

Reactivity Variation of Tetracyanoethylene and 4-Phenyl-1,2,4-Triazoline-3,5-Dione in Cycloaddition Reactions in Solutions

Vladimir D. Kiselev¹ · Dmitry A. Kornilov¹ · Oleg V. Anikin¹ · Alexey A. Shulyatiev¹ · Alexander I. Konovalov²

Received: 7 May 2018 / Accepted: 7 October 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

The reasons for the very high reactivity and variability of reactivity of two dienophiles, tetracyanoethylene (1) and 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (2), in the Diels–Alder reactions were considered. The data on the rate of reactions with anthracene (3), benzanthracene (4) and dibenzanthracene (5) in 14 solvents over a range of temperatures and high pressures, data on the change in the enthalpy of solvation of reagents, transition state, and adducts in the forward and backward reactions, and the enthalpies of these reactions in solution were obtained. Strong π -acceptor dienophile 1 has sharply reduced reactivity in reactions in π -donor aromatic solvents. It was observed that the π -acceptor properties of dienophile 1 disappear upon passage to the transition state and adduct. Large solvent effects on the reaction rate can be predicted for all types of reactions involving tetracyanoethylene. Very high reactivity of dienophiles 1 and, especially, 2 can be useful to catch such carcinogenic impurities such as 3–5 and neutralize them by transformation into less dangerous adducts.

Keywords High pressure · Diels-Alder reaction · Kinetics · Transition state · Enthalpy

1 Introduction

An increased interest in the Diels–Alder reaction (DAR) is due to its enormous potential in laboratory and industrial synthesis of a variety of cyclic products [1, 2]. This has led to research to obtain the most reliable quantitative information on the effect of various internal and external factors (catalyst, solvent, temperature, high pressure) on the reaction rate, equilibrium and selectivity [2–4]. Huge amounts of accumulated experimental data have served as a basis for a number of theoretical models of cycloaddition reactions [3–6].

Vladimir D. Kiselev vkiselev.ksu@gmail.com

¹ Laboratory of High Pressure Chemistry, Butlerov Institute of Chemistry, Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya Str., 18, Kazan, Russian Federation 420008

² Arbuzov Institute of Organic and Physical Chemistry, Kazan Scientific Center of Russian Academy of Sciences, Kazan, Russian Federation 420088

The presence of an active diene or dienophile allows trapping of another reagent (dienophile/diene) in solution, polymers and biological objects. Among the dienophiles, the most active are tetracyanoethylene (1) and 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (2), which are capable of fast reactions with a variety of dienes. Such reactions are often in the frame of "click-chemistry" [7]. There is an opportunity to use DAR to catch such carcinogenic impurities as anthracenes, benzanthracenes, dibenzanthracenes and to neutralize them by transformation into less dangerous adducts. Some questions remained unanswered, such as why dienophile **2** with moderate electron affinity (E_A) may be more active in DAR with some dienes and vice versa with other dienes than tetracyanoethylene **1** with the highest π -electron affinity. The reactivity of "diene— π -donor, dienophile— π -acceptor" systems with C=C bonds in the DARs depends on the ionization potential (IP_D) of the dienes, electron affinity (E_A) of the dienophiles [($IP_D - E_A$)/eV], energy balance of the breaking and forming of bonds ($\Delta H_{r-n}/kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$) and the 1–4 distance of reaction centers in 1,3-dienes (R_{1-d}/A), (Eq. 1, correlation coefficient, r=0.972; n=93) [3]:

$$\log_{10}k_2 = -28.81 + 316.3/(IP_{\rm D} - E_{\rm A}) - 69.9 \cdot R_{1-4}/(IP_{\rm D} - E_{\rm A}) - 0.054 \cdot \Delta H_{r-n}$$
(1)

For this reason, *trans*-1,3-butadiene ($R_{1-4} = 3.66$ Å) should be 8 orders of magnitude less active than *cis*-1,3-butadiene ($R_{1-4} = 2.88$ Å). Hence it follows that the maximum of reactivity in the DAR should be observed for the planar *cis*-1,3-dienes with a minimum value of R_{1-4} . The energy balance of the reacting C=C bonds always affects the value of activation energy, but rarely governs the relative rate of the forward cyclization reaction [3]. Since the entropy values of the DARs are usually in the range -(120-150) J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹ (298· Δ S[#] ~ - 40 kJ·mol⁻¹), the reaction is thermodynamically favorable if the exothermicity of the DAR is higher than - 40 kJ·mol⁻¹.

The relation (1) allows one to predict the activity of reagents with C=C bonds, since here the IP_D and E_A parameters are usually proportional to the charges at the reaction centers. The balance between the energies of rupture of C=C bonds in the reagents and formation of new C–C bonds in the products equals the enthalpy of the DAR. The changes in enthalpy values mainly reflect the difference in the conjugation energy of reacting C=C π -bonds [3]. The π -acceptor properties of **2** are rather moderate ($E_A \sim 1.0 \text{ eV}$) [8], and appreciably inferior to the dienophile of **1** (E_A =2.88 eV) [9]. On the other hand, the N=N bond disruption energy (418) is much less than that of the C=C bond (611 kJ·mol⁻¹), which can be the main reason of a very high activity of **2**. In some cases the DARs can proceed rather fast, but with very low conversion, so it is important to consider both the kinetic and equilibrium parameters [3]. In this work the differences in the solvation enthalpies of reagents, transition state (TS) and adducts in the DAR of **1** and **2** with anthracene (**3**), 1,2-benzanthracene (**4**) and 1,2,5,6-dibenzanthracene (**5**) were determined on the basis of kinetic and thermochemical data (Scheme 1 of reactions).

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Chemicals Used

Tetracyanoethylene (1) and 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (2) (Aldrich, 97%) were sublimated at 100 °C and 10 Pa before the measurements. White snow-like 1 had m.p. 200–201 °C (lit [10] 201 °C) and red 2 had m.p.165–170 °C, with decomposition (lit [11] 165–170 °C, decomp.). The purity of 2 was analyzed by the known absorption coefficient





Scheme 1 Scheme of reactions

in toluene ($\varepsilon_{540 \text{ nm}} = 245$), 1,2-dichloroethane ($\varepsilon_{540 \text{ nm}} = 178$), and in 1,4-dioxane ($\varepsilon_{532 \text{ nm}} = 171 \text{ L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$) [12–14]. Anthracene (**3**), 1,2-benzanthracene (**4**) and 1,2,5,6-dibenzanthracene (**5**) (Aldrich, 99%) were used as purchased. All solvents were dried and purified by

known methods [15]. The UV spectra of solutions of reagents 1–5 in these solvents remained unchanged for at least one day.

2.2 Kinetic Measurements at Ambient Pressure

The temperature in the cell of the UV-spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-2900, Japan) was stable within ± 0.1 °C. The square-profiled sample cuvette ($1 \times 1 \times 4$ cm) made up of quartz was sealed with a ground glass stopper. The reaction rates with dienophile **2** were determined by following the absorption of dienophile **2** at 530–560 nm, and those of reactions with dienophile **1** were studied at 380–405 nm following the change in the absorption of the dienes **3–5**. The initial concentrations of dienophile **2** was 15–50 times lower than that of dienes **3–5**. The reaction rates with tetracyanoethylene in aromatic solvents were measured according to the change of the molecular complex's absorption at 450–550 nm.

The decomposition reactions $6 \rightarrow 1+3$ and $7 \rightarrow 1+4$ were carried out in the presence of quadricyclane (12), which rapidly ($k_2 = 104.4 \text{ L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) and irreversibly ($\Delta H_{r-n} = -236.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) [16] binds dienophile 1. The values of the rate constants were determined with errors not exceeding $\pm 3\%$, the enthalpies of activation $\pm 2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, and the entropies of activation $\pm 6 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$.

2.3 Kinetic Measurements Under Elevated Pressure

The pressure effect on the rate of the reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ was studied in toluene at 25 °C using the pressure multiplier (HP-500, Japan), a quartz cell with variable volume (PCI-500, Japan) and UV spectrophotometer (SCINCO S-3100, Korea). The observed activation volume (ΔV_{exp}^{\neq}) of the reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ ($C_{04}=C_{02}=0.0103 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) was calculated on the basis of the rate constants measured at ambient pressure and 1000 bar, applying the previously proposed [17] relationship (2).

$$(\partial \ln k / \partial P)_{T,P=1} = (1.15 \pm 0.03)^{-3} \times 10^{-3} \cdot \ln(k_{P=1000} / k_{P=1})$$
 (2)

$$\Delta V_{\exp}^{\neq} = -R \cdot T \cdot (\partial \ln k / \partial P)_{T,P=1}$$
(3)

The corrected value of activation volume $(\Delta V_{\text{corr}}^{\neq})$ [18] of the reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ was determined by Eqs. 3 and 4 taking into account the isothermal compressibility coefficient of toluene ($\beta_T = 9.19 \times 10^{-5} \text{ bar}^{-1}$) [19]:

$$\Delta V_{\rm corr}^{\neq} = \Delta V_{\rm exp}^{\neq} + \beta_T \cdot R \cdot T \tag{4}$$

2.4 Reaction Volumes in Solution

For reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$, the total volume of solution with reagents 2, 4 and adduct 10 can be expressed by Eqs. 5–7:

$$V_{(t)} = V_{\rm s} + (c_{0,2} - c_{10,t}) \cdot V_2 + (c_{0,4} - c_{10,t}) \cdot V_4 + c_{10,t} \cdot V_{10}$$
(5)

$$V_{(t)} = [V_{\rm s} + (c_{0,2} \cdot V_2 + c_{0,4} \cdot V_4)] + c_{10,t} \cdot (V_{10} - V_2 - V_4) = V_{(t=0)} + c_{10,t} \cdot \Delta V_{\rm r-n}$$
(6)

$$1/d_{(t)} = 1/d_{(t=0)} + c_{10,t} \cdot \Delta V_{r-n} / 1000 \cdot d_{(t=0)}$$
⁽⁷⁾

Equation 7, derived from Eq. 6, is more convenient for determining the density of the reaction mixture. Here, $V_{(t=0)}$ and $V_{(t)}$ are the solution volumes at the beginning and during the reaction; V_s is the solvent volume; V_2 , V_4 , and V_{10} are the partial molar volumes of compounds 2, 4, and 10; $c_{0,2}$, $c_{0,4}$, and $c_{10,t}$ are the initial molar concentrations of reagents 2 and 4, and the current concentration of adduct 10; ΔV_{r-n} is the reaction volume. The current concentration of adduct 10 was calculated from the kinetic data. Linear dependences $1/d_{(t)}$ versus c_{10} were observed for three measurements up to 95% conversion. A vibration densitometer (DSA 5000 M, Austria) was employed to measure the solution density ($\pm 2 \times 10^{-6}$ g·cm⁻³) at 25 ± 0.002 °C.

2.5 Calorimetric Experiment

The enthalpies of solution of the compounds were measured at 25 °C by the calorimetric method described previously [20]. The accuracy of the calorimeter was verified by comparing the heat of dissolution of dry potassium chloride in water at 25 °C, $17.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The results are in a good agreement with the recommended data $(17.51 \pm 0.008 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$ [21]. The solid sample (30–50 mg) was added to a solvent (150 mL). Usually 3–4 consecutive dissolutions of each compound were carried out with a subsequent calibration of the calorimeter. The enthalpies of reactions were measured at 25 °C by addition of 20–40 mg of freshly sublimed 1 or 2 to the solution of the excess of diene, taking into account the heat of dissolving of 1 or 2. The heat of slow reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ in 1,2-dichloroethane at 25 °C was measured using a "TAM III" precision solution calorimeter (USA), working in semi-adiabatic mode, using a 25 mL glass calorimetric vessel equipped with a gold stirrer, a Joule heater, and a thermistor. The calorimetric vessel was initially filled with 25 mL of a 0.0334 mol·L⁻¹ solution of 4. After about 1 h of thermostatting and heater calibrations, a known volume of solution 2 (0.191 mol·L⁻¹) was added in portions of 60–80 µL from the electronically operated microsyringe.

2.6 Differences in Solvation Enthalpies

The transfer of solvation enthalpies of reagents $(\delta \Delta H_{solv,reag})$, adducts $(\delta \Delta H_{solv, add})$ and transition state $(\delta \Delta H_{solv, TS})$ of the reactions $1+3 \rightarrow 6$; $6 \rightarrow 1+3$; $1+4 \rightarrow 7$ and $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ were calculated using acetonitrile as the reference solvent (Eqs. 8–10):

$$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv}, \mathbf{reag}} = \left(\Delta H_{\text{dissolv}, \mathbf{reag}} \right)_{\text{S}} - \left(\Delta H_{\text{dissolv}, \mathbf{reag}} \right)_{\text{acetonitrile}}$$
(8)

$$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv}, \text{add}} = \left(\Delta H_{\text{dissolv}, \text{add}} \right)_{\text{S}} - \left(\Delta H_{\text{dissolv}, \text{add}} \right)_{\text{acetonitrile}}$$
(9)

$$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv},\text{TS}} = \delta \Delta H_{\text{solv},\text{reag}} + \Delta H_{\text{S}}^{\neq} - \Delta H_{\text{acctonitrile}}^{\neq}$$
(10)

3 Results and Discussion

A set of data on the rate constants and the activation parameters of the forward $(1+3\rightarrow 6)$ and backward $(6\rightarrow 1+3)$ DARs in 14 solvents are collected in Table 1.

no	lar volume of tetracya	noethylene in 14	solvents at 25	5 °C				onio (dimi			, and any pure
z	Solvent/ $E_{\rm T}(30)^{\rm a}$	$k_1^{\rm b}$ (L·mol ⁻¹ ·s ⁻¹)	$\Delta H_{ m I}^{\neq}$ (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} -\Delta S_1^{\neq} \\ (J {\cdot} mol^{-1} {\cdot} K^{-1}) \end{array}$	$k_{-1} \times 10^{6} (\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	ΔH^{\neq}_{-1} (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta S_{-1}^{\neq} \\ (J {\cdot} mol^{-1} {\cdot} K^{-1}) \end{array}$	$K_{\rm eq} \times 10^{-5}$ (L·mol ⁻¹)	$-\Delta H_{r-n}$ (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} -\Delta S_{r-n} \\ (J {\cdot} mol^{-1} {\cdot} K^{-1}) \end{array}$	V_1^c (cm ³ ·mol ⁻¹)
	1,4-Dioxane/36.0	0.34	35.5	136	1.38	114	25	2.46	78.5	161	105.7
0	Cyclohexanone/39.8	0.20	40.3	124	1.29	113	20	1.55	72.7	144	110.4
~	Ethyl acetate/38.1	0.24	32.6	146	1.02	110	6	2.35	77.4	155	112.1
+	Methyl acetate/38.8	0.36	31.9	146	1.43	112	18	2.52	80.1	164	I
10	Acetonitrile/45.6	2.18	31.4	135	1.81	112	20	12.0	80.6	155	108.7
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro- ethane/39.4	15.2	20.9	152	5.21	101	-5	29.1	80.1	147	I
~	1,2-Dichloro ethane/41.3	3.82	25.7	144	3.36	109	14	11.4	83.3	158	107.8
~	Dichloromethane/40.7	4.28	26.4	140	4.54	100	13	9.43	73.6	127	107.5
~	Trichloromethane/39.1	5.47	18.1	171	5.45	100	- 10	10.0	81.9	161	108.9
0	Chlorobenzene/36.8	1.82	31.2	135	3.36	110	20	5.42	78.8	155	109.2
Ξ	Benzene/34.3	0.38	38.8	124	3.16	105	3	1.19	66.2	127	108.4
12	Toluene/33.9	0.13	45.3	107	2.56	107	7	0.51	61.7	114	104.6
13	o-Xylene/33.1 ^d	0.06	50.4	100	2 ^e	106^{e}	6°	0.3	56°	102 ^e	102.1
4	Mesitylene/32.9	0.01	53.0	105	2°	106°	1 ^e	0.05	53°	105 ^e	98.1
	J		13113								

Table 1 The rate constants of forward $(1+3 \rightarrow 6)$ and backward $(6 \rightarrow 1+3)$ reactions, the conditionium constant, enthalpy, entropy of activation and reaction, and the partial

^aEmpirical parameters of solvent polarity from [15]

^bIn some solvents the data were obtained earlier [22, 23] and are in good agreement with the presented data

^dData $E_{\rm T}(30)$ for *p*-xylene ^cData from [24]

e Estimated data from the cross-correlations

The rate constants of the forward reaction have decreased by almost 1500 times from 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane to the nonpolar mesitylene. This is mainly determined by a change in the activation enthalpy. Reduced reaction rates are observed in the oxygen-containing *n*-donor solvents (entries 1–4) and in π -donor alkylbenzenes (entries 11–14). In chloroalkanes (entries 6–9, Table 1) the reaction rates are increased. Note, that the rate constants of the adduct's decay ($6 \rightarrow 1+3$) and the activation enthalpies in all these solvents differ insignificantly (Table 1). It can be presumed that the solvent influence on the enthalpies of the reagents and the transition state for the direct DAR $1+3\rightarrow 6$ are significantly different, in contrast to the retro reaction $6 \rightarrow 1+3$. In order to carry out a quantitative analysis of the change in the TS enthalpy, the thermochemical data on the change in the dissolution enthalpies of the reagents and reaction products in addition to the kinetic data are needed. These data, as well as the enthalpies of the reaction in the studied solvents are collected in Table 2.

The reliability of the dissolution heats $(\Delta H_{\text{dissol}})$ and reaction heats (ΔH_{r-n}) in the studied solvents (Table 2) can be checked using the Hess law by calculating the standard enthalpy of reaction $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{6} (\Delta H_{r-n. \text{(st.st.)}})$ (Eq. 11):

$$\Delta H_{r-n,(\text{st.st.})} = \Delta H_{r-n}(S) + \Delta H_{\text{dissol}(1+3)}(S) - \Delta H_{\text{dissol}(6)}(S)$$
(11)

The data were not obtained in aromatic solvents (entries 10–14) due to the very low solubility of adduct **6**. Very close values of $\Delta H_{r-n, (\text{st.st.})}$ ($-40.5\pm0.6 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, entries 1–9, Table 2) confirm the reliability of the obtained parameters. The dissolution heat of the adduct **6** can be calculated from Eq. 11 when the values of ΔH_{r-n} (S) and $\Delta H_{\text{dissol}~(1+2)}$ (S) are known. In the series of solvents (entries 6–10) the dissolution heat of **1** is close to its fusion heat, 24.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ [25], and the reaction rates are increased in these solvents. The correlations between the change in the enthalpy of solvation of **1** (entries 10–14) and the enthalpy of formation of the π,π -complexes [26], the partial molar volumes of **1** [24], the charge transfer energy of the band of these π,π -complexes with the solvents, and the changes of the DAR rates [3, 6, 24] (all r>0.95) are clearly manifested. Similar changes in the solvation enthalpy of the reagents ($\delta H_{\text{solv}~1+3}$) and the adduct ($\delta H_{\text{solv}~6}$) are observed in the solvents (entries 1–10, Table 2) with an angular coefficient (0.85) close to unity, r=0.98, n=10 (Fig. 1, line *a*). The strong specific interaction of **1** with benzene, toluene, *o*-xylene and mesitylene disappears upon transition to the adduct **6**.

The changes in the enthalpies of solvation of TS are calculated (Eqs. 12 and 13) for the forward $(\delta \Delta H_{solv TS (1)})$ and reverse $(\delta \Delta H_{solv TS (-1)})$ DARs (Table 2):

$$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv TS }(1)} = \Delta H_{(1)}^{\neq}(S) - \Delta H_{(1)\text{acetonitrile}}^{\neq} + \delta \Delta H_{\text{solv }(1+3)}$$
(12)

$$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv TS}(-1)} = \Delta H_{(-1)}^{\neq}(S) - \Delta H_{(-1)\text{acetonitrile}}^{\neq} + \delta \Delta H_{\text{solv}(6)}$$
(13)

In all solvents the changes in enthalpy of solvation of TS ($\delta\Delta H_{\text{solv TS}}$) for direct $1+3 \rightarrow 6$ and reverse $6 \rightarrow 1+3$ reactions coincide within the total error of its determination ($\pm 6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). Attention should be paid at the increased stabilization of the TS in H-donor solvents, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane and trichloromethane (Table 2). The enthalpy of the TS is insensitive to the π -donor properties of the solvents, in contrast to that of the reagents (entries 10–14, Table 2). Very close kinetic parameters for retro-DAR in the all studied media correspond to a loss of the π -acceptor properties on the way from tetracyanoethylene (1) to the TS and adduct 6 of reaction $1+3\rightarrow 6$.

Table of reag	The enthalpies of dissolution gents (1, 3), adduct 6 and transit	of reagents ion state for	s 1 , 3 and a r the forwa	ldduct 6 , the rd and backv	enthalpy of reac ward reactions at	tion $1+3 \rightarrow 6$, the dift 25 °C	ference of activation	on enthalpie	es, the solvat	ion transfer e	nthalpies
z	Solvent	$\Delta H_{\rm dissol}$	(kJ·mol ⁻¹)		$-\Delta H^a_{r-n}$	$-(\Delta H_1^{\pm} - \Delta H_{-1}^{\pm})^{\rm b}$	$-\Delta H_{r-n, \text{ st.st.}}^{c}$	$\delta \Delta H_{\rm solv}^{\rm d}$ (k	d∙mol ^{−1})		
		(1)	(3)	(9)	(_ 1011-FX)	(_ IOIII-LX)	(×1011-1)	(1, 3)	(9)	\mathbf{TS}_1	\mathbf{TS}_{-1}
1	1,4-Dioxane	4.3	22.7	-7.5	75.3	78.5	40.8	- 16.2	- 11.9	- 12.2	- 9.9
5	Cyclohexanone	7.6	20.6	-4.4	73.7	72.7	41.1	- 14.9	-8.8	-6.1	-7.7
3	Ethyl acetate	9.2	25.1	-2.7	77.0	77.4	39.9	- 8.9	-7.1	<i>L.T.–</i>	-9.1
4	Methyl acetate	10.6	25.1	-2.4	78.0	80.1	40.0	- 7.6	-6.7	-7.1	-6.7
5	Acetonitrile	15.2	28.0	4.4	78.7	80.5	39.9	(0)	(0)	(0)	0
9	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	19.3	18.6	3.5	74.2	80.2	39.8	-5.3	-0.9	-15.9	-11.9
7	1,2-Dichloroethane	21.4	24.9	8.6	77.6	83.3	39.9	3.1	4.2	-2.6	1.2
8	Dichloromethane	23.5	25.1	11.6	77.6	73.5	40.5	5.3	7.2	0.3	-4.8
6	Trichloromethane	24.5	20.8	11.5	76.0	81.9	42.3	2.1	7.2	-11.3	-4.9
10	Chlorobenzene	22.2	24.6	8.5 ^e	I	78.9	40.5^{f}	3.6	4.1	3.3	2.1
11	Benzene	14.9	24.7	13.9^{e}	68.4	66.3	40.5^{f}	-3.6	9.5	3.7	2.5
12	Toluene	9.8	24.8	$13.4^{\rm e}$	I	61.7	40.5^{f}	-8.7	8.9	5.2	4.1
13	o-Xylene	1.4	24.0	13.7^{g}	I	I	40.5 ^f	- 17.9	98	1.2	I
14	Mesitylene	-2.2	24.0	13.7^g	I	I	40.5^{f}	-21.4	98	0.6	Ι

^aThe values of calorimetric measurements in solution

^bThe enthalpy activation differences of forward and backward reactions

^cCalculated by Eq. 11

^dCalculated by Eqs. 8-10

^eEstimated by Eq. 11

^fThe mean value (Eq. 11, entries 1-9)

^gEstimated data from the cross-correlations



Fig. 1 Comparison of the changes in solvation enthalpy of tetracyanoethylene and anthracene $(\delta \Delta H_{solv}_{(1+3)})$ relative to that of adduct $(\delta \Delta H_{solv}_{6})$, (filled blue diamond, $\delta \Delta H_{solv}_{6} = 0.85 \cdot \delta \Delta H_{solv}_{(1+3)} + 2.05$; r = 0.9643, entries 1–8), and $(\delta \Delta H_{solv}_{(1+3)})$ relative to that of 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione and anthracene $(\delta \Delta H_{solv}_{(2+3)})$, (filled red triangle, $\delta \Delta H_{solv}_{(2+3)} = 0.83 \cdot \delta \Delta H_{solv}_{(1+3)} + 0.82$; R = 0.9769, entries 1–10). The solvent numerical designators are the same as in Table 2 (Color figure online)

Similar measurements were also performed for the DAR of anthracene (3) with 4-phe-nyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (2) (Table 3).

The changes in the enthalpy of solvation of reagents 2 and 3 (entries 1–9, Table 3) are proportional to those of reagents 1 and 3 (Fig. 1, line *b*, slope=0.88, r=0.98). The moderate π -acceptor properties of 2 are clearly manifested through a weak change in its enthalpy of dissolution and slight changes of the rate of reaction $2+3\rightarrow 9$ in aromatic solvents (entries 10–14, Table 3). The increased stabilization of the TS in reaction $2+3\rightarrow 9$ is observed again in H-donor solvents (entries 7, 9, Table 3). In all solvents the reaction $2+3\rightarrow 9$ is more exothermic than reaction $1+3\rightarrow 6$ by about 20 kJ·mol⁻¹. The decay rate of adduct 9 has not been studied, since the half-life of adduct 9 decomposition at 25 °C is estimated to be more than 2 years. Data for the reaction of tetracyanoethylene with 1,2-benzanthracene $(1+4\rightarrow 7)$ are collected in Table 4.

It can be expected that the difference in enthalpy of reaction $2+3 \rightarrow 9$ and $1+3 \rightarrow 6$ (about 20 kJ·mol⁻¹, Table 3) is due to the difference in the balance of the bond energies of dienophiles 1 and 2 in the DAR with any diene. An increase in the conjugation energy of diene 4 compared with 3 [27] leads to the fact that the equilibrium constant of reaction $1+4 \rightarrow 7$ (Table 4) is almost 3 orders of magnitude lower than that for the reaction $1+3 \rightarrow 6$.

The reaction rate of tetracyanoethylene with dibenzanthracene $1+5 \rightarrow 8$ was not determined because of the very low stability of adduct 8 in solution. It is interesting to note that the rates of reactions $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ and $1+4 \rightarrow 7$ in acetonitrile are very close. However, due to the same reasons the reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ in π -donor toluene proceeds already 30 times faster than $1+4 \rightarrow 7$. The rate of reaction $2+5 \rightarrow 11$ ($5.30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, Table 4) is significantly less than those of reactions $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ (0.165) and $2+3 \rightarrow 9$ ($1.55 \text{ L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) in accordance with the change in conjugation energy of these dienes [27].

	Solvent	$k_2(\text{L}\cdot\text{mol}^-)$	¹ ·s ⁻¹)	∆H [≠] (kJ·m	iol ⁻¹)	$\Delta H_{ m dissc}$	₁ (kJ·m	ol^{-1})		δΔH _{solv} (kJ·mol ⁻	(reag) -1)	$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv}}$ (T (KJ · mol ⁻¹)	S)	$-\Delta H_{r-n}$ (k	(-mol ⁻¹)
		$1+3 \rightarrow 6$	$2+3 \rightarrow 9$	$1+3 \rightarrow 6$	$2+3 \rightarrow 9$	-	7	6	6	(1,3)	(2,3)	$1+3 \rightarrow 6$	$2+3 \rightarrow 9$	$1+3 \rightarrow 6$	$2+3 \rightarrow 9$
_	1,4-Dioxane	0.34	0.094	35.5	43.9	4.3	8.8	22.7	-7.5	- 16.2	- 10.7	- 12.2	-5.3	75.3	89.6
2	Cyclohexanone	0.20	I	40.3	I	7.6	I	20.6	-4.4	- 14.9	I	- 6.1	I	73.7	I
Э	Ethyl acetate	0.24	0.057	32.6	48.5	9.2	9.0	25.1	-2.7	-8.9	- 8.1	- 7.7	1.9	77.0	91.4
4	Methyl acetate	0.36	0.070	31.9	46.4	10.6	9.9	25.1	-2.4	-7.6	- 7.2	- 7.1	0.7	78.0	I
5	Acetonitrile	2.18	0.32	31.4	38.5	15.2	14.2	28.0	4.4	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	78.7	98.6
9	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.2	I	20.9	I	19.3	I	18.6	3.5	-5.3	I	- 15.9	I	74.2	I
٢	1,2-Dichloroethane	3.82	1.55	25.7	28.0	21.4	21.9	24.9	8.6	3.1	4.6	- 2.6	-5.9	77.6	104.6
8	Dichloromethane	4.28	I	26.4	I	23.5	I	25.1	11.6	5.3	I	0.3	I	77.6	I
6	Trichloromethane	5.47	5.09	18.1	23.4	24.5	24.4	20.8	11.5	2.1	3.0	- 11.3	-12.1	76.0	112.1
10	Chlorobenzene	1.82	1.01	31.2	31.8	22.2	21.8	24.6	8.5 ^a	3.6	4.2	3.3	-2.5	78.9	9.66
11	Benzene	0.38	0.52	38.8	35.1	14.9	20.6	24.7	13.9^{a}	-3.6	3.1	3.7	-0.3	68.4	96.7
12	Toluene	0.13	0.33	45.3	43.1	9.8	18.3	24.8	13.4^{a}	-8.7	0.9	5.2	5.5	61.7	95.0
13	o-Xylene	0.061	0.21	50.4	46.0	1.4	18.0	24.0	$13.7^{\rm b}$	-17.9	-0.2	1.2	7.3	I	95.1
14	Mesitylene	0.010	I	53.0	Ι	-2.2	I	24.0	$13.7^{\rm b}$	-21.4	I	0.2	Ι	I	I

🖄 Springer

^bEstimated data from the cross-correlations

Solvent	k_2 (L·mol ⁻¹ ·s	-1)		ΔH^{\neq} (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	$-\Delta S^{\neq}$	$\Delta H_{ m diss}$	_{ol} (kJ·mc	01^{-1}	$\delta \Delta H_{\text{solv,reag}}$	$\delta \Delta H_{solv,TS}$	$-\Delta H_{r-n}$
	15 °C	25 °C	35 °C		(, Yr, Iom.f)	4	-	17	(Kuron)	(, Iom·lx)	(, Iom·LX)
$1+4 \rightarrow 7$											
Acetonitrile	1.83×10^{-2}	2.97×10^{-2} 3.61×10^{-5a}	5.26×10^{-2}	36.4	152	21.1	15.2	14.2	(0)	(0)	I
1,2- Dichloroethane	1.70×10^{-2} 1.24×10^{-5a}	3.18×10^{-2} 5.01×10^{-5a}	5.40×10^{-2} 1.73×10^{-4a}	40.2 94.8 ^a	138 9 ^a	18.6	21.4	21.9	3.7	7.5	54.6°
Toluene	2.80×10^{-3b}	7.05×10^{-4} 4.41×10^{-5a}	1.43×10^{-3}	51.4	131	18.0	9.8	18.3	-8.5	6.5	I
2+4 ightarrow 10											
Acetonitrile	1.61×10^{-2}	3.20×10^{-2}	5.80×10^{-2}	44.9	123	21.1	15.2	14.2	(0)	(0)	I
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.86×10^{-2}	1.05×10^{-1}	1.65×10^{-1} 5.30×10^{-3d}	35.8	144	18.6	21.4	21.9	5.2	-3.9	73 ^e
Toluene	1.12×10^{-2}	2.14×10^{-2}	3.98×10^{-2}	44.3	128	18.0	9.8	18.3	1.0	0.4	I
^a Data of the reverse rea ^b Data of forward reacti ^c The enthalpies activat ^d Data of the reaction 2 ² Data of calorimetric n	action $7 \rightarrow 1+4$ on $1+4\rightarrow 7$ at 2 ion difference of $+5 \rightarrow 11$ at 35 ' heasurements of	45 °C f forward 1 +4− °C `reaction 2 +4→	+7 and reverse 7 +10	7 → 1+4 reactions							



Fig. 2 The ratio between the specific volume of the solution $(d^{-1}/\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1})$ and the concentration of the adduct $(C_{10}/\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1})$ in the reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$. 1 $d^{-1} = -(0.019443 \pm 0.000155) \cdot C_{10} + (1.158067 \pm 0.000005)$; $\Delta V_{r-n} = -16.8 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$; $C_2 = C_4 = 5.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$; r = 0.9995. Curve 1 is shifted down by $0.0017 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$. 2 $d^{-1} = -(0.021016 \pm 0.000069) \cdot C_{10} + (1.156329 \pm 0.0000006)$; $\Delta V_{r-n} = -18.2 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$; $C_2 = 1.005 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, $C_4 = 1.002 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$; r = 0.9998. 3 $d^{-1} = -(0.021187 \pm 0.000038) \cdot C_{10} + (1.156349 \pm 0.0000003)$; $\Delta V_{r-n} = 18.3 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$; $C_2 = 1.005 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, $C_4 = 1.004 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$; r = 0.9999

The pressure effect on the rate of reaction $1+3 \rightarrow 6$ has been described previously [3, 16, 24]. The rate of reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ was studied at ambient pressure $[k_2 = (2.04 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2}]$ and at 1000 bar $[k_2 = (5.61 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2} \text{ L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}]$ in toluene at 25 °C. The value of $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^{\neq}$ is $-28.8 \pm 0.8 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (Eqs. 2 and 3) and the corrected value (Eq. 4) of $\Delta V_{\text{corr}}^{\neq}$ is $-26.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The value of the reaction volume (ΔV_{r-n}) has been determined from three measurements (Fig. 2).

The mean value of ΔV_{r-n} is $-17.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. Note that the volume of the TS in the reaction $2+4 \rightarrow 10$ is 8.7 cm³·mol⁻¹ is more compact than the volume of adduct 10. The 'anomalies', when $\Delta V_{\text{corr}}^{\neq}/V_{r-n} > 1$, for the isopolar DARs are due to the difficulties for the solvent molecules to approach the branched structures of the adducts [28].

4 Conclusions

The data obtained make it possible to explain the reasons for the sharp difference in the reactivity of tetracyanoethylene (1) and 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (2) in the DARs with different dienes in different solvents. For the reaction of pronounced π -donor diene such as anthracene (3) ($IP_D = 7.40 \text{ eV}$) with a strong π -acceptor 1 ($E_A = 2.88 \text{ eV}$), the contribution of intermolecular stabilization energy is the determining parameter of the increased reaction rate with 1 compared with 2 (Table 3). There is a clear inversion of the reactivity for reagents 1 and 2 in the DARs with the less active dienes. The dienophile 2 is a moderate π -acceptor ($E_A \sim 1.0 \text{ eV}$), but disruption of N=N bonds is easier compared to the C=C bond in dienophile 1. Tetracyanoethylene sharply loses its activity in aromatic

solvents due to complex formation with a solvent. The data obtained on the solvation enthalpy transfer of the reagents, products, and transition state clearly show that the acceptor properties of dienophile 1 disappear upon passage to TS and adduct. From obtained data (Tables 1–4) we can propose that an increased solvent influence on the reaction rate should be expected for all types of reactions involving tetracyanoethylene. The high rates for reactions involving very active dienophiles 1 and, especially, 2 can be useful to catch such carcinogenic impurities as 3-5 and neutralize them by transformation into less dangerous adducts.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Dr. Igor Sedov for carrying out some calorimetric measurements. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Projects Nos. 16-03-00071 and 18-33-00063), the Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation (Project No. 4.6223.2017/9.10), and the research grant of Kazan Federal University.

References

- 1. Fringuelli, F., Taticchi, A.: The Diels–Alder Reaction: Selected Practical Methods. Wiley, New York (2002)
- 2. Kobayashi, S., Jorgensen, K.A.: Cycloaddition Reaction in Organic Synthesis. Wiley, New York (2001)
- Kiselev, V.D., Konovalov, A.I.: Internal and external factors influencing the Diels–Alder reaction. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 22, 466–483 (2009)
- Sauer, J., Sustmann, R.: Mechanistic aspects of Diels–Alder reactions: a critical survey. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 19, 779–807 (1980)
- Woodward, R.B., Hoffmann, R.: The concervation of orbital symmetry. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 8, 781– 932 (1969)
- Kiselev, V.D., Miller, J.G.: Experimental proof that the Diels–Alder reaction of tetracyanoethylene with 9,10-dimethylanthracene passes through formation of a complex between the reactants. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 4036–4039 (1975)
- Narayan, S., Muldoon, J., Finn, M.G., Fokin, V.V., Kolb, H.C., Sharpless, K.B.: "On water": unique reactivity of organic compounds in aqueous suspension. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 3275–3279 (2005)
- Kiselev, V.D., Shakirova, I.I., Kornilov, D.A., Kashaeva, H.A., Potapova, L.N., Konovalov, A.I.: Homo-Diels–Alder reaction of a very inactive diene, bicyclo[2,2,1]hepta-2,5-diene, with the most active dienophile, 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione. Solvent, temperature, and high pressure influence on the reaction rate. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 26, 47–53 (2013)
- Houk, K.N., Munchausen, L.L.: Ionization potentials, electron affinities, and reactivities of cyanoalkenes and related electron-deficient alkenes. A frontier molecular orbital treatment of cyanoalkene reactivities in cycloaddition, electrophilic, nucleophilic, and radical reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 937–946 (1976)
- Rücker, C., Lang, D., Sauer, J., Friege, H., Sustmann, R.: Reaktivität substituierter 1,3-butadiene in Diels-Alder-Reaktionen. Chem. Ber. 113, 1663–1690 (1980)
- Cookson, R.C., Gilani, S.S.H., Stevens, I.D.R.: 4-Phenyl-1,2,4-triazolin-3,5-dione: a powerful dienophile. Tetrahedron Lett. 3, 615–618 (1962)
- Kiselev, V.D., Kornilov, D.A., Lekomtseva, I.I., Konovalov, A.I.: Reactivity of 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione and diethylazocarboxylate in [4+2]-cycloaddition and ene reactions: solvent, temperature, and high-pressure influence on the reaction rate. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 47, 289–301 (2015)
- Sauer, J., Schröder, B.: Eine Studie der Diels-Alder-Reaktions, VIII. 4-Phenyl-1.2.4-triazolindion-(3.5) als Dienophil. Chem. Ber. 100, 678–684 (1967)
- Breton, G.W., Newton, K.A.: Further studies of the thermal and photochemical Diels–Alder reactions of N-methyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (MeTAD) with naphthalene and some substituted naphthalenes. J. Org. Chem. 65, 2863–2869 (2000)
- 15. Riddick, J.A., Bunger, W.B., Sakano, T.K.: Organic Solvents, 4th edn. Wiley, New York (1986)
- Kiselev, V.D., Kornilov, D.A., Anikin, O.V., Sedov, I.A., Konovalov, A.I.: Kinetics and thermochemistry of the unusual [2π+2σ+2σ]-cycloaddition of quadricyclane with some dienophiles. J. Phys. Org. Chem. **31**, e3737 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.3737

- Kornilov, D.A., Kiselev, V.D.: New approach to determine the activation and reaction volumes of low polar molecular processes. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 47, 389–394 (2015)
- 18. Kiselev, V.D.: High-pressure influence on the rate of Diels-Alder cycloaddition reactions of maleic anhydride with some dienes. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. **45**, 613–622 (2013)
- Kiselev, V.D., Bolotov, A.V., Satonin, A., Shakirova, I., Kashaeva, H.A., Konovalov, A.I.: Compressibility of liquids. Rule of noncrossing V-P curvatures. J. Phys. Chem. B 112, 6674–6682 (2008)
- Kiselev, V.D., Kashaeva, E.A., Luzanova, N.A., Konovalov, A.I.: Enthalpies of solution of lithium perchlorate and Reichardt's dye in some organic solvents. Thermochim. Acta 303, 225–228 (1997)
- Gayer, K.H., Kothari, P.S.: Enthalpies of solution of potassium chloride and 2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl) 1,3-propanediol. Thermochim. Acta 15, 301–305 (1976)
- 22. Rogers, F.E.: Thermochemistry of the Diels–Alder reactions. II. Heat of addition of several dienes to tetracyanoethylene. J. Phys. Chem. **76**, 106–109 (1972)
- 23. Brown, P., Cookson, R.S.: Kinetics of addition of tetracyanoethylene to anthracene and bicyclo[2,2,1] heptadiene. Tetrahedron **21**, 1977–1991 (1965)
- Kiselev, V.D., Konovalov, A.I., Asano, T., Iskhakova, G.G., Kashaeva, E.A., Shihab, M.S., Medvedeva, M.D.: Solvent effect on the volume of activation and volume of the Diels–Alder reaction. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 14, 636–643 (2001)
- Radomska, M., Radomski, R.: Phase diagrams in the binary systems of tetracyanoethylene with mesitylene, durene and pentamethylbenzene. J. Therm. Anal. 37, 693–704 (1991)
- Merrifield, R.E., Phillips, W.D.: Cyanocarbon chemistry. II. Spectroscopic studies of the molecular complexes of tetracyanoethylene. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 2778–2782 (1958)
- Brown, R.D.: A theoretical treatment of the Diels–Alder reaction. Part I. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. J. Chem. Soc. (1950). https://doi.org/10.1039/JR9500000691
- Kiselev, V.D.: Why can the activation volume of the cycloadduct decomposition in isopolar retro-Diels-Alder reactions be negative? Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 42, 117–125 (2010)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.