CHINESE JOURNAL OF CATALYSIS
Volume 31, Issue 9, 2010
Online English edition of the Chinese language journal

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect

Cite this article as: Chin J Catal, 2010, 31: 1103–1106.

RESEARCH PAPER

Chemoselective and Catalytic Trimethylsilylation of Alcohols and Phenols by 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexamethyldisilazane and Catalytic Amounts of $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$

Arash GHORBANI-CHOGHAMARANI*, Nasrin CHERAGHI-FATHABAD

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ilam University, Ilam 69315516, Iran

Abstract: An efficient procedure for the trimethylsilylation of alcohols and phenols is presented. The combination of 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane and a catalytic amount of phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide ($PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$) was found to be effective for the trimethylsilylation of alcohols and phenols. The protection reaction is very simple and homogenously performed in dichloromethane at room temperature and mild conditions.

Key words: phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide; alcohol; phenol; protection; trimethylsilylation; hexamethyldisilazane.

Synthetic methodology, as the building block of organic synthesis, continuously seeks new reagents, better reaction conditions, and more efficient and selective methods [1]. When a chemical reaction is to be carried out selectively at one reactive site in a multifunctional compound, the other reactive sites should be temporarily blocked [2]. Silyl ethers are the most popular protecting groups for alcohols and phenols in synthetic organic chemistry and various types of silyl ethers have been reported [3–5]. Trimethylsilylation is routinely used to protect alcohols and phenols, especially in steroids, sugars and natural product synthesis [6]. The silylation of alcohols is an important process not only as a method to protect alcohols but also for the synthesis of functional organosilicon compounds [7]. Generally, silyl ethers can be synthesized by the reaction of alcohols and phenols with hexamethyldisilazane [8–13], hydrosilanes [14], disilanes [15], alkylsilanes [16], allylsilanes [17], and trimethylsilyl azide [18] in the presence of a suitable catalyst. However, some of these procedures are not adequate for the chemoselective protection of the hydroxyl group for several reasons such as low selectivity, long reaction time, low product yield, toxicity, delicate purification, and lack of reactivity or difficulty in removing by-products. 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) as a cheap, stable, and commercially available reagent is one of the most widely used silylating agents for the trimethylsilylation of alcohols and phenols. Its handling does not require special precautions and the reaction workup is not time-consuming because the by-product of the reaction is ammonia, which is simple to remove from the reaction media. However, the low silylating power of HMDS is the main drawback for its application. Therefore, to activate this reagent an appropriate catalyst is required.

1 Experimental

All the chemicals were purchased from Fluka, Merck, or Aldrich chemical companies. The oxidation products were characterized by a comparison of their spectral (IR, ^{1}H NMR, or ^{13}C NMR) and physical data with authentic samples. Phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide ($PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$) is a commercially available material and was purchased from Merck.

The trimethylsilylation of 2-hydroxy-1,2-diphenylethanone (**1j**) with HMDS catalyzed by $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$ as a typical procedure is outline below. To a mixture of **1j** (0.212 g, 1 mmol) and hexamethyldisilazane (0.323 g, 2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 ml), $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$ (0.018 g, 0.05 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 91 min (reaction progress monitored by thin layer chromatography). The reac-

Received date: 26 March 2010.
***Corresponding author.** Tel: +98-841-2227022; Fax: +98-841-2227022; E-mail: arashghch58@yahoo.com, a.ghorbani@mail.ilam.ac.ir
Foundation item: Supported by the research faculties of Ilam University, Ilam, Iran.

DOI: 10.1016/S1872-2067(10)60107-6

tion was then quenched with water (10 ml), and the organic phase was dried over Na_2SO_4 (3 g) and filtered after 10 min. Evaporation of dichloromethane gave 1,2-diphenyl-2-(trimethylsilyloxy)ethanone (0.276 g, 97%) as a white crystalline solid; m.p. 119–122 ºC; ^{1}H NMR (90 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.00 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.51 (m, 8H), 5.92 (s, 1H), 0.15 (s, 9H); IR (nujol, cm^{-1}): ν 1 687, 1 597, 1 578, 1 458, 1 377, 1 251, 1 109, 979, 888, 844, 698.

Trimethyl(phenethoxy)silane (**2d**). ^{1}H NMR (90 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.29 (s, 5H), 3.85 (t, 2H), 2.90 (t, 2H), 0.14 (s, 9H); IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): ν 3 029, 2 956, 1 605, 1 497, 1 454, 1 251, 1 095, 929, 883, 841, 748, 698.

Trimethyl(cholesteroloxy)silane (**2k**). ^{1}H NMR (90 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 5.34 (m, 1H), 3.49 (m, 1H), 0.67–2.17 (m, 43H); ^{13}C NMR (25 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 141.4, 121.3, 72.4, 56.9, 56.4, 50.4, 42.8, 42.4, 39.9, 39.6, 37.5, 36.6, 36.3, 35.9, 32.0, 28.3, 28.0, 24.4, 24.0, 22.8, 22.6, 21.2, 19.4, 18.8, 11.9, 0.36; IR (nujol, cm^{-1}): ν 2 853, 1 464, 1 456, 1 378, 1 249, 1 085, 896, 840.

Trimethyl(2-admantanoxy)silane (**2l**). ^{1}H NMR (90 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 3.78 (m, 1H), 1.35–2.25 (m, 14H), 0.08 (s, 9H); IR (nujol, cm^{-1}): ν 2 853, 1 450, 1 354, 1 249, 1 133, 1 093, 880, 839, 752.

Trimethyl(4-chlorophenoxy)silane (**2o**). ^{1}H NMR (200 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.76–7.20 (dd, 71.5, 8.5, Hz, 4H), 0.02 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 156.7, 129.5, 122.8, 117.3, 2.3.

Trimethyl(2-methoxy-4-methylphenoxy)silane (**2r**). ^{1}H NMR (200 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.70–6.84 (m, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 0.34 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 150.6, 142.2, 131.4, 121.1, 120.6, 113.1, 55.5, 21.1, 0.6.

Trimethyl(4-benzylphenoxy)silane (**2s**). ^{1}H NMR (200 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.95–7.59 (m, 9H), 4.18 (s, 2H), 0.57 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 153.7, 141.7, 134.3, 130.2, 129.1, 128.8, 126.3, 120.2, 41.3, 0.53.

2 Results and discussion

As a continuation of our previous studies on the application of new reagents and catalysts in organic functional group transformations [19–26], we now disclose a new, efficient, and mild procedure for the trimethylsilyl protection of a wide range of alcohols and phenols using HMDS in the presence of catalytic amounts of $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$ under mild and homogenous conditions at room temperature.

Therefore, in this article, we report the efficient trimethylsilylation of different types of hydroxyl groups including primary, secondary, hindered secondary, and substituted phenols using HMDS (**I**) in the presence of a catalytic amount of $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$ (**II**) in dichloromethane at room temperature with good to excellent yields (Scheme 1 and Table 1).

As is evident from Table 1 a good range of turnover number (TON) and turnover frequency (TOF) for the catalyst is observed. To investigate the role of $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$ as the catalyst,



Scheme 1. Trimethylsilylation of different types of hydroxyl groups.

Table 1 Trimethylsilylation of alcohols and phenols (**1**) to the corresponding trimethylsilyl alcohols and phenols (**2**) using HMDS (**I**) in the presence of a catalytic amount of $PhMe_3N^+Br_3^-$ (**II**) in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature

Entry	Substrate	Product	Substrate/HMDS/Cat. (mmol)		Time (min)	Yield[a] (%)	TON	TOF (min^{-1})
			I	**II**				
1	**1a**	**2a**	2	0.05	30	91	18.20	0.61
2	**1b**	**2b**	2	0.07	360	90	12.86	0.04
3	**1c**	**2c**	2	0.05	180	89	17.80	0.99
4	**1d**	**2d**	2	0.05	5	94	18.80	3.76
5	**1e**	**2e**	2	0.05	10	99	19.80	1.98
6	**1f**	**2f**	2	0.05	66	100[b]	20.00	0.30
7	**1g**	**2g**	2	0.05	105	86	17.20	0.16
8	**1h**	**2h**	2	0.05	20	93	18.60	0.93
9	**1h**	**2h**	2	—[c]	1320	—[d]	—	—
10	**1i**	**2i**	2	0.05	60	78	15.60	0.26
11	**1j**	**2j**	2	0.05	91	97	19.40	0.21
12	**1k**	**2k**	2	0.07	140	90	12.86	0.09
13	**1l**	**2l**	2	0.05	360	93	18.60	0.05
14	**1m**	**2m**	2	0.05	1200	—	—	—
15	**1n**	**2n**	2	0.05	17	88	17.60	1.04
16	**1o**	**2o**	2	0.05	35	91	18.20	0.52
17	**1p**	**2p**	2	0.05	12	90	18.00	1.50
18	**1q**	**2q**	2	0.05	10	86	17.20	1.72
19	**1r**	**2r**	2	0.05	26	95	19.00	0.73
20	**1s**	**2s**	2	0.05	26	98	19.60	0.75

Reaction conditions: substrate 1 mmol, CH_2Cl_2 10 ml, room temperature. [a]Isolated yield. [b]Conversion. [c]In the absence of catalyst. [d]No reaction.



Scheme 2. Comparasion of reactivity of unhindered alcohols and hindered alcohols.

benzhydrol was subjected to the trimethylsilylation reaction in the absence of a catalyst. However, no product was observed after 22 h (Table 1, entry 9).

Also, our results show that unhindered alcohols are more reactive than hindered alcohols and the selectivity is outlined in Scheme 2.

A plausible mechanism for trimethylsilylation is shown in Scheme 3. Phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide brominates hexamethyldisilazane, which polarizes the Si–N bond. The polarization of the Si–N bond converts HMDS to an activated silylating agent. Finally, the hydroxyl group silylates and ammonia is released as a by-product.



Scheme 3. Possible mechanism for trimethylsilylation of alcohols.

3 Conclusions

We report in this study on a new catalytic method for the efficient trimethylsilylation of alcohol and phenol derivatives under metal-free, mild, and homogeneous conditions. This method offers shorter reaction time, high selectivity, non-toxic conditions, cost effective reagents and catalyst, and an easy workup.

References

1 Kolvari E, Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Salehi P, Shirini F, Zolfigol M A. *J Iran Chem Soc*, 2007, **4**: 126
2 Greene T W, Wuts P G M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis. 3rd Ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1999
3 Isobe T, Fukuda K, Araki Y, Ishikaw T. *Chem Commun*, 2001: 243
4 Suginome M, Matsumoto A, Ito Y. *J Org Chem*, 1996, **61**: 4884
5 Ito H, Takagi K, Miyahara T, Sawamura M. *Org Lett*, 2005, **7**: 3001
6 Curhi M, Epifano F, Marcotullio M C, Rosati O, Costantino U. *Synthetic Commun*, 1999, **29**: 541
7 Shirakawa E, Hironaka K, Otsuka H, Hayashi T. *Chem Commun*, 2006: 3927
8 Khazaei A, Rostami A, Rahmati S, Mahboubifar M. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon*, 2007, **182**: 537
9 Shirini F, Zolfigol M A, Paktinat M. *Synthesis*, 2006: 4252
10 Shirini F, Mollarazi E. *Catal Commun*, 2007, **8**: 1393
11 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Zolfigol M A, Hajjami M, Jafari S. *J Chin Chem Soc*, 2008, **55**: 1208
12 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Amani K, Zolfigol M A, Hajjami M, Ayazi-Nasrabadi R. *J Chin Chem Soc*, 2009, **56**: 255
13 Shirini F, Zolfigol M A, Abri A R. *Monatsh Chem*, 2008, **139**: 17
14 Bideau F L, Henique J, Samuel E, Coradin T. *Chem Commun*, 2001: 1408
15 Tanabe Y, Okumura H, Madeda A, Murakami M. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 1994, **35**: 8413
16 Biffis A, Castello E, Zecca M, Basato M. *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**: 10391
17 Shibata T, Kanda K, Ueno Y, Fujiwara R. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*, 2006, **79**: 1146
18 Amantini D, Fringuelli F, Pizzo F, Vaccaro L. *J Org Chem*, 2001, **66**: 6734
19 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Goudarziafshar H, Nikoorazm M, Yousefi S. *Can J Chem*, 2009, **87**: 1144
20 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Goudarziafshar H, Nikoorazm M, Yousefi S. *Lett Org Chem*, 2009, **6**: 335
21 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Nikoorazm M, Goudarziafshar H, Shiri L, Chenani Z. *Bull Korean Chem Soc*, 2009, **30**: 972
22 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Shiri L, Zeinivand J. *Bull Korean Chem Soc*, 2008, **29**: 2496
23 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Nikoorazm M, Goudarziafshar H, Tahmasbi B. *Bull Korean Chem Soc*, 2009, **30**: 1388
24 Zolfigol M A, Amani K, Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Hajjami M, Ayazi-Nasrabadi R, Jafari S. *Catal Commun*, 2008, **9**: 1739
25 Habibi D, Zolfigol M A, Safaiee M, Shamsian A, Ghorbani-Choghamarani A. *Catal Commun*, 2009, **10**: 1257
26 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Zolfigol M A, Hajjami M, Rastgoo S, Mallakpour S. *Lett Org Chem*, 2010, **7**: 249