This article was downloaded by: [Stony Brook University] On: 20 December 2014, At: 14:11 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

Practical and Efficient 1a-Hydroxylation of 4,4-Dimethyl-2-Ene Derivatives in Terpenic Series

Gérard Aranda^a, Mireille Bertranne-Delahaye ^a, Robert Azerad^b, Michèle Maurs^b, Manuel Cortés^c, Hector Ramirez^c, Gonzalo Vernal^c& Thierry Prangé^d

^a Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique , Associé au CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique , Palaiseau Cedex, 91128, France

^b Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques, Université René Descartes-Paris V, 45 Rue des Saints Pères, Paris, 75006, France

^c Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Facultad de Química, Casilla 306, Correo 22, Santiago, Chile

^d Chimie Structurale Biomoléculaire, URA 1430, Rue M. Cachin, 93017, Bobigny Cedex, France Published online: 19 Aug 2006.

To cite this article: Gérard Aranda , Mireille Bertranne-Delahaye , Robert Azerad , Michèle Maurs , Manuel Cortés , Hector Ramirez , Gonzalo Vernal & Thierry Prangé (1997) Practical and Efficient 1a-Hydroxylation of 4,4-Dimethyl-2-Ene Derivatives in Terpenic Series, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 27:1, 45-60, DOI: <u>10.1080/00397919708004804</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397919708004804

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

PRACTICAL AND EFFICIENT 1α -HYDROXYLATION OF 4,4-DIMETHYL-2-ENE DERIVATIVES IN TERPENIC SERIES.

Gérard Aranda*, Mireille Bertranne-Delahaye

Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique, Associé au CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128-Palaiseau Cedex, France

Robert Azerad, Michèle Maurs

Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques, Université René Descartes-Paris V, 45 Rue des Saints Pères, 75006-Paris, France

Manuel Cortés, Hector Ramirez, Gonzalo Vernal

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Facultad de Química, Casilla 306, Correo 22 - Santiago, Chile

and Thierry Prangé

Chimie Structurale Biomoléculaire, URA 1430, Rue M. Cachin, 93017 - Bobigny Cedex, France

ABSTRACT: The third part of a triptycal synthesis providing 1α -hydroxy compounds, through microbial hydroxylation in position-3 of terpenoid substrates, followed by dehydration to 4,4-dimethyl-2-ene compounds and subsequent allylic hydroxylation by SeO₂/pyridine N-oxide, is described.

Introduction

The significance of some peculiar asymmetric synthons as key structural units as well as initial building blocks in the synthesis of bioactive terpenoids such as forskolin, taxol, strigol, erigerol, etc... has promoted a general interest for an easier and cheaper synthesis of such precursors 1 .

^{*} to whom correspondence should be addressed

Our current efforts are directed toward the elaboration of new synthons involving the $1(\alpha)$ -hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-cyclohexane pattern. Although possible in the monocyclic series ²⁻⁸, a direct microorganism-mediated 1-hydroxylation has been mostly ruled out in the case of the drimane and the labdane series, and more generally for diterpenoid compounds ⁹, whatever the microorganism used.

We have previously described the direct 3 β -biohydroxylation of various cyclic terpenoid substances by incubation with *Mucor plumbeus* ATCC 4740^{10,11} or *Rhizopus arrhizus*¹². Such a high yield 3 β -hydroxylation (or 3-ketonisation) of sesqui- and diterpenic bi- or tricyclic substances has also been repeatedly described with various microorganisms, including bacteria such as *Bacillus* species ^{13,14}, or fungi such as *Aspergillus*¹⁵⁻¹⁷, *Cunninghamella*^{15,18}, *Mortierella*¹⁹, *Neurospora*²⁰, *Septomyxa*²¹, *Syncephalostrum*²², *Cephalosporium*²³, or *Mucor*²⁴ strains. The same microbial hydroxylation reaction has been similarly described in the natural *ent*-series, where $3\alpha(equat.)$ -hydroxy derivatives were currently obtained ^{25,26}.

In a second step, starting from 3β -hydroxy natural compounds or 3β -hydroxy microbially-functionalized derivatives, we have recently described a dehydration procedure affording in high yield the corresponding Δ -2,3-derivatives ²⁷.



Scheme 1

We want now to report herein the subsequent stereoselective introduction of the 1-hydroxy group, which constitutes the third part of our triptycal synthesis (Scheme 1), involving the (stereoselective) transfer of a C-3 hydroxy group to C-1 22 , and illustrating the use of combined microbial and chemical methods for the elaboration of such specifically functionalized synthess.

Results and discussion

The attempted allylic microbial hydroxylation of 4,4-dimethyl-2-ene terpenoid compounds, such as 4, originating from the dehydration of the corresponding 3 β -hydroxy precursor ²⁷, obtained from sclareol ^{10,11}, was rather deceptive, despite several examples based on similar microbial allylic hydroxylations in other positions ^{12,28-30}.

As a continuation of our ongoing studies, we decided to investigate the allylic hydroxylation of 4,4-dimethyl-2-ene compounds by chemical methods. Selenium dioxide (SeO₂) appears as the simplest effective oxidative reagent, although a combined chromic anhydride/3,5-dimethylpyrazole (DMP) reagent has been successfully employed at low temperatures 31,32 . The direct hydroxylation by SeO₂ in dioxane has to be secured in the presence of N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (NMO), available as a monohydrate 33 , or preferably, with pyridine N-oxide (PNO) 34 , commercially available as an anhydrous reagent. Several variously functionalized 2,3-dehydro molecules **1-8** were thus selected to investigate the scope and limitations of this reaction.

Although the yields reported in the Table were not optimized in all cases, we were able, by modifying some of the reaction conditions, such as temperature and reagent concentrations, to transform completely the starting Δ -2 compounds. Excess PNO (5-8 equivalents) in anhydrous dioxane was systematically utilized ³⁵.



The final worked-up mixtures were clean and unprovided of the usual bad smelling inherent to selenium by-products.

In the lanostadiene series (1a, 2a), the major desired 1 α -hydroxylation product (1b or 2b, respectively) (50-70%) was associated with a minor 1 α hydroxylated Δ -7,9(11)-dehydrogenation product (1c or 2c, 15-20%). Starting from the glycyrrhetinate derivative 3a, the 1 α -hydroxylation yield was higher (3b, about 90%). The highly functionalized dehydrosclareol 4a afforded a mixture from which about 40% of a 1 α -hydroxy derivative 4b could be isolated. The yield was not improved by using a selenium monoxyde derivative (CH₃OC₆H₄)₂SeO ^{36,37}.

		Time	Temperature	Convers	sion 1-hydroxy derivatives
	Reagent	(h)	(°C)	(%)	(% yield ^{<i>a</i>})
1a	$SeO_2 + PNO$	72	90	69	2,8-lanostadiene-1α-ol 1b (50)
	-				2,7,9(11)-lanostatriene-1α-ol 1c
					(12)
	$SeO_2 + PNO$	24	95	84	2,8-lanostadiene-1α-ol 1b (53)
					2,7,9(11)-lanostatriene-1α-ol 1c
					(15)
	$SeO_2 + PNO$	22	100	95	2,8-lanostadiene-1 α -ol 1b (53)
					2,7,9(11)-lanostatriene-1α-ol 1c
					(20)
2a	$SeO_2 + PNO$	30	95	89	2,8-lanostadiene-1α-25-diol 2b (70)
	-				2,7,9(11)-lanostatriene-1a,25-diol
					2c (19)
3a	$SeO_2 + PNO$	24	100	98	1α -ol derivative 3b (88)
(CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ SeC) 3	reflux	100	1α -ol derivative 3b (40)
	+SeO ₂				
4 a	$SeO_2 + PNO$	30	100	80	1 α -ol derivative 4b (40) ^b
(CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ SeC	8 (reflux	86	1α -ol derivative 4b (40) ^b
	+SeO ₂				
5a	$SeO_2 + PNO$	14	95	67	1α -ol,11-OAc derivative 5b (54)
	2				1α -OAc,11-ol derivative 5c (11)
6	$SeO_2 + PNO$	4	80	100	(untractable mixture)
	····· 2				``````````````````````````````````````
7	$SeO_2 + PNO$	4	80	100	1-ol derivative (64)
-	<u> </u>				1-keto derivative (15)
8	$SeO_2 + PNO$	6	85	75	1-ol derivative (60)
-	<u>-</u>	-			1-keto derivative (10)

Table: 1α-Hydroxylation of terpenoid substances

a after chromatographic purification.

^b Partial epimerization at C-13. The epi-sclareol derivative was removed after crystallization.

Although other methods 38 have been reported for the allylic hydroxylation of monocyclic unsaturated terpenoids, such as 7 or 8, the use of SeO₂/PNO led to comparable conversion yields, the 1-hydroxylation products being partially oxidized to 1-keto-derivatives. Oxidation of safranal 6, a well-known polymerizable molecule, in the hope to obtain an hydroxy- or a ketodiene product was misleading, as only an untractable mixture was obtained, even at moderate

temperature; the yield was not improved by using the selenium monoxyde derivative $(CH_3OC_6H_4)_2SeO$.

Nearly quantitative results were obtained with the protected trihydroxydrimenyl derivative 5a, which was the most probing example illustrating our synthetic scheme. The microbial hydroxylation of 7a,8a-isopropylidenedioxy-11acetoxydrimane by Aspergillus niger ATCC 9142 provided 85-90 % overall yield of compounds functionalized at C-3 (80 % of 3β-hydroxy-, 1-2 % of 3-keto- and 5-7 % of the 3 β -hydroxy-7,8-deprotected compound) ³⁹. The Δ -2 derivative **5a** was then prepared from the purified 3\beta-hydroxy derivative following our dehvdration protocol ²⁷ and subsequently submitted to SeO₂/PNO oxidation. Surprisingly, two isomeric alcohols were obtained, both presenting the characteristic ¹H-NMR features of a 1α-hydroxy derivative. Individual doublets at 3.71 ppm (J = 5.9 Hz) and 4.79 ppm (J = 5.5 Hz) were respectively observed for the major and the minor alcohol. The major compound was characterized by infrared absorption maxima at 3614, 3573, 3493 cm⁻¹ and a carbonyl function at 1752 and 1724 cm⁻¹. The minor alcohol showed hydroxyl absorption at 3620 cm⁻¹ and a sharp carbonyl absorption at 1738 cm⁻¹; furthermore, its ¹H-NMR spectrum showed undoubtely a strong interaction due to hydrogen bonding between the two functions in C-1 and C-11, which could be removed by D₂O exchange. All spectroscopic data of the major alcohol were in agreement with the proposed structure of a 1α -hydroxy derivative 5b, whilst the molecular structure of the minor alcohol was deduced from X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 1) and corresponded to a 1 α -acetoxy-11-hydroxy derivative 5c resulting from an acetyl group migration between the primary C-11 acetate and the new secondary C-1 alcohol function, occurring during the reaction. Such an easy acyl migration during microbial incubation has been already observed for similar spatial configurations in



FIG.1

Ortep drawing of 5c. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

the terpenoid series ²⁶. This explains the high correlation of ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data of these two isomeric compounds.

Stereochemical assignments

The isolated 1 α -hydroxy-2-ene compounds were typically characterized by a 1 β -H, 2-H coupling constant in the 5.5-5.7 Hz range. After computer modelization of **5b** and other compounds, the measured dihedral angle value between those hydrogen atoms (about 34° *vs.* 77° for a 1 α -H, 2-H dihedral angle) could be correctly correlated with the observed coupling constant. In addition, earlier described results in the same series, corresponding to isomeric allylic 1 α - and 1 β - alcohols ²², indicated respectively 5.5 and 1.5 Hz *J* values, corroborating our modeling results. Stereochemical assignments may be additionally checked after hydrogenation of the Δ -2 insaturation: hydrogenation of the 1 α -hydroxy- Δ -2-glycyrrhetinate derivative **3b** afforded a new compound where the H-1 proton was characterized as a triplet at 4.66 ppm ($J_{1\beta}H_{-2\alpha}H = J_{1\beta}H_{-2\beta}H = 3.0$ Hz), in agreement with earlier described results concerning the same ring A functionalized structure ²².

The X-ray crystallographic analysis of the minor alcohol **5c**, resulting from an acetyl migration in the major 1-hydroxylated product **5b**, entirely confirms the 1α -hydroxy configuration assigned to all SeO₂/PNO oxidation products.

Conclusion

SeO₂ associated with PNO is a very efficient hydroxylating reagent, in spite of a relatively unreactive hindered C-1 position ^{19,20,40}. An advantage of this feature is the resulting stereospecific functionalization. The triptycal reaction scheme presented provides allylic 1 α -hydroxylated derivatives in three steps, comparatively to a recent example where the same goal was reached through nine steps, also starting with an initial microbial 3 β -hydroxylation ²². Furthermore, while the reduction of 1-keto-2-ene-4,4-dimethyl derivatives always results in a mixture of 1 α - and 1 β - alcohols ^{22,40,41} whatever the reducing reagent used, our protocol ensures a stereospecific 1 α -hydroxylation.

Experimental

General. Experimental conditions have been detailed in the preceeding publication ²⁷. NMR spectra were routinely realized in deuterated chloroform except otherwise indicated. Chemical shifts were assigned by comparison with previous results ^{22,41-44}, determination of hydrogen-multiplicity by DEPT experiments and were ascertained in most cases by 2D-¹H-¹³C chemical shift correlations. Mass spectra measurements (EI and CI) were obtained with a Fisons ZAB HSQ spectrometer and agreed with proposed structures.

Starting materials. Methyl glycyrrhetinate and drimenyl acetate have been obtained from chilian source. Sclareol, safranal **6** and 25-hydroxylanosterol (m.p. 182-185°C) were respectively gifts from Synarom (Paris, France), Robertet (Grasse, France), and P. Beuchet and Y. Letourneux (Pôle Sciences et Techniques, La Rochelle, France). The synthesis of the drimenol derivative 5a will be described elsewhere 39.

Typical general procedure. Pyridine N-oxide (0.30-0.40 g) was carefully dried under high vacuum at room temperature in the presence of P₂O₅ during 4 h. Over it, was added, under nitrogen, the Δ -2,3 derivative **1a** (0.230 g) in anhydrous dioxane (8 ml) distilled from sodium/benzophenone, and SeO₂ (63 mg). This solution was vigorously stirred at 100°C (bath temperature) during 22 h. After cooling, diethyl ether (200 ml) was added and the solution washed with a 10 % sodium hydroxide solution (10 ml), a 10 % hydrochloric acid solution (10 ml) and brine (3 x 10 ml). After drying over Na₂SO₄, removal of the solvent, the chromatography of the yellow residual oil over silica gel provides 10-12 mg of the starting material, 127 mg of 1 α -hydroxylanosta-2,8-diene **1b** (53 % yield) and 47 mg of 1 α -hydroxylanosta-2,7,9(11)-triene (20 % yield).

1α-Hydroxy-lanosta-2,8-diene 1b. M.p.123-124.5°C (from methanol). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3614, 2956, 2870, 1468, 1372, 1026, 997. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.87 (6H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, 26- and 27-CH₃); 0.94 (3H, d, J = 4.1 Hz, 21-CH₃); 0.73, 0.93, 0.97, 1.02 (15H, 4s, 18-, 19-, 28-, 29- and 30-CH₃); 3.89 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1β-H) ; 5.60 - 5.76 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 1β-H, J_{AB} = 9.9 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.7 Hz, H-2 and H-3). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm): 69.9, C-1; 123.6, C-2; 142.1, C-3; 36.6, C-4; 41.6, C-5; 20.9, C-6; 28.2, C-7; 139.8, C-8; 129.7, C-9; 35.3, C-10; 19.0, C-11; 26.6, C-12; 44.5, C-13; 42.1, C-14; 31.0, C-15; 31.0, C-16; 50.6, C-17; 16.0, C-18; 18.9, C-19; 36.6, C-20; 22.3, C-21; 36.5, C-22; 24.2, C-23; 39.6, C-24; 31.6, C-25; 22.6, C-26; 22.9, C-27; 25.1, C-28; 31.6, C-29; 18.9, C-30. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +172; $[\alpha]_{578}^{22}$ +180; $[\alpha]_{546}^{22}$ +205; $[\alpha]_{436}^{22}$ +356; $[\alpha]_{365}^{22}$ +571 (c 0.735, CHCl₃). HR-MS for C₃₀H₅₀O, calc. 426.3861, found 426.3859. *I*α-Hydroxy-lanosta-2,7,9(11)-triene 1c. M.p.120-121°C (from methanol). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3560, 3018, 2959, 2931, 2870, 1467, 1375, 1247, 1217, 1073, 1001. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.88 (6H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, 26- and 27-CH₃); 0.91 (3H, d, J = 5.4 Hz, 21-CH₃); 0.58, 0.92, 0.98, 1.01 and 1.06 (15H, 5s, 18-, 19-, 28-, 29- and 30-CH₃); 4.21 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 β -H); 5.52 - 5.62 (2H, m., H-7 and H-11); 5.65 - 5.84 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 1 β -H, J_{AB} = 10.0 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.9 Hz, H-2 and H-3). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm) : 69.8, C-1; 122.1, C-2; 142.3, C-3; 35.2, C-4; 40.3, C-5; 27.9, C-6; 119.0, C-7; 143.0, C-8; 140.7, C-9; 50.4, C-10; 121.4, C-11; 38.3, C-12; 43.9, C-13; 43.2, C-14; 31.5, C-15; 31.5, C-16; 51.2, C-17; 15.9, C-18; 18.6, C-19; 36.4, C-20; 23.2, C-21; 36.6, C-22; 24.3, C-23; 39.6, C-24; 31.9, C-25; 22.7, C-26; 22.9, C-27; 24.8, C-28; 31.9, C-29; 23.4, C-30. [α]²²_D+258; [α]²²₅₇₈+269; [α]²²₅₄₆+308; [α]²²₄₃₆+555; [α]²²₃₆₅+940 (c 0.772, CHCl₃). HR-MS for C₃₀H₄₈O: calc. 424.3705, found 424.3703.

1α,25-Dihydroxy-lanosta-2,8-diene 2b. M.p.158-160°C (from methanol). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3615, 3013, 2960, 2875, 1469, 1371, 1027, 996. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.72, 0.92, 0.94, 0.96, 1.00 (18H, 5s, 18-, 19-, 21-, 28-, 29- and 30-CH₃); 1.20 (6H, s, 26- and 27-CH₃); 3.88 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1β-H); 5.58 - 5.74 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 1β-H, J_{AB} = 9.9 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.8 Hz, H-2 and H-3). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm): 69.6, C-1; 123.6, C-2; 142.1, C-3; 36.6, C-4; 41.6, C-5; 20.9, C-6; 28.2, C-7; 139.7, C-8; 129.8, C-9; 35.3, C-10; 19.0, C-11; 26.6, C-12; 44.8, C-13; 43.1, C-14; 31.1, C-15; 31.1, C-16; 50.6, C-17; 16.0, C-18; 18.8, C-19; 36.6, C-20; 22.3, C-21; 36.9, C-22; 21.3, C-23; 44.6, C-24; 71.1, C-25; 29.4, C-26; 29.3, C-27; 25.1, C-28; 31.6, C-29; 18.9, C-30. [α]_D²² +174.9; [α]₅₇₈²² +182; [α]₅₄₆²² +207; [α]₄₃₆²² +359; [α]₃₆₅²² +583 (c 1.2, CHCl₃). HR-MS for C₃₀H₄₉O (M+1-H₂O = 443-18 = 425), calc. 425.3783, found 425.3785.

1α,25-Dihydroxy-lanosta-2,7,9(11)-triene **2c**. M.p.163-166°C (from methanol). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3615, 3561, 3017, 2961, 2928, 1542, 1467, 1375, 1246, 1218, 1029, 976, 908. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.58, 0.94, 0.98, 1.01, 1.06 (15H, 5s, 18-, 19-, 28-, 29- and 30-CH₃); 0.93 (3H, d, J = 6.1 Hz, 21-CH₃); 1.23 (6H, s, 26and 27-CH₃); 4.21 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1β-H); 5.52 - 5.62 (2H, br.d, J = 5.8 Hz, H-7 and H-11); 5.65 - 5.82 (AB part of an ABX system with 1β-H, J_{AB} = 9.9 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.9 Hz, H-2 and H-3). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm): 69.7, C-1; 121.9, C-2; 142.3, C-3; 35.2, C-4; 40.2, C-5; 27.9, C-6; 118.9, C-7; 140.6, C-8; 142.9, C-9; 50.3, C-10; 121.5, C-11; 38.2, C-12; 43.9, C-13; 43.1, C-14; 31.4, C-15; 31.4, C-16; 51.1, C-17; 15.8, C-18; 18.6, C-19; 36.4, C-20; 23.2, C-21; 36.7, C-22; 21.2, C-23; 44.5, C-24; 71.2, C-25; 29.5, C-26; 29.4, C-27; 24.7, C-28; 31.8, C-29; 23.4, C-30. [α]²²_D+145; [α]²²₅₇₈+151; [α]²²₅₄₆+173; [α]²²₄₃₆+311; [α]²²₃₆₅+526 (c 1.335, CHCl₃). HR-MS for C₃₀H₄₇O (M+1-H₂O = 441-18 = 423) calc. 423.3627, found 423.3629.

Methyl 1α-hydroxy,11-oxo,18β,20β-olean-2,12-dien-30-oate **3b**. M.p. 236-238°C (from methanol or ethanol). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3550, 3000, 2950, 2920, 2850, 1720, 1640, 1610, 1225, 1170. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.82 (3H, s, 29-CH₃); 0.89 (3H, s, 25-CH₃); 0.99 (3H, s, 23-CH₃); 1.07, 1.13, 1.18 (9H, 3s, 24-, 26-and 28-CH₃); 1.38 (3H, s, 27-CH₃); 3.31 (1H, s, 9α-H); 3.68 (3H, s, COOCH₃); 4.67 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1β-H), 5.53 (1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz, H-3); 5.67 (1H, dd, J = 5.6 and 10 Hz, H-2); 5.71 (1H, s, H-12). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm): 69.8, C-1; 123.6, C-2; 140.5, C-3; 34.6, C-4; 44.6, C-5; 18.6, C-6; 31.3, C-7; 43.6, C-8; 52.4, C-9; 40.8, C-10; 201.5, C-11; 128.7, C-12; 170.2, C-13; 44.6, C-14; 26.6, C-15; 26.5, C-16; 31.8, C-17; 48.3, C-18; 41.3, C-19; 44.0, C-20; 31.1, C-21; 37.8, C-22; 31.6, C-23; 23.5, C-24; 17.0, C-25; 18.6, C-26; 22.7, C-27; 28.3, C-28; 28.6, C-29; 176.9, C-30; 51.7, C-31. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +263 (c 1.6, CHCl₃). HR-MS for C₃₁H₄₆O₄, calc. 482.3396, found 482.3399.

Methyl 1α -hydroxy,11-oxo, 18β , 20β -olean-12-en-30-oate 3c. 25 mg of 3b in methanol (5 ml) were hydrogenated in the presence of palladium oxide under hydrogen pressure (30-50 psi). After total conversion and usual work-up, 20 mg of

a colorless compound were isolated by preparative TLC. M.p. 237-239°C (from hexane-acetone mixture). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3510, 2960, 2930, 1710, 1650, 1620, 1220, 1170. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.82, 0.85, 0.91, 1.14, 1.15, 1.39 (21H, 6s, 23-, 24-, 25-, 26-, 27-, 28- and 29-CH₃); 3.30 (1H, s, 9 α -H); 3.69 (3H, s, COOCH₃); 4.66 (1H, t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 β -H); 5.68 (1H, s, H-12). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm): 71.4, C-1; 25.2, C-2; 34.5, C-3; 33.2, C-4; 47.6, C-5; 17.6, C-6; 32.1, C-7; 43.6, C-8; 53.7, C-9; 41.8, C-10; 201.9, C-11; 128.6, C-12; 170.0, C-13; 45.2, C-14; 26.5, C-15; 26.5, C-16; 31.8, C-17; 48.3, C-18; 41.2, C-19; 44.1, C-20; 31.2, C-21; 37.8, C-22; 33.2, C-23; 23.6, C-24; 17.8, C-25; 19.0, C-26; 21.5, C-27; 28.3, C-28; 28.5, C-29; 177.0, C-30; 51.8, C-31. [α]²²_D+146 (c 0.45, CHCl₃). Anal. for C₃₁H₄₈O₄, calc. C 76.81, H 9.98; found C 76.60, H 10.12

*1*α,8α,13(*R*)-*Trihydroxylabd-2*,14-*diene* **4b**. M.p.132-134°C (from pentanediethyl ether). IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3610, 3495 (shoulder), 3373, 2961, 2930, 2869, 1454, 1384, 1036, 1002, 923. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm): 0.75 (3H, s, 20-CH₃); 0.87 (3H, s, 19-CH₃); 1.00 (3H, s, 18-CH₃); 1.17 (3H, s, 17-CH₃); 1.23 (3H, s, 16-CH₃); 5.13 - 5.31 (2H, 2dd, H-15 and H-15', J_{15,14} = 17.4 Hz, J_{15',14} = 10.8 Hz and J_{15,15'} = 1.3 Hz); 5.47 - 5.61 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 1β-H, J_{AB} = 9.9 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.6 Hz, H-2 and H-3); 5.82 (1H, dd, H-14, J_{14,15} = 17.3 Hz, J_{14,15'} = 10.8 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm) (CD₃OD): 70.1, C-1; 124.7, C-2; 141.7, C-3; 36.2, C-4; 45.7, C-5; 22.3, C-6; 44.4, C-7; 75.7, C-8; 52.1, C-9; 43.5, C-10; 20.1, C-11; 44.0, C-12; 74.8, C-13; 146.7, C-14; 111.7, C-15; 28.6, C-16; 23.5, C-17; 31.6 ,C-18; 23.3, C-19; 16.2, C-20. [α]_D²² +90; [α]₅₇₈ +94.5; [α]₅₄₆²² +107.5; [α]₄₃₆²² +186; [α]₃₆₅²² +295.5 (c 1.63, CH₃OH). MS (EI), m/z: 304 (M⁺-H₂O), 286 (M⁺-2H₂O), 271 (286-CH₃). HR-MS for C₁9H₂₇O, calc. 271.2062, found 271.2064. *l*α-hydroxy-7α,8α-isopropylidenedioxy-11-acetoxydrim-2-ene 5b. Colorless oil. IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3614, 3573, 3492, 3019, 2984, 2935, 2895, 2868, 1752, 1724, 1454, 1380, 1368, 1256, 1248, 1219, 1208, 1095, 1040, 998, 911, 847. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm) after D₂O addition: 0.76 and 0.86 (6H, 2s, 19- and 20-CH₃); 1.02 (3H, s, 18-CH₃), 1.27 (3H, s, 17-CH₃), 1.31 and 1.43 (6H, 2s, 15 and 16-CH₃); 2.05 (3H, s, 13-CH₃); 2.50 (1H, br.t, J = 6.0 Hz, 9α-H); 3.12 (1H, br.s, OH), 3.71 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1β-H); 3.93 (1H, br.t, J = 2.7 Hz, 7β-H); 4.20 - 4.38 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 9α-H, J_{AB} = 11.6 Hz, J_{AX} = J_{BX} = 6 Hz, H₂-11); 5.54 - 5.68 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 1β-H, J_{AB} = 10.0 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.9 Hz, H-2 and H-3). ¹³C-NMR (δ ppm): 69.3, C-1; 122.6, C-2; 141.2, C-3; 34.5, C-4; 36.2, C-5; 23.4, C-6; 79.8, C-7; 80.7, C-8; 44.3, C-9; 40.6, C-10; 62.1, C-11; 171.2, C-12; 21.2, C-13; 107.3, C-14; 28.4 and 27.3, C-15 and C-16; 21.6, C-17; 31.2, C-18; 23.0, C-19; 14.5, C-20. [α]_D²² +53; [α]₅₇₈²² +55; [α]₅₄₆²² +63; [α]₄₃₆²² +110; [α]₃₆₅²² +175 (c 1.98, CH₃OH). MS (EI), m/z: 352 (M⁺).

1α-acetoxy-7α,8α-isopropylidenedioxy-11-hydroxydrim-2-ene 5c. M.p.140-143°C (with sublimation) from methanol. IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 3520, 2986, 2960, 2931, 2869, 1738, 1454, 1380, 1370, 1248, 1238, 1219, 1208, 1081, 1034, 1012, 1002, 994, 976, 905, 845. ¹H-NMR (δ ppm) (after D₂O addition): 0.78 and 0.89 (6H, 2s, 19- and 20-CH₃); 1.05 (3H, s, 18-CH₃), 1.57 (3H, s, 17-CH₃), 1.38 and 1.47 (6H, 2s, 15 and 16-CH₃); 2.07 (3H, s, 13-CH₃); 2.50 (1H, dd, J = 10.5 Hz and J = 3.6 Hz, 9α-H); 3.58 - 3.86 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 9α-H, J_{AB} = 10.6 Hz, JAX = 3.6 Hz, J_{BX} = 10.6 Hz, H₂-11); 4.02 (1H, br.t, J = 3.0 Hz, 7β-H); 4.79 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1β-H); 5.64 - 5.72 (2H, AB part of an ABX system with 1β-H, J_{AB} = 9.95 Hz, J_{AX} = 0, J_{BX} = 5.5 Hz, H-2 and H-3). ¹³C NMR (δ ppm) : 71.2, C-1; 119.5, C-2; 143.1, C-3; 34.6, C-4; 37.6, C-5; 23.3, C-6; 79.7, C-7; 83.0, C-8; 46.1, C-9; 38.9, C-10; 59.9, C-11; 170.4, C-12; 21.1, C-13; 108.0, C-14, 28.4 and 27.4, C-15 and C-16; 20.9, C-17; 31.1, C-18; 22.9, C-19; 15.0, C-20. $[\alpha]_D^{22} + 114^\circ; [\alpha]_{578}^{22} + 119; [\alpha]_{546}^{22} + 136.5; [\alpha]_{436}^{22} + 243; [\alpha]_{365}^{22} + 402$ (c 1.19, CH₃OH). HR-MS for C₂₀H₃₂O₅, calc. 352.2249, found 352.2250.

X-ray structure analysis of 5c⁴⁵. A crystal was obtained from methanol by slow evaporation. X-ray diffraction data were recorded on a Philips PW1100 diffractometer. Orthorhombic, space group Pbca (Z = 8) with a = 27.937(6); b = 14.774(3); c = 9.613(2). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by anisotropic thermal factors for the non-hydrogen atoms to a R value = 6.5% on F and 17.5% on intensities (SHELX93 program), for 3438 observed amplitudes with I \geq 3 σ (I).

Acknowledgments

We warmly thank V. Haldys for HR-MS spectra and I. Besson for typing this manuscript. Part of this work was supported by the EU Human Capital and Mobility Programme "Biooxygenations" (contract n° ERBCHRXCT930259).

References and Notes

- 1. For a literature survey, see for example: Aranda, G.; Bertranne, M.; Azerad, R.; Maurs, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 675, and ref. therein.
- Mikami, Y., Watanabe, E., Fukunaga, Y. and Kisaki, T. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1978, 42, 1075.
- 3. Krasnobajew, V. and Helmlinger, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1982, 65, 1590.
- 4. Hartman, D.A., Pontones, M.E., Kloss, V.F., Curley Jr, R.W. and Robertson, L.W. J. Nat. Prod. 1988, 51, 947.
- Sode, K., Karube, I., Araki, R. and Mikami, Y. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 1989, 33, 1191.
- Hartman, D.A., Basil, J.B., Robertson, L.W. and Curley Jr, R.W. Pharm. Res. 1990, 7, 270.
- 7. Kakeya, H., Sugai, T. and Ohta, H. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1991, 55, 1873.
- Larroche, C., Creuly, C. and Gros, J.-B. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 1995, 43, 222
- 9. For a recent review, see: Hanson, J. R. Nat . Prod.Rep. 1993, 10, 159 and ref. therein.

4,4-DIMETHYL-2-ENE DERIVATIVES

- 10. Aranda, G., Hammoumi, A., Azerad, R. and Lallemand, J.Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1783.
- Aranda, G., El Kortbi, M.S., Lallemand, J.Y., A., N., Hammoumi, A., Facon, I. and Azerad, R. *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 8339.
- Aranda, G., Facon, I., Lallemand, J.Y., Leclaire, M., Azerad, R., Cortes, M., Lopez, J. and Ramirez, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 7845.
- 13. Kouzi, S.A. and McChesney, J.D. Xenobiotica 1991, 21, 1311.
- 14. Abraham, W.R. Phytochemistry 1994, 36, 1421.
- Hollinshead, D.M., Howell, S.C., Ley, S.V., Mahon, M., Ratcliffe, N.M. and Worthington, P.A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 1579.
- 16. Aladesanmi, A.J. and Hoffmann, J.J. Phytochemistry 1991, 30, 1847.
- 17. Ramirez, H.E., Cortes, M. and Agosin, E. J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 762.
- 18. Kouzi, S.A. and McChesney, J.D. J. Nat. Prod. 1991, 54, 483.
- Aretz, W., Boetger, D. and Sauber, K., Ger. Offen. DE 3,527,335 (5 Feb 1987); C.A. 1987, 106, 212558v.
- Aretz, W., Boetger, D. and Sauber, K., Ger. Offen. DE 3,527,336 (5 Feb 1987); C.A. 1987, 106, 212559w.
- 21. Kouzi, S.A. and McChesney, J.D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1990, 73, 2157.
- Tozyo, T., Yasuda, F., Nakai, H. and Tada, H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1 1992, 1859.
- Hanson, J.R., Hitchcock, P.B., Nasir, H. and Truneh, A. Phytochemistry 1994, 36, 903.
- Herlem, D., Ouazzani, J. and Khuong-Huu, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1241.
- Arias, J.M., Garcia-Granados, A., Jimenez, M.B., Martinez, A., Rivas, F. and Onorato, M.E. J.Chem.Res.(S) 1988, 277.
- Garcia-Granados, A., Guerrero, A., Martinez, A., Parra, A. and Arias, J.M. Phytochemistry 1994, 36, 657.
- Aranda, G., Lallemand, J.-Y., Azerad, R., Maurs, M., Cortes, M., Ramirez, H. and Vernal, G. Synthetic Commun. 1994, 24, 2525.
- Ouazzani, J., Arséniyadis, S., Alvarez-Manzaneda, R., Cabrera, E. and Ourisson, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 647.
- Ouazzani, J., Arséniyadis, S., Alvarez-Manzaneda, R., Rumbero, A. and Ourisson, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 1983.
- Arseniyadis, S., Rodriguez, R., Dorado, M.M., Alves, R.B., Ouazzani, J. and Ourisson, G. *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, 8399.
- 31. Salmond, W.G., Barta, M.A. and Havens, J.L. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2057.
- Bedekar, A.V., Patel, H.A., Nair, K.B. and Soman, R. Indian J. Chem. 1994, 33B, 668.
- 33. Andrews, D.R., Barton, D.H.R., Cheng, K.P., Finet, J.P., Hesse, R.H., Johnson, G. and Pechet, M.M. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1635.
- Kiegiel, J., Wovkulich, P.M. and Uskokovic, M.R. . Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6057.
- All attempts to carry out SeO₂-hydroxylation with NMO (hydrate) failed.

- 36. Ogura, F., Otsubo, T., Ariyoshi, K. and Yamaguchi, H. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1833.
- 37. Cortes, M., Razmilic, I. and Lopez, J. J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 1369.
- 38. Henbest, H.B. J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 1074.
- 39. Aranda, G., Azerad, R., Maurs, M., Cortes, M., Ramirez, H. and Vernal, G. unpublished results.
- 40. A classical Mitsunobu reaction utilizing *p*-nitrobenzoic acid in benzene and realized with the 1α -hydroxylanosta-2,8-diene **1b** did not provide the inverted alcohol.
- 41. Nicolaou, K.C., Claiborne, F., Nantermet, P.G., Couladouros, E.A. and Sorensen, E.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1591.
- 42. Reich, H.J., Jautelat, M., Messe, M.T., Weigert, F.J. and Roberts, J.D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 7445.
- 43. Lukacs, G., Khuong-Huu, F., Bennett, C.R., Buckwalter, B.L. and Wenkert, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 42, 3515.
- 44. Eggert, H. and Djerassi, C. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5399.
- 45. The coordinates, bond distances and angles are deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, CB2 1EZ, Cambridge, UK, and can be obtained as a standard cif file from one of the authors (T. P.) at the email adress Prange@lure.u-psud.fr.

(Received in the UK 6th June 1996)