Neighboring group participation in the gas phase. The homogeneous elimination kinetics of 5-(*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate and 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate

Gabriel Chuchani,¹* Nouria Al-Awadi,² Rosa M. Domínguez¹ and Kamini Kaul²

¹Centro de Química, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC), Apartado 21827, Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela ²Department of Chemistry, University of Kuwait, P.O. Box 5969 Safat, 13060 Kuwait

Received 20 September 2000; revised 7 November 2000; accepted 10 November 2000

ABSTRACT: The elimination kinetics of the title compounds were determined over the temperature range 370–420 °C and pressure range of 36–91 Torr (1 Torr = 133.3 Pa). The reactions carried out in seasoned vessels with the free radical suppressor toluene always present are homogeneous, unimolecular and obey a first-order rate law. The overall rate coefficient is expressed by the following Arrhenius equations: for 5-(*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate, log k_1 (s⁻¹) = (13.56 ± 0.19) – (211.8 ± 2.2) kJ mol⁻¹ (2.303*RT*)⁻¹ and for 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate, log k_1 (s⁻¹) = (12.29 ± 0.41) – (182.9 ± 5.2) kJ mol⁻¹ (2.303*RT*)⁻¹. The formation of *N*-phenylpiperidine in both reactions suggests the anchimeric assistance of the PhNH and Ph(CH₃)N groups for a backside displacement. An intimate ion-pair type of mechanism is assumed in the pyrolytic elimination of these phenylaminoalkyl acetates. Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEYWORDS: neighboring group participation; gas phase; homogeneous elimination; kinetics; phenylaminopentyl acetates

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the homogeneous unimolecular gasphase pyrolysis of carboxylic esters generally leads to the formation of the corresponding olefin and carboxylic acid:^{1,2}

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ R-C-O-C-C-C \\ - \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} \\ R-C \\ 0 \\ - \end{array} \xrightarrow{O} \\ R-C \\ - \end{array} \xrightarrow{O} \\ R-C-OH \\ + \\ - \end{array} \xrightarrow{C=C}$$

$$(1)$$

However, the presence of a $(CH_3)N$ substituent at the 4position of butyl acetate, i.e. 4-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminobutyl acetate, was found to assist anchimerically a *trans*elimination process as described in reaction (2).³ The formation of *N*-methylpyrrolidine and methyl acetate was explained in terms of a modest intimate ion-pair

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

intermediate, where the acetoxy leaving leaving group proceeds by an intramolecular solvation or autosolvation to give the products described in reaction (2).

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & CH_3 & & & \\ H_3C - N & CH_2 & & \\ H_3C - K_1 & & \\ H_2C & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ CH_2 & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CH_2 & CH_2 & \\ CH_2$$

Along this line of thought, an additional study⁴ was carried out to examine the influence of the phenyl group at the N atom for neighboring group participation in the pyrolytic elimination of 4-(*N*-phenylamino)-1-butyl acetate (PhNHCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂OAc) and 4-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-1-butyl acetate [Ph(CH₃)NCH₂CH₂ CH₂CH₂OAc]:

$$R = H_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}-OAc \longrightarrow N + AcOR (3)$$

$$R = H_{2}CH_{3}$$

While this work was in progress, our findings of a substituent effect in the gas-phase pyrolysis of aryl-aminobutyl and arylaminopentyl acetates⁵ revealed the

^{*}*Correspondence to:* G. Chuchani, Centro de Química, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC), Apartado 21827, Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela.

E-mail: chuchani@quimica.ivic.ve

Contract/grant sponsor: CONICIT; Contract/grant number: S1-9700005.

Contract/grant sponsor: Kuwait University; Contract/grant number: SC 088.

anchimeric assistance of the arylamino substituent for a *trans*-elimination. Moreover, the greater the electron delocalization of the substituent at the 4-position of the benzene ring, the more effective is the participation and the faster the elimination rate. The mechanism was explained in terms on an intimate ion-pair intermediate.

Since a six-membered structure is less favored than a five-membered structure in neighboring group participation,⁶ the present work was addressed at examining the extent to which these PhNH and Ph(CH₃)N groups at the 5-position of alkyl acetates, i.e., PhNHCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂OAc and Ph(CH₃)NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CAc, may assist anchimerically the elimination process of these substrates in the gas phase.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5-(N-Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate

The molecular decomposition of this substrate in the gas phase proceeds according to reaction (4):



The stochiometry of Eqn. (4) requires that for long

reaction time $P_f/P_o = 2.0$, where P_f and P_o are the final and initial pressures, respectively. The average experimental results at four different temperatures and 10 halflives is 2.0 (Table 1). Additional examination of the above stoichiometry of Eqn. (4) was made by comparing, up to 30% decomposition, the pressure measurements with the results of quantitative titration of acetic acid with 0.05 M NaOH solution (Table 2).

The effect of the surface on the rate of decomposition was tested by carrying out several runs in a vessel with a surface-to-volume ratio of 6.0 relative to that of the normal vessel, which is equal to 1.0. Packed and unpacked Pyrex vessels seasoned with allyl bromide showed no effect on the reaction rates. However, packed and unpacked clean Pyrex vessels had a marked heterogeneous effect on the rate coefficient of 5-(*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate (Table 3).

The effect of the free radical inhibitor toluene is shown in Table 4. The kinetic runs had to be carried out with at least a threefold excess of toluene in order to prevent any free radical processes of the substrate and/or products. No induction period was observed. The rate coefficients were reproducible with a relative standard deviation of $\pm 5\%$ at any given temperature.

The first-order rate coefficient of this substrate calculated from $k_1 = -(2.303/t) \log [(2P_o - P_t)/P_o]$ was independent of the initial pressure (Table 5). A plot of $\log(2P_o - P_t)$ against time *t* gave a good straight line up to 60% reaction. The variation of the overall rate coefficient with temperature is shown in Table 6, where the rate coefficients at the 90% confidence limit obtained by a least-squares procedure are given.

The partial rates for the formation of the products as described in reaction (4) were determined up to 60% decomposition of the substrate by the quantitative chromatographic analysis of *N*-phenylpiperidine, 5-(phenylamino)pentenes and aniline. The variation of the rate coefficients for the formation of these products with temperature (Table 7) gives by the least-squares procedure and with 90% confidence the following

Substrate	Temperature (°C)	P _o (Torr)	P _f (Torr)	$P_{\rm f}/P_{\rm o}$	Average
5-(<i>N</i> -Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate	391.2	78	155.5	1.99	2.01
	407.7	48	92	1.92	
	410.2	61	119	1.95	
	420.0	62	136	2.19	
5-(<i>N</i> -Methyl- <i>N</i> -phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate	389.6	47.5	108	2.27	2.27
	399.7	41	88.5	2.16	
	410.0	44	99	2.25	
	420.0	58.5	139.5	2.38	

Table 1. Ratio of rate of final (P_f) to initial (P_o) pressure.^a

^a Vessel seasoned with allyl bromide and in the presence of toluene inhibitor. 1 Torr = 133.3 Pa.

5-(N-Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 400.7°C:					
Time (min)	1.5	3	5		
Reaction (%) (pressure)	11.5	21.9	31.3		
Acetic acid (%) (titration)	9.8	18.9	30.2		
5-(N-Methyl-N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 399.7°C:					
Time (min)	3	5	10	12	15
Reaction (%) (pressure)	15.8	24.2	43.5	49.5	57.3
Acetic acid (%) (GC)	12.5	16.5	29.5	33.7	37.9
Methyl acetate (%) (GC)	5.0	7.8	13.9	16.4	18.9

Table 2. Stoichiometry of the reactions

Table 3. Homogeneity of the reactions

Compound	$S/V (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})^{\mathrm{a}}$	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})^b$	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})^c$
5-(N-Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 391.2°C	1	19.67 ^d	7.90
	6	12.56 ^d	8.06
5-(N-Methyl-N-Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 399.7°C	1	14.91	15.40
	6	15.77	15.67

^a S = surface area; V = Volume.

^b Clean Pyrex vessel. ^c Vessel seasoned with allyl bromide.

^d Average k value.

Table 4. Effect of the inhibitor toluene on rates^a

Substrate	$P_{\rm o}$ (torr)	$P_{\rm i}$ (torr)	$P_{\rm i}/P_{\rm o}$	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$
5-(N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 400.7°C	45			13.52 ^b
	52.5	154.5	2.9	13.43 ^c
	48	178	3.7	13.59 ^c
	30	128.5	4.3	13.54 ^c
	36	185	5.1	13.36 ^c
5-(N-Methyl-N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 389.6°C	92			5.32
	80	79	1.0	5.82
	71	139	2.0	8.00
	43	114.5	2.7	9.64
	47.5	175.0	3.7	9.52

^a P_0 = pressure of the substrate; P_i = pressure of toluene inhibitor. Vessel seasoned with allyl bromide. ^b k-Value up to 20% reaction. ^c k-value up to 60% reaction.

Table 5. Variation of overall rate coefficients with initial pressure

5-(N-Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 400.7°C:					
P_o (torr)	36	48	52.5	70	91
$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$	13.36	13.59	13.93	13.71	13.70
5-(N-Methyl-N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 389.6°C:					
$P_o(\text{Torr})$	37	47.5	51.5	62	81
$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$	9.35	9.52	9.70	9.65	9.88

Table 6. Temperature dependence of the overall rate coefficients^a

5-(<i>N</i> -Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate: Temperature (°C) $10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$ Rate equation: log $k_1 (s^{-1}) = (13.56 \pm 0.17) - (211.8 \pm 2.2)$ kJ	$ \begin{array}{r} 380.0 \\ 4.10 \\ 1 \text{ mol}^{-1} (2.30) \end{array} $	$391.2 \\ 7.90 \\ 3RT)^{-1}; r = 0.99$	400.7 13.59 9997	410.2 22.68	420.0 39.20
5-(<i>N</i> - <i>Methyl</i> - <i>N</i> - <i>phenylamino</i>)- <i>1</i> - <i>pentyl</i> acetate: Temperature (°C) 3 $10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$ Rate equation: $\log k_1 (s^{-1}) = (12.29 \pm 0.48) - (182.9 \pm 5.2)$ k	70.1 38 3.18 1 mol^{-1} (2.30)	30.1 $389.5.59$ $9.3RT)^{-1}; r = 0.99$	6 399.7 62 15.40 9964	410.0 25.90	420.4 45.84

^a Seasoned vessel and in the presence of toluene inhibitor.

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Arrhenius equations:

for N-phenylpiperidine:

$$log k_1(s^{-1}) = (13.23 \pm 0.10) - (210.3 \pm 1.3) \text{ kJmol}^{-1} (2.303RT)^{-1}; r = 0.99997$$

for 5-(N-phenylamino)-1- and 2-pentene:

$$log k_1(s^{-1}) = (12.82 \pm 0.14) - (210.6 \\ \pm 1.9) \text{ kJmol}^{-1} (2.303RT)^{-1}; r \\ = 0.99997$$

for aniline:

$$log k_1(s^{-1}) = (12.63 \pm 0.18) - (210.3 \\ \pm 2.3) \text{ kJmol}^{-1}(2.303RT)^{-1}; r \\ = 0.99996$$

Product analysis and kinetic data for reaction (4)

suggest two different mechanisms (see eqn. 5 above). Since the bond polarization of C $^{\delta+}$... O $^{\delta-}$ may be rate determining, the discrete carbocation is stabilized through the anchimeric assistance of the phenylamino susbtituent. Apparently, an intimate ion-pair mechanism seems to be the process of decomposition, leading to the formation of products described in reaction (5).

5-(N-Methyl-N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate

The experimental stoichiometry for the elimination of 5-(N-methyl-N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate in the gas phase [reaction (6)] demands $P_{\rm f}/P_{\rm o} = 2.0$ (see eqn 6 below).

The average $P_{\rm f}/P_{\rm o}$ at four different temperatures and 10 half-lives was 2.27 (Table 1). The small departure from the theoretical stochiometry was due to the formation of very small amounts of methylaniline, dimethylaniline and methylphenylacetamide. However, a check of stoichiometry of reaction (6), up to 60% decomposition, was possible by comparing the pressure measurements with the sum of the quantitative chromatographic analyses of acetic acid and methyl acetate (Table 2).

Table 3 indicates that reaction (6) is homogeneous, since no significant variation of the rates was observed in the experiments when using both clean Pyrex and seasoned Pyrex vessels with a surface-to-volume ratio of 6.0 relative to that of the normal vessel. The effect of the free radical suppressor is shown in Table 4. The kinetic determination had to be carried out in the



Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Table 7. Variation of rate coefficients with temperature for formation of products from 5-(N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate

	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$				
Temperature (°C)	N-Phenylpiperidine	5-(N-Phenylamino)-1- and 2-pentene	Aniline		
380.0	2.59	0.95	0.66		
391.2	4.92	1.81	1.25		
400.7	8.37	3.08	2.13		
410.2	14.23	5.24	3.62		
420.0	24.19	8.90	6.16		

presence of toluene (the concentration of toluene is at least three times the initial pressure of the 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-pentyl acetate, to inhibit any possible chain processes of the substrate and/or the products (Table 4). No induction period was observed. The rate coefficients are reproducible with a relative standard deviation not greater than $\pm 5\%$ at a given temperature.

The rate coefficient also calculated from $k_1 = -(2.303/t)\log [(2P_o - P_t)/P_o]$ was independent of their initial pressure. When plotting $\log(2P_o - P_t)$ against time *t*, a good straight line, up to 55% reaction, was obtained (Table 5). The temperature dependence of the rate coefficient and the corresponding Arrhenius equation is given in Table 6 (90% confidence coefficient from the least-squares procedure).

To determine the partial rates of product formation from the pyrolysis of this substrate, up to 55% decomposition, the rate of elimination towards *N*phenylpiperidine and *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylaminopentenes [reaction (6)] was estimated by the quantitative chromatographic analyses of these products. The temperature dependence of the rate coefficients for product formation are given in Table 8. The Arrhenius equation (90% confidence coefficient from the least-squares procedure) are given as follows:

for N-phenylpiperidine:

$$log k_1(s^{-1}) = (11.59 \pm 0.42) - (194.5 \\ \pm 5.4) \text{ kJmol}^{-1} (2.303RT)^{-1}; r \\ = 0.99996$$

for 5-(N-methyl-N-phenylamino)pentenes:

$$\log k_1(s^{-1}) = (12.19 \pm 0.41) - (194.3 \\ \pm 5.2) \text{ kJmol}^{-1}(2.303RT)^{-1}; r \\ = 0.99997$$

see equation 7 below.

According to product formation analysis of this substrate [reaction (6)], together with the kinetic data, one can suggest a mechanism with a common intermediate as described in reaction (7). The neighboring group participation of the *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylmino group may lead to the formation of *N*-phenylpiperidine, 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-1- and -2-pentenes, and traces of *N*-methylaniline.

As reported before,⁴ the order in rate elimination through the anchimeric assistance of the N atom in the gas-phase pyrolysis of 4-substituted phenylaminobutyl acetates was $(CH_3)_2N > PhCH_3N \ge PhNH$ (Table 9). This sequence is contrary to the neighboring group participation of the 5-substituted aminopentyl acetates obtained in the present work, i.e. PhNH > PhCH_3N. Since the six-membered structure is less favored than the 5-membered structure in neighboring group participation,⁶ the nucleophilicity of the amino substituent at the 5-position may not be so effective in its anchimeric assistance and in the stabilization of the polarized C^{$\delta+$} ... O^{$\delta-$} bond intermediate. This appears to suggest that steric factors cause more difficulty for the formation of the cyclic product *N*-phenylpiperidine.



CH₂=CHCH₂CH=CH₂ + AcOH

	1	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$
Temperature (°C)	N-Phenylpiperidine	5-(N-Methyl-N-phenylamino)-1- and 2- pentene
370.1	0.62	2.56
380.1	1.10	4.49
389.6	1.89	7.73
399.7	3.02	12.38
410.0	5.08	20.82
420.4	8.98	36.86

Table 8. Variation of rate coefficients with temperature for formation of products from 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate

EXPERIMENTAL

5-(N-Phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate. A solution of 5bromopentyl acetate (10.45 g., 0.05 mol) and aniline (4.65 g, 0.05 mol) in 20 ml. of toluene was refluxed for 10 h. The solution was cooled and acidified with 10% hydrochloric acid. The toluene layer was separated and the aqueous layer was basified by the slow addition of solid sodium hydrogencarbonate. It was then repeatedly extracted with diethyl ether, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by distillation to give 5-(N-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate at 140C°C/0.73 mbar in 60% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₉NO₂ (221), C, 70.59; H, 8.59; N, 6.63. Found: C,70.49; H, 8.43; N, 6.56%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.36– 1.78 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.01-3.20 (t, 2H, CH₂), 3.98–4.19 (t, 2H, CH₂) 6.52–6.72 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.03–7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H). MS, m/z 221 (M⁺), 162 [(PhNH(CH₂) $_{5}^{+}$], 120 (PhNHCH₂CH₂⁺), 106 (PhNHCH₂⁺), 77 (Ph⁺), 43 (CH₃CO⁺).

5-(N-Methyl-N-phenylamino)-5-pentyl acetate. A solution of 5-bromopentyl acetate (10.45 g, 0.05 mol) and Nmethylaniline (5.36 g, 0.05 mol) in 15 ml of toluene was refluxed for 10 h. The solution was acidified with 10% hydrochloric acid. The toluene layer was separated and the aqueous solution was basified by the slow addition of solid sodium hydrogencarbonate. It was then repeatedly extracted with diethyl ether, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated *in vacuo*. The product was purified by distillation to afford 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino)-1pentyl acetate at 122–124 °C/0.6 mbar in 75% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₁NO₂ (235), C, 71.48; H, 8.93; N. 5.95. Found: C, 71.21; H, 8.72; N, 6.26%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.41–1.81 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 2.05 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.92 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 306-3.41 (t, 2H, CH₂), 3.92–4.09 (t, 2H, CH₂) 6.56–6.81 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.10–7.36 (m, 2H, Ar-H). MS, *m*/*z* 235 (M⁺), 220 [PhN(CH₂)₅OAc⁺], 162 [PhN(CH₃)(CH₂)⁴], 120 [PhN(CH₃)CH²₂], 106 [PhN(CH₃)⁺], 77 (Ph⁺), 43 (CH₃CO⁺).

The quantitative analyses and identifications of substrates and products were determined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry with a Saturn 2000, instrument (Varian) using a DB-5MS capillary column $30 \text{ m} \times 0.250 \text{ mm}$ i.d., $0.25 \mu \text{m}$ film thickness. The internal standard used for quantitative GC analysis was piperidine.

Kinetics. The kinetic experiments were carried out in a static reaction system as described previously,^{7,8} with some modifications and additions of modern electronic and electrical devices. The reaction vessel was seasoned with the product of decomposition of allyl bromide and each pyrolytic run was carried out in the presence of the free radical chain suppressor toluene. The amount of the aminopentyl acetate employed for each decomposition process was around 0.05–0.1 ml. The temperature was controlled by a Shinko DIC-PS 25RT resistance thermometer controller and an Omega Model SSR240AC45 solid-state relay, maintained within ± 0.2 °C and

Table 9. Comparative	kinetic parameters	for neiahborina aroup	participation at 380.0°C

Substrate	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$	$E_{\rm a}$, kJ mol ⁻¹	$\operatorname{Log} A, (s^{-1})$	Ref.
(CH ₃) ₂ NCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	678.19	163.5 ± 4.8	11.91 ± 0.43	3
PhNHCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	6.22	188.1 ± 5.5	11.84 ± 0.44	4
PhNHCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	2.57	210.3 ± 1.3	13.23 ± 0.10	This work
Ph(CH ₃)NCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	7.76	210.4 ± 4.4	13.72 ± 0.35	4
Ph(CH ₃)NCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	1.07	194.5 ± 5.4	11.59 ± 0.42	This work

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

measured with a calibrated platinum–platinum–13% rhodium thermocouple. No temperature gradient was found along the reaction vessel. The overall rate coefficients for 5-(*N*-phenylamino)-1-pentyl acetate were determined by pressure increase and the partial rates for the products *N*-phenylpiperidine and 5-(*N*-phenylamino)pentenes and aniline were calculated by gas chromatographic analyses. The overall rate coefficients of 5-(*N*-methyl-*N*-phenyamino)-1-pentyl acetate were estimated by pressure increase and the partial rates of formation of *N*-phenylpiperidine and the mixture of *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylpiperidine and the mixture of *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylaminopentenes by gas chromatographic analyses. The substrates were injected with a syringe directly into the reaction vessel, through a silicone-rubber septum.

Acknowledgements

Our gratitude is expressed to CONICIT (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas) of Venezuela for financial support, Project No. S197000005, and to Kuwait University for support received through research grant SC 088. The facilities of SAF are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. Taylor R. In *Chemistry of Functional Groups, Supplementary Volume B: Acid Derivatives*, Patai S (ed) Wiley: Chichester, 1979; chap. 15, 859.
- 2. Holbrook KA In *The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Suplement B: The Chemistry of Acid Derivatives*, Vol. 2, Patai S (ed) Wiley: Chichester, 1992; chap. 12, 703.
- Chuchani G, Rotinov A, Domínguez RM, Gonzalez N, J. Org. Chem. 1984; 49: 457.
- Chuchani G, Al-Awadi N, Domínguez RM, Rotinov A, Herize A, Kaul K. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2000; 13: 266.
- 5. Al-Awadi NA, Elnagdi MH, Kaul K, Chuchani G. J. Phys. Org. Chem. in press.
- 6. Capon B, McManus SP. *Neighboring Group Participation*. Vol. 1. Plenum Press: New York, 1976.
- 7. Macoll A. J. Chem. Soc. 1955; 965.
- 8. Swinbourne ES. Aust. J. Chem. 1958; 11; 314.