Dalton Transactions

An international journal of inorganic chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. Chatterjee, S. Banerjee, R. D. Jana, S. Bhattacharya, B. Chakraborty and S. A. V. Jannuzzi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1039/D0DT03316B.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/dalton

View Article Online

View Journal

Tuning the Stereoelectronic Factors of Iron(II)-2-Aminophenolate Complexes for the Reaction with Dioxygen: Oxygenolytic C–C Bond Cleavage vs Oxidation of Complex

Dr. Sayanti Chatterjee, *^[a,b] Dr. Sridhar Banerjee, ^[a] Rahul Dev Jana, Dr. Shrabanti Bhattacharya, Dr. Biswarup Chakraborty^[a,c] and Sergio Augusto Venturinelli Jannuzzi^[b]

- [a] Dr. S. Chatterjee, Dr. S. Banerjee, Dr. S. Bhattacharya, R. D. Jana, Dr. B. Chakraborty School of Chemical Sciences,
 Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science
 2A & 2B Raja S. C. Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700032, India
- [b] Dr. S. Chatterjee, Dr. Sergio Augusto Venturinelli Jannuzzi (present address) Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion Stiftstr, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany
- [c] Dr. B. Chakraborty (present address)
 Department of Chemistry,
 Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India

E-mail: sayantichatterjee14@gmail.com

Abstract: Oxidative C–C bond cleavage of 2-aminolphenols mediated by transition metals and dioxygen is a topic of great interest. While oxygenolytic C-C bond cleavage reaction relies on the inherent redox noninnocent property of 2-aminophenols, metal complexes of 2-aminophenolates often undergo 1e⁻/2e⁻ oxidation events (metal or ligand oxidation) instead of direct addition of O₂ for subsequent C–C bond cleavage. In this work, we report the isolation characterization and dioxygen reactivity of a series of ternary iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(X)]$ where X = 2-amino-4-tert-butylphenolate (4-tBu-HAP); (1), X = 2-amino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenolate (4,6-di-tBu-HAP); (2), X = 2-amino-4nitrophenolate (4-NO₂-HAP); (3), and X = 2-anilino-4,6-di-tBu-phenolate (NH-Ph-4,6-di-tBu-HAP); (4) supported by a facial tridentate nitrogen donor ligand (Tp^{Ph,Me} = hydrotris(3-phenyl-5-methylpyrazol-1yl)borate). Another facial N₃ ligand (Tp^{Ph2} = hydrotris(3,5-diphenyl-pyrazol-1-yl)borate) has been used to isolate an iron(II)-2-anilino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenolate complex (5) for comparison. Both [(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4tBu-HAP)] (1) and $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4,6-di-tBu-HAP)]$ (2) undergo regioselective oxidative aromatic ring fission reaction of the coordinated 2-aminophenols to corresponding 2-picolinic acids in the reaction with dioxygen. In contrast, complex $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4-NO_2-HAP)]$ (3) displays metal based oxidation to form an iron(III)-2-amidophenolate complex. Complexes [(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP)] (4) and [(Tp^{Ph2})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP)] (5) react with dioxygen to undergo 2e⁻ oxidation with the formation of the corresponding iron(III)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonato radical species implicating the importance of – NH_2 group in directing the C–C bond cleavage reactivity of 2-aminophenols. The systematic study presented in this work unfolds the effect of electronic and structural properties of the redox non-innocent 2-aminophenolate ring and of the supporting ligand, to influence the C–C bond cleavage reactivity vs metal/ligand oxidation of complex. The study further reveals that proper modulation of stereo-electronic factors enable to design a well synchronised proton transfer (PT) and dioxygen binding events for complexes **1** and **2**, that mimic the structure and function of the nonheme enzyme 2-aminophenol-1,6-dioxygenase (APD).

Introduction

Oxidative C–C bond cleavage reaction is a key metabolic step in the biodegradation of toxic and xenobiotic compounds.^[1-3] The resulting ring cleavage products are used by soil bacteria as the only source of energy and carbon in nature, which in turn is critical to maintain the global carbon cycle.^[1] Ring cleaving dioxygenases constitute a large family of mononuclear nonheme enzymes which are involved in the aerobic degradation of aromatic compounds such as *ortho*-hydroxy phenols (catechols) or *o*-aminophenols or gentisic acid to aliphatic products in the soil with incorporation of both atoms of dioxygenases and extradiol catechol dioxygenases.^[6-7] 2-Aminophenol-1,6-dioxygenase (APD), isolated and purified from *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* JS45, is a ring cleaving enzyme in a small group of nonheme extradiol type dioxygenases, and is responsible for the C–C bond cleavage of 2-aminophenols (Scheme 1).^[8]



Scheme 1. Reactions catalyzed by nonheme enzyme APD and HAD.

In the catalytic cycle of the C–C bond cleavage pathway of the enzyme 2-aminophenol-1,6-dioxygenase (APD) the C1–C6 bond adjacent to the -OH group is cleaved^[9-10] in the presence of dioxygen.^[11-12] The C–C cleavage product, 2-aminomuconic acid semialdehyde, spontaneously loses a water molecule to form 2-picolinic acid (Scheme 1).^[13] A related enzyme, 3-hydroxyanthranilate-3,4-dioxygenase (HAD), isolated from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, catalyzes the ring opening of 3-hydroxyanthranilate to quinolinic acid in the tryptophan catabolism pathway.^[9] Structural studies reveal that the active sites of HAD^[14-16] and APD^[17] contain an iron(II) center coordinated by the "2-His-1-Glu facial triad" motif.^[6, 18] 2-aminophenol/ 3-hydroxyanthranilic acid substrates bind to the iron(II) center in a bidentate mode to initiate the aromatic ring cleavage reaction by activating dioxygen. On the basis of structural and biochemical studies on HAD and APD, a mechanistic proposal similar to that of extradiol catechol dioxygenases has been proposed.^[9] The substrate binds to the ferrous ion (**A**) and the enzyme-substrate complex (**B**) activates dioxygen to form initially an iron(III)-superoxide intermediate, which receives an electron from the redox non-innocent 2-aminophenolate unit to form an iron(II)-superoxide diradical species (**C**) (Scheme 2). An

iron(II)-peroxide intermediate (**D**) is subsequently generated by attack of the iron(II)-superoxo on the ligand based radical. The peroxide moiety then undergoes O–O bond heterolysis, to form a lactone intermediate (**E**). One oxygen atom from peroxide unit is incorporated into the lactone ring. Hydrolysis of the lactone affords the C–C bond cleavage product, 2-amino muconic acid semialdehyde, which is then converted to 2-picolinic acid through a nonenzymatic pathway (Scheme 2).^[19]



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the catalytic cycle of APD.

The oxidative transformation of 2-aminophenol to 2-picolinic acid by molecular oxygen has attracted the attention of biomimetic chemists to develop synthetic models of 2-aminophenol dioxygenase. Moreover, similar to catechols, *o*-aminophenols are well-known "redox non-innocent" ligands ^[20-23] and are stabilized in different redox states upon binding with suitable metal ions (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Redox non-innocence behavior of 2-aminophenols.

Reports of several iron(II) complexes coordinated by the non-innocent *o*-aminophenolate ligands in different redox states have been published by Wieghardt and coworker.^[21-22, 24-28] In biomimetic chemistry, dioxygen reactivity of model iron(II)-2-aminophenolate is less explored compared to the catecholate complexes.^[6] Paine's group reported the O₂-dependent C–C bond cleavage reactivity of iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes supported by neutral N₄ donor ligands. ^[29-30] The complexes have been shown to react with dioxygen under ambient conditions to afford substituted 2-picolinic acids mimicking the

Page 3 of 23

function of 2-aminophenol dioxygenases (APD and HAD).^[29-30] These model complexes provide insights into the mechanism of aromatic ring cleavage of 2-aminophenols. Subsequently, Paine group developed catalytic models of 2-aminophenol dioxygenases using neutral tridentate^[31] and tetradentate ligands.^[32] The role of second sphere residue^[33] as well as pH of the reaction solution in controlling the catalytic reactivity and selectivity has been proposed. However, the reported catalytic model of 2-aminophenol dioxygenase with tridentate ligand was not structurally characterized.^[31] In fact, there is no report of structurally characterized iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complex that closely mimics the active site structure of APD and at the same time displays C–C cleavage activity. Monoanionic tris(pyrazolyl)borates are often used as bioinspired N3 donor ligands to mimic the '2-His-1-carboxylate facial triad' found in the active sites of many dioxygen activating nonheme mono-iron enzymes.^[34-43] Since APD and HAD belong to the superfamily of nonheme iron enzymes with the '2-His-1-carboxylate' facial triad, tris(pyrazolyl)borates could be appropriate ligands to develop biomimetic models of these enzymes. Fiedler's group reported the synthesis and characterization of iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes with the monoanionic Tp^{Ph2} ligand (Tp^{Ph2} = hydrotris(3,5-diphenyl-pyrazol-1-yl)borate) and neutral ^{Ph2}TIP tris(4,5-diphenyl-1methylimidazol-2-yl)phosphine ligand.^[44-46] The iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complex, supported by the Tp^{Ph2} ligand, when oxidized chemically using one electron oxidant, led to the isolation of an iron(II)-2iminobenzosemiquinone radical intermediate with S = 3/2 spin state.^[44] The radical species has relevance to an intermediate proposed in the catalytic cycle of APD. Although the dioxygen reactivity of the Tp^{Ph2} supported iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complex was not reported, the electronic structure of the intermediate provided mechanistic information on the catalytic cycle of the enzyme.^[44] On the other hand the dioxygen reactivity of the neutral ^{Ph2}TIP ligand led to the 2e⁻ oxidation process, to form [(^{Ph2}TIP)Fe^{III}(4,6di-tBu- ISQ]⁺, where ISQ is 2-iminobenzosemiquinone. Similar 2e- oxidation events of 2-aminophenol on iron complexes of a monoanionic N3C donor ligands have been reported by Paine and co-workers. The low-spin complexes do not exhibit aromatic C–C bond cleavage reactions.^[47-48] These studies reveal that difference in electronic structure of the biomimetic complexes with change in ligand backbones apparently controls reactivity, whether a simple $1e^{-2e^{-1}}$ oxidation event or direct addition of O₂ to form C-C bond cleavage reaction occurs on the biomimetic iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes.^[30, 44, 46] Recently Fiedler and coworkers have reported the dioxygen reactivity of pentacoordinate cobalt(II)-2aminophenolate complexes, [Co(Tp^{Me2})(4,6-di-tBu-HAP)] and [Co(Tp^{Ph2})(4,6-di-tBu-HAP)].^[49] Both the Co(II)-4,6-di-tBu-HAP complexes exhibit hydrogen atom transfer reactivity (HAT) at room temperature upon exposure to dioxygen to form the corresponding (Tp^{R2})Co^{II}-2-iminobenzosemiquinone species. However, at low temperature (-70° C) the [Co(Tp^{R2})(tBu₂APH)] complex supported by the Tp^{Me2} ligand backbone reacts with dioxygen to form an oxygen adduct which was identified as the Co^{III}-superoxo species. The Tp^{Ph2} supported Co^{II-}4,6-di-tBu-HAP complex on the other hand is unreactive towards dioxygen at lower temperature suggesting the fact that sterics can modulate the energetics of oxygen binding. Interestingly, the cobalt(II)-2-iminosemibenzoquinone intermediate supported by the Tp^{Me2} ligand further reacts with dioxygen at reduced temperature to form a Co^{III}-alkylperoxo intermediate bound to the substituted iminobenzoquinone ligand. The observed Co^{III}-superoxo as well as the Co^{III}alkylperoxo species mimic the proposed intermediates in the enzymatic cycle.^[9] In fact the crystal structure of Co^{III}-alkylperoxo resembles the iron-alkylperoxo intermediate reported by Lipscomb in a crystal structure of catechol dioxygenase HPCD.^[50] Unfortunately none of these synthetic oxygen intermediates led to C-C bond cleavage of substituted 2-aminophenol, in contrast to the enzymatic system. Thus tuning of stereoelectronic properties of the supporting ligand and 2-aminophenol substrates is one of the key parameters that may be given due consideration in developing models of APD.



Chart 1. Facial N3 ligands and substituted 2-aminophenols used in this work.

With this background, we have explored in this work the dioxygen reactivity of a series of iron(II)-2aminophenolate complexes [$(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(X)$] (X = 2-amino-4-*tert*-butylphenolate (4-tBu-HAP); (1), X = 2amino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenolate (4,6-di-tBu-HAP); (2), X = 2-amino-4-nitrophenolate (4-NO₂-HAP); (3), and X = 2-anilino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenolate (NH-Ph-4,6-di-tBu-HAP); (4) of the facial tridentate nitrogen ligand (Tp^{Ph,Me} = hydrotris(3-phenyl-5-methylpyrazol-1-yl)borate) (Chart 1). Another facial N₃ ligand (Tp^{Ph2} = hydrotris(3,5-diphenyl-pyrazol-1-yl)borate) (Chart 1) has also been utilized to isolate the corresponding iron(II)-2-anilino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenolate complex (5). We have envisioned that the dioxygen activation and subsequent aromatic ring cleavage activity of substituted 2-aminophenols could be controlled via fine-tuning of the supporting ligand as well as controlling the electron density on the aromatic ring of 2aminophenols. The structural tuning of the monoanionic tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligand allows us not only to design close structural mimics but also reveal the functional activity of 2-aminophenol dioxygenases. By using the Tp^{Ph,Me} = hydrotris(3-phenyl-5-methylpyrazol-1-yl)borate, which is sterically less congested and at the same time has proven superior activity compared to the Tp^{Ph2} backbone in biomimetic chemistrv^[51] we expected a different reactivity pattern of the corresponding iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes toward dioxygen. A comparative study of the dioxygen reactivity of the iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes is presented in this work. The results of this study shed light on the effect of the supporting ligand and of the substituted 2-aminophenolate in directing the course of reactivity towards oxygenolytic C-C bond cleavage reactivity vs oxidation of the iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes (1 or 2 electron metal and/ or ligand oxidation).

Results and Discussion

The iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes [($Tp^{Ph,Me}$)Fe^{II}(4-*t*Bu-HAP)] (1), [($Tp^{Ph,Me}$)Fe^{II}(4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP)] (2) and [($Tp^{Ph,Me}$)Fe^{II}(4-NO₂-HAP)] (3), were synthesized by mixing equimolar amounts of ligand ($KTp^{Ph,Me}$), iron(II) chloride, sodium salt of respective 2-aminophenol in dichloromethane-methanol solvent mixture at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere (Scheme 4). The complexes [($Tp^{Ph,Me}$)Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di*t*Bu-HAP)] (4) and [(Tp^{Ph_2})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP)] (5) were isolated from the reaction of the respective ligand ($Tp^{Ph,Me}$ for 4 and Tp^{Ph_2} for 5) with iron(II) salt (iron chloride for 4 and iron perchlorate for 5) and 2anilino-4,6-di-*tert*butylphenol in the presence of triethylamine as a base. Complexes 4 and 5 can also be synthesized by the reaction of respective ligand ($Tp^{Ph,Me}$ for 4 and Tp^{Ph_2} for 5) with iron(II)-chloride, and sodium salt of 2-anilino-4,6-di-*tert*butylphenol in dichloromethane-methanol solvent mixture at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere (Scheme 4). Room temperature magnetic moment values ranging between 4.89-4.86 μ_B indicate the high-spin nature of the complexes (Experimental Section, SI). The ¹H NMR spectra of the complexes show relatively sharp, well-resolved and paramagnetically shifted resonances comparable to other high-spin iron(II) complexes of $Tp^{P,R'}$ ligands (SI).^[40, 43, 51-53]



Scheme 4. Syntheses of iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes.

Crystal Structures of 1, 2, and 5

Complexes **1**, **2** and **5** were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The X-ray structures reveal that each of the neutral iron complexes (**1** and **2**) contains a five-coordinate center coordinated by one Tp^{Ph,Me} ligand and one monoanionic 2-aminophenolate. Three nitrogen donors from the ligand (Tp^{Ph,Me}) coordinate facially to the iron center and the remaining two sites are occupied by the bidentate 2-aminophenolates (Figure 1). The average Fe–N distance of all the three complexes is comparable to that of reported high-spin iron(II) complexes tris(pyrazollyborate) ligands (Table S3 and S4, SI).^[43-44, 51, 54-55] In the five-coordinate complexes, two pyrazole nitrogens from the supporting ligand and the phenolate oxygen from 2-aminophenolate unit form the equatorial plane while the amine nitrogen of 2-

aminophenol and one pyrazole nitrogen of the ligand occupies the axial positions giving rise to distorted trigonal bipyramidal ($\tau = 0.63$ for **1** and $\tau = 0.69$ for **2**) coordination geometry at the iron center (Figure 1). The C–C bond distances of the coordinated 2-aminophenolate ring in both **1** and **2** are similar to those of Tp^{Ph2}Fe^{II}(4,6-di-*tert*-butylaminophenolate) reported by Fiedler and coworkers^[44] supporting the aromatic nature of the 2-aminophenolate ring (Table S3, SI).



Figure 1. Molecular structures of the neutral complexes, (a)[$(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4-tBu-HAP)$] (1) and (b) [$(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4,6-di-tBu-HAP)$] (2). All hydrogen atoms except those on N7, and B1 have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2. Molecular structures of [(Tp^{Ph2})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP)] (**5**). All hydrogen atoms except those on N7, and B1 have been omitted for clarity.

In the case of complex 5 (Figure 2), a five-coordinate iron(II) center coordinated by one Tp^{Ph2} ligand and one monoanionic NHPh-2-aminophenolate unit is observed. The 2-aminophenolate oxygen O1 binds to the iron center trans to the pyrazole nitrogen N3 with the O1-Fe1-N3 angle of 131.46(5)° (Table S4, SI). The nitrogen atom N7 from 2-aminophenolate unit occupies an axial position and is trans to the pyrazole nitrogen N5 with the N7–Fe1–N5 angle of 161.55(5)°. The oxygen atom O1 from 2-aminophenolate and two nitrogen donors (N3 and N1) from the ligand form the equatorial plane of the distorted trigonal bipyramidal complex ($\tau = 0.50$).^[55] Compared to complexes **1** and **2**, the distortion in **5** toward trigonal bipyramid is less. The C-C bond distances in the coordinated 2-aminophenolate moiety indicate the retention of aromatic character without any quinonoid distortion (Table S4, SI). Although the X-ray diffraction quality single crystals could not be isolated for $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-tBu-HAP)]$ (4), the structure and binding motif of **4** is expected to be very similar to that of $[(Tp^{Ph_2})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-tBu-$ HAP)] (5) having a coordinated 2-anilino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenolate. The spectroscopic and analytical data of **3** suggest the complex to be mononuclear with five-coordinate geometry at the iron(II) center similar to that in 1 and 2. The binding mode of the 2-aminophenolate complexes (1) and (2) at the iron(II) centre bears similarity with the reported crystal structure of APD from *Comamonas* sp. strain CNB-1.^[14-17] However, unlike the enzymatic system which has an anionic NNO facial environment around the metal centre, the complexes (1 and 2) reported here have anionic NNN facial environment and thus serve as close structural mimics of 2-aminophenol dioxygenase.

Reactions of Iron(II)-2-Aminophenolate Complexes with O₂

Dioxygen reactivity of 1 and 2 : The iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complex $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4+Bu-HAP)]$ (1) reacts with O₂ at room temperature, with the formation of two charge-transfer bands at 618 nm and 807 nm within 1 min (Figure 3). The ESI-mass spectrum of the solution shows a molecular ion peak at m/z = 702.3 with the isotope distribution patterns calculated for $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe(4+Bu-AP)]^{+}$. (Figure 3 inset). The X-band EPR spectrum of the reaction solution at this point exhibits a rhombic signal at g = 4.3 typical of a high-spin iron(III) complex (Figure S6, SI).^[29-30] In the presence of dioxygen, complex **1** is oxidized to its iron(III)-2-amidophenolate form (**1**⁰x). In the next step of the reaction, the band at 618 nm shifts to 660 nm and the band at 807 nm shifts to lower energy to generate a broad band at 900 nm within 2 min (Figure 3b). The time-dependent EPR spectrum suggests the formation of a ligand-based radical (g = 1.99) along with a rhombic signal at g = 4.3 (Figure S6, SI).^[29-30] In the final step, the bands at 660 nm and 900 nm slowly decay over a period of 2 h to form a brown-green solution (Figure 3b). The final EPR solution exhibits no radical signature, but the rhombic signal at g = 4.3 remains (Figure S6, SI). The ESI-mass spectrum of the final oxidized solution shows an ion signal at m/z = 718.3 with the isotope distribution patterns calculated for $[(Tp^{Ph, Me})Fe(4-tert-butyl-2-picolinate)+H]^+$ (Figure 3b, inset) which shifts by two mass units in the presence of ¹⁸O₂ (Figure S9, SI).



Figure 3. Time-dependent optical spectral changes of **1** (0.5 mM in dichloromethane, 298 K) during the reaction with dioxygen (a) within 1 min. Inset: ESI-MS of the solution formed after 1 min.(b) during the reaction with dioxygen after 1 min. Inset: ESI-MS of the final oxidized solution.

Exposure of a dichloromethane solution of $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4,6-ditBu-HAP)]$ **2** to dioxygen at room temperature immediately results in the formation of a greenish-blue solution. The colour change associated with the reaction of **2** with dioxygen can be monitored by optical spectral change, which indicated a multistep reaction. At first, the greenish blue solution exhibits a broad band at 650 nm typical of iron(III)-2-amidophenolate complexes (Figure 4a).^[30, 47] The X-band EPR spectrum of **2** at 77 K in dichloromethane-toluene glass shows a rhombic signal at g = 4.3 typical of high-spin iron(III) complexes (Figure 5, right).^[30] Thus, complex **2** directly converts to its iron(III)-2-amidophenolate (**2**^{0x}) form unlike the iron(II)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonate intermediate formed with Tp^{Ph2} supporting ligand.^[44] However in a subsequent step, a new band appears near 898 nm which decays over a period of 2 h (Figure 4b) and consequently a sharp signal at g = 1.99 is observed presumably due to the formation of a ligand-based radical species in solution (Figure 5, right).^[30] Notably, the intensity of the EPR signal at g = 1.99 slowly diminishes as the reaction further proceeds. The final step is a slow process where the initial charge-transfer band at 650 nm slowly decays over a period of 5 h to form a greenish brown solution (Figure 5, left). The final solution displays a rhombic EPR signal at g = 4.3 due to the formation of a high-spin iron(III) species (Figure 5, right).

Interestingly simulation of the EPR spectra of the time dependent dioxygen reactivity study for both complex **1** and **2** revealed a significant change in the zero-field splitting (|D|) (See SI, Table S1). For paramagnetic systems with S \geq 1, a zero-field splitting (ZFS) can often be observed which arises from dipolar and spin–orbit couplings, causing differences among energy levels in the absence of an external

magnetic field. There is still a limited understanding on how ZFS parameters relate to the geometric and electronic structures of transition metals compounds, including how metal–ligand bonding affects ZFS.^[56-57] However studies from Neese and coworkers have revealed a correlation between the increase of D with the spectrochemical series showing a decrease of the ligand field strength.^[57] Looking at our zero-field splitting values for the S = 5/2 spin system, from the simulated EPR spectra it may be qualitatively predicted, that compared to the iron(III)-2-aminophenolate species (1^{Ox} and 2^{Ox}), binding of dioxygen changes the coordination geometry and decrease the |D| value. In the final stage of the reaction, complexes show significant increase in the |D| value, in line with the fact that compared to strongly bound 2-aminophenolate ligand, C-C bond cleavage results in weakly coordinating iron(III)-2-picolinate complexes, thereby increasing the zero-field splitting.



Figure 4. Time-dependent optical spectral changes of **2**, (0.5 mM in dichloromethane) during the reaction with dioxygen at room temperature. a) Step 1 and b) Step 2. Inset: Plot of absorbance vs time(s).



Figure 5. (Left)Time dependent optical spectral changes of 2 (0.5 mM in dichloromethane) during the reaction with dioxygen at room temperature (Step 3). Inset: ESI-MS of the final oxidized solution of 2 after reaction with dioxygen. (Right) X-band EPR spectral changes with time during the reaction of 2 with dioxygen in dichloromethane-toluene glass at 77 K. The red traces show the simulated EPR spectra. For detailed simulation parameters see Table S1.

Analyses of organic products from 1 and 2 and Labelling experiments: The final oxidised products obtained from the reaction of **1** with dioxygen was analysed by ¹H NMR spectrum after acidic work-up, maintaining the pH and extraction in diethyl ether and quantification using 1,4-benzoquinone as internal standard, following the literature procedure.^[29] A quantitative transformation of 2-amino-4-*tert*-butylphenol to 4-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinic acid was observed in 2.5 h (Scheme 5, Figure S10, see SI for details).^[29] No starting material, 2-amino-4-tert-butylphenol was detected. The ESI-MS of the reaction solution after work up shows peak at m/z = 180.21 which corresponds to the distribution pattern calculated for [4-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinic acid +H⁺] (Figure S7, SI). The GC-mass spectrum of organic product (2-picolinic acid was esterified with diazomethane, see SI) shows distinct ion peak at m/z = 193 with the expected fragmentation patterns for methyl-4-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinate (Figure S8a, SI). The ion peak at m/z at 193 is shifted to 195 when the reaction is performed with ¹⁸O₂ supporting the origin of oxygen atoms from dioxygen (Figure S8b, SI).

The oxidized products derived from **2** were similarly extracted into organic solvent after acid treatment, following the literature procedure,^[30-31] and the organic products were analyzed by ESI-MS, GC-MS and quantified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,4-benzoquinone as internal standard (see SI). The ¹H NMR spectrum derived from the dioxygen reactivity of **2**, reveals 2-amino-4,6-di-*tert*-butyphenol quantitatively transforms to a mixture of C–C bond cleavage products, 4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2*H*-pyran-2-one (36%) and 4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinic acid (64%) (Scheme 5, Figure S13).^[30-31] The ESI-MS shows distinct ion peaks at *m/z* = 209.21 which corresponds to the distribution pattern calculated for [4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2*H*-pyran-2-one + H]⁺ and at *m/z* = 236.35 and 258.25 for [4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinate + H]⁺ and [4

picolinate + Na]⁺, respectively (Figure S11, SI). The GC-mass spectrum of organic products (2-picolinic acid was esterified with diazomethane) shows two distinct ion peaks at m/z = 208 and 249 with the expected fragmentation patterns of 4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2*H*-pyran-2-one and methyl-4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinate (Figure S12a, SI). When the reaction is carried out with ¹⁸O₂, the ion peak at m/z = 208 is shifted two mass unit higher to m/z = 210 and the peak at m/z = 249 is shifted to m/z = 251 (Figure S12b, SI). The labeling experiment confirms the incorporation of one ¹⁸O atom into each of the cleavage products.

Thus, both the substituted iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes (**1** and **2**) react with dioxygen to quantitatively form the C–C bond cleavage product of the corresponding 2-aminophenols. For iron(II)-2-amino-4-*tert*-butylphenolate complex (**1**), 4-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinic acid is observed as the sole C–C bond cleavage product after the reaction with molecular oxygen. On the other hand, the reaction of iron(II)-2-amino-4,6-di-*tert*-butylphenolate complex (**2**) with oxygen results in a mixture of 4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2H-pyran-2-imine and 4,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2-picolinic acid. However, in both the cases, the products are found to be regioselective and are resulted from the C1–C6 bond cleavage of the respective 2-aminophenols upon dioxygen activation (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5. Aromatic ring cleavage products of substituted 2-aminophenolates during the reaction of **1** and **2** with dioxygen.

Dioxygen Reactivity of **3**: For the -NO₂ substituted 2-aminophenol, complex $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4-NO_2-HAP)]$ (**3**), the light yellow solution in dichloromethane rapidly transforms to a greenish-blue species (**3a**) upon exposure to dioxygen. During the reaction, two broad bands at 530 nm and 720 nm, characteristic of LMCT transitions of iron(III)-2-amidophenolate species (Figure 6), are generated over a period of 2h. The X-band EPR spectrum of the final oxidized solution exhibits an intense rhombic signal at g = 4.3. (Figure S14, SI). Similar to the iron(II)-catecholate complexes,^[38, 55] iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes are converted to the corresponding iron(III)-2-amidophenolate species (Scheme 6). The intensity and position of the ligand-to-metal charge-transfer bands of **3a** remains unchanged even after several hours under oxygen atmosphere. Analyses of organic product by ¹H NMR after acidic work-up of the bluish-green solution

reveal that $4-NO_2$ -aminophenol is quantitatively recovered without formation of any C–C cleavage product.



Scheme 6. Reaction of $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(4-NO_2-HAP)]$ (3) with dioxygen.



Figure 6. Optical spectral changes with time during the reaction of **3** (0.5 mM solution in dichloromethane) with dioxygen at 298 K. Inset: Plot of absorbance vs time.

Role of -NH₂ group in C-C bond cleavage reactivity of Iron(II)-2-aminophenolate Complexes

Both the iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes **4** and **5** with -NH-Ph groups instead of -NH₂ are sensitive towards dioxygen in solution. The light yellow solution of $[(Tp^{Ph,Me})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-tBu-HAP)]$ (**4**) in dichloromethane rapidly transforms to greenish-blue species (**4a**) upon exposure to dioxygen. Initially two broad peaks at 680 nm and 800 nm are generated rapidly whose feature and absorbance match with the reported iron(II)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonate species.^[44]. However, the two broad peaks merge extremely fast and during subsequent course of reaction of **4** with dioxygen, two prominent bands at 440

nm and 750 nm (Figure 7) generate over a period of 4 h. Time dependent EPR spectrum reveals the formation of an intense rhombic signal at g = 4.3 for a high-spin iron(III) species after 5 min. However, with time, the intensity of the g = 4.3 signal decreases and the final oxidized solution after 4h becomes EPR silent (Figure S15, SI). The intensity and position of optical transition of **4a** remain unchanged even after several hours under oxygen atmosphere. No oxidative C–C bond cleavage of 2-anilino-4,6-di*tert*butylphenol is observed in the reaction of **4** with dioxygen. Thus, in the presence of dioxygen, complex **4** undergoes two electron oxidation on the redox non-innocent 2-aminophenol to form an EPR silent iron(III)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonate species (Scheme 7, Figure S15, SI).^[32, 46-48] However, attempts to crystallize the final oxidized solution were unsuccessful.



Figure 7. Optical spectral changes of **4** (0.5 mM in dichloromethane) during the reaction with dioxygen at 298 K. Inset: Plot of absorbance vs time.

Similar to complex **4**, complex $[(Tp^{Ph2})Fe^{II}(NH-Ph-4,6-di-tBu-HAP)]$ (**5**) is reactive towards dioxygen. However changing the ligand to Tp^{Ph2} , from $Tp^{Ph,Me}$, enables to monitor three distinct oxidation steps, as evident from the optical spectrum. On exposure to dioxygen, the yellow solution of **5** immediately turns green. Three charge transfer transitions at 510 nm, 680 nm and 850 nm arise within 3 min (Figure 8). The X-band EPR spectrum of the oxidized solution at this point shows an intense rhombic signal at g = 4.3 (Figure S16, SI). The spectral data suggests the formation of an iron(III)-2-amidophenolate species. During the next steps of reaction under oxygen atmosphere, the charge-transfer transitions at 680 nm and 850 nm decay over a period of 3h to form a single broad band at around 800 nm (Figure 8). The molar extinction coefficient and position of the optical transition after 3h bear resemblance to that of the optical spectrum of the chemically one electron oxidized form of $[Tp^{Ph2}Fe^{II}(2-amino-4,6-di-tert-butylphenoI)]$ i.e an iron(II)-2- iminobenzosemiquinonate species reported by Fiedler et.al.^[44]



Figure 8. Optical spectral changes of **5** (0.5 mM in dichloromethane) during the reaction with dioxygen at room temperature over a period of (a) 2 min.(Step 1) and (b) over a period of 3 h (Step 2).

However, X-band EPR spectrum at 77 K reveals signals at g = 1.99 possibly due to the presence of a ligandbased radical species in solution along with a small peak at g = 4.3 (Figure S16, SI).^[30] No peak corresponding to the S = 3/2 spin state was observed at 77 K. Attempts to isolate the species formed at this point, lead to the isolation of greenish blue crystals, which were of extreme poor quality for exact structure determination. Finally, the broad band at 800 nm undergoes blue-shift to 750 nm and slowly increases in intensity over a period of 7 h (Figure 9) and remain stable for several days. The final oxidized solution is EPR silent. ^[32, 46-48] Such stable UV-Vis peak at the higher wavelength region of around 750 nm with a molar absorption coefficient of approx. 4000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ is very characteristic for the literature reported iron(III)-2-iminobenzosemiquinone species.^[44, 46] Reports from Paine and coworkers as well Fiedler and coworkers have revealed such iron(III)-iminobenzosemiquinone species to be EPR silent likely due to the coupling between iron(III) and iminobenzosemiquinone ligand based radical, making it overall an integer spin EPR silent species. ^[32, 46-48] The iron(II)-2-anilino-4,6-di-*tert*-butylphenolate complex thus undergoes two electron oxidation via its iron(II)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonate form. No oxidative C–C bond cleavage of 2-anilino-4,6-di-*tert*butylphenol was observed in the reaction of **5** with dioxygen.



Figure 9. Optical spectral changes of **5** (0.5 mM in dichloromethane) during the reaction with dioxygen at 298 K over a period of 7 h (Step 3).

Despite sufficient electron density on the aromatic ring for 2-anilino-4,6-di-*tert*-butylphenol in complexes **4** and **5**, they undergo two electron oxidation on the redox non-innocent ligand ^[44, 47] instead of showing C–C bond cleavage reactivity. This demonstrates the importance of $-NH_2$ group in substituted 2-aminophenols in displaying C–C bond cleavage reactions. The Fe(II)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonato species (H') reacts with dioxygen and lack of $-NH_2$ group directs the course of reactivity towards further oxidation on the metal center to form Fe(III)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonate complex (I)^[44, 46] and no further reaction with dioxygen occurs (Scheme 7). However, Mukherjee and coworkers have shown an example of an iron complex of 2-aminophenol-appended supporting ligand where reaction with dioxygen resulted in a dimeric iron(III) μ_2 oxo-bridged complex coordinated by a furan derivative in each metal unit, which was proposed to be formed as a result of the oxidative aromatic C–C bond cleavage product of 2-aminophenol.^[58]



Scheme 7. Proposed mechanism for the reaction of 4 and 5 with dioxygen.

Discussion and proposed C-C bond cleavage mechanism of iron(II)-2-aminohenolate complexes

For iron(II)-catecholate model complexes, an outer sphere one electron oxidation of iron(II)-catecholate to iron(III)-catecholate species have been reported by Que and Moro-oka.^[6, 38] The activation of dioxygen is initiated at the iron(II)-semiguinone intermediate (a redox isomer of iron(III)-catecholate) to form an iron(III)-alkylperoxo intermediate for C–C bond cleavage of catechol. Paine and coworkers reported the first functional model of 2-aminophenol dioxygenases supported by a tetradentate tripodal ligand system that undergo C–C bond cleavage via an iron(III)-2-amidophenolate species.^[29-30] The reactivity (and stability) of the intermediate, which directs the course of aromatic ring cleavage reaction, was reported to be dependent on the nature of ring substituent on 2-aminophenols.^[30] Fiedler and co-workers have isolated and structurally characterized an iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complex,^[44-46] [(Tp^{Ph2})Fe^{II}(4,6-di-tertbutylaminophenol)], which was converted to an iron(II)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonato radical species upon one-electron chemical oxidation. On the basis of our experimental observations and literature precedents, it is proposed that complexes **1**, **2** and **3** with Tp^{Ph,Me} as supporting ligand react with dioxygen to undergo one-electron oxidation to form an iron(III)-2-amidophenolate species in the first step (Scheme 6 and Scheme 8). The presence of an electron withdrawing nitro group at the 4-position on the 2aminophenolate ring in 3 makes it unreactive towards dioxygen. Only electron transfer via an outer sphere mechanism operates and so complex 3 becomes stable in its iron(III)-2-amidophenolate (3a) form and does not reacts further with dioxygen (Scheme 6).^[30] However, incorporation of electron donating groups on the aromatic ring of 2-aminophenol (tert-butyl) for complex 1 and 2 results in oxygenolytic aromatic ring cleavage reaction. The proper steric geometry provided by the Tp^{Ph,Me} ligand along with appropriate electron density on the aromatic ring of 2-aminophenols trigger the iron(II)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonato species (E), a redox isomer of iron(III)-2-amidophenolate species (E') from 1 and 2 to react with dioxygen and generate an iron(III)-alkyl peroxo intermediate (F). The iron(III)-alkyl peroxo intermediate undergoes alkenyl Criegee rearrangement ultimately leading to regioselective C–C bond cleavage of substituted 2aminophenols (Scheme 8). However, isolation and stabilization of putative species like iron(II)-2iminobenzosemiquinonato, under oxygen environment (unlike chemical oxidation) is extremely challenging. The reported models (1 and 2) undergo regioselective C–C bond cleavage of substituted 2aminophenols at a rate much faster than those reported for tetradentate N₄ system by Paine and coworkers.^[29-30] Whereas complex 1 takes only 2.5 h to undergo C–C bond cleavage of 4-*t*Bu-HAP upon reaction with dioxygen, the corresponding iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complex of the tetradentate ligand 6Me₃TPA takes around 6 h for the reaction. Similarly, there is a three-fold increase in the rate of reaction for C–C bond cleavage of 4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP by complex 2 (7 h) compared to the iron(II)-4,6-di-*t*Bu-HAP complex supported by the 6Me₃TPA ligand (22 h). Though we were unable to isolate any reactive intermediate, it might perhaps not be hyperbolic to term the complexes (1 and 2) as first models simultaneously resembling the structural motif as well as emulating the functional reactivity of 2aminophenol dioxygenases.



Scheme 8. Proposed mechanism for the C–C bond cleavage of 2-aminophenolates by biomimetic iron(II) complexes **1** and **2** using O_2 as the oxidant.

So far from this study and previous literature reports (See Table S5 for a comparative analyses of dioxygen reactivity of reported 2-aminophenol model complexes) it is evident that unlike catechol cleaving dioxygenases, development of functional models of 2-aminophenol dioxygenase is more challenging. It is instructive from both experimental and theoretical studies that differences in the denticity of the

supporting ligand backbone, electronic factors of both the supporting ligands and the substituted 2aminophenols as well as proton shuttle in the reaction medium and probable second sphere residues exhibit remarkable effect on the ring cleaving activity of model 2-aminophenol complexes.[30-32, 59] Whereas [(Tp^{Ph2})Fe^{II}4,6-di-tBu-HAP] or [(TIP^{Ph2})Fe^{II}4,6-di-tBu-HAP] undergoes chemical one-electron oxidation or reaction with dioxygen and perform HAT reaction to form the corresponding Fe^{II}-2iminosemibenzoquinone species, these complexes do not show C-C bond cleavage reactivity of substituted 2-aminophenols, likely due to the steric and/or electronic factors of the supporting ligands.^[49] For the Co^{II}-2-iminosemibenzoquinone species, formed by HAT upon exposure to dioxygen of Tp^{R2}Co^{II}4,6di-tBu-HAP (R2 = Me2 or R2 = Ph2), dioxygen adduct formation was hindered for the sterically bulky Ph2 substituted ligand compared to its Me2 congener, highlighting once again the importance of steric in modulating the energetics of oxygen binding. Thus in this work the success of C-C bond cleavage of substituted 2-aminophenols were governed by both the steric and electronics of the supporting ligand Tp^{Ph,Me} and also the electron density on the 2-aminophenolate ring (reactivity of 4-tBu-H₂AP >4,6-di-tBu- $H_2AP > 4-NO_2-H_2AP$). Furthermore, for iron (II) complexes 4 and 5 group despite having similar coordination motif around the metal centre, lack of -NH₂ group directs the course of reactivity towards two-electron oxidised Fe(III)-2-iminobenzosemiquinonate complex instead of undergoing C-C bond cleavage. Thus a synchronized way of both proton transfer (PT) and O_2 binding along with proper control of stereoelectronic factors are perhaps the key for the oxygenolytic scission of C-C bond of substituted 2aminophenols. The efficient management of these events are well executed in the enzymatic system in harmony with the active site and the conserved second sphere residues.

Conclusion

In conclusion we have synthesized a series of iron(II)-2-aminophenolate complexes supported by tridentate monoanionic facial ligands which are all reactive towards dioxygen. The works presented herein show how electronic and structural properties of 2-aminophenolate rings as well as the supporting ligand influence the C–C bond cleavage of 2-aminophenols and also highlight the role of -NH₂ group in controlling the oxidative C–C bond cleavage reactivity. This work represents the first closest structural mimic of 2-aminophenol dioxygenases which exhibits excellent functional selectivity of the enzyme to regioselectively cleave the C1–C6 bond of substituted 2-aminophenols. Future directions would aim to explore detailed spectroscopic and theoretical calculations to evaluate the subtle changes in geometric and electronic factors which modulate the dioxygen reactivity pathway from one or two electron oxidation events to regioselective C–C bond cleavage of 2-aminophenols in model complexes.

Experimental Section

See supporting information.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the School of Chemical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, India. R.D.J thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India for a research fellowship. Special thanks to Prof. Tapan Kanti Paine for sharing his laboratory space, chemicals and analytical equipment and also for insightful suggestions and critical proofreading of the manuscript.

References

Published on 23 December 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 12/24/2020 4:22:59 PM

- [1] F. H. Vaillancourt, J. T. Bolin, L. D. Eltis, Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2006, 41, 241-267.
- [2] G. Fuchs, M. Boll, J. Heider, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2011, 9, 803-816.
- [3] S. Fetzner, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2012, 78, 2505-2514.
- [4] T. D. H. Bugg, G. Lin, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 941-952.
- [5] S. Harayama, M. Kok, E. L. Neidle, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **1992**, 46, 565-601.
- [6] M. Costas, M. P. Mehn, M. P. Jensen, L. Que, Jr., Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 939-986.
- [7] T. D. H. Bugg, *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 7075-7101.
- [8] U. Lendenmann, J. C. Spain, J. Bacteriol. 1996, 178, 6227 6232.
- [9] K. L. Colabroy, H. Zhai, T. Li, Y. Ge, Y. Zhang, A. Liu, S. E. Ealick, F. W. McLafferty, T. P. Begley, Biochemistry 2005, 44, 7623-7631.
- [10] L. Que, Jr., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1978, 84, 123-129.
- [11] B. E. Haigler, J. C. Spain, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1991**, *57*, 3156-3162.
- [12] C. C. Somerville, S. F. Nishino, J. C. Spain, J. Bacteriol. 1995, 177, 3837-3842.
- [13] Z. He, J. C. Spain, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2000, 25, 25-28.
- [14] I. Dilovic, F. Gliubich, G. Malpeli, G. Zanotti, D. Matkovic-Calogovic, *Biopolymers* **2009**, *91*, 1189-1195.
- [15] X. Li, M. Guo, J. Fan, W. Tang, D. Wang, H. Ge, H. Rong, M. Teng, L. Niu, Q. Liu, Q. Hao, Protein Sci. 2006, 15, 761-773.
- [16] Y. Zhang, K. L. Colabroy, T. P. Begley, S. E. Ealick, *Biochemistry* **2005**, *44*, 7632-7643.
- [17] D.-F. Li, J.-Y. Zhang, Y.-J. Hou, L. Liu, Y. Hu, S.-J. Liu, D.-C. Wang, W. Liu, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2013**, *69*, 32-43.
- [18] P. C. A. Bruijnincx, G. van Koten, K. Gebbink, J. M. Robertus, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 2716-2744.
- [19] K. L. Colabroy, T. P. Begley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 840-841.
- [20] P. Chaudhuri, C. N. Verani, E. Bill, E. Bothe, T. Weyhermueller, K. Wieghardt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 2213-2223.
- [21] A. I. Poddel'sky, V. K. Cherkasov, G. A. Abakumov, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *253*, 291-324.
- [22] H. Chun, C. N. Verani, P. Chaudhuri, E. Bothe, E. Bill, T. Weyhermueller, K. Wieghardt, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 4157-4166.
- [23] D. L. J. Broere, R. Plessius, J. I. van der Vlugt, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 6886-6915.
- [24] H. Chun, E. Bill, E. Bothe, T. Weyhermueller, K. Wieghardt, Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 5091-5099.

- [25] H. Chun, T. Weyhermuller, E. Bill, K. Wieghardt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2489-2492; *Angew. Chem.*, **2001**, *113*, 2552 –2555.
- [26] H. Chun, E. Bill, T. Weyhermueller, K. Wieghardt, *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 5612-5620.
- [27] K. S. Min, T. Weyhermueller, K. Wieghardt, Dalton Trans. 2003, 1126-1132.
- [28] S. Mukherjee, T. Weyhermueller, E. Bill, K. Wieghardt, P. Chaudhuri, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 7099-7108.
- B. Chakraborty, T. K. Paine, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 920-924; Angew. Chem., 2013, 125, 954 –958.
- [30] B. Chakraborty, S. Bhunya, A. Paul, T. K. Paine, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 4899-4912.
- [31] S. Chatterjee, T. K. Paine, *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 1720-1727.
- [32] T. R. Lakshman, S. Chatterjee, B. Chakraborty, T. K. Paine, *Dalton. Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 8835-8844.
- [33] G. Dong, J. Lu, W. Lai, ACS Catalysis **2016**, *6*, 3796-3803.
- [34] E. L. Hegg, L. Que, Jr., *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1997**, *250*, 625-629.
- [35] N. Burzlaff, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 5580-5582; Angew. Chem., **2009**, 121, 5688–5690.
- [36] E. H. Ha, R. Y. N. Ho, J. F. Kisiel, J. S. Valentine, *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 2265-2266.
- [37] S. Hikichi, T. Ogihara, K. Fujisawa, N. Kitajima, M. Akita, Y. Moro-oka, *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 4539-4547.
- [38] T. Ogihara, S. Hikichi, M. Akita, Y. Moro-oka, *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 2614-2615.
- [39] E. L. Hegg, R. Y. N. Ho, L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 1972-1973.
- [40] M. P. Mehn, K. Fujisawa, E. L. Hegg, L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7828-7842.
- [41] T. K. Paine, H. Zheng, L. Que, Jr., *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 474-476.
- [42] A. Mukherjee, M. A. Cranswick, M. Chakrabarti, T. K. Paine, K. Fujisawa, E. Munck, L. Que, Jr., *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 3618-3628.
- [43] R. Rahaman, B. Chakraborty, T. K. Paine, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 13838-13842; *Angew. Chem.,* **2016**, *128*, 14042 –14046.
- [44] M. M. Bittner, S. V. Lindeman, A. T. Fiedler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5460-5463.
- [45] M. M. Bittner, D. Kraus, S. V. Lindeman, C. V. Popescu, A. T. Fiedler, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 9686-9698.
- [46] M. M. Bittner, S. V. Lindeman, C. V. Popescu, A. T. Fiedler, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 4047-4061.
- [47] P. Halder, S. Paria, T. K. Paine, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 11778-11787.
- [48] S. Banerjee, P. Halder, T. K. Paine, *ZAAC*. **2014**, *640*, 1168-1176.
- [49] P. Kumar, S. V. Lindeman, A. T. Fiedler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 10984-10987.
- [50] E. G. Kovaleva, J. D. Lipscomb, *Science* **2007**, *316*, 453-457.
- [51] S. Chatterjee, S. Bhattacharya, T. K. Paine, *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 10160-10169.
- [52] S. Paria, L. Que, T. K. Paine, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11129-11132; Angew. Chem., 2011, 123, 11325-11328.
- [53] D. Sheet, S. Bhattacharya, T. K. Paine, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 7681-7684.
- [54] M. Sallmann, I. Siewert, L. Fohlmeister, C. Limberg, C. Knispel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2234-2237; Angew. Chem., 2012, 124, 2277-2280.
- [55] S. Paria, P. Halder, B. Chakraborty, T. K. Paine, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. A: Inorg., Bio-inorg., Phys., Theor. Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *50A*, 420-426.
- [56] J. Nehrkorn, S. A. Bonke, A. Aliabadi, M. Schwalbe, A. Schnegg, Inorg. Chem. 2019, 58, 14228-14237.
- [57] S. E. Stavretis, M. Atanasov, A. A. Podlesnyak, S. C. Hunter, F. Neese, Z.-L. Xue, *Inorg. Chem.* 2015, 54, 9790-9801.
- [58] G. C. Paul, S. Banerjee, C. Mukherjee, *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 729-736.
- [59] G. J. Christian, S. Ye, F. Neese, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1600-1611.

Dalton Transactions

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript



170x106mm (600 x 600 DPI)