



Original article

Conformationally-locked *N*-glycosides: Exploiting long-range non-glycone interactions in the design of pharmacological chaperones for Gaucher disease

Javier Castilla^a, Rocío Rísquez^b, Katsumi Higaki^c, Eiji Nanba^c, Kousaku Ohno^d, Yoshiyuki Suzuki^e, Yolanda Díaz^{a,*}, Carmen Ortiz Mellet^{b,*}, José M. García Fernández^f, Sergio Castellón^a

^a Department de Química Analítica i Química Orgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, C/ Marcel·lí Domingo s/n, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

^b Departamento de Química Orgànica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Sevilla, C/ Profesor García González 1, 41012 Sevilla, Spain

^c Division of Functional Genomics, Research Center for Bioscience and Technology, Tottori University, 86 Nishi-cho, Yonago 683-8503, Japan

^d Sanin Rosai Hospital, Yonago 683-8605, Japan

^e Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, Tokyo 204-8588, Japan

^f Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (IIQ), CSIC – Universidad de Sevilla, C/ Américo Vespucio 49, Isla de la Cartuja, 41092 Sevilla, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 August 2014

Received in revised form

13 October 2014

Accepted 1 November 2014

Available online 4 November 2014

Keywords:

Glycomimetic

Glycosidase inhibitor

Pharmacological chaperone

Gaucher disease

Glucocerebrosidase

Lysosomal storage disorders

ABSTRACT

Pyranoid-type glycomimetics having a *cis*-1,2-fused glucopyranose–2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline (Glc-PSO) structure exhibit an unprecedented specificity as inhibitors of mammalian β -glucosidase. Notably, their inhibitory potency against human β -glucocerebrosidase (GCase) was found to be strongly dependent on the nature of aglycone-type moieties attached at the sulfur atom. In the particular case of ω -substituted hexadecyl chains, an amazing influence of the terminal group was observed. A comparative study on a series of Glc-PSO derivatives suggests that hydrogen bond acceptor functionalities, e.g. fluoro or methyloxycarbonyl, significantly stabilize the Glc-PSO:GCase complex. The S-(16-fluorohexadecyl)-PSO glycomimetic turned out to be a more potent GCase competitive inhibitor than ambroxol, a non glycomimetic drug currently in pilot trials as a pharmacological chaperone for Gaucher disease. Moreover, the inhibition constant increased by one order of magnitude when shifting from neutral (pH 7) to acidic (pH 5) media, a favorable characteristic for a chaperone candidate. Indeed, the fluoro-PSO derivative also proved superior to ambroxol in mutant GCase activity enhancement assays in N370S/N370S Gaucher fibroblasts. The results presented here represent a proof of concept of the potential of exploiting long-range non-glycone interactions for the optimization of glycosidase inhibitors with chaperone activity.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The search for compounds capable of modulating the activity of glycosidases, the enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of the glycosidic bond in polysaccharides and glycoconjugates, represents one of the more active research fields in glycobiology [1]. Glycosidase inhibitors are fundamental tools to interrogate biological processes involving biosynthesis, metabolism and recognition of carbohydrates [2] and bear strong potential for the development of drugs against associated pathologies [3], including cancer [4–8], diabetes [9,10], infection [11–13], ischemia [14] or

neurodegenerative diseases [15,16]. On the other hand, compounds stabilizing the proper folding of trafficking-incompetent mutant glycosidases at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), thereby rescuing them from degradation by the quality control system of the cell, show high promise as pharmacological chaperones for the treatment of lysosomal storage disorders [17–21] such as Gaucher [22–27], Fabry [28–30] or G_{M1} gangliosidosis [31–34], formally acting as effectors of the corresponding dysfunctional enzyme. Somewhat counterintuitively, the glycosidase inhibitory and chaperoning activities often coexist, the balance between them being a function of concentration and relative binding affinities at neutral (ER) and acidic pH (lysosome) [35].

With few exceptions [36–40], most naturally occurring or de novo synthesized glycosidase inhibitors/chaperones are

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: yolanda.diaz@urv.cat (Y. Díaz), mellet@us.es (C. Ortiz Mellet).

carbohydrate-like derivatives (glycomimetics) in which the acetal group characteristic of glycosides has been modified while preserving a hydroxylation pattern of stereochemical complementarity with the aglycone moiety of the putative glycosidase substrate. Yet, recent work has shown the importance of implementing non-glycone interactions to achieve glycosidase selectivity levels within isoenzymes compatible with clinical applications [41–47]. Most of the work in this sense has focused on nitrogen-(iminosugars [48,49], sp^2 -iminosugars [50–58], azasugars [59,60]) and carbon-in-the-ring (carbasugars [61,62], cyclitols [63,64]) carbohydrate mimics. Surprisingly, aglycon effects for glycomimetics keeping the pyranose core intact have been much less studied [65–68], even though modifications at the glycosidic region has the potential to be compatible with molecular diversity-oriented strategies with a relatively low synthetic cost [69].

In a previous report [70], we developed a new family of pyranoid-type glycomimetics having a glucopyranoso-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline structure (Glc-PSO, Fig. 1) behaving as selective β -glucosidase inhibitors. PSO derivatives can be formally considered as conformationally locked *N*-glycosides, which warrant chemical and enzymatic stability. Their fused six-membered–five-membered bicyclic skeleton, analogous to that of the potent *O*-(*N*-acetylglucosaminidase) inhibitors NAG-thiazoline, NButGT and thiamet-G [71] (Fig. 1), imposes a skew-boat conformation to the pyranose ring, which has been found to impart glycosidase transition state mimic character [72]. Structure-chaperone activity relationship studies on fibroblasts from Gaucher disease patients evidenced a strong impact of the nature of exocyclic *S*-substituents of Glc-PSO glycomimetics on the mutant lysosomal β -glucosidase (β -glucocerebrosidase; GCase) effector abilities. Notably, the *S*-(16-hydroxyhexadecyl) derivative (Glc-PSO-HHD) was as efficient as the non-glycomimetic chaperone candidate ambroxol (ABX), currently in pilot trials in humans [73], for the N370S homozygous GCase mutation, the most prevalent for this lysosomal storage disorder. The possibility of hydrogen bonding involvement of the terminal hydroxyl group, once the pyranoid ring sits in the active site of the enzyme, with an amino acid residue located at an appropriate distance was advanced. To test this hypothesis, we have now expanded the PSO family with the preparation of a series of analogues keeping the hexadecyl chain in the aglycone but modifying the terminal group or the configuration of the core. The synthetic strategy and the evaluation of the new compounds as glycosidase inhibitors and chaperone candidates are reported.




Fig. 1. General structure of Glc-PSO glycomimetics, structures of the related glycosidase inhibitors NAG-thiazoline, NButGT and thiamet-G and structure of the previously reported Glc-PSO derivative Glc-PSO-HHD, which exhibited pharmacological chaperone abilities towards human glucocerebrosidase in Gaucher disease fibroblasts.

2. Results


2.1. Synthesis

The preparation of PSO glycomimetics with a hydroxylation profile matching that of *D*-glucose and differing in the *S*-linked aglycon portion relies on the *S*-alkylation reaction of the pivotal glucopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione intermediate **1**. Taking into consideration that the target enzyme GCase has been shown to exhibit low discriminating capabilities between active site-binding ligands differing in the configuration at C-4 for some glycomimetic families [74], the corresponding *D*-galacto configured epimer **2** was also initially considered. The methodology used for the synthesis of **1** and **2** started from tri-*O*-acetyl-*D*-glucal (**3**) or *D*-galactal (**5**), respectively, and involved epoxidation of the double bond with *in situ* generated dimethyldioxirane. In the first case, the reaction afforded a mixture of the α -*D*-gluco- and β -*D*-manno-configured tri-*O*-acetyl-1,2-anhydrosugars **4** (*D*-gluco/*D*-manno ratio 7:1) in 90% yield [75]. Epoxidation of **5** provided instead exclusively the α -epoxide **6** (α -*D*-galacto configuration) in an almost quantitative yield [75]. Reaction of **4** and **6** with potassium thiocyanate and catalytic amounts of $\text{TiO}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_2$ led to the requested thionocarbamates **1** and **2** in 87 and 79% yield, respectively [76,77] (Scheme 1).

In view of the good GCase chaperon properties observed for the *D*-gluco-PSO derivative bearing an *S*-(ω -hydroxyhexadecyl) aglycon moiety Glc-PSO-HHD (Fig. 1) and the significant contribution of the terminal hydroxyl to this behavior [70], in this work we have chosen to examine the incorporation of a series of ω -substituted hexadecyl chains bearing different terminal groups, including ester, carboxylic acid, iodo and fluoro. These compounds were prepared using the corresponding distal iodo derivatives as the alkylating agents. Methyl 16-iodohexadecanoate and 16-iodohexadecanoic acid were prepared from the corresponding commercially available 16-bromo derivatives by reaction with sodium iodide in acetone (see Supporting Information). Given the ambident character of the thionocarbamate functionality [78], the reaction conditions for the alkylation step had to be carefully adjusted in order to warrant the alkylation reaction regioselectively at the sulfur atom. Thus, compound **1** was treated with a series of different alkyl iodides in dichloromethane in the presence of triethylamine and catalytic amounts of *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) or triazabicyclodecene (TBD) following the work by Rollin et al. [79–82]. Reactions carried out under these soft conditions afforded the expected acetyl-protected PSO derivatives **7–10** in 52–87% yields (Table 1). Interestingly, reaction of **1** with 1,16-diiodohexadecane not only afforded the expected *S*-(16-iodohexadecyl)sulfanyl derivative **8**, but also the dialkylation product **9**, isolated in 32% yield (Table 1, entry 2). We also prepared the corresponding *D*-galacto-PSO derivative **11**, bearing the *S*-(16-hydroxyhexadecyl) antenna, to test the effect of the configurational change on the inhibitory/chaperone properties.

The *S*-alkyl character of compounds **7–11** was confirmed by ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy: the chemical shift for the quaternary sp^2 carbon atom at position 2 in the five-membered heterocycle varied from roughly 190 ppm ($-\text{N}=\text{C}=\text{S}$ in 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thiones **1** and **2**) to approximately 170 ppm ($-\text{N}=\text{C}-\text{SR}$ in PSO derivatives **7–11**). This data is in accordance with analogous thionocarbamates already reported in the literature [70].

The target fully-unprotected PSOA-glycomimetics **12–16** were obtained in 81–100% yield by final removal of the acetyl protecting groups using methanol under standard NaOMe-catalyzed conditions. In order to obtain derivative **17**, precursor **7** was treated with a solution of sodium hydroxide in methanol under similar reactions conditions (Table 1, entry 2). The vicinal proton–proton coupling constants about the pyranose ring both for the acetylated (**7–11**) and the unprotected (**12–17**) PSO derivatives were in agreement



Scheme 1. Synthesis of glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivatives **1** and **2**.

with a skew-boat conformation close to $^0\text{S}_2$ as previously observed by us [70] and others [83,84] in structurally related bicyclic cis-1,2-fused glucopyranose structures in solution.

2.2. Biological evaluation

The new PSO derivatives **12**–**17** were first screened as inhibitors against a panel of commercial glycosidases including α -glucosidase (yeast), β -glucosidase (β -Glcase; almonds and bovine liver, cytosolic), α -mannosidase (Jack bean), β -mannosidase (*Helix pomatia*), trehalase (pig kidney), amyloglucosidase (*Aspergillus niger*), naringinase (β -glucosidase/ α -L-rhamnosidase, *Penicillium decumbens*), α -galactosidase (green coffee beans), β -galactosidase (*E. coli*), and amyloglucosidase (*A. niger*). All compounds behaved as competitive inhibitors of the mammalian β -Glcase and exhibited total selectivity within the series of enzymes assayed; a unique signature of the PSO family whose molecular basis is still unknown. The corresponding inhibition constants (K_i) at the optimal pH of β -Glcase (7.3) ranged from 5.5 to 26.4 μM (Tables 2 and 3), similar to that previously encountered for Glc-PSO-HHD (12 μM) [70]. Interestingly, the inhibition potency decreased from 2 to 11-fold when moving from pH 7.3 to 5.5, suggesting that the neutral form of the compounds is the most active species.

Inhibition of bovine liver β -glucosidase is often used as a preliminary parameter to select candidates as pharmacological chaperones for mutant human GCase associated with Gaucher disease. Yet, the predictive character of the data must be taken with care: although both enzymes are members of the GHA clan in the CAZY classification [85], meaning that they share three-dimensional structural similarities, they belong to different glycosyl hydrolase families, namely GH1 and GH30, with only limited sequence similarity [85]. Indeed, determination of the inhibition activity against human GCase (pH 7.0) revealed that the α -Gal-PSO representative **16** was inactive, whereas a strong influence of the terminal functional group at the hexadecyl glycone chain was observed in the Glc-PSO series, the inhibitory potency decreasing in the sense F (**15**; IC_{50} 3.9 μM) > COOMe (**12**; IC_{50} 33 μM) > I (**13**; IC_{50} 230 μM) > COOH (**17**; IC_{50} 420 μM). The dimeric derivative **14** turned out to be inactive (Table 2). No inhibition of other lysosomal enzymes, such as α -glucosidase, α -galactosidase, β -galactosidase, and β -hexosaminidase, was observed, reproducing the selectivity pattern already found in commercial enzymes. Most interestingly, an about one-order-of-magnitude decrease in the GCase inhibition strength was also observed at pH 5 (Table 2; see also Fig. 2 for the most active compounds **15** and **12**), a favorable feature for chaperone candidates.

The dramatic effect of the ω -substituent in the hexadecyl chain on the ability of PSO derivatives to inhibit GCase, above two orders of magnitude when comparing the fluoro and the carboxylic acid groups, reinforces our initial hypothesis that PSO:GCase complexes might be stabilized by a long-range interaction involving this terminal group and an amino acid residue located at a maximum distance of 22 Å from the catalytic site (Fig. 3). Comparing with Glc-PSO-HHD (IC_{50} 11.2 μM) [70], where the ω -substituent is OH, only

the fluoro (**15**) and methoxycarbonyl (**12**) derivatives exhibit comparable binding affinities, much higher than the iodo (**13**) or carboxylic acid (**17**) partners. It is interesting to speculate that the terminal group is acting as hydrogen bond acceptor and that optimizing this long-range hydrogen bonding interaction may provide a general strategy for the design of potent and specific GCase inhibitors/chaperones. The IC_{50} value for **15** is even lower as compared to that encountered for the reference chaperone drug ambroxol against GCase in a similar assay (4.1 μM) [70].

Compounds **12** and **15** were selected for further enzyme activity enhancement assays in healthy and Gaucher fibroblasts from patients having the N370S/N370S or the L444P/L444P mutations. The first one, the most common mutation among Gaucher patients, is located in the catalytic domain of the enzyme, while the second one is located in a noncatalytic domain. The cells were cultured for 5 days in the absence and in the presence of various concentrations of **12** or **15**, then lysed and the β -glucocerebrosidase activity determined using 4-methylumbelliferyl β -D-glucopyranoside as substrate.

In normal cells, **12** and **15** had no effect on GCase activity. In N370S/N370S Gaucher fibroblasts (Fig. 4) compound **12** had only a marginal effect (12% activity increase at 30 μM concentration), whereas **15** up-regulated the activity of the mutant enzyme in a very significant manner (62% at 30 μM), actually more efficiently than the hydroxyl-bearing analogue Glc-PSO-HHD (55% at 90 μM). In a parallel assay, ABX led to a maximum activity enhancement of 33% at 10 μM [70], with a decrease in the chaperone efficiency when increasing the concentration to 30 μM , meaning that the inhibitory activity overcomes the chaperone effect. In the case of **15**, this situation occurred only at 60 μM , indicating a more favorable chaperone/inhibitor balance. Neither **12** or **15** nor ABX or Glc-PSO-HHD were effective at increasing the activity in the case of the L444P/L444P mutant GCase, nor did they exhibit toxic effect on any of the normal or mutant cell lines assayed for 5 days of incubation.

The ensemble of results supports the potential of the PSO scaffold for the design of selective β -Glc inhibitors and active site-directed pharmacological chaperones for Gaucher disease. Although the observed activity enhancements do not surpass the values reported for the most efficient iminosugar-type chaperones [86], they are in principle medically useful. Most importantly, they also provide a proof of concept that long-range non-glycone interactions can be advantageously exploited to endow a rigid pyranoid glycone moiety with high binding affinity and selectivity toward a given glycosidase and suggest a key structural element for the design of GCase chaperones. The current data also validate the synthetic methodology for the purpose of structure–activity relationship studies and pharmacological chaperone optimization.


3. Experimental section

3.1. General methods

All chemicals were reagent grade and used as supplied unless otherwise specified. $\text{TiO}(\text{CF}_3\text{COO})_2$ catalyst was prepared following

Table 1

Synthesis of glycopyranoso-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives.



gluco **1**, **7-10**: R¹ = OAc, R² = H; **12-15**, **17**: R¹ = OH, R² = H
 galacto **2**, **11**: R¹ = H, R² = OAc; **16**: R¹ = H, R² = OH

Entry	Starting M.	Alkyl halide	S-alkylation ^a		O-deprotection ^b	
			Product	Yield (%)	Product	Yield (%)
1	1			52		95
						81
2	1			64		100
				32		94
				87		70
4	2			89		90

^a Carried out at rt during 24 h with 1.0 eq. substrate, 3.0 eq. alkyl halide, 3.0 eq. Et₃N, and 2 mol% DMAP (or TBD) in CH₂Cl₂.^b Carried out at rt during 12 h with 1.0 eq. of S-alkylated substrate and 5 mol% MeONa in MeOH.

a previously reported procedure [87]. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury VX 400 (400 MHz and 100.6 MHz respectively) and Varian 400-MR spectrometer in CDCl₃ or CD₃OD as solvents, with the solvent resonance (δ) as the internal standard (CDCl₃ δ 7.26 ppm for ¹H, δ 77.23 ppm ¹³C; CD₃OD δ 3.31 ppm for ¹H, δ 49.14 ppm for ¹³C) or using Me₄Si as an internal reference (δ

0.00 ppm for ¹H and ¹³C). 2D correlation spectra (gCOSY, NOESY, gHSQC, gHMBC) were visualized using the VNMR program (Varian). ESI-MS was run on an Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD instrument. Melting points (Mp) were measured on a melting point apparatus Griffin and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured at 598 nm at 20 °C in a Perkin–Elmer 241 MC apparatus with 10 cm cells. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-600 plus Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer ATR Specac Golden Gate in the Servei de Recursos Científics (SRCi-URV). Reactions were monitored by TLC carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck Silica gel 60 F254

Table 2K_i Values (μM) against Bovine Liver (Cytosolic) β-Glucosidase^a for Compounds **12–17**.

Enzyme	pH	12	13	14	15	16	17
β-Glcase (bovine liver)	7.3	26.4	19.3	11.8	11.1	5.5	22.6
β-Glcase (bovine liver)	5.5	45	98	139	66	62	61

^a Inhibition was competitive in all cases. No inhibition was observed for any of the compounds at 2 mM on almonds β-glucosidase, yeast α-glucosidase, jack bean α-mannosidase, *Helix pomatia* β-mannosidase, pig kidney trehalase, *Aspergillus niger* amyloglucosidase, *Penicillium decumbens* naringinase, green coffee α-galactosidase, *E. coli* β-galactosidase, or yeast isomaltase.

Table 3IC₅₀ values (μM) against human GCase for compounds **12–17**.

Enzyme	pH	12	13	14	15	16	17
GCase (<i>Homo sapiens</i>)	7.0	33	230	NI ^a	3.9	NI	420
GCase (<i>Homo sapiens</i>)	5.0	300	>1000	NI	27	NI	>1000

^a NI: no inhibition observed at 1 mM.




Fig. 2. Effects of the PSO derivatives **15** and **12** on GCase activity in lysate from human normal fibroblasts as a function of pH. Enzyme activity in normal cell lysates was determined in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of the chaperones. Each point represents the mean of triplicate determinations obtained in a single experiment. Values were expressed relative to the activity in the absence of compounds (100%). 4-Methylumbelliferyl β -D-glucopyranoside was used as substrate.

glass or aluminium plates. The plates were visualized under a short-wave UV lamp (250 nm) or after dipping in a suitable developing solution. Flash column chromatography was carried out using forced flow of the indicated solvent on Fluka or Merck Silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Radial chromatography was performed on 1 or 2 mm plates of Kieselgel 60 PF254 silica gel, depending on the amount of product.

3.2. General procedure for the preparation of the 1,2-anhydrosugars **4** and **6** [75]

The corresponding glycal **3** or **5** (1.00 mmol) was dissolved in an ice bath cooled biphasic solution of CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL), acetone (0.4 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (6.5 mL). The mixture was vigorously stirred and a solution of Oxone[®] (1.23 g, 2.00 mmol) in H_2O (5 mL) was added dropwise over 15 min. The crude reaction was vigorously stirred at 0 °C for 30 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature until complete consumption of the glycal (TLC monitoring). The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 $\times$ 4 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated to afford the 1,2-anhydro-pyranoses **4** (90%, mixture *D*-gluco/*D*-manno 7:1) or **6** (98%, only α -epoxide). The crude could not be purified due the inherent instability of 1,2-anhydrosugars.

3.3. General procedure for the synthesis of *D*-glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione precursors **1** and **2** [76,77]

To a stirred solution of the crude 1,2-anhydro-sugar **4** or **6** (1.00 mmol) and KSCN (3.00 mmol) in dry CH_3CN (5 mL), finely powdered $\text{TiO}(\text{CF}_3\text{COO})_2$ (0.02 mmol) was added under an Ar atmosphere, and the mixture was heated to boiling for appropriate time. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the mixture was cooled to room temperature, H_2O (10 mL) was added and the resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc (2 $\times$ 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexanes 2:1) gave the bicyclic derivatives **1** or **2** (87% and 79%, respectively).

3.4. General procedure for the *S*-alkylation of *D*-glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivatives

To a solution of the 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivative (1.00 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3.5 mL), the corresponding alkyl iodide (3.00 mmol), Et_3N (3.00 mmol) and either DMAP or TBD (0.2 mmol) were added followed by stirring at room temperature. After

completion of the reaction, the mixture was washed with saturated NaHCO_3 and brine, dried, and concentrated. Chromatographic purification afforded the *S*-alkylated compounds in the yields shown.

3.5. General procedure for acetyl deprotection of *D*-glycopyranoso-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives

Sodium methoxide (0.05 mmol) was added to a solution of protected 1,3-oxazoline carbohydrate (1.00 mmol) in methanol (20 mL), followed by stirring at room temperature. Upon completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford the deprotected compounds in the yields shown.

3.6. 4,5-Dihydro-(3,4,6-tri-*O*-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy- α -*D*-galactopyranoso)[1,2-*d*]-1,3-oxazol-2-thione (**2**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for the synthesis of *cis*-1,2-fused 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione carbohydrate derivatives starting from **6** (810 mg, 2.81 mmol), KSCN (642 mg, 8.43 mmol), $\text{TiO}(\text{CF}_3\text{COO})_2$ (16.6 mg, 0.06 mmol) in dry CH_3CN (14 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 2.5 h. Standard workup, followed by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:1 AcOEt /hexane), afforded **2** (776 mg, 79% yield) as a white solid. Data: R_f (4:1 AcOEt /hexane): 0.56. Mp: 52–55 °C. $[\alpha]_D +46$ (c 1.38, CHCl_3). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm^{-1} : 3301, 2923, 2853, 1743, 1490, 1368, 1225, 1174, 1033. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ in ppm: 8.41 (bs, 1H, =NH); 5.75 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 6.0$ Hz, H-1); 5.56 (pt, 1H, $J_{4,3} = 3.2$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 3.6$ Hz, H-4); 5.17 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 3.2$ Hz, H-3); 4.85 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,1} = 6.0$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 6.6$ Hz, H-2); 4.37 (ddd, $J_{4,5} = 3.6$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 7.4$ Hz, $J_{5,6'} = 5.8$ Hz, 1H, H-5); 4.25 (dd, $J_{6,5} = 7.4$ Hz, $J_{6,6'} = 11.6$ Hz, H-6); 3.99 (dd, $J_{6',5} = 5.8$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 11.6$ Hz, H-6'); 2.11 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.08 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.05 (s, 3H, AcO). ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ in ppm: 189.8 (C=S); 170.9, 169.9, 168.7 (C(O), AcO); 82.1 (C-1); 81.0 (C-2); 70.7 (C-3); 70.4 (C-5); 66.2 (C-4); 60.6 (C-6); 20.8, 20.7, 20.6 (CH_3 , AcO). +TOF MS Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_8\text{S}$ m/z $[\text{M}-\text{Na}]^+$: 370.0567, found: 370.0584; $[2\text{M}-\text{Na}]^+$: 717.1242, found: 717.1273.

3.7. 2-(16-(Methoxycarbonyl)hexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(3,4,6-tri-*O*-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy- α -*D*-glucopyranoso)[1,2-*d*]-1,3-oxazole (**7**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for the *S*-alkylation of glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivatives starting from **1** (41.3 mg, 0.12 mmol), methyl 16-iodo hexadecanoate (141.4 mg, 0.36 mmol), Et_3N (52 μL , 0.36 mmol), TBD (0.4 mg, 3 μmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by flash chromatography (from 1:10 to 2:1 AcOEt /hexane) followed by recrystallization from AcOEt -Hexane to afford compound **7** (38.1 mg, 52% yield) as a white solid. Data: R_f (1:1 AcOEt /hexane): 0.74. Mp: 67–68 °C. $[\alpha]_D +45$ (c 3.13, CHCl_3). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm^{-1} : 2917, 2851, 1734, 1602, 1372, 1218, 1110, 1045, 1034, 1012, 883. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ in ppm: 5.86 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.5$ Hz, H-1); 5.18 (t, 1H, $J_{3,2} = J_{3,4} = 4.1$ Hz, H-3); 4.90 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,3} = 4.1$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 8.1$ Hz, H-4); 4.50 (ddd, 1H, $J_{2,1} = 7.5$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 4.0$ Hz, $J_{2,4} = 0.8$ Hz, H-2); 4.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{6,5} = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{6,6'} = 12.2$ Hz, H-6); 4.15 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5} = 3.1$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 12.2$ Hz, H-6'); 3.67 (ddd, $J_{5,4} = 8.1$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{5,6'} = 3.1$ Hz, 1H, H-5); 3.64 (s, 3H, MeO); 3.04 (t, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH_2 -S aliph); 2.28 (t, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, CH_2 -C(O) aliph); 2.10 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.06 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.05 (s, 3H, AcO); 1.69 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, CH_2 aliph.); 1.59 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH_2 aliph.); 1.38 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, CH_2 aliph.); 1.35–1.22 (20H, CH_2 aliph.). ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ in ppm:




Fig. 3. 3D Molecular model of Glc-PSO-HDD (carbons in grey, oxygens in red, nitrogen in light blue, sulfur in yellow; hydrogens have been omitted for the sake of clarity). The pyranose ring is in a 4S_2 conformation and the hexadecyl chain has been set to the more stable extended conformation. The distance between the anomeric nitrogen atom and the terminal substituent in the chain, which remains identical in all the new PSO derivatives prepared in this work, is indicated. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 4. Effect of PSO glycomimetics **12** and **15** in GCase activity in N370S/N370S fibroblasts. Fibroblasts from patients were cultured in the absence or presence of the indicated concentrations of the chaperone for 96 h and the GCase activities in lysates were measured using 4-methylumbelliferyl β -D-glucopyranoside as substrate. Each bar represents the mean $\pm$ SEM of three determinations each done in triplicate. The asterisks indicate highly significant statistical difference ($p < 0.01$) from the values in the absence of the compound (t test).

174.6 (C=N); 171.2, 170.9, 169.8, 169.5 (C(O), AcO); 92.5 (C-1); 77.1 (C-2); 70.2 (C-3); 68.1 (C-4); 67.5 (C-5); 63.5 (C-6); 51.6 (MeO); 34.3 (CH₂-C(O)); 32.4 (CH₂-S); 29.8, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 29.3, 28.9, 25.1 (CH₂ aliph); 21.0, 21.0, 20.9 (CH₃, AcO). +TOF MS Calcd for C₃₀H₄₉NO₁₀S m/z [M-Na]⁺: 638.2969, found: 638.2976.

3.8. 2-(16-Iodoheptadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranoso)[1,2-d]-1,3-oxazole (8**) and 1,16-bis((4,5-dihydro-(3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranoso)[1,2-d]-1,3-oxazolyl)thio)hexadecane (**9**)**

The title compounds were prepared following the general procedure for the S-alkylation of glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivatives starting from **1** (37.3 mg, 0.10 mmol), 1,16-diiodohexadecane (130 mg, 0.27 mmol), Et₃N (38 μ L, 0.27 mmol), TBD (0.3 mg, 2 μ mol) and CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by flash chromatography (from 1:9 to 1:2 AcOEt/hexane) to afford **8** (48.4 mg, 64% yield) as a colorless syrup and **9** (31.6 mg, 32% yield) as an off-white syrup. Data for **8**: Rf (1:1 AcOEt/hexane): 0.78. [α]_D +42 (c 0.82, CHCl₃). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 2922, 2852, 1745, 1592, 1461, 1367, 1222, 1147, 1110, 1038. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.89 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.4 Hz, H-1); 5.2 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,2}$ = 3.8, $J_{3,4}$ = 4.2 Hz, H-3); 4.93 (ddd, 1H, $J_{4,2}$ = 0.8 Hz, $J_{4,3}$ = 4.2 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 8.8 Hz, H-4); 4.52 (ddd, 1H, $J_{2,1}$ = 7.4 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ = 3.8 Hz, $J_{2,4}$ = 0.8 Hz, H-2); 4.29 (dd, 1H, $J_{6,5}$ = 5.2 Hz, $J_{6,6'}$ = 12.2 Hz, H-6); 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5}$ = 2.8 Hz, $J_{6',6}$ = 12.2 Hz, H-6'); 3.70 (m, 1H, H-5); 3.19 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₂-I); 3.06 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂-S); 2.12 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.09 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.07 (s, 3H, AcO); 1.82 (quint, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.72 (quint, 2H,

J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.41–1.20 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 171.2 (C=N); 170.9, 169.8, 169.6 (C(O), AcO); 92.5 (C-1); 77.1 (C-2); 70.2 (C-3); 68.0 (C-4); 67.4 (C-5); 63.5 (C-6); 32.4 (CH₂-S); 30.7, 29.9, 29.8, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 28.9, 28.8, 27.0 (CH₂ aliph); 21.1, 21.0, 21.0 (CH₃, AcO); 7.7 (CH₂-I). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₉H₄₈INO₈S m/z [M-Na]⁺: 720.2038, found: 720.2049. Data for **9**: Rf (1:1 AcOEt/hexane): 0.26. [α]_D +1 (c 3.98, CHCl₃). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3460, 2923, 2852, 1741, 1590, 1368, 1224, 1147, 1111, 1038, 752. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.88 (d, 2H, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.4 Hz, 2 $\times$ H-1); 5.2 (pt, 2H, $J_{3,2}$ = 4.0, $J_{3,4}$ = 4.2 Hz, 2 $\times$ H-3); 4.93 (ddd, 2H, $J_{4,2}$ = 0.8 Hz, $J_{4,3}$ = 4.2 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 8.8 Hz, 2 $\times$ H-4); 4.52 (ddd, 2H, $J_{2,1}$ = 7.4 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ = 4.0 Hz, $J_{2,4}$ = 0.8 Hz, 2 $\times$ H-2); 4.29 (dd, 2H, $J_{6,5}$ = 5.4 Hz, $J_{6,6'}$ = 12.2 Hz, 2 $\times$ H-6); 4.18 (dd, 2H, $J_{6',5}$ = 2.8 Hz, $J_{6',6}$ = 12.2 Hz, 2 $\times$ H-6'); 3.69 (m, 2H, 2 $\times$ H-5); 3.06 (t, 4H, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 $\times$ CH₂-S); 2.12 (s, 6H, 2 $\times$ AcO); 2.09 (s, 6H, 2 $\times$ AcO); 2.07 (s, 6H, 2 $\times$ AcO); 1.72 (quint, 4H, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 $\times$ CH₂ aliph); 1.43–1.20 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 172.1 (2 $\times$ C=N); 170.9, 169.8, 169.5 (2 $\times$ C(O), AcO); 92.5 (2 $\times$ C-1); 77.1 (2 $\times$ C-2); 70.2 (2 $\times$ C-3); 68.0 (2 $\times$ C-4); 67.4 (C-5); 63.5 (2 $\times$ C-6); 32.4 (2 $\times$ CH₂-S); 29.8, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 28.9 (2 $\times$ CH₂ aliph); 21.0, 21.0, 21.0 (2 $\times$ CH₃, AcO). +TOF MS Calcd for C₄₂H₆₄N₂O₁₆S₂ m/z [M-Na]⁺: 939.3589, found: 939.3565.

3.9. 2-(16-Fluorohexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranoso)[1,2-d]-1,3-oxazole (10**)**

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for the S-alkylation of glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivatives starting from **1** (50.7 mg, 0.15 mmol), 1-fluoro-16-iodohexadecane (138 mg, 0.37 mmol), Et₃N (52 μ L, 0.37 mmol), TBD (0.4 mg, 0.03 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by flash chromatography (from 1:9 to 1:1 AcOEt/hexane) to afford compound **10** (74.7 mg, 87% yield) as an off-white solid. Data: Rf (1:1 AcOEt/hexane): 0.66. Mp: 51–52 °C. [α]_D +42 (c 3.62, CHCl₃). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 2918, 2850, 1742, 1600, 1464, 1371, 1213, 1141, 1109, 1049. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.88 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.4 Hz, H-1); 5.20 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,2}$ = 3.8 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ = 4.2 Hz, H-3); 4.92 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,3}$ = 4.2 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 8.8 Hz, H-4); 4.52 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,1}$ = 7.4 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ = 3.8 Hz, H-2); 4.44 (dt, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, J_{HF} = 47.3, CH₂-F aliph); 4.29 (dd, 1H, $J_{6,5}$ = 5.0 Hz, $J_{6,6'}$ = 12.0 Hz, H-6); 4.17 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5}$ = 2.8 Hz, $J_{6',6}$ = 12.0 Hz, H-6'); 3.69 (ddd, $J_{5,4}$ = 8.8 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ = 5.0 Hz, $J_{5,6'}$ = 2.8 Hz, 1H, H-5); 3.06 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂-S aliph); 2.12 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.09 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.07 (s, 3H, AcO); 1.77–1.60 (4H, CH₂ aliph); 1.40–1.20 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 171.1 (C=N); 170.8, 169.8, 169.5 (C(O), AcO); 92.5 (C-1); 84.4 (d, J_{CF} = 164.6 Hz, CH₂-F); 77.1 (C-2); 70.2 (C-3); 68.0 (C-4); 67.4 (C-5); 63.5 (C-6); 32.4 (CH₂-S); 30.7, 30.5, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.7, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 28.9, 25.3, 25.3 (CH₂ aliph); 21.0, 21.0, 20.9 (CH₃, AcO). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: -218.0 (tt, J_{FH} = 47.3 Hz, $J_{FH'}$ = 24.8, CH₂-F Hz). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₉H₄₈FO₈S m/z [M-Na]⁺: 612.2977, found: 612.2986.

3.10. 2-(16-Hydroxyhexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy- α -D-galactopyranoso)[1,2-d]-1,3-oxazole (11**)**

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for the S-alkylation of glycopyranoso-based 1,3-oxazolidine-2-thione derivatives starting from **2** (54.0 mg, 0.16 mmol), 16-iodohexadecanol (172 mg, 0.47 mmol), Et₃N (66 μ L, 0.47 mmol), TBD (0.4 mg, 0.03 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by flash chromatography (from 1:10 to 1:3 AcOEt/hexane) to afford compound **11** (89 mg, 91% yield) as a colorless syrup. Data:

Rf (1:1 AcOEt/hexane): 0.46. Mp: 32–33 °C. $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 86$ (c 2.50, CHCl₃). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3410, 2921, 2851, 1747, 1590, 1467, 1369, 1215, 1162, 1117, 1051, 753. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.85 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.1$ Hz, H-1); 5.43 (pt, 1H, $J_{4,3} = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 2.2$ Hz, H-4); 4.96 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 3.0$ Hz, H-3); 4.56 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,1} = 7.1$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 7.3$ Hz, H-2); 4.22 (pdt, $J_{5,4} = 2.2$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 7.0$ Hz, $J_{5,6'} = 6.6$ Hz, 1H, H-5); 4.15 (dt, 1H, $J_{6',5} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 11.0$ Hz, H-6'); 4.12 (dd, 1H, $J_{6,5} = 7.0$ Hz, $J_{6,6'} = 11.0$ Hz, H-6'); 3.61 (t, 2H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, CH₂-OH aliph); 3.03 (m, 2H, CH₂-S aliph); 2.11 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.05 (s, 3H, AcO); 2.03 (s, 3H, AcO); 1.67 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.61 (bs, 1H, OH); 1.54 (quint, 2H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.40–1.20 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 170.7 (C=N); 170.7, 170.2, 170.1 (C(O), AcO); 93.9 (C-1); 77.9 (C-2); 71.7 (C-3); 69.3 (C-5); 66.2 (C-4); 63.1 (CH₂-OH); 61.4 (C-6); 32.9 (CH₂ aliph); 32.4 (CH₂-S); 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.6, 29.2, 28.8, 25.9 (CH₂ aliph); 20.9, 20.8, 20.8 (CH₃, AcO). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₉H₄₉NO₅ m/z [M-Na]⁺: 610.3020, found: 610.3039.

3.11. 2-(16-Iodohexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-1,3-oxazole (**13**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for acetyl deprotection of cis-1,2-fused D-glucopyranose-2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives starting from **8** (30.3 mg, 0.04 mmol), MeONa (0.2 mg, 3 μ mol) and MeOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 12 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by flash chromatography (1:9 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) to afford compound **13** (24.8 mg, 100% yield) as a white solid. Data: Rf (1:9 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂): 0.53. Mp: 64–66 °C. $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 15$ (c 1.35, CH₃OH). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3304, 2915, 2859, 1579, 1469, 1350, 1292, 1163, 1117, 1073, 964. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 2:1 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.78 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.0$ Hz, H-1); 4.47 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,1} = 7.0$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 5.3$ Hz, H-2); 3.78 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5} = 2.8$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 12.0$ Hz, H-6'); 3.72 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5} = 5.2$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 12.0$ Hz, H-6); 3.69 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2} = 5.3$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 7.0$ Hz, H-3); 3.47 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,3} = 7.0$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 8.8$ Hz, H-4); 3.32 (m, 1H, H-5); 3.22 (t, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₂-I); 3.03 (t, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH₂-S); 1.79 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.71 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH₂ aliph). 1.45–1.28 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, 2:1 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 172.1 (C=N); 93.6 (C-1); 83.4 (C-2); 75.0 (C-5); 74.9 (C-3); 69.1 (C-4); 62.7 (C-6); 34.5 (CH₂ aliph); 32.4 (CH₂-S); 31.3, 30.6, 30.5, 30.5, 30.4, 30.0, 29.5, 29.4 (CH₂ aliph); 7.2 (CH₂-I). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₃H₄₂INO₅ m/z [M-Na]⁺: 594.1721, found: 594.1701.

3.12. 1,16-Bis((4,5-dihydro-(1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-1,2-dl)-1,3-oxazolyl)thio)hexadecane (**14**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for acetyl deprotection of D-glucopyranosyl-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives starting from **9** (31.6 mg, 0.03 mmol), MeONa (0.1 mg, 2 μ mol) and MeOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 12 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by crystallization from MeOH to afford compound **14** (21.6 mg, 94% yield) as a white solid. Data: Rf (1:9 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂): 0.53. Mp: 125–126 °C. $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 19$ (c 3.10, 2:1 CH₃OH/CHCl₃). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3330, 2919, 2850, 1743, 1590, 1462, 1352, 1155, 1094, 997. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 2:1 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.78 (d, 2H, $J_{1,2} = 7.3$ Hz, 2 $\times$ H-1); 4.47 (dd, 2H, $J_{2,1} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 5.4$ Hz, 2 $\times$ H-2); 3.79 (dd, 2H, $J_{6',5} = 3.2$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 12.0$ Hz, 2 $\times$ H-6'); 3.78–3.71 (stack, 3H, 2 $\times$ H-3 and 2 $\times$ H-6); 3.52 (dd, 2H, $J_{4,3} = 7.0$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$ Hz, 2 $\times$ H-4); 3.35 (m, 2H, 2 $\times$ H-5); 3.01 (td, 4H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, $J' = 1.9$ Hz, CH₂-S); 1.69 (quint, 4H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 $\times$ CH₂ aliph); 1.45–1.23 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, 2:1 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 172.2 (2 $\times$ C=N); 93.5 (2 $\times$ C-1); 83.0 (2 $\times$

C-2); 74.8 (2 $\times$ C-5); 74.4 (2 $\times$ C-3); 68.9 (2 $\times$ C-4); 62.4 (2 $\times$ C-6); 32.4 (2 $\times$ CH₂-S); 30.5, 30.5, 30.4, 30.4, 30.3, 30.0, 29.5 (2 $\times$ CH₂ aliph). +TOF MS Calcd for C₃₀H₅₂N₂O₁₀S₂ m/z [M-Na]⁺: 687.2956, found: 687.2904.9.

3.13. 2-(16-Fluorohexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-1,2-dl-1,3-oxazole (**15**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for acetyl deprotection of D-glucopyranosyl-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives starting from **10** (44.3 mg, 0.08 mmol), MeONa (0.2 mg, 5 μ mol) and MeOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 12 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by flash chromatography (from 0:1 to 1:9 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) to afford compound **15** (24.3 mg, 70% yield) as a white solid. Data: Rf (1:9 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂): 0.53. Mp: 72–73 °C. $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 21$ (c 2.25, 2:1 CH₃OH/CHCl₃). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3327, 2915, 2850, 1579, 1469, 1162, 1115, 720. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 2:1 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.76 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.2$ Hz, H-1); 4.43 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,1} = 7.2$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 5.3$ Hz, H-2); 4.39 (dt, 2H, $J = 6.4$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 41.0$, CH₂-F aliph); 3.78 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5} = 3.2$ Hz, $J_{6',6} = 12.5$ Hz, H-6'); 3.74 (dd, 1H, $J_{6,5} = 4.6$ Hz, $J_{6,6} = 12.4$ Hz, H-6); 3.70 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2} = 5.3$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 7.3$ Hz, H-3); 3.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,3} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 9.2$ Hz, H-4); 3.35 (ddd, 1H, $J_{5,4} = 9.2$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 4.6$ Hz, $J_{5,6'} = 3.2$ Hz, H-5); 2.79 (m, 2H, CH₂-S); 1.715–1.57 (4H, CH₂ aliph); 1.40–1.20 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, 2:1 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 170.8 (C=N); 92.5 (C-1); 84.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 163.0$ Hz, CH₂-F); 82.1 (C-2); 73.8 (C-5); 73.6 (C-3); 67.9 (C-4); 61.5 (C-6); 31.5 (CH₂-S); 30.3, 30.1, 29.4, 29.0, 28.9, 28.4, 24.9 (CH₂ aliph). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ in ppm: -215.1 (tt, $J_{FH} = 41.0$ Hz, $J_{FHF'} = 24.8$, CH₂-F Hz). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₃H₄₂FN₂O₅S m/z [M-Na]⁺: 486.2660, found: 486.2661.

3.14. 2-(16-Hydroxyhexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(1,2-dideoxy- α -D-galactopyranosyl)-1,2-dl-1,3-oxazole (**16**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for acetyl deprotection of D-glucopyranosyl-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives starting from **11** (45.2 mg, 0.08 mmol), MeONa (0.3 mg, 5 μ mol) and MeOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 20 h. After standard workup, the crude was purified by recrystallization from MeOH to afford compound **16** (31.9 mg, 90% yield) as white crystals. Data: Rf (1:1 AcOEt/hexane): 0.00. Mp: 90–92 °C. $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 32$ (c 2.73, CH₃OH/CHCl₃ 2:1). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3487, 3299, 2919, 2851, 1744, 1590, 1468, 1374, 1300, 1161, 1119, 1057, 984. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 1:2 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 5.60 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.0$ Hz, H-1); 4.34 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,1} = 7.0$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 6.6$ Hz, H-2); 3.75 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,3} = 3.2$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 2.0$ Hz, H-4); 3.65–3.49 (stack, 3H, H-5, H-6, and H-6'); 3.47 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 3.2$ Hz, H-3); 3.35 (t, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₂-OH aliph); 2.80 (m, 2H, CH₂-S aliph); 1.50 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.33 (quint, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.25–1.00 (24H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, 1:2 CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ in ppm: 170.7 (C=N); 92.8 (C-1); 82.1 (C-2); 73.0 (C-5); 71.3 (C-3); 67.4 (C-4); 62.1 (CH₂-OH); 61.1 (C-6); 32.3 (CH₂-S); 31.5, 29.4, 29.4, 29.3, 29.3, 29.3, 29.0, 28.9, 28.4, 25.6 (CH₂ aliph). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₃H₄₃NO₆S m/z [M-Na]⁺: 484.2703, found: 484.2704.

3.15. 2-(15-Carboxyhexadecyl)sulfanyl-4,5-dihydro-(1,2-dideoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-1,2-dl-1,3-oxazole (**17**)

The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for acetyl deprotection of D-glucopyranosyl-based 2-alkylsulfanyl-1,3-oxazoline derivatives starting from **7** (31.3 mg, 0.05 mmol), NaOH (2.4 mg, 0.06 mmol) and MeOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 12 h. After standard workup,

the crude was purified by flash chromatography (5:95 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) to afford compound **17** (19.6 mg, 81% yield) as a white solid. Data: R_f (1:9 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂): 0.94. Mp: 77–75 °C. [α]_D +44 (c 0.86, MeOH/CHCl₃ 2:1). FT-IR (neat) ν in cm⁻¹: 3320, 2918, 2849, 1736, 1579, 1468, 1379, 1229, 1161, 1030. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ in ppm: 5.79 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.4 Hz, H-1); 4.48 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,1}$ = 7.4 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ = 5.2 Hz, H-2); 3.78 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5}$ = 2.8 Hz, $J_{6',6}$ = 12.0 Hz, H-6'); 3.73 (dd, 1H, $J_{6',5}$ = 5.2 Hz, $J_{6',6}$ = 12.0 Hz, H-6); 3.71 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2}$ = 5.2 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ = 6.8, H-3); 3.48 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,3}$ = 6.8 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 9.0 Hz, H-4); 3.30 (m, 1H, H-5); 3.03 (t, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz, CH₂-S); 2.31 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂-CO₂Me); 1.72 (quint, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂ aliph); 1.59 (quint, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂ aliph). 1.45–1.28 (stack, 22H, CH₂ aliph). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD) δ in ppm: 176.1 (C=O); 172.2 (C=N); 93.8 (C-1); 83.7 (C-2); 75.4 (C-5); 75.0 (C-3); 69.4 (C-4); 62.9 (C-6); 34.8 (CH₂-CO₂H); 32.4 (CH₂-S); 30.7, 30.7, 30.6, 30.6, 30.4, 30.2, 30.2, 29.6, 26.0 (CH₂ aliph). +TOF MS Calcd for C₂₃H₄₁NO₇S m/z [M-Na]⁺: 498.2496, found: 498.2509.

3.16. Inhibition studies with commercial enzymes

Inhibition constant (K_i) values were determined by spectrophotometrically measuring the residual hydrolytic activities of the glycosidases against the respective *p*-nitrophenyl α - or β -D-glycopyranoside, *o*-nitrophenyl β -D-galactopyranoside (for β -galactosidases) or α,α' -trehalose (for trehalase) in the presence of compounds **12–17**. Each assay was performed in phosphate buffer or phosphate-citrate buffer (for α - or β -mannosidase and amyloglucosidase) at the optimal pH of each enzyme. The reactions were initiated by addition of enzyme to a solution of the substrate in the absence or presence of various concentrations of inhibitor. The mixture was incubated for 10–30 min at 37 °C or 55 °C (for amyloglucosidase) and the reaction was quenched by addition of 1 M Na₂CO₃ or by heating and subsequent addition of a solution of GLC-Trinder (Sigma, for trehalase). Reaction times were appropriated to obtain 10–20% conversion of the substrate in order to achieve linear rates. The absorbance of the resulting mixture was determined at 405 nm or 492 nm (for trehalase). Approximate values of K_i were determined using a fixed concentration of substrate (around the K_m value for the different glycosidases) and various concentrations of inhibitor. Full K_i determinations and enzyme inhibition mode were determined from the slope of Lineweaver–Burk plots and double reciprocal analysis.

3.17. Lysosomal enzyme activity assay

Lysosomal enzyme activities in cell lysates were determined as described previously [88,89]. Briefly, cells were scraped in ice-cold 0.1% Triton X-100 in water. After centrifugation (6000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C) to remove insoluble materials, protein concentrations were determined using Protein Assay Rapid Kit (Wako, Tokyo, Japan). The lysates were incubated at 37 °C with the corresponding 4-methylumbelliferyl β -D-glycopyranoside solution in 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 4). The liberated 4-methylumbelliferone was measured with a fluorescence plate reader (excitation 340 nm; emission 460 nm; Infinite F500, TECAN Japan, Kawasaki, Japan). For enzyme inhibition assay, cell lysates from normal skin fibroblasts were mixed with the 4-methylumbelliferyl β -D-glycopyranoside substrates in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of the PSO derivatives **12–17**.

3.18. Cell culture and GCase activity enhancement assay

Human skin fibroblasts from a healthy and two Gaucher disease patients (with N370S/N370S and L444P/L444P mutations) were maintained in our laboratory with DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS as the culture medium. For enzyme activity enhancement assay, cells were cultured in the presence of different concentrations of Glc-PSO derivatives **12** and **15** or DMSO alone (as a control) for 5 days and harvested by scraping [88,89]. Cytotoxicity of the compounds was monitored by measuring the lactate dehydrogenase activities in the cultured supernatants (LDH assay kit, Wako, Tokyo, Japan).

Acknowledgments

The Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (contract numbers DGI CTQ2011-22872-BQU) and SAF2013-44021-R), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Science, Sports and Technology of Japan (22390207 and 23591498) and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (H17-Kokoro-019, H20-Kokoro-022) are acknowledged. Cofinancing from the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) and the Fondo Social Europeo (FSE), the Fundación Mahuer, and the Junta de Andalucía are also thanked. K.H. was supported by Takeda Science Foundation. J.C. and R.R. thank the Ministerio de Educación for predoctoral fellowships.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.11.002>.

References

- [1] T.M. Gloster, D.J. Vocadlo, Nat. Chem. Biol. 8 (2012) 683–694.
- [2] T.M. Gloster, G.J. Davies, Org. Biomol. Chem. 8 (2010) 305–320.
- [3] N.F. Bras, N.M. Cerqueira, M.J. Ramos, P.A. Fernandes, Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 24 (2014) 857–874.
- [4] T.M. Wrodnigg, A.J. Steiner, B.J. Ueberbacher, Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem. 8 (2008) 77–85.
- [5] E.M. Sánchez-Fernández, R. Rísquez-Cuadro, M. Chasseraud, A. Ahidouch, C. Ortiz Mellet, H. Ouadid-Ahidouch, J.M. García Fernández, Chem. Commun. 46 (2010) 5328–5330.
- [6] Y. Zhao, Y. Zhou, K.M. O'Boyle, P.V. Murphy, Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 75 (2010) 570–577.
- [7] G. Allan, H. Ouadid-Ahidouch, E.M. Sánchez-Fernández, R. Rísquez-Cuadro, J.M. García Fernández, C. Ortiz Mellet, H. Ouadid-Ahidouch, Plos One 8 (2013) e76411.
- [8] J. Zhu, Y. Zhou, G.-N. Wang, G. Tai, X.-S. Ye, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 731 (2014) 65–72.
- [9] S. Mohan, R. Eskandari, B.M. Pinto, Acc. Chem. Res. 47 (2014) 211–225.
- [10] W. Benalla, S. Bellahcen, M. Bnouham, Curr. Diabetes Rev. 6 (2010) 247–254.
- [11] D. Durantel, C. Alotte, F. Zoulim, Curr. Opin. Invest. Drugs 8 (2007) 125–129.
- [12] P. Greimel, J. Spreitz, A.E. Stütz, T.M. Wrodnigg, Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 3 (2003) 513–523.
- [13] J.-H. Kim, R. Resende, T. Wennekes, H.-M. Chen, N. Bance, S. Buchini, A.G. Watts, P. Pilling, V.A. Streltsov, M. Petric, R. Liggins, S. Barrett, J.L. McKimm-Breschkin, M. Niikura, S.G. Withers, Science 340 (2013) 71–75.
- [14] B. Lacz, S.A. Marsh, C.A. Brooks, I. Wittmann, J.C. Chatham, Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 299 (2010) H1715–H1727.
- [15] S.A. Yuzwa, X.Y. Shan, M.S. Macauley, T. Clark, Y. Skorobogatko, K. Vosseller, D.J. Vocadlo, Nat. Chem. Biol. 8 (2012) 393–399.
- [16] R.E. Boyd, K.J. Valenzano, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 24 (2014) 3001–3005.
- [17] G. Parenti, EMBO Mol. Med. 1 (2009) 268–279.
- [18] R.E. Boyd, G. Lee, P. Rybczynski, E.R. Benjamin, R. Khanna, B.A. Wustman, K.J. Valenzano, J. Med. Chem. 56 (2013) 2705–2725.
- [19] G. Parenti, M. Moracci, S. Fecarotta, G. Andria, Future Med. Chem. 6 (2014) 1031–1045.
- [20] Y. Suzuki, Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B 90 (2014) 145–162.
- [21] J.A. Shayman, S.D. Larsen, J. Lipid Res. 55 (2014) 1215–1225.
- [22] A. Traper, A. Llebaria, Future Med. Chem. 6 (2014) 975–978.
- [23] A. Joosten, C. Decroocq, J. de Sousa, J.P. Scheneider, E. Etame, A. Bodlennier, T.D. Butters, P. Compain, ChemBioChem 15 (2014) 309–319.
- [24] P. Alfonso, V. Andreu, A. Pino-Angeles, A.A. Moya-García, M.I. García-Moreno, J.C. Rodríguez-Rey, F. Sánchez-Jiménez, M. Poció, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, P. Giraldo, ChemBioChem 14 (2013) 943–949.
- [25] G. Tiscornia, E. Lorenzo Vivas, L. Matalonga, I. Berniakovich, M. Barragán Monasterio, C. Eguizábal, L. Gort, F. González, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, A. Ribes, A. Veiga, J.C. Izpisua Belmonte, Hum. Mol. Genet. 22 (2013) 633–645.
- [26] A. Traper, P. González-Bulnes, T.D. Butters, A. Llebaria, J. Med. Chem. 55 (2012) 4479–4488.

- [27] Z. Luan, K. Higaki, M. Aguilar-Moncayo, L. Li, H. Ninomiya, E. Namba, K. Ohno, M.I. García-Moreno, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, Y. Suzuki, *ChemBioChem* 11 (2010) 2453–2464.
- [28] Y. Yu, T. Mena-Barragán, K. Higaki, J.L. Johnson, J.E. Drury, R.L. Lieberman, N. Nakasone, H. Ninomiya, T. Tsukimura, H. Sakuraba, Y. Suzuki, E. Namba, C. Ortiz Mellet, C. García Fernández, K. Ohno, *ACS Chem. Biol.* 9 (2014) 1460–1469.
- [29] B. Young-Gqamana, N. Brignol, H.-H. Chang, R. Khanna, R. Soska, M. Fuller, S.A. Sitaraman, D.P. Germain, R. Giugliani, D.A. Hughes, A. Mehta, K. Nicholls, P. Boudes, D.J. Lockhart, K.J. Valenzano, E.R. Benjamin, *Plos One* 8 (2013) e57631.
- [30] X. Wu, E. Katz, M.C. Della Valle, K. Mascioli, J.J. Flanagan, J.P. Castelli, R. Schifmann, P. Boudes, D.J. Lockhart, K.J. Valenzano, E.R. Benjamin, *Hum. Mutat.* 32 (2011) 965–977.
- [31] A. Siriwardena, D.P. Sonawane, O.P. Bande, P.R. Markad, S. Yonekawa, M.B. Tropak, S. Ghosh, B.A. Chopade, D.J. Mahuran, D.D. Dhavale, *J. Org. Chem.* 79 (2014) 4398–4404.
- [32] K. Higaki, H. Ninomiya, Y. Suzuki, E. Nanba, *Future Med. Chem.* 5 (2013) 1551–1558.
- [33] T. Takai, K. Higaki, M. Aguilar-Moncayo, T. Mena-Barragán, Y. Hirano, K. Yura, L. Yu, H. Ninomiya, M.I. García-Moreno, Y. Sakakibara, K. Ohno, E. Nanba, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, Y. Suzuki, *Mol. Ther.* 21 (2013) 526–532.
- [34] K.M. Fantur, T.M. Wrodnigg, A.E. Stutz, B.M. Pabst, E. Paschke, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 35 (2012) 495–503.
- [35] R.L. Lieberman, J.A. D'Aquino, D. Ringe, G.A. Petsko, *Biochemistry* 48 (2009) 4816–4827.
- [36] W. Zheng, J. Padia, D.J. Urban, A. Jadhav, O. Goker-Alpan, A. Simeonov, E. Goldin, D. Auld, M.E. LaMarca, J. Inglese, C.P. Austin, E. Sidransky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104 (2007) 13192–13197.
- [37] J.J. Marugan, W. Zheng, O. Motabar, N. Southall, E. Goldin, W. Westbroek, B.K. Stubblefield, E. Sidransky, R.A. Aungst, W.A. Lea, A. Simeonov, W. Leister, C.P. Austin, *J. Med. Chem.* 54 (2011) 1033–1058.
- [38] J.J. Marugan, W. Huang, O. Motabar, W. Zheng, J. Xiao, S. Patnaik, N. Southall, W. Westbroek, W.A. Lea, A. Simeonov, E. Goldin, M.A. DeBernardi, E. Sidransky, *MedChemCommun* 3 (2012) 56–60.
- [39] J. Xiao, W. Westbroek, O. Motabar, W.A. Lea, X. Hu, A. Velayati, W. Zheng, N. Southall, A.M. Gustafson, E. Goldin, E. Sidransky, K. Liu, A. Simeonov, R.J. Tamargo, A. Ribes, L. Matalonga, M. Ferrer, J.J. Marugan, *J. Med. Chem.* 55 (2012) 7546–7559.
- [40] R. Garlapati, R. Pottabathini, V. Gurram, K.S. Kasani, R. Gundla, C. Thulluri, P.K. Machiraju, A.B. Chaudhary, U. Addepally, R. Dayam, V.R. Chundurib, B. Patro, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 11 (2013) 4778–4791.
- [41] H. Suzuki, U. Ohto, K. Higaki, T. Mena-Barragán, M. Aguilar-Moncayo, C. Ortiz Mellet, E. Namba, J.M. García Fernández, Y. Suzuki, T. Shimizu, *J. Biol. Chem.* 289 (2014) 14560–14568.
- [42] W. Schncemann, E. Gallienne, K. Ikeda-Obatake, N. Asano, S. Nakagawa, A. Kato, I. Adachi, M. Górecki, J. Frelek, O.R. Martin, *ChemMedChem* 8 (2013) 1805–1817.
- [43] B. Brumshtein, M. Aguilar-Moncayo, J.M. Benito, J.M. García Fernández, I. Silman, Y. Shaaltiel, D. Aviezer, J.L. Sussman, A. Futerman, C. Ortiz Mellet, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 9 (2011) 4160–4167.
- [44] M. Aguilar-Moncayo, T. Takai, K. Higaki, T. Mena-Barragán, Y. Hirano, K. Yura, L. Li, Y. Yu, H. Ninomiya, M.I. García-Moreno, S. Ishii, Y. Sakakibara, K. Ohno, E. Namba, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, Y. Suzuki, *Chem. Commun.* 48 (2011) 6514–6516.
- [45] M. Aguilar-Moncayo, T.M. Gloster, J.P. Turkenburg, M.I. García-Moreno, C. Ortiz Mellet, G.J. Davies, J.M. García Fernández, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 7 (2009) 2738–2747.
- [46] M. Aguilar-Moncayo, T.M. Gloster, M.I. García-Moreno, C. Ortiz Mellet, G.J. Davies, A. Llebaria, J. Casas, M. Egidio-Gabás, J.M. García Fernández, *ChemBioChem* 9 (2008) 2612–2618.
- [47] B. Brumshtein, M. Aguilar-Moncayo, M.I. García-Moreno, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, I. Silman, Y. Shaaltiel, D. Aviezer, J.L. Sussman, A.H. Futerman, *ChemBioChem* 10 (2009) 1480–1485.
- [48] A.E. Stütz, T.M. Wrodnigg, *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* 66 (2011) 187–298.
- [49] P. Compain, O.R. Martin (Eds.), *Iminosugars, from Synthesis to Therapeutic Applications*, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2007.
- [50] J.L. Jiménez Blanco, V.M. Díaz Pérez, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, J. Fuentes, J.C. Díaz Arriba, F.J. Cañada, *Chem. Commun.* (1997) 1969–1970.
- [51] M.I. García-Moreno, P. Díaz-Pérez, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, *Chem. Commun.* (2002) 848–849.
- [52] M.I. García-Moreno, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* (2004) 1803–1819.
- [53] M.I. García-Moreno, D. Rodríguez-Lucena, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, *J. Org. Chem.* 69 (2004) 3578–3581.
- [54] M. Aguilar-Moncayo, M.I. García-Moreno, A.E. Stütz, J.M. García Fernández, T.M. Wrodnigg, C. Ortiz Mellet, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 18 (2010) 7439–7445.
- [55] M. Aguilar-Moncayo, M.I. García-Moreno, A. Traperero, M. Egidio-Gabás, M. Llebaria, J.M. García Fernández, C. Ortiz Mellet, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 9 (2011) 3698–3713.
- [56] E.M. Sánchez-Fernández, R. Rísquez-Cuadro, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, P.M. Nieto, J. Angulo, *Chem. Eur. J.* 18 (2012) 8527–8539.
- [57] M. Aguilar-Moncayo, Díaz-Pérez, J.M. García Fernández, C. Ortiz Mellet, M.I. García Moreno, *Tetrahedron* 68 (2012) 681–689.
- [58] R. Rísquez-Cuadro, J.M. García Fernández, J.-F. Nierengarten, C. Ortiz Mellet, *Chem. Eur. J.* 19 (2013) 16791–16803.
- [59] M. Bols, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 31 (1998) 1–8.
- [60] Y. Hill, M.B. Tropak, D. Mahuran, S.G. Withers, *ChemBioChem* 12 (2011) 2151–2154.
- [61] R. Lahiri, A.A. Ansari, Y.D. Vankar, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42 (2013) 5102–5118.
- [62] S. Ogawa, M. Kanto, Y. Suzuki, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 7 (2007) 679–691.
- [63] A. Traperero, M. Egidio-Gabás, A. Llebaria, *MedChemComm* 4 (2013) 1584–1589.
- [64] A. Delgado, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* (2008) 3893–3906.
- [65] K. Czifrak, A. Pahi, S. Deak, A. Kiss-Szikszai, K.E. Kover, T. Docsa, P. Gergely, K.-M. Alexacou, M. Papakonstantinou, D.D. Leonidas, S.E. Zographos, E.D. Chrysina, L. Somsak, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 22 (2014) 4028–4041.
- [66] S. Kun, E. Bokor, G. Varga, B. Szocs, A. Pahi, K. Czifrak, M. Toth, L. Juhasz, T. Docsa, P. Gergely, L. Somsak, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 76 (2014) 567–579.
- [67] S.A. Yuzwa, M.S. Macauley, J.E. Heinonen, X.Y. Shan, R.J. Dennis, Y.A. He, G.E. Whitworth, K.A. Stubbs, E.J. McEachern, G.J. Davies, D.J. Vocadlo, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 4 (2008) 483–490.
- [68] M.S. Macauley, G.E. Whitworth, A.W. Debowski, D. Chin, D.J. Vocadlo, *J. Biol. Chem.* 280 (2005) 25313–25322.
- [69] L. Calle, V. Roldós, F.J. Cañada, M.L. Uhrig, A.J. Cagnoni, V.E. Manzano, O. Varela, J. Jiménez-Barbero, *Chem. Eur. J.* 19 (2013) 4262–4270.
- [70] J. Castilla, R. Rísquez, D. Cruz, K. Higaki, E. Namba, K. Ohno, Y. Suzuki, Y. Díaz, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, S. Castillón, *J. Med. Chem.* 55 (2012) 6857–6865.
- [71] M.S. Macauley, D.J. Vocadlo, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1800 (2010) 107–121.
- [72] G.E. Whitworth, M.S. Macauley, K.A. Stubbs, R.J. Dennis, E.J. Taylor, G.J. Davies, I.R. Greig, D.J. Vocadlo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 129 (2007) 635–644.
- [73] A. Zimran, G. Altarescu, D. Elstein, *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* 50 (2013) 134–137.
- [74] Z. Luan, K. Higaki, M. Aguilar-Moncayo, H. Ninomiya, K. Ohno, M.I. García-Moreno, C. Ortiz Mellet, J.M. García Fernández, Y. Suzuki, *ChemBioChem* 10 (2009) 2780–2792.
- [75] P. Cheshev, A. Marra, A. Dondoni, *Carbohydr. Res.* 341 (2006) 2714–2716.
- [76] J. Castilla, I. Marín, M.I. Matheu, Y. Díaz, S. Castillón, *J. Org. Chem.* 75 (2010) 514–517.
- [77] J. Castilla, I. Marín, M.I. Matheu, Y. Díaz, S. Castillón, *J. Org. Chem.* 77 (2012) 3687.
- [78] A.C. Simão, J. Rousseau, S. Silva, A.P. Rauter, A. Tatibouët, P. Rollin, *Thio-carbamates on Carbohydrate Scaffolds—from Synthesis to Bioactivity*, in: *Carbohydrate Chemistry. Chemical and Biological Approaches*, vol. 35, RSC Publishing, Dorchester, 2009, pp. 127–172.
- [79] S. Silva, A.C. Simão, A. Tatibouët, P. Rollin, A.P. Rauter, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 49 (2008) 682–686.
- [80] S. Tardy, A. Tatibouët, P. Rollin, G. Dujardin, *Synlett* 108 (2006) 1425–1427.
- [81] J. Girmiene, S. Tardy, A. Tatibouët, A. Sackus, P. Rollin, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 45 (2004) 6443–6446; (d) D. Gueyrard, O. Leoni, S. Palmieri, P. Rollin, *Tetrahedron Asymm.* 12 (2001) 337–340.
- [82] D. Gueyrard, V. Grumel, O. Leoni, S. Palmieri, P. Rollin, *Heterocycles* 52 (2000) 827–843.
- [83] M. Ávalos, R. Babiano, P. Cintas, M.B. Hursthouse, J.L. Jiménez, M.E. Light, J.C. Palacios, E.M.S. Perez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 3 (2006) 657–671.
- [84] M.A. Nashed, C.W. Slife, M. Kiso, L. Anderson, *Carbohydr. Res.* 82 (1980) 237–252.
- [85] B.L. Cantarel, P.M. Coutinho, C. Rancurel, T. Bernard, V. Lombard, D. Henrissat, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37 (2009) D233–D238.
- [86] P. Compain, O.R. Martin, C. Boucheron, G. Godin, L. Yu, K. Ikeda, N. Asano, *ChemBioChem* 7 (2006) 1356–1359.
- [87] P. Sartori, M. Weidenbruch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 3 (1964) 376–377.
- [88] H. Lin, Y. Sugimoto, Y. Ohsaki, H. Ninomiya, A. Oka, M. Taniguchi, H. Ida, Y. Eto, S. Ogawa, Y. Matsuzaki, M. Sawa, T. Inoue, K. Higaki, E. Nanba, K. Ohno, Y. Suzuki, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1689 (2004) 219–228.
- [89] H. Iwasaki, H. Watanabe, M. Iida, S. Ogawa, M. Tabe, K. Higaki, E. Nanba, Y. Suzuki, *Brain Dev.* 28 (2006) 482–486.