Polyhedron 70 (2014) 6-10

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

Reactions of TaF₅ with activated arenes. Synthesis of $[4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CHO][TaF_6]$, a rare example of protonated aldehyde



POLYHEDRON

Mohammad Hayatifar^a, Fabio Marchetti^a, Guido Pampaloni^{a,*}, Stefano Zacchini^b

^a Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Via Risorgimento 35, I-56126 Pisa, Italy ^b Dipartimento di Chimica Fisica e Inorganica, Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 4, I-40136 Bologna, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 November 2013 Accepted 13 December 2013 Available online 21 December 2013

Keywords: Tantalum pentafluoride Crystal structure Protonated aldehydes Vanillin

ABSTRACT

The rare example of protonated aldehyde salt $[4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3-CHO][TaF_6],$ **1**, was isolated in the solid state by the reaction of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (vanillin) with TaF₅ in dichloromethane. The product**1**was characterized by X-ray diffraction and IR spectroscopy. The 1:1 reaction of TaF₅ with*N*,*N*-dimethylaniline in CH₂Cl₂ cleanly afforded [TaF₄(NMe₂-C₆H₅)₂][TaF₆],**2**, which was identified by NMR spectroscopy. Attempts of crystallization of**2**resulted in the isolation of the ammonium salt [NHMe₂C₆H₅][TaF₆],**3**.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The isolation of uncommon cations has been possible in view of the availability of large, non coordinating and weakly-basic anions such as carboranes or fluorinated tetraphenylborates [1].

Niobium(V) and tantalum(V) haloanions (or mixed alkoxohaloanions) have revealed to be effective for the stabilization of reactive cationic species such as O-protonated ketones [2], Omethylated ketones [3], diglyme [4], thioethers [5], indanyl- and anthryl cations [6].

The reactions of MF_5 with arenes bearing electron-donor substituents proceed through the initial coordination of the organic moiety to the metal fluoride [7], followed by activation. Thus arenium salts of formula $[2,4-(OMe)_2C_6H_5][M_2F_{11}]$ (M = Nb, Ta) have been isolated in the solid state at room temperature from $MF_5/$ 1,3-dimethoxybenzene: they are robust species which resist thermal treatment in CHCl₃ [8]. Previously reported dialkoxybenzenium ions were detected at room temperature in concentrated H₂SO₄ [9] or, at low temperature, by using conventional superacidic systems (*e.g.* SbF₅/HF) [10].

Furthermore, deeply-coloured solutions of arene radical cation salts have been obtained by treatment of the appropriate monocyclic aromatic compound with MF_5 (M = Nb, Ta), according to Eq. (1)

(G. Pampaloni).

URL: http://www.dcci.unipi.it/~pampa/ (G. Pampaloni).

[11]. Radical salts lacking of electron-donor substituents have been produced in low yields by the same method.

$$3MF_5 + Arene \rightarrow [Arene][M_2F_{11}] + MF_4 \tag{1}$$

Arene = alkyl-, alkoxy-, fluoro- and nitro-substituted benzenes

In general, adventitious hydrolysis [4,5], activation of the organic substrate [8] and the solvent [5] are the possible proton sources for those reactions involving niobium and tantalum pentafluorides and leading to the protonation of the organic reactant.

Herein we report an extension of the investigation on the reactivity of TaF₅ [12]¹ with activated arenes. To the purpose, a functionalized aromatic aldehyde, [4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CHO, vanillin], and an aromatic amine, *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline, have been considered. The reactions have afforded the rare example of crystallographically-characterized protonated aldehyde [4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CHO (=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CHO][TaF₆], and the ionic derivative [TaF₄(NMe₂C₆H₅)₂][TaF₆], respectively.

2. Results and discussion

The reaction of a dichloromethane suspension of TaF_5 with vanillin in molar ratio 1:1 afforded a complicated mixture of



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0502219219; fax: +39 0502219246. E-mail addresses: fabmar@dcci.unipi.it (F. Marchetti), pampa@dcci.unipi.it

^{0277-5387/\$ -} see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2013.12.015

¹ The pentafluoride of tantalum(V) has tetranuclear structure in the solid state [A.F. Wells, Structural Inorganic Chemistry, 5th ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993 and Ref. 12]. For sake of simplicity, the empirical formula TaF_5 will be used throughout the present paper.



Scheme 1. Reaction of TaF₅ with vanillin.

unidentified products, according to NMR spectroscopy. The crystalline salt [4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃ CHO][TaF₆], **1**, was isolated in moderate amount by crystallization from the reaction mixture (Scheme 1); the use of higher vanillin/ TaF₅ molar ratios resulted in yield decreasing.

Once isolated in the crystalline form, 1 is nearly insoluble in chlorinated solvents, while it undergoes quick degradation in more polar solvents (e.g. acetonitrile): these facts prevented a clear NMR characterization of **1**. The IR spectrum of **1** features a broad band centered at 3376 cm^{-1} , due to overlap of stretching vibrations of the covalent OH bond and of the O···H–O hydrogen bonding interaction. Vanillin, characterized by a different hydrogen bonding network, involving the OH group as proton-donor and the aldehydic oxygen as proton-acceptor [13], shows a broad absorption at lower wavenumbers (3212 cm^{-1}) [14]. The most salient feature of the IR spectrum of **1** is the absence of a strong absorption in the C=O carbonyl stretching region (a weak signal is present at 1622 cm⁻¹). A similar situation was reported for protonated 1-pyrene carboxaldehyde and 9-antraldehyde [15]. This fact may be the consequence of elongation of the C=O aldehydic bond [1.26(2) and 1.22(2)Å] with respect to free vanillin [1.202-1.206 Å], due to the formation of the hydrogen-bonded dimer (vide infra).

EPR analysis on the 1:1 reaction mixture TaF₅/vanillin was carried out after addition of acetonitrile, however no tantalum(IV) derivatives were detected². This outcome suggests that radical species do not form in the course of the reaction [11], therefore the protonation of the organic compound cannot proceed *via* a redox process followed by H transfer (redox reactions were recognized for a series of TaF₅/alkoxyarene systems) [11].

Instead, the formation of **1** is presumably the result of H^+ capture from the reaction medium, assisted by the high-stability of the $[TaF_6]^-$ anion, which can be generated upon asymmetric disruption of the tetranuclear frame of TaF_5 [12,16,17]. In principle, uncontrolled traces of water [5], the solvent and the reactant itself constitute the possible proton sources. The latter probably plays a significant role, in view of the favourable acidity (the *pK*_a value of vanillin in water is 7.496) [18]. Attempts aimed to identify the side-products of the reaction did not provide conclusive information.

After several attempts, X-ray quality crystals of **1** were obtained by layering with heptane a dichloromethane solution of **1**, thus allowing a full characterization. An ORTEP representation of the content of the asymmetric unit of the unit cell of **1** is reported in Fig. 1, whereas the main bonding parameters are summarized in Table 1. The salt is composed by an octahedral $[TaF_6]^-$ anion and a Hbonded {[4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆-H₃CHO]}⁺ dimeric cation consisting of one protonated and one non protonated vanillin molecules. Protonation has occurred at the aldehyde O(6)-atom and the dimer is formed because of a strong H-bond involving O(6) and H(6) as donor and the free aldehydic O(3) atom of the non-protonated vanillin as acceptor. A representation of the cationic dimer is reported in Fig. 2, whereas its



Fig. 1. ORTEP representation of the content of the asymmetric unit of the unit cell of $[[4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CHO]][TaF_6]$, **1**, with key atoms labeled. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.

hydrogen bond parameters are given in Table 2. The $O(6) \cdots O(3)$ distance [2.48(2)Å] is typical of a strong H-bond, as previously found in the very few other examples of protonated aldehydes reported in the literature [15]. As a consequence of the protonation of O(6) and formation of the strong O(6)–H(6)···O(3) hydrogen bond, the two C=O aldehyde units [C(8)-O(3) 1.26(2) Å; C(18)-O(6) 1.22(2) Å] are sensibly elongated compared to free vanillin [1.202-1.206 Å] [13]. In addition, the hydroxyl O(1)-H(1) group of the non-protonated vanillin is involved as donor in a weaker hydrogen bond towards the hydroxyl O(4) atom of a second protonated vanillin, resulting in an infinite chain of alternate protonated and non-protonated molecules. An intramolecular $O(1)-H(1)\cdots O(2)$ hydrogen bond is present as well as a $O(4)-H(4)\cdots F(5)$ contact, the latter involving the O(4)-H(4) hydroxyl group of the free vanillin as donor and the $[TaF_6]^-$ anion as acceptor (Table 2). The presence of several strong and weak O-H···O and O-H···F hydrogen bonds results in the ordered 3-D packing of the salt as represented in Fig. S1 (Supporting information). It must be noticed that, in crystalline vanillin, a hydrogen bond network is present, involving the hydroxyl group as donor and the aldehydic oxygen as acceptor [13] (Fig. S2).

We extended the present study to the reactivity of tantalum pentafluoride with arene molecules containing a nitrogen-based substituent. The clearest result was obtained by the 1:1 reaction of TaF₅ with *N*,*N*-dimethyalaniline, which was carried out in dichloromethane. This reaction selectively afforded a green solution of the well-soluble salt [TaF₄(NMe₂C₆H₅)₂][TaF₆], **2**. This compound has been characterized by NMR on a green CD₂Cl₂ reaction mixture. The *N*-bound methyls resonate as single peaks in both ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (δ = 3.28 and 48.0 ppm, respectively). Such resonances are significantly low-field shifted compared to what

² A similar procedure allowed us to identify stable M(IV) species in the reactions of arenes with MF5 (M = Nb, Ta) [11a,b].

Table 1
Main bond distances (Å) and angles (°) of 1.

{[4-(0H)-3-(0CH ₃)C ₆ H ₃ CH(=0-H)][4	-(OH)-3-(OCH ₃)C ₆ H ₃ CHO)]} ⁺ cation		
C(1)-C(2)	1.41(3)	C(11)-C(12)	1.35(3)
C(2)-C(3)	1.36(3)	C(12)-C(13)	1.39(3)
C(3) - C(4)	1.41(3)	C(13)-C(14)	1.38(3)
C(4) - C(5)	1.46(3)	C(14)-C(15)	1.40(3)
C(5)-C(6)	1.30(3)	C(15)-C(16)	1.34(3)
C(1)-C(6)	1.42(3)	C(11)-C(16)	1.46(3)
C(4) - C(8)	1.39(3)	C(14)-C(18)	1.47(3)
C(1)-O(1)	1.34(2)	C(11)-O(4)	1.34(3)
C(6)-O(2)	1.38(2)	C(16)-O(5)	1.37(2)
C(8)-O(3)	1.26(2)	C(18)-O(6)	1.22(2)
C(7)-O(2)	1.50(2)	C(17)-O(5)	1.42(2)
O(3)-C(8)-C(4)	119.9(19)	O(6)-C(18)-C(14)	124(2)
[TaF ₆] ⁻ anion			
Ta(1)-F(1)	1.886(18)	Ta(1)-F(2)	1.81(2)
Ta(1)-F(3)	1.857(18)	Ta(1)-F(4)	1.846(19)
Ta(1) - F(5)	1.85(2)	Ta(1) - F(6)	1.90(2)
F(5)-Ta(1)-F(3)	170.7(9)	F(2) - Ta(1) - F(1)	178.4(9)
F(4)-Ta(1)-F(6)	177.6(10)		

Sum angles at C(1): 360(3)°; sum angles at benzene ring; 720(4)°.



Fig. 2. The H-bonded dimeric $\{[4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CHO]\}^*$ cation present in **1**. The dashed line represents the O(6)-H(6)...O(3) hydrogen bond.

Table 2	2
---------	---

Hydrogen bonds for 1 [Å and °].

D–H···A	d(D-H)	$d(H{\cdot}{\cdot}{\cdot}A)$	$d(D{\cdots}A)$	<(DHA)
$\begin{array}{c} O(1)-H(1)\cdots O(4)\#1\\ O(1)-H(1)\cdots O(2)\\ O(4)-H(4)\cdots F(5)\#2\\ O(6)-H(6)\cdots O(3)\#1\\ \end{array}$	0.84	2.04	2.754(15)	143.2
	0.84	2.15	2.610(18)	114.2
	0.84	2.07	2.91(3)	176.1
	0.84	1.64	2.48(2)	171.8

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 - x + 3/2, y - 1/2, z #2 - x + 3/2, y + 1/2, z.

is seen in uncoordinated *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (δ = 2.89 and 40.50, respectively) [19], thus suggesting the engagement of the aminogroup in coordination to the metal centre. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum consists of a resonance at 40.3 ppm, accounting for the [TaF₆]⁻ anion [16,17,20] and indicating the occurrence of asymmetric cleavage of the tetranuclear Ta₄F₂₀ frame upon addition of the organic reactant [16,17]. As often occurs, the resonance due to the [TaF₄]⁺ moiety is not observed in the ¹⁹F spectrum of compound **2** [20].

All of the attempts to obtain X-ray quality crystals of **2** failed. Nonetheless one of these attempts led to the low-yield isolation of the ammonium salt [NHMe₂C₆H₅][TaF₆], **3**. The ¹H NMR of this latter clearly displays a broad resonance ascribable to the nitrogen-bonded proton, at δ = 9.03 ppm. The presence of the NH moiety has been confirmed by a medium absorption occurring at 3194 cm⁻¹ in the solid state IR spectrum (N–H stretching vibration). The resonance at 40.0 ppm in the ¹⁹F-spectrum is diagnostic for the presence of the $[TaF_6]^-$ anion.

The formation of **3** appears to be the consequence of H^+ capture from the reaction medium, presumably made possible by the presence of adventitious water, Scheme 2.

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we have described the synthesis and the structural properties of a rare example of protonated-aldehyde salt, as obtained from the reaction of TaF_5 with vanillin. The product consists of an octahedral $[TaF_6]^-$ anion and a H-bonded {[4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH_3)C_6H_3CHO)]}^+ dimeric cation, formally made of one vanillin molecule linked to one aldehyde-O-atom-protonated vanillin. The combination of the acidity of vanillin [18] with the high stability of the $[TaF_6]^-$ ion may be the driving force for the formation of such product.

On the other hand, the reaction of N,N-dimethylaniline with TaF₅ selectively produces the ionic derivative $[TaF_4(NMe_2C_6H_5)_2]$ [TaF₆]. The solid state isolation of the relevant ammonium salt has been presumably made possible by the action of adventitious water.

4. Experimental

4.1. General procedures

All manipulations of air and/or moisture sensitive compounds were performed under atmosphere of pre-purified argon using standard Schlenk techniques. The reaction vessels were oven dried at 150 °C prior to use, evacuated (10^{-2} mmHg) and then filled with nitrogen. TaF₅ was purchased from Apollo Sci. (99% purity), sublimed prior to use and stored in sealed glass tubes under argon atmosphere. The organic reactants were commercial products (Sigma–Aldrich) stored under argon atmosphere as received. Solvents (Sigma–Aldrich) were distilled before use from appropriate drying agents. Infrared spectra were recorded at 298 K on FT IR Spectrum One Perkin Elmer Spectrometer, equipped with UATR sampling accessory. NMR spectra were recorded at 293 K on Bruker Avance DRX400 instrument equipped with BBFO broadband probe. The chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C spectra were referenced to the non-deuterated aliquot of the solvent, while the chemical shifts



Scheme 2. Reaction of TaF₅ with N,N-dimethylaniline.

for ¹⁹F spectra were referenced to CFCl₃. ¹H and ¹³C NMR assignments were assisted by HSQC and HMBC experiments [21]. Carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen analyses were performed on Carlo Erba mod. 1106 instrument. The fluoride content was determined by fluoride ion selective electrode, after boiling the sample in alkaline solution. Tantalum was analyzed as Ta_2O_5 obtained by high temperature treatment of the solid sample with HNO₃ solution, followed by calcination in a platinum crucible.

4.2. Preparation of [4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CH(=O-H)][4-(OH)-3-(OCH₃)C₆H₃CHO] [TaF₆] **1**

TaF₅ (0.129 g, 0.467 mmol) was allowed to react with vanillin (0.072 g, 0.470 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL). The mixture was stirred for 15 h at room temperature, and the colour progressively changed from colourless to green. The final mixture was filtered in order to remove some dark-green precipitate; the filtrated green solution was layered with heptane and stored at room temperature for 72 h. Hence a crop of green crystals of **1** were collected. Yield: 0.101 g, 36% (based on Ta). *Anal.* Calc. for C₁₆H₁₇F₆O₆Ta: C, 32.01; H, 2.85; F, 18.99; Ta, 30.14. Found: C, 31.75; H, 2.70; F, 18.12; Ta, 28.95%. IR (solid state): v = 3376m-br (O–H), 2961w, 2921w, 1574vs-br (C=O), 1511vs-sh, 1506vs, 1467m-s, 1434m, 1410w, 1301s, 1272s, 1169s, 1124m, 1024m, 866w-m, 824w, 783w, 734w-m cm⁻¹.

The reaction of TaF_5 (0.30 mmol) with vanillin (0.30 mmol), carried out in CD_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL), afforded a green solution which was analyzed by NMR. A mixture of unidentified products was detected.

4.3. Isolation of $[NHMe_2C_6H_5][TaF_6]$, **3**, and NMR characterization of $[TaF_4(NMe_2C_6H_5)_2][TaF_6]$ **2**

A suspension of TaF₅ (0.205 g, 0.743 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 mL) was treated with *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (0.095 mL, 0.750 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The final product **3** was obtained as colourless crystalline material upon crystallization from the green reaction solution layered with heptane, at -30 °C. Yield: 0.041 g, 13% (based on Ta). *Anal.* Calc. for C₈H₁₂F₆NTa: C, 23.03; H, 2.90; N, 3.36; F, 27.33; Ta, 43.38. Found: C, 23.12; H, 2.74; N, 3.28; F, 26.86; Ta, 43.20%. IR (solid state): v = 3194m (N–H), 3068w, 2977w, 2891w, 1600w-m, 1489s, 1468m-s, 1416m, 1361w-m, 1131s, 1082m, 1048m, 1025m, 985s, 898vs, 771s, 761vs, 696vs cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 9.03$ (br, 1 H, NH), 7.67–7.55 (m, 5 H, Ph), 3.43, 3.41 (s, 6 H, Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 141.6$ (*ipso*-Ph), 131.2, 131.1, 119.9 (Ph), 48.2 (Me) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 40.0$ ([TaF₆]⁻) ppm.

The addition of *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (0.080 mL, 0.632 mmol) to TaF₅ (0.150 g, 0.634 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.60 mL) quickly afforded a green solution which was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy, thus allowing the characterization of **2**. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.50, 7.42, 7.31 (m, 5 H, Ph), 3.28 (s, 6 H, Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 148.1 (*ipso*-Ph), 129.5, 125.8, 119.1 (Ph), 48.0 (Me) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 40.3 ([TaF₆]⁻) ppm.

4.4. X-ray crystallographic study

Crystal data and collection details for **1** are listed in Table 3. The diffraction experiments were carried out on Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with CCD detector and using Mo K α radiation. Data were corrected for Lorentz polarization and absorption effects (empirical absorption correction sadabs) [22]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares based on all data using F^2 [22]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. H-atoms were placed in calculated positions and treated isotropically using the 1.2 fold U_{iso} value of the parent atom except methyl protons, which were assigned the 1.5 fold U_{iso} value of the parent C-atom. The Obonded H-atoms were initially located in the Fourier map but, then, they were refined with a riding model. Similar U restraints (s.u. 0.01) were applied to the C, O and F atoms. The F-atoms were restrained to an isotropic like behaviour (ISOR line in SHELXL; s.u. 0.01).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 949676 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via http:// www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336 033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk. Fig. S1 reports a view of the crystal packing of **1** along the crystallographic (A) a-axis, (B) b-axis and (C) c-axis. Fig. S2 represents the hydrogen-bond linking in solid vanillin. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2013.12.015.

Table 3Crystal data and details of the structure refinement for 1.

Fw 600.25 $T(K)$ 100(2) λ (Å) 0.71073 Crystal system orthorhombic Space group $Pbca$ a (Å) 13.284(6) b (Å) 16.258(7) c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/–3.098	Formula	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ F ₆ O ₆ Ta
T (K) 100(2) λ (Å) 0.71073 Crystal system orthorhombic Space group Pbca a (Å) 13.284(6) b (Å) 16.258(7) c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D _{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	Fw	600.25
$\begin{array}{lll} \dot{\lambda} (\dot{A}) & 0.71073 \\ & 0.71073 \\ & \text{crystal system} & \text{orthorhombic} \\ & \text{Space group} & Pbca \\ & a (\dot{A}) & 13.284(6) \\ & b (\dot{A}) & 16.258(7) \\ & c (\dot{A}) & 17.939(8) \\ & \text{Cell volume} (\dot{A}^3) & 3874(3) \\ & Z \\ & Cell volume (\dot{A}^3) & 3874(3) \\ & Z \\ & D_{calc} (g cm^{-3}) & 2.058 \\ & \mu (mm^{-1}) & 5.761 \\ & F(000) & 2304 \\ & \text{Crystal size, mm} & 0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.10 \\ & \theta \text{ Limits } (^{\circ}) & 2.27-25.03 \\ & \text{Reflections collected} & 26350 \\ & \text{Independent reflections} & 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] \\ & \text{Data/restraints /parameters} & 3409/170/262 \\ & \text{Goodness of fit (GOF) on } F^2 & 1.173 \\ & R_1 (I > 2\sigma(I)) & 0.1004 \\ & wR_2 (all data) & 0.2535 \\ & \text{Largest difference peak and hole (e \dot{A}^{-3}) & 2.749/-3.098 \\ \end{array}$	T (K)	100(2)
Crystal system orthorhombic Space group $Pbca$ a (Å) 13.284(6) b (Å) 16.258(7) c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] Data/restraints /parameters 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] Data/restraints /parameters 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] R ₁ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/–3.098	λ (Å)	0.71073
Space group Pbca a (Å) 13.284(6) b (Å) 13.284(6) b (Å) 16.258(7) c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($l > 2\sigma(l)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/–3.098	Crystal system	orthorhombic
a (Å) 13.284(6) b (Å) 16.258(7) c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($l > 2\sigma(l)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	Space group	Pbca
b (Å) 16.258(7) c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 F(000) 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	a (Å)	13.284(6)
c (Å) 17.939(8) Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 F(000) 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	b (Å)	16.258(7)
Cell volume (Å ³) 3874(3) Z 8 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 2.058 μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/–3.098	<i>c</i> (Å)	17.939(8)
Z 8 $D_{calc} (g cm^{-3})$ 2.058 $\mu (mm^{-1})$ 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 $R_1 (I > 2\sigma(I))$ 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	Cell volume (Å ³)	3874(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Ζ	8
μ (mm ⁻¹) 5.761 $F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm 0.18 × 0.16 × 0.10 θ Limits (°) 2.27-25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	$D_{\text{calc}} (\text{g cm}^{-3})$	2.058
$F(000)$ 2304 Crystal size, mm $0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.10$ θ Limits (°) $2.27-25.03$ Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters $3409/70/262$ Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) $2.749/-3.098$	μ (mm ⁻¹)	5.761
Crystal size, mm $0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.10$ θ Limits (°) $2.27-25.03$ Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections $3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391]$ Data/restraints /parameters $3409/170/262$ Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) $2.749/-3.098$	F(000)	2304
θ Limits (°) 2.27–25.03 Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/–3.098	Crystal size, mm	$0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.10$
Reflections collected 26350 Independent reflections 3409 [$R_{int} = 0.1391$] Data/restraints /parameters 3409/170/262 Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	θ Limits (°)	2.27-25.03
Independent reflections $3409 \ [R_{int} = 0.1391]$ Data/restraints /parameters $3409 (170/262)$ Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 $R_1 (I > 2\sigma(I))$ 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) $2.749/-3.098$	Reflections collected	26350
Data/restraints /parameters $3409/170/262$ Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) $2.749/-3.098$	Independent reflections	$3409 [R_{int} = 0.1391]$
Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2 1.173 R_1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	Data/restraints /parameters	3409/170/262
R_1 ($l > 2\sigma(l)$) 0.1004 wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	Goodness of fit (GOF) on F^2	1.173
wR_2 (all data) 0.2535 Largest difference peak and hole (e Å ⁻³) 2.749/-3.098	$R_1 \ (I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.1004
Largest difference peak and hole (e $Å^{-3}$) 2.749/-3.098	wR_2 (all data)	0.2535
	Largest difference peak and hole ($e Å^{-3}$)	2.749/-3.098

References

- [1] (a) W.E. Geiger, F. Barrière, Acc. Chem. Res. 43 (2010) 1030;
 - (b) M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 46 (2007) 9180;
 - (c) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 43 (2004) 2066;
 - (d) E.Y.-X. Chen, T.J. Marks, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 139; (e) C.A. Reed, Acc. Chem. Res. 31 (1998) 133;
 - (f) C.A. Reed, Acc. Chem. Res. 31 (1998) 325;
 - (g) S.H. Strauss, Chem. Rev. 93 (1993) 927.
- [2] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, Dalton Trans. (2007) 4343. [3] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, Dalton Trans. (2009) 8096.
- [4] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, Dalton Trans. (2008) 7026.
- [5] M. Jura, W. Levason, G. Reid, M. Webster, Dalton Trans. (2009) 7610.
- [6] E. Solari, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Rizzoli, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1991) 841.
- [7] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, C. Pinzino, S. Zacchini, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2010) 767
- [8] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, C. Pinzino, S. Zacchini, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2013) 13962
- [9] N. Mathivanan, F. Cozens, R.A. McClelland, S. Steenken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 2198.
- [10] G.A. Olah, Y.K. Mo, J. Org. Chem. 38 (1973) 353.
 [11] (a) F. Marchetti, C. Pinzino, S. Zacchini, G. Pampaloni, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 49 (2010) 5268:

- (b) F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, C. Pinzino, J. Organomet. Chem. 696 (2011) 1294
- [12] A.J. Edwards, J. Chem. Soc. (1964) 3714.
- [13] R. Velavan, P. Sureshkumar, K. Sivakumar, S. Natarajan, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C. 51 (1995) 1131.
- [14] V. Balachandran, K. Parimala, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 95 (2012) 354.
- [15] (a) I.-C. Hwang, S.W. Heo, N.J. Singh, J.W. Lee, Y. Chun, S.B. Baek, K.S. Jin, M. Ree, H.C. Lee, S.B. Kim, K.S. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. 114 (2010) 7216; (b) S.W. Heo, I.-C. Hwang, Y. Chun, J.W. Lee, N.J. Singh, S.B. Kim, K.S. Kim, Chem. Asian J. 6 (2011) 2055.
- [16] (a) S.L. Benjamin, W. Levason, G. Reid, Chem. Soc. Rev. 42 (2013) 1460; (b) F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, Polyhedron 28 (2009) 1235.
- [17] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 635.
- [18] R.A. Robinson, K.A. Kiang, Trans. Faraday Soc. 51 (1955) 1398.
- [19] Available at http://sdbs.riodb.aist.go.jp/sdbs/cgi-bin/direct_ frame_top.cgi.
- [20] (a) S.L. Benjamin, A. Hyslop, W. Levason, G. Reid, J. Fluorine Chem. 137 (2012) 77:
 - (b) F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, J. Fluorine Chem. 131 (2010) 21; (c) R. Bini, C. Chiappe, F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, Inorg. Chem. 49 (2010) 339.
- [21] W. Willker, D. Leibfritz, R. Kerssebaum, W. Bermel, Magn. Reson. Chem. 31 (1993) 287.
- [22] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX97-Program for the refinement of Crystal Structure, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.