This article was downloaded by: [Ams/Girona*barri Lib] On: 09 October 2014, At: 01:29 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ganp20

Three new glycosides from Hylocereus undatus

Xin Wu $^{a\,b}$, Ying Wang $^{b\,c}$, Xiao-Jun Huang $^{b\,c}$, Chun-Lin Fan $^{b\,c}$, Guo-Cai Wang $^{b\,c}$, Xiao-Qi Zhang $^{b\,c}$, Qin-Wen Zhang d & Wen-Cai Ye $^{a\,b\,c}$

^a Department of Phytochemistry , China Pharmaceutical University , Nanjing, 210009, China

^b Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Natural Products, College of Pharmacy, Jinan University , Guangzhou, 510632, China

^c JNU-HKUST Joint Laboratory for Neuroscience and Innovative Drug Research , Jinan University , Guangzhou, 510632, China

 $^{\rm d}$ Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau , Macau, China

Published online: 13 Jul 2011.

To cite this article: Xin Wu , Ying Wang , Xiao-Jun Huang , Chun-Lin Fan , Guo-Cai Wang , Xiao-Qi Zhang , Qin-Wen Zhang & Wen-Cai Ye (2011) Three new glycosides from Hylocereus undatus , Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 13:8, 728-733, DOI: <u>10.1080/10286020.2011.586944</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2011.586944</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Three new glycosides from *Hylocereus undatus*

Xin Wu^{ab†}, Ying Wang^{bc†}, Xiao-Jun Huang^{bc}, Chun-Lin Fan^{bc}, Guo-Cai Wang^{bc}, Xiao-Qi Zhang^{bc}, Qin-Wen Zhang^d and Wen-Cai Ye^{abc}*

^aDepartment of Phytochemistry, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, China; ^bInstitute of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Natural Products, College of Pharmacy, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China; ^cJNU-HKUST Joint Laboratory for Neuroscience and Innovative Drug Research, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China; ^dInstitute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Macau, China

(Received 1 April 2011; final version received 6 May 2011)

Three new glycosides, undatusides A-C (1–3), and 11 known compounds (4–14) were isolated from the flowers of *Hylocereus undatus*. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data and chemical method.

Keywords: Hylocereus undatus; Cactaceae; undatusides A-C

1. Introduction

The plant Hylocereus undatus (Cactaceae) is widely distributed in the tropical areas of Africa, south America, and south Asia. The fruits of Hylocereus species, known as pitaya or dragon fruit, can be consumed freshly or processed as ingredient in juice, jams, or ice cream. In the southern China, the flowers of H. undatus have been used as food and folk medicine for the treatment of hyperactivity, cough, tuberculosis, mumps, bronchitis, and cervical lymph node tuberculosis for a long time. Previous phytochemical studies on this plant had resulted in the isolation of two triterpenes with microvascular protective activity [1]. As a part of the program to assess the chemical and biological diversity of the medicinal plants in the southern China, we carried out the chemical investigation of the flowers of H. undatus, which led to the isolation of three new glycosides, undatusides A-C (1-3), as well as 11 known compounds

(4-14) (Figure 1). Herein, we report the isolation and structural elucidation of the three new compounds.

2. Results and discussion

The molecular formula of 1 was established as C₁₉H₂₆O₁₀ by the pseudo-molecular ion in its HR-ESI-MS at m/z 437.1423 $[M + Na]^+$. The UV spectrum of 1 showed the absorption maxima at 208 and 251 nm. The IR spectrum implied the presence hydroxyl (3325 cm^{-1}) , carbonyl of (1723 cm^{-1}) , and aromatic ring (1637 and 1455 cm⁻¹). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** indicated the presence of five aromatic protons $[\delta_{\rm H} 7.39 - 7.25 (5H, m)]$, three methylenes [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.85 (1H, d, $J = 12.0 \,{\rm Hz}$), 4.62 (1H, d, J = 12.0 Hz), 2.77 (1H, d, $J = 15.6 \,\mathrm{Hz}$, 2.72 (1H, d, $J = 15.6 \,\mathrm{Hz}$), and 2.67 (2H, s)], and a methyl [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.38 (3H, s)]. Furthermore, the ¹H NMR spectrum displayed the signals due to an anomeric proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.36 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz)] and a

ISSN 1028-6020 print/ISSN 1477-2213 online © 2011 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/10286020.2011.586944 http://www.informaworld.com

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: chywc@yahoo.com.cn

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure 1. Chemical structures of compounds 1-14.

hydroxymethyl group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.48 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 2.0 Hz) and 4.23 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz], as well as proton signals in the region $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.46 – 3.24 (4H, overlapped), suggesting the presence of a sugar moiety. Acid hydrolysis of 1 afforded D-glucose, which was identified by gas chromatography (GC) analysis using an authentic sample as reference. The β-configuration of D-glucose was determined based on the ${}^{3}J_{H1,H2}$ coupling constant (J = 7.5 Hz) of the anomeric proton. The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra of 1 revealed the presence of 19 carbon signals, including two carbonyl groups, a monosubstituted benzene ring, as well as a β -D-glucopyranosyl unit. With the aid of ¹H-¹H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments, all the ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals of **1** were assigned as shown in Table 1.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals of **1** assigned to **1a** were very similar to those of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl (HMG) moiety

[2], indicating that **1** possessed the same substructure, which was further supported by the HMBC correlations between H_2-2'' $[\delta_{\rm H} 2.77 (1 {\rm H}, {\rm d}, J = 15.6 {\rm Hz}) \text{ and } 2.72 (1 {\rm H},$ d, J = 15.6 Hz] and C-4" ($\delta_{\rm C} 45.9$)/3"-CH₃ $(\delta_{\rm C} 27.7)$, between H-4" $[\delta_{\rm H} 2.67 (2 {\rm H}, {\rm s})]$ and C-2" ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 46.3)/3"-CH₃ ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 27.7), as well as between 3"-CH₃ [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.38 (3H, s)] and C-2["] ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 46.3)/C-4["] ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 45.9) (Figure 2). S-configuration for the C-3'' of the HMG moiety was assumed because naturally occurring HMG esters are formed via the acylation of the hydroxyl group with (S)-HMG-CoA [3,4]. Comparison of the NMR spectral data assigned to 1b with those of benzyl-B-D-glucopyranoside (7) suggested that they were very similar, except for the obvious downfield shift of C-6' and upfield shift of C-5', suggesting that the HMG moiety (1a) was esterified with the hydroxyl group at C-6' of the glucose moiety. Moreover, the HMBC correlations between H-6' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.48 and 4.23) and C-1" ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.4)

z) ^{a,b} .
Η
J ir
Ő.
3C
CD
Ϋ́
÷
spunoduuo:
of c
data
spectral
NMR
^{13}C
and
$^{1}\mathrm{H}$
<u>-</u>
Table

Downloaded by [Ams/Girona*barri Lib] at 01:29 09 October 2014

		1		7		3
Position	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$
-	71.8	4.85 (d, 12.0)	71.8	4.85 (d, 12.0)	71.8	4.01 (dt, 9.6, 7.3)
		4.62 (d, 12.0)		4.62 (d, 12.0)		3.74 (dt, 9.6, 7.3)
2	138.8		138.9		37.1	2.90 (2H, t, 7.3)
3	129.1	7.39	129.1	7.39	139.9	
4	129.2	7.32	129.2	7.32	129.9	7.24
5	128.7	7.25	128.7	7.25	129.3	7.24
9	129.2	7.32	129.2	7.32	127.1	7.16
7	129.1	7.39	129.1	7.39	129.3	7.24
8					129.9	7.24
1'	103.2	4.36 (d, 7.5)	103.3	4.36 (d, 7.5)	104.3	4.30 (d, 7.5)
2'	74.9	3.24	75.0	3.25	74.9	3.19
3/	LTT	3.36	77.8	3.36	T.T.	3.36
4'	71.5	3.34	71.6	3.34	71.5	3.28
5'	75.9	3.46	75.2	3.44	75.1	3.45
6/	64.6	4.48 (dd, 11.8, 2.0)	64.6	4.48 (dd, 11.8, 2.0)	64.6	4.44 (dd, 11.8, 1.6)
		4.23 (dd, 11.8, 5.7)		4.22 (dd, 11.8, 5.7)		4.20 (dd, 11.8, 5.8)
1″	172.4		172.4		172.4	
2"	46.3	2.77 (d, 15.6)	46.4	2.76 (d, 14.4)	46.4	2.72 (d, 14.6)
		2.72 (d, 15.6)		2.70 (d, 14.4)		2.67 (d, 14.6)
3//	70.7		70.8		70.7	
4"	45.9	2.67 (2H, s)	45.9	2.70 (2H, s)	45.9	2.63 (2H, s)
5//	172.4		173.1		172.4	
3"-CH ₃	27.7	1.38 (3H, s)	27.8	1.38 (3H, s)	27.7	1.38 (3H, s)
5"-COOCH ₃			51.9	3.63 (3H, s)		
Notes: ^a Assignments wer ^b Overlapped signals are	e established by int reported without de	terpretation of the ¹ H- ¹ H COSY, signating multiplicity.	HSQC, and HMBC	spectra.		

730



Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations of 1.

confirmed the above deducement. Therefore, the structure of **1** was elucidated as benzyl-1-O-[6'-O-(S)-3"-hydroxy-3"methylglutaryl]- β -D-glucopyranoside and named as undatuside A.

The molecular formula of 2 was established as C₂₀H₂₈O₁₀ by the pseudomolecular ion in its HR-ESI-MS at m/z $451.1584 [M + Na]^+$. The UV spectrum of 2 showed the absorption maxima at 211 and 258 nm. The IR spectrum implied the presence of hydroxyl (3377 cm^{-1}) , carbonyl (1729 cm^{-1}) , and aromatic ring (1687)and 1452 cm^{-1}). Acid hydrolysis of **2** also afforded D-glucose. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of 2 were very similar to those of **1** except for the presence of methyl ester $[\delta_{\rm H} 3.63 (3 {\rm H}, {\rm s}); \delta_{\rm C} 173.1 \text{ and } 51.9]$ instead of the aliphatic acid group. Thus, the structure of 2 was assigned as benzyl-1-O-[6'-O-(S)-3''-hydroxy-3''-methyl-5''-methoxyglutaryl]-β-D-glucopyranoside and named as undatuside B.

The molecular formula of **3** was determined to be $C_{20}H_{28}O_{10}$ by the pseudo-molecular ion in its HR-ESI-MS at m/z 451.1584 [M + Na]⁺. Similar to **1** and **2**, the IR spectrum of **3** showed the presence of hydroxyl (3331 cm⁻¹), carbonyl (1723 cm⁻¹), and aromatic ring (1637, 1455 cm⁻¹). Acid hydrolysis of **3** also afforded D-glucose. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of **3** were closely similar to those of **1** except for the presence of an additional methylene [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.90 (2H, d,

J = 7.3 Hz; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 37.1], indicating the presence of phenethyl unit in 3 instead of benzyl moiety. With the aid of ${}^{1}H{}-{}^{1}H$ COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments, all the ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals of **3** were assigned as shown in Table 1. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations between H-1['] ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.30) and C-1 $(\delta_{\rm C} 71.8)$, as well as between H₂-6' $(\delta_{\rm H} 4.44)$ and 4.20) and C-1" ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.4), suggested that the phenethyl and HMG units were connected to the C-1' and C-6' positions of glucose, respectively. Based on the above results, the structure of 3 was established as phenethyl-1-O-[6'-O-(S)-3"-hydroxy-3"methylglutaryl]-B-D-glucopyranoside and named as undatuside C.

In addition, the 11 known compounds were elucidated as (R)-(-)-citramalic acid 1-methyl ester (4) [5], (R)-(-)-citramalic acid 4-methyl ester (5) [6], (R)-(-)-citramalic acid (6) [7], benzyl- β -D-gluco-pyranoside (7) [8,9], phenethyl- β -D-gluco-pyranoside (8) [10], dihydroquercetin (9) [11], dihydrokaempferol (10) [12], kaempferol 3-neohesperidoside (11) [13], quercetin 3-O- β -D-rutinoside (12) [14], *trans*-3,4-dimethoxycinnamic acid (13) [15], and *trans*-ferulic acid (14) [16], respectively, by comparison of their physical and spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature.

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

Optical rotation values were measured on a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter at room temperature. UV spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-550 UV/VIS spectrophotometer. IR spectra were measured on a JASCO FT/IR-480 plus Fourier transform infrared spectrometer with KBr pellets. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV-300 spectrometer. HR-ESI-MS data were acquired using an Agilent 6210 LC/MSD TOF mass spectrometer. ESI-MS data were determined on a Finnigan LCQ Advantage spectrometer. Column chromatographies (CC) were carried out

using silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Group Corporation, Oingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala, Sweden). TLC analysis was performed on precoated silica gel GF254 plates (Yantai Chemical Industrial Institute, Yantai, China). Analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was carried out on a Dionex chromatography equipped with a P680 pump, a PDA-100 photodiode array detector, and a Cosmosil 5C18-MS-II reversed-phase column $(4.6 \,\mathrm{mm} \times 250 \,\mathrm{mm})$ 5.0 µm, Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). Preparative HPLC was carried out on a Varian instrument equipped with a Prostar 215 pump, a Prostar 325 UV/VIS detector, and a Cosmosil 5C18-MS-II reversed-phase column $(20 \text{ mm} \times 250 \text{ mm}, 5.0 \mu\text{m}, \text{Nacalai})$ Tesque, Kyoto, Japan).

3.2 Plant material

The flowers of *H. undatus* were collected in Zhaoqing city, Guangdong Province of China, in February 2010, and authenticated by Prof. Guang-Xiong Zhou (Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine & Natural Products, Jinan University). A voucher specimen (No. 20100215) has been deposited in the Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine & Natural Products, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China.

3.3 Extraction and isolation

The air-dried flowers of *H. undatus* (15 kg) were powdered and extracted with 95% (V/V) EtOH at room temperature, and the solution was evaporated under vacuum to yield a residue (5700 g). The crude EtOH extract was subsequently suspended in distilled water and partitioned successively with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and *n*-butanol, respectively. After removing the solvent, the ethyl acetate extract (90 g) was subjected to silica gel CC using gradient mixtures of CHCl₃–CH₃OH

 $(100:0 \rightarrow 0:100)$ as eluent to give four fractions (Fr. 1-4). Fr. 2 (30g) was separated by silica gel column using $CHCl_3$ -acetone (100:0 \rightarrow 0:100) as eluent to yield three subfractions (Fr. 2a-2c). Fr. 2a (14g) was subjected to preparative HPLC [CH₃OH-H₂O (40: 60)] to give compounds 4 (188 mg), 5 (131 mg), and 6 (336 mg). Fr. 2b (11 g) was re-separated by Sephadex LH-20 column (CH₃OH) and preparative HPLC [CH₃OH-H₂O (25: 75)] to yield compounds 1 (84 mg), 2 (23 mg), 3 (34 mg), 7 (1170 mg), 8 (129 mg), 9 (12 mg), **10** (14 mg), **11** (20 mg), **12** (21 mg), **13** (150 mg), and **14** (30 mg), respectively.

3.3.1 Compound 1

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{21} - 34.8 (c \ 0.1, CH_3OH);$ UV (CH₃OH) λ_{max} (log ε) 208 (3.88), 251 (2.58) nm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3325, 2932, 2886, 1724, 1637, 1455, 1383, 1318, 1210, 1160, 1079, 1046, 1019, 905, 751, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data, see Table 1; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 437.1423 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₁₀Na, 437.1418).

3.3.2 Compound 2

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{21} - 29.8$ (*c* 0.2, CH₃OH); UV (CH₃OH) λ_{max} (log ε) 211 (3.74), 258 (2.52) nm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3377, 2944, 2874, 1729, 1687, 1452, 1354, 1323, 1209, 1158, 1078, 1049, 1019, 912, 742, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data, see Table 1; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 451.1584 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₈O₁₀Na, 451.1575).

3.3.3 Compound 3

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{21} - 12.9$ (*c* 0.3, CH₃OH); UV (CH₃OH) λ_{max} (log ε) 212 (3.59), 258 (2.38) nm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3331, 2938, 2881, 1723, 1637, 1455, 1381, 1318, 1202, 1158, 1085, 1017, 908, 748, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data, see Table 1; HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 451.1584

733

 $[M + Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}O_{10}Na$, 451.1575).

3.4 Acid hydrolysis and GC analysis of 1-3

Each solution of compounds 1-3 (each 1.5 mg) was heated in an ampoule with 1.5 ml of 2 N HCl (CH₃OH-H₂O, 1:1) at 95°C for 2 h. The solution was evaporated with a stream of N₂. The reaction mixture was dissolved in H₂O and extracted with CHCl₃. The aqueous layer was evaporated to give a residue. Then, 2 ml of anhydrous pyridine and 3 mg of L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride were added to the residue, and the reaction mixture was heated at 60°C for 2 h. The solution was concentrated to dryness with N₂. Furthermore, N-(trimethylsilyl)imidazole (0.2 ml) was slowly added into the reaction mixture and then kept at 60°C for 1 h. Finally, H₂O (1 ml) was added to the solution to stop the reaction, and the aqueous layer was extracted with hexane (2 ml). The organic layer was analyzed using GC under the following conditions: column: HP-1701 $(0.25 \text{ mm} \times 30 \text{ mm}, 0.5 \mu\text{m})$, detector: FID, column temperature: 200-250°C (5 °C/min), detector temperature: 280°C, injector temperature: 250°C, and carrier gas: N₂. The standard D-glucose and Lglucose were subjected to the same reaction and GC analysis under the above conditions [t_R (min): 32.231 (D-glucose), 34.863 (L-glucose)]. As a result, D-glucose $[t_{\rm R} \text{ (min): } 32.185]$ was detected from the hydrolyzates of 1-3, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported financially by the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (No. IRT0965), the Team Project of Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 8351063201000003), and the Science and Technology Development Fund of Macau Special Administrative Region (No. 013/2008/A1).

References

- [1] M.P.G. Rosa, V.S. Rosario, G.B. Efren, and M.M.F. Jose, J. Nat. Med. 61, 296 (2007).
- [2] S. Sun, X.P. Zheng, W.D. Liu, R.F. Du, L.F. Bi, and P.C. Zhang, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 58, 1587 (2010).
- [3] B.J. Bergot, F.C. Baker, E. Lee, and D.A. Schooley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 7432 (1979).
- [4] Y. Hattori, K. Horikawa, H. Makabe, N. Hirai, M. Hirota, and T. Kamo, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 18, 1183 (2007).
- [5] L. Bouveault and F. Levallois, Annales de Chimie et de Physique 21, 419 (1911).
- [6] J.P. Robin, N. Radosevic, and J. Blanchard, WO 2010/103405/A2 (2010).
- [7] J.K. Whitesell, K. Nabona, and D. Deyo, J. Org. Chem. 54, 2258 (1989).
- [8] Y. Yoneda, K. Krainz, F. Liebner, A. Potthast, T. Rosenau, M. Karakawa, and F. Nakatsubo, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 3, 475 (2008).
- [9] D.S. Seigler, G.F. Pauli, A. Nahrstedt, and R. Leen, *Phytochemistry* 60, 873 (2002).
- [10] C.H. Huo, B. Wang, H. Liang, Y.Y. Zhao, and W.H. Lin, *China J. Chin. Mater. Med.* **31**, 2052 (2006).
- [11] G.X. He, G. Pei, F.L. Du, Y.W. Ou, and B. Li, *Mod. Chin. Med.* 9, 11 (2007).
- [12] F. Feng, W.Y. Liu, Y.S. Chen, J.H. Liu, and S.X. Zhao, J. Chin. Pharm. Univ. 34, 119 (2003).
- [13] N. Rikke and K. Tadao, *Phytochemistry* 51, 1113 (1999).
- [14] Y.M. Ma and P. Wang, Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs 32, 1750 (2010).
- [15] X.D. Yang, S.X. Mei, R. Yang, J.X. Pu, J.F. Zhao, and L. Li, *Nat. Prod. Res. Dev.* 14, 1 (2002).
- [16] S. Prachayasittikul, S. Suphapong, A. Worachartcheewan, R. Lawung, S. Ruchirawat, and V. Prachayasittikul, *Molecules* 14, 850 (2009).