, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2599–2602; (e) S. D. Allen, D. R. Moore, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 14284–14285; (f) D. R. Moore, M. Cheng, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 11911–11924.
- 5 (a) L. M. R. Hill, B. F. Gherman, N. W. Aboelella, C. J. Cramer and W. B. Tolman, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 4944–4953; (b) R. Sarangi, N. Aboelella, K. Fujisawa, W. B. Tolman, B. Hedman, K. O. Hodgson and E. I. Solomon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 8286–8296; (c) A. M. Reynolds, E. L. Lewis, N. W. Aboelella and W. B. Tolman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 2014–2016.
- 6 B. Räke, F. Zülch, Y. Ding, J. Prust, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2001, 627, 836–840.
- 7 (a) S. D. Allen, D. R. Moore, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Organomet. Chem., 2003, 683, 137–148; (b) S. Yokota, Y. Tachi, N. Nishiwaki, M. Ariga and S. Itoh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 40, 5316–5317; (c) C. Shimokawa, Y. Tachi, N. Nishiwaki, M. Ariga and S. Itoh, *Bull.* Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2006, 79, 118–125; (d) C. Shimokawa and S. Itoh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 3010–3012.
- 8 M. E. Bluhm, C. Folli, D. Pufky, M. Kröger, O. Walter and M. Döring, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 4139–4152.
- 9 (a) M. Inosako, C. Shimokawa, H. Sugimoto, N. Kihara, T. Takata and S. Itoh, *Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **36**, 1306–1307; (b) C. Shimokawa, S. Yokota, Y. Tachi, N. Nishiwaki, M. Ariga and S. Itoh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 8395–8405; (c) R. C. Jeske, A. M. DiCiccio and G. W. Coates, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 11330–11334.
- 10 (a) M. Fujita, W. H. Kim, Y. Sakanishi, K. Fujiwara, S. Hirayama, T. Okuyama, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi and Y. Yoshioka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 7548–7558; (b) Q. F. Mokuolu, P. A. Duckmanton, P. B. Hitchcock, C. Wilson, A. J. Blake, L. Shukla and J. B. Love, Dalton Trans., 2004, 1960–1970; (c) R. Cohen, M. E. van der Boom, L. J. W. Shimon, H. Rozenberg and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 7723–7734.

- (a) P. J. Ragogna, N. Burford, M. D'eon and R. McDonald, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 1052–1053; (b) N. Burford, M. D'eon, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald and M. J. Ferguson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 43, 734–738; (c) D. Vidovic, Z. Lu, G. Reeske, J. A. Moore and A. H. Cowley, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 3501–3503; (d) P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert and J. E. Nycz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 1142–1143.
- 12 (a) L. W. Pineda, V. Jancik, H. W. Roesky and R. Herbst-Irmer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 3537–3540; (b) Y. Yang, H. W. Roesky, P. G. Jones, C.-W. So, Z. Zhang, R. Herbst-Irmer and H. Ye, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 10860–10863.
- 13 Y. V. Fedotova, A. N. Kornev, V. V. Sushev, Y. A. Kursky, T. G. Mushtina, N. P. Makarenko, G. K. Fukin, G. A. Abakumov, L. N. Zakharov and A. L. Rheingold, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2004, 689, 3060–3074.
- 14 K. Izod, W. McFarlane, B. Allen, W. Clegg and R. W. Harrington, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 2157–2167.

- 15 H. H. Karsch, B. Deubelly, J. Riede and G. Müller, Angew. Chem., 1987, 99, 703–705; H. H. Karsch, B. Deubelly, J. Riede and G. Müller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 673– 674.
- 16 (a) A. Jana, I. Objartel, H. W. Roesky and Dietmar Stalke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 798–800; (b) A. Jana, I. Objartel, H. W. Roesky and Dietmar Stalke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 7645–7649; (c) A. Jana, H. W. Roesky, and Carola Schulzke, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010,10.1039/b914164b.
- 17 (a) Y. Ding, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 1190–1194; (b) A. E. Ayers, T. M. Klapötke and H. V. R. Dias, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 1000–1005; (c) I. Saur, K. Miqueu, G. Rima, J. Barrau, V. Lemierre, A. Chrostowska, J.-M. Sotiropoulos and G. Pfister-Guillouzo, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 3143–3149.
- 18 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.