CHEMISTRY LETTERS, pp. 1801-1804, 1988.

Electrooxidative Cyclization of 4-Dithioazetidinones (Kamiya's Disulfides) A Facile Access to 2-(Substituted methyl)penicillanates

Hideo TANAKA, Motoaki TANAKA, Yo-ichi HIRONAKA, Akira NAKAI, and Sigeru TORII*
Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering,
Okayama University, Tsushima-naka, Okayama 700

A straightforward access to penicillanates bearing SCN and SeCN groups at the 2β-methyl group was performed by electrolysis of 4-dithioazetidinones in a two-phase system (aqueous and organic phases) in the presence of KSCN and KSeCN, respectively, while a mixture of 2β-azidomethyl derivative and its 2α-isomer (6/4) was obtained by a similar electrolysis with NaN_3.

Since the discovery of β-lactamase inhibitory properties of clavulanic acid,[1] a variety of inhibitors has appeared in the literature. Among them, penicillanic acid dioxide **4** (Y = H, Sulbactam)[2a] and its homologues bearing substituents (Y), e.g., Cl,[2b] N_3,[2c] SCN,[2d] triazolyl,[2e] and tetrazolylthio,[2d] at the 2β-methyl groups have attracted much attention as a promising β-lactamase inhibitor. The introduction of the proper substituent (Y) to the 2β-methyl group of **4** has generally relied on replacement of the chlorine atom of chloromethylpenams **3** (Y = Cl), derived from dithioazetidinones **1** by the action of Cl_2[3] or $CuCl_2$,[2c] or by electrolytic chlorination.[4]

Recently, the electrochemical olefin addition of Y^- (or Y_2), e.g., SCN^-, N_3^-, diphenyldiselenide, and diphenyldisulfide, has been reported, in which, electrogenerated electrophilic species Y^+ (or $Y^\cdot$) would attack olefins to generate an intermediary onium ion (or radical) (Scheme 1).[5] This prompted us to investigate the possibility of the direct transformation of **1** into 2β-(substituted methyl)penam **3** through onium (or radical) intermediates **2** as expected to be formed in similar electrolysis media (Scheme 2). Herein, we describe a straightforward access to 2-(substituted methyl)penicillanate **3** (Y = SCN, SeCN, N_3) by a simple electrolysis procedure.

The electrolysis was carried out in an undivided cell fitted with two Pt



Y^-: SCN^-, N_3^-
Y_2: PhSe-SePh, PhS-SPh

S: OH, OCH_3, OAc, NHAc

Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.

electrodes (1.5 x 2 cm^2). Some of the results are summarized in Table 1. A typical electrolysis procedure (entry 1 in Table 1) is as follows: A mixture of the 4-dithioazetidinone **1** (R = PNB, R^1 = R^2 = H, 0.5 mmol) and KSCN (3 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4 ml), H_2O (4 ml), and 0.2N H_2SO_4 (0.5 ml) was electrolyzed at 10 mA/cm^2 at room temperature. After most of **1** was consumed (12 h), the usual workup followed by column chromatography (SiO_2, benzene-AcOEt) afforded **3** (Y = SCN, 97%) along with bis(2-benzothiazolyl)disulfide (BTS-SBT, 99%). Notably, two-phase electrolysis system consisting of aqueous and CH_2Cl_2 phases is indispensable for the present purpose; otherwise (entries 4 and 5), the decomposition of **1** mainly occurs to give a complex mixture. The presence of acids, e.g., H_2SO_4 and AcOH, in the electrolysis media is effective for improving the current efficiency in some extent (entries 1-3).

The two-phase electrolysis procedure can be successfully applied to various 4-dithioazetidinones **1** to give the 2β-thiocyanatomethylpenams **3** (entries 6-9). Furthermore, the electrolytic selenocyanation is achieved by slight modification of the electrolysis media. Thus, the electrolysis of **1** (R = PNB, R^1 = R^2 = H) with KSeCN in a benzene-acetonitrile-H_2O-AcOH system afforded 2β-selenocyanatomethylpenam **3** (Y = SeCN) in 90% yield (entry 10). On the other hand, electrolysis of **1** with NaN_3 in the homogeneous solution took place more efficiently than that in two-phase system (entries 13 and 14), affording a mixture of the corresponding 2β-azidomethylpenam **3** and the 2α-isomer **6** (6/4).[6)]

Although reaction mechanism is still unclear, it is likely that formation of Y^+ (or Y_2) by two electron oxidation of Y^- is followed by the electrophilic attack on the terminal olefin of **1** affording **2** and subsequent cyclization of **2** leads to the final products **3** (Y = SCN or SeCN). The formation of a mixture of 2β- and 2α-azidomethylpenam **3** (Y = N_3) and **6** would involve epimerization of radical intermediate **5** generated in the analogous way (Scheme 3) before the



Scheme 3.

Table 1. Electrosynthesis of 2β-(substituted methyl)penams **3**

Entry	Dithioazetidinone **1**			Y^-	Conditions		Products **3**	
	R^1	R^2	R[b]		Solvent-System (ml)	F/mol[c]	Yield/%[d]	
1	H	H	PNB	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-0.2N H_2SO_4 (4/4/0.5)	14	97	
2	H	H	PNB	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O (4/4)	27	98	
3	H	H	PNB	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-AcOH (4/4/1)	20	93	
4	H	H	PNB	KSCN	MeCN-H_2O (5/2)	6	decomp.	
5	H	H	PNB	KSCN	DMF-AcOH (5/2)	15	decomp.	
6	H	H	PMB	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-0.2N H_2SO_4 (4/4/0.5)	14	98	
7	H	H	Bh	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-0.2N H_2SO_4 (4/4/0.5)	12	89	
8	Br	H	PNB	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-0.2N H_2SO_4 (4/4/0.5)	14	54	(44)[e]
9	H	PhCH2CONH	Bn	KSCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-0.2N H_2SO_4 (4/4/0.5)	27	80	
10	H	H	PNB	KSeCN	C_6H_6-MeCN-H_2O-AcOH (6/1/3/1)	22	90	
11	H	H	PNB	KSeCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O (6/1)	21	20	(77)[e]
12	H	H	PNB	KSeCN	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-AcOH (3/2/1)	21	75	
13	H	H	PNB	NaN_3	DMF-AcOH (4/5)	4	22[f]	(56)[e]
14	H	H	PNB	NaN_3	CH_2Cl_2-H_2O-AcOH (7/2/1)	4	5[f]	
15	H	H	PMB	$(PhSe)_2$	MeCN-0.2N H_2SO_4 (8/0.5)	4	--	
16	H	H	PMB	$(PhS)_2$	MeCN-0.2N H_2SO_4 (8/0.5)	4	--	

a) Electrolysis was carried out in an undivided cell fitted with two platinum electrodes (1.5 x 2 cm^2) at room temperature except for entry 13 (at 0 °C).
b) PNB: p-nitrobenzyl, PMB: p-methoxybenzyl, Bh: benzhydryl, Bn: benzyl.
c) Unless otherwise noted, constant current (entries 1-14: 10 mA/cm^2, entries 15 and 16: 3.3 mA/cm^2) was supplied until most of dithioazetidinones **1** was consumed.
d) Isolated yields. e) Recovered **1**. f) A mixture of **3** (Y = N_3) and **6** (6/4).

cyclization to thiazolidine ring formation (5→**3** and **6**). A similar epimerization to that of radical intermediates **5** has been observed in either photolysis of 4-dithioazetidinone **1** (R = CH_3, R^1 = H, R^2 = $PhCH_2CONH$)[7] or in biomimetic synthesis of penicillin.[8]

Next, electrolysis of **1** with diphenyldiselenide or diphenyldisulfide was carried out in a similar manner (entries 15 and 16). However, no appreciable amounts of cyclized products **3** were observed; former affording phenylselenothioazetidinone **7** (74%) and latter affording a mixture of phenyldithioazetidinone **8** (20%) and dimer **9** (71%). Presumably, electrophilic species ($PhSe^+$, $PhSe^{+\cdot}$-SePh, PhS^+, $PhS^{+\cdot}$-SPh) generated in the electrolysis media would attack the disulfide moiety of **1** preferentially rather than the olefin.

References

1) T. Howarth, T. A. G. Brown, and T. J. King, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1976, 266.

2) a) A. English, J. A. Retsema, A. E. Girard, J. E. Lynch, and W. E. Barth, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 14, 414 (1978); b) W. J. Gottstein, L. B. Crast, Jr., R. G. Graham, U. J. Haynes, and D. N. McGregor, J. Med. Chem., 24, 1531 (1981); c) R. G. Micetich, S. N. Maiti, P. Spevak, M. Tanaka, T. Yamasaki, and K. Ogawa, Synthesis, 1986, 292; d) H. Tanaka, M. Tanaka, A. Nakai, S. Yamada, N. Ishida, Y. Otani, and S. Torii, J. Antibiotic, 41, 579 (1988); e) R. G. Micetich, S. N. Maiti, P. Spevak, T. W. Hall, S. Yamabe, N. Ishida, M. Tanaka, T. Yamasaki, A. Nakai, and K. Ogawa, J. Med. Chem., 30, 1469 (1987).

3) T. Kamiya, T. Teraji, Y. Saitoh, M. Hashimoto, O. Nakaguchi, and T. Oku, Tetrahedron Lett., 1973, 3001.

4) A. Balsamo, P. M. Benedini, I. Giorgi, B. Macchia, and F. Macchia, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 2991 (1982); S. Torii, H. Tanaka, T. Siroi, M. Sasaoka, N. Saitoh, J. Nokami, and N. Tada, Chem. Lett., 1982, 1829.

5) a) J. Y. Becker, "Electrochemical Oxidation, Reduction and Formation of the C-X Bond - Direct and Indirect Processes," in "The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Supplement D," ed by S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1983), Chap. 6, pp. 203-286, and references cited therein; b) S. Torii, K. Uneyama, and M. Ono, Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 2741 (1980); c) A. Bewick, D. E. Coe, G. B. Fuller, and J. M. Mellor, ibid., 21, 3827 (1980); d) A. Bewick, D. E. Coe, G. B. Fuller, J. M. Mellor, and W. M. Owton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985, 1033.

6) The low conversion of **1** may be ascribable to the significant decomposition of $N_3^{\cdot}$ (→N_6→N_2); see Ref. 5a.

7) Y. Maki, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1978, 836.

8) J. E. Baldwin, R. M. Adlington, and R. Bohlmann, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 357.

(Received August 15, 1988)