

View Article Online View Journal

RSC Advances

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: P. Kumar, P. With, V. C. Srivastava, K. Shukla, R. Gläser and I. M. Mishra, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C6RA22643D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/rsc-advances

Dimethyl carbonate synthesis from carbon-di-oxide by ceria-zirconia catalysts prepared using templating method: Characterization, parametric optimization and chemical equilibrium modeling

Praveen Kumar^{a,b}, Patrick With^{b,c}, Vimal Chandra Srivastava^{a,*}, Kartikeya Shukla^a, Roger Gläser^b, Indra Mani Mishra^{a,d}

 ^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India
^bInstitute of Chemical Technology, Universität Leipzig, Linnéstraße 3, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
^cChemical Division, Leibniz Institute for Surface Modification, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany
^dDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad,

Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad, 826004, India

*Corresponding Author: Phone: +91–1332–285889; fax: +91–1332–276535;

E-mails: vimalcsr@yahoo.co.in, vimalfch@iitr.ac.in (V.C. Srivastava); praveen.singh@daadalumni.de, praveen.zon@gmail.com (P. Kumar); patrick.with@iom-leipzig.de (P. With); kartikeyashr@gmail.com (KS); roger.glaeser@uni-leipzig.de (Roger Gläser); immishra49@gmail.com, mishra.im.che@ismdhanbad.ac.in (I.M. Mishra)

Abstract

In this paper, a series of $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ solid solutions spheres were synthesized by exoand endo-templating method and tested for dimethyl carbonate (DMC) synthesis using direct conversion of CO₂. The synthesized catalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), N₂-physisorption, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), CO₂/NH₃- temperature-programmed desorption (TPD). Formation of Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ solid solutions with tetragonal and cubic crystal structures depending on cerium/zirconium compositions was confirmed by XRD analysis. The specific surface area of the mixed oxide decreased and the average pore diameter increased with an increase in the ceria content, with the exception of the mixed oxides with x=0.4-0.5 i.e. Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O₂ and Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂. The basic and acidic site density of the synthesized catalysts was in the order: ZrO₂ < CeO₂ < Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, and the basic and acidic site density per unit area followed the same order. The best Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst was further used for the optimization of reaction conditions such as reaction time, reaction temperature,

RSC Advances

catalysts dose and reuseability for DMC synthesis. Further, study of chemical equilibrium modeling was done using Peng–Robinson–Stryjek–Vera equation of state (PRSV–EoS) along with the van der Waals one–fluid reaction condition so as to calculate change of Gibbs free energy (ΔG°) and heat of reaction (ΔH°).

Keywords: CO_2 conversion; $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ solid solutions spheres; Dimethyl carbonate; NH_3 - & CO_2 -Temperature programmed desorption; Thermodynamic analysis.

Introduction

Dimethyl carbonate (DMC) production is green chemical with growing research interest in past decade. It is an environment friendly raw material and an alternative for many toxic, carcinogenic and highly corrosive reagents such as phosgene, chloromethane, dimethyl sulfate, alkyl halide which are used in alkylation and carbonylation reactions.^{1,2} It is widely used for the synthesis of various chemical such as pharmaceuticals, polymers, foodstuff, agrochemicals, antioxidants, flavoring agents, dyestuff, solvents in electrolytes in lithium ion batteries, adhesives and coating due to its low toxicity, excellent biodegradability, high versatility and bioaccumulation. DMC is also used as an fuel additive as an octane enhancer due to the fact that its high oxygen content three times higher as compared to methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE).^{3,4}

Various traditional and developing methods are used for the synthesis of DMC. The methanol oxidative carbonylation, methylnitrite carbonylation and phosgenation processes are full-scale commercial methods used for the DMC synthesis.^{2,5-9} Phosgenation process is now abandoned because of the hazards associated. Transesterification of ethylene carbonate and urea with methanol and conversion of CO₂ are in the developing stage for the synthesis of DMC. Consequently, direct CO₂ conversion reaction is being developed for its industrial feasibility. It is the most desired method for the synthesis of DMC due to its environment-

RSC Advances

friendly nature associated green chemistry, low cost and easy availability of the materials.^{2,10-12} However, this method has difficulties such as activation of methanol/CO₂ and chemical equilibrium.¹³ To overcome these problems it is essential to develop effective catalysts which can relax chemical equilibrium and help in activation of methanol/CO₂.¹⁴

Various homogeneous catalysts and heterogeneous have been studied for increasing the DMC yield during conversion of CO₂ with methanol to produce DMC.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ On the other hand, some acidic compounds such as phosphoric acid H₃PO₄–ZrO₂ or H₃PW₁₂O₄–ZrO₂,¹⁸ and ZrO₂–KCl;¹⁹ active metal catalysts such as zirconium oxide (ZrO₂),²⁰ cerium oxide (CeO₂), ^{20,21} copper nickel/graphite,²² Cu-Fe,²³ Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂,^{24,25} metal oxide/Ce_{0.6}Zr_{0.4}O₂,²⁶ Al₂O₃–CeO₂,²⁷ ZrO₂–MgO,²⁸ SnO₂/SiO₂,²⁹ ZrO₂/SiO₂,²⁹ and Cu_{1.5}PMO₁₂O₄₀,³⁰ basic compounds such as KOH,³¹ K₂CO₃,³¹ and CH₃OK;³¹ heteropoly acids H₃PW₁₂O₄/Ce_xZr₁. xO₂,³² H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/Ce_xTi_{1-x}O₂ heteropolyoxometalates,³³ etc. have been used as catalysts for DMC synthesis from CO₂.^{34,35} Among these catalysts, acid–base bi-functional catalysts have been found more effective at different pressure and temperature. However, most of these studies were conducted with only aim for catalyst preparation, characterization and preliminary testing. Studies on important chemical engineering aspects such as chemical equilibrium and thermodynamics analysis are scarce.

In the present study, composition of cerium-zirconium mixed oxide has been optimized as well as the operating conditions for the reaction have been optimized. The synthesis of $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ mixed oxide spheres was carried out using exo- and endo-templating method. The synthesized catalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), N₂sorption, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and CO₂- and NH₃- temperature-programmed desorption (TPD). The optimum catalyst was further used under constant pressure for optimizing reaction conditions such as reaction time, reaction temperature and catalysts dose. Reusability of the catalyst was also studied. Further, chemical equilibrium modeling was done using Peng–Robinson–Stryjek–Vera equation of state (PRSV–EoS) along with the van der Waals one–fluid reaction condition so as to calculate Gibbs free energy change (ΔG_r^o) and heat of reaction (ΔH_r^o) .

Experimental

Materials.

Dimethyl carbonate ((CH₃O)₂CO) \geq 99%, methanol 99.0%, zirconium (IV) oxychloride octahydrate (ZrOCl₂.8H₂O) 99.0% and cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce(NO₃)₃.6H₂O) 99.0%, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemicals, GmbH. Ammonia solution (25 wt % in H₂O) and nitric acid (65 wt % in H₂O) were purchased from Merck GmbH. Carbon spheres were kindly supplied from Blücher GmbH (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area = 1748 m²/g; Barrett-Joyner-Halenda volume = 2 cm³/g; diameter = 0.45 - 0.5 mm), whereas Pluronic F-127 was purchased from BASF, Germany, and all chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Catalyst Preparation

Cerium-zirconium mixed oxide catalysts with different $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x=0 to 1) molar ratios were synthesized using exo- and endo-templating method. For this, $Ce(NO_3)_2$ and $Zr(NO_3)_3$ were dissolved separately in 100 mL in double-distilled water and were further mixed together in the desired molar proportion $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x=0 to 1) under continuous stirring at room temperature. Liquid ammonia solution was added drop-by-drop to the precursor solution over a period of 0.5 h until the pH reached ~9.5 and a white/light yellow precipitate was formed. The mixture was aged for 2 h under continuous stirring, and thereafter it was filtered. The precipitate retained on the filter was washed with double-

RSC Advances

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

distilled water until the pH of the filtrate became neutral. Finally, the filter cake was transferred to a 200 mL polypropylene (PP) bottle and double-distilled water was added to it until the total weight of the mixture became 30 g. Thereafter, 2.5 mL HNO₃ (65 wt.% in H₂O) was added to the mixture. The PP-bottle was transferred to an ultrasonic bath (Sonorex RK1, Fa. Bandelin) where it was kept for 4 h until a clear sol was formed. Pluronic F-127 as triblockcopolymer (TBC) was added such that the molar ratios of TBC to cerium along with zirconium (nTBC/nCe+Zr) become 0.017. This ratio was the optimum to the sol as an endotemplate.^{36,37} This mixture was again kept in the ultrasonic bath for 3 h for dissolving the Pluronic F-127. 4.42 g preactivated (for 24 h at 110°C) polymer-based spherical activated carbon (PBSAC) was added to the nanoparticle sol and was further dried at 50°C for 12 h and added drop of water then dry for 6 h. The prepared catalyst was activated at 600°C for 5 h under air flow (40 cm³/min) with heating rate of 3°C/min from room temperature to 600°C with a holding time of 1 h at 100°C and 5 h at 600°C. After calcination, light yellow Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ mixed oxide spheres were obtained. For characterization and catalytic experiments, Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ mixed oxide swere sieved to obtain the sphere in the size range of 0.2-0.4 mm.

Catalyst characterization

XRD was used to study the molecular structure, atoms and crystalline nature. For this purpose, samples were crushed with a mortar before testing. X-ray diffractograms (Brueker AXS, Germany) at 40 kV/30 mA with CuK α radiation (λ =1.5406Å) 0.02 step size over 2 θ scan range 5 \leq 2 θ \leq 100°. PANalytical X'pert high score used for identification of crystalline phase with International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) database. N₂ sorption isotherms utilize the principle of physical adsorption to get the information about BET surface area, pore volume and pore size distribution of the solid materials. Textural properties were estimated using N₂ sorption measurements at -197°C (Micromeritics ASAP 2020). BET isotherm was used for calculating the surface area of the porous material by physical

RSC Advances

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

adsorption of N₂ gas at its boiling temperature. TPD was used to study the binding interaction of adsorbates CO_2 or NH_3 on the catalyst surface and provides the information regarding the adsorbate bound on the surface. It is known that the high temperature desorption peak has stronger bonding of the adsorbates on the catalyst surface. In the TPD study, initially a sample is saturated with the reactant gas, and then physisorbed fraction of the reactant gas is desorbed with the help of inert a gas such as helium. After that the temperature of the sample is increased linearly at a particular heating rate. During this process, an inert carrier gas is passed through the sample at a particular flow rate. The amount of the desorbed CO_2 or NH_3 is quantified with the help of a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Acidic and basic nature of the synthesized catalyst was determined by the TPD (Micromeritics Chemisorb 2720) of NH_3 and CO_2 , respectively. To investigate the morphology of the synthesized catalysts, scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used. Elemental composition and morphology of the cerium/zirconium synthesized materials was investigated by quanta 200 FEG (FEI Netherlands). Initially, the prepared sample was spread on the sample holder and then the samples were gold coated using sputter coater (Edwards S150) to increase the conductivity of the preliminary materials. After that, the prepared samples were used for taking image using FE-SEM at 20 kV under vacuum. Thereafter, the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was carried out find out the metal content of the sample. Elemental mapping was used for understanding the metal distribution in the prepared catalysts. Metal loading on the catalysts was determined by AAS and ICP-OES. For determining the elemental ratio of the catalysts, 1.0 g of the catalyst was soaked in 10 ml 65% nitric acid for 24 h at room temperature so as to dissolve the metals from the catalysts. The solutions were filtered and the filtrate was used for the determination of the metal concentration by AAS (Avanta M by GBC Scientific Equipment Pvt Ltd.). ICP-OES supplied by OPTIMA 8000 von Perkin Elmer was also used for determining the amount of metals dispersed some catalysts. For sample

RSC Advances

preparation, the sample (50.0 ± 0.1) was dissolved by a microwave assisted digestion (Multiwave 3000 from Anton Paar) using 2 ml HF (48 wt.%, Suprapur, Merck), 2 ml HNO₃ (69 wt.%, Supra, Roth), 2 ml HCl (35 wt.%, supra, Roth) and 3 ml H₂SO₄ (85 wt.%, suprapur, Merck). Microwave conditions were: 1100 Watt/ramp for 20 min hold for 30 min cool for 15 min. After the microwave digestion, 12 ml H₃BO₄ (for complexation of HF) and 1 ml HIO₄ were added. Afterwards, the sample was digested for a second time using microwave conditions as used earlier. After the microwave treatment, H₂O was added until a volume of 50 ml was obtained. The eight samples were prepared as known concentration for preparation of the calibration curve. Concentration of unknown solution was estimated using this calibration curve.

Catalytic activity

Catalytic conversion of CO₂ with methanol to produce DMC was performed in the reaction autoclave (i.e. batch reactor) made by Berghof, Germany (Model-BHL-800). A magnetic stirrer was used to make the reactant mixture homogeneous during the reaction. A rubber made O-ring was used in between the reaction autoclave and the head of the instrument panel to bind them smoothly and to make the reaction chamber air tight. Initially, reactor was filled with the required methanol and the catalysts. The reactor was heated to the reaction temperature (100–180°C) and pressurized with CO₂ up to a pressure of 150 bar and maintained for 6–48 h for the reactor to proceed. After (6–48 h), the reactor was cool down the product mixture to at < -20° C with using ice bath, and thereafter, centrifugation was used for removing catalyst from the product mixture. All the reactions were studied in the presence of activated molecular sieve 3A as a dehydrating agent and at constant stirrer speed of 600 revolutions/min. Catalyst was washed with methanol and dried at 150°C for 12 h and then was activated at 500°C for 4 h after each cycle. Similarly, molecular sieve was activated at 240°C for 4 h after each cycle.

Catalyst characterization

X-ray diffraction. XRD profiles of $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x=0 to 1) catalysts are given in Figure 1a. No separate peak is found in the cerium-zirconium mixed oxide. Pure zirconia (x=0) tetragonal phase showed the characteristic (111) reflection at $2\theta=30^{\circ}$. With an increase in ceria amount, the reflex at $2\theta=30^{\circ}$ shifted towards lower 2θ values. For pure ceria, a major peak at $2\theta=28^{\circ}$ was observed, which is typical of the cubic fluorite structure of ceria.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ For ceria content of 60 mol.%, the crystal structure was tetragonal. The two peaks at $2\theta=29^{\circ}$ and 35° for the two samples of Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O₂ and Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ showed much lower intensity than that for other mixed oxides with tetragonal and cubic crystal structures. This is because of the crystallite formation for the samples having cerium/zirconium in the molar ratio $\approx 1.^{41}$ This would explain the sudden increase in the specific surface area of these two samples. XRD of method $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2}$ synthesized using exotemplate and endo-/exo-template $(n_{TBC}/n_{Ce+Zr}=0.017)$ is shown in Figure 1b. It may be seen that the reflexes of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$, synthesized with endo-/exo-template are more intense than that with exo-template. This suggests that in the presence of larger particles, endo-templates arise. This hypothesis is supported by the lower values of the specific surface and the specific pore volume (Table 1). At the same time, the mean pore diameter is larger. Substitution of metals in the crystal lattice increases the oxygen vacancies which in turn help in increasing the reactive catalytic sites.^{42,43} Also, the cations of octahedral sites help in generation of other active/intermediate species via conjugation of redox pairs.⁴⁴ High catalytic activity in these doped catalysts is due to improved electron transfer mechanism and due to more oxygen vacancies.^{45,46} The ZrO₂ incorporation in CeO₂ improves the thermal resistance and more importantly the redox

capacity of CeO_2 –ZrO₂ mixed metal oxide. As Ce^{4+} (1.01 Å) has a larger ionic radius than Zr^{4+} (0.80 Å), shrinkage of the lattice due to the replacement of Ce^{+4} with Zr^{+4} affects the lattice structure. This lowers the energy for Ce^{4+} reduction and enhances the CeO_2 reducibility.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹

Surface morphology and elemental analysis. SEM micrographs (Figure 2) of CeO₂, ZrO₂ and Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ with particles size distribution in the range of d_P=0.2–0.4 mm. The EDX analysis of the Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst is shown in the Figure 2. Analysis has also been carried out by ICP-OES. The structural chemical compositions of the Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O₂ catalysts are shown in Table 2. The compositions of the synthesized catalysts are similar to the desired initial metal composition.

Textural properties. The nitrogen sorption results of cerium-zirconium mixed oxides $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x=0 to1) are summarized in Table 1. Among all the synthesized catalysts, $Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O_2$ and $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ were found to possess highest BET surface area of 123 and 121 m²/g and minimum pore diameter of 11.9 and 10.0 nm, respectively. It can be seen from the Figure 3, that the specific surface area of the mixed oxides is a function of the CeO₂ content in the synthesized catalyst. Adsorption/desorption isotherm and the pore volume distribution of CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, ZrO₂ are shown in Figure 4a and Figure 4b, respectively. All the sorption isotherms of are type IV isotherm with the hysteresis loop, typical of mesoporous systems.^{50,51} Peak corresponding to maximum pore volume shifts towards higher pore with for mixed Ce-Zr oxide as compared to pure CeO₂ or ZrO₂. Mixed oxide exhibit specific surface areas between 112 m²/g (pure zirconia) and 28 m²/g (pure ceria). With an increase in the content of ceria, the specific surface area of the mixed oxide decreased and the average pore diameter increased with an increase in the ceria content, with the exception of the mixed oxides with x=0.4-0.5 i.e. $Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O_2$ and $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ (Figure 3). These two catalysts exhibit specific surface areas > 120 m²/g with the mean pore diameter of ~10 nm. At these

RSC Advances

values of x, the cause of increase in surface area is the formation of structurally homogeneous solid solution.^{52,53} Laosiripojana et al.⁵⁴ shows the specific surface area of 49, 47, and 46.5 m²/g with average particle size of 50–80 nm using Ce/Zr molar ratio 1/3, 1/1, and 3/1, respectively. Shotipruk et al.⁵⁵ shows the specific surface area 135, 120, and 115 m²/g with Ce/Zr molar ratio 1/3, 1/1, and 3/1, respectively and Laosiripojana and Assabumrungrat,⁵⁶ shows $\approx 20 \text{ m}^2$ /g with 5% Ni on Ce/Zr molar ratio 1/3, 1/1, and 3/1, respectively.

CO₂-TPD. The basic properties of CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and ZrO₂ catalysts were determined from the CO₂-TPD profile (Figure 5a) and the results are given in Table 3. Basic properties of the catalysts depend upon the temperature profile in the weak region ($< 200^{\circ}$ C), moderate region (200–450°C) and the strong region (> 450°C). Weak basic sites are due to the interaction between the surface and the OH groups and the formation of bicarbonate; moderate basic sites are due to the sites $M^{x+}-O^{2-}$ pairs and the formation of bi-dentate and bridged carbonates; and the strong basic sites are due to the low coordination $O^{2^{-}}$ ions and the formation of uni-dentate carbonates.⁵⁷ In the synthesized catalysts, basicity was found in the week and strong regions corresponding to ~115 and ~717°C. Basic site density of the synthesized catalysts was in the order: ZrO_2 (0.40 mmol/g) < CeO_2 (0.41 mmol/g) < $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ (1.93 mmol/g), and the basic site density per unit area followed the same order (Table 3). In ceria catalyst, Ce may have variable valency (Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+}). Moreover, ceria is also a typical Lewis-base catalyst, which is responsible for its application in several basecatalyzing processes. Thus, the mixed metal oxides possess higher basic site density as compared to single oxide catalysts.³³ Zhang et al.¹⁶ and Lee et al.¹⁷ reported maximum basic site density of 0.276 and 0.017 mmol/g, respectively, for $Ce_{0.6}Zr_{0.4}O_2$ catalysts.

NH₃–TPD. NH₃-TPD spectra of CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and ZrO₂ catalysts are shown in Figure 5b and the results are summarized in Table 3. Desorption peaks of NH₃ are in the temperature range of 50–900°C. The NH₃ desorption peaks at 110°C and 667°C for CeO₂ and 156°C and

643°C for ZrO₂ were observed in the week and strong regions. $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst shows peaks in all the three regions at 106°C, 294°C and 666°C. Acidic site density of synthesized catalysts is found to be: CeO_2 (0.94 mmol/g) < ZrO_2 (1.52 mmol/g) < $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ (2.48 mmol/g). Thus, the $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst has the highest acidic site density and the CeO_2 has the lowest acidic site density. Thus, the $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst has the highest density of basic and acidic sites as compared to other catalysts. Therefore, this catalyst can act as an acid-base bi-functional catalyst. It has been reported that both the basic and acidic sites are required for the direct conversion of CO_2 to produce DMC.^{16,17,20}

Catalytic activity of catalysts for DMC synthesis

The direct catalytic conversion of CO₂ with methanol for the synthesis of DMC was studied in the presence of CeO₂, ZrO₂ and Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalysts. Negligible conversion of CO₂/methanol to DMC after for 24 h at a pressure of 120°C temperature and 150 bar were observed in the blank experiment without any catalyst. In the presence of a Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst, methanol is activated to form CH₃O⁻ and H⁺ in the presence of basic sites and CH₃⁺ and OH⁻ in the presence of acidic site present on the surface of the catalyst. Methoxy species (CH₃O⁻) react with CO₂ in the presence of basic site to form methoxyl carbonyl ions. Methanol is activated at the acidic site to form CH₃⁺ and OH⁻ ions. Methoxyl carbonyl ion reacts with CH₃⁺ to form DMC, and OH⁻ reacts with H⁺ to form water (Figure 6). As such, higher basicity and acidity in the catalysts facilitates DMC synthesis from CO₂ and methanol.⁴⁴ Reaction mechanism for DMC synthesis from direct conversion of CO₂ with methanol in the presence of catalyst is shown in Figure 7. Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst showed better activity as compared to CeO₂, and ZrO₂ (Figure 7). The order of the activity of the catalysts followed: ZrO₂ (0.912 mmol DMC/g.cat.) < CeO₂ (1.384 mmol DMC/g.cat.) < Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst was further used for the

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

optimization of the reaction conditions such as reaction temperature, catalyst dose and reaction time for CO_2 conversion.

The influences of reaction time for DMC synthesis in the presence of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst is shown in Figure 8a. It can be seen from the Figure 8a that the DMC formation (1.989–2.921 mmol/g.cat.), methanol conversion (0.644–0.945%) and CO₂ conversion (1.567–2.310%) increased with an increase in reaction time up to 24 h. Further increase in the reaction time showed a decrease in the DMC yield and CO₂/methanol conversion. It may be because of the saturation of the molecular sieves due to the adsorption of water.

The effect of catalyst dose on the DMC yield and the CO_2 conversion is shown in Figure 8b. It can be seen in that the maximum DMC yield and CO_2 conversion were obtained at a catalyst dose of 1.25 g. Further increase in the catalyst dose diminished the DMC yield. This may be because of the formation of agglomerates at higher catalyst doses in the reaction mixture.

The influence of the reaction temperature for the DMC synthesis and CO_2 /methanol conversion is shown in Figure 8c. Initially, the DMC yield (1.021–2.9212 mmol/g cat), methanol conversion (0.331–0.945 mmol/g cat) and CO₂ conversion (0.804–2.300 mmol/g cat.) increased with an increase in the reaction temperature in the range of 80–120°C. Above 120°C, an increase in the reaction temperature quickly decreased the DMC yield (2.125–0.8924 mmol/g cat), methanol conversion (0.687–0.2888 mmol/g cat) and the CO₂ conversion (1.673–0.7026 mmol/g cat). Thus, the optimum DMC yield was obtained at 120°C. The decrease in the DMC yield, methanol and CO₂ conversion may be because of the poor solubility of CO₂ in methanol and due to decomposition of DMC.⁵⁸

It can be seen that the acidic and basic properties directly influence the catalytic activity of DMC synthesis. The reuse of the $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst was investigated at optimum reaction conditions (T=120°C, t=24 h and catalyst amount=1.25 g) in five consecutive batch

cycles (Figure 9). Almost similar DMC yield was found in all the batch cycles. Thus, the $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst is an effective catalyst with long life and can be used a number of times.

Chemical equilibrium modeling

Synthesis of DMC from direct conversion of CO_2 and methanol can be related to the equilibrium constant as shown below:

$$K_{eq}(T) = \frac{a_{DMC}a_{H_2O}}{a_{MeOH}^2 a_{CO_2}}$$

= $\frac{\frac{1}{2} X_{eq,MeOH}^2 \left(1-0.5y_{MeOH,0}X_{eq,MeOH}\right)}{y_{MeOH,0} \left(1-X_{eq}\right)^2 \left(\Theta_{CO_2} - \frac{1}{2}X_{eq}\right)} \times \left(\frac{\varphi_{DMC}\varphi_{H_2O}}{(\varphi_{MeOH})^2 \varphi_{CO_2}}\right)_{eq} \left(\frac{(\varphi_{MeOH}^0)^2 \varphi_{CO_2}^0}{\varphi_{DMC}^0 \varphi_{H_2O}^0}\right) \left(\frac{P^0}{P}\right)$ (6)

where, $\Theta_{CO_2} = y_{CO_2,0} / y_{MeOH,o}$.

The Peng–Robinson–Stryjek–Vera equation of state (PRSV–EoS)⁵⁹ along with the van der Waals one–fluid (1PVDW) mixing rule,^{60,61} were used to calculate the rules fugacity coefficient of species in the mixture. PRSV–EoS is given as:

$$P = \frac{RT}{V-b} - \frac{a\alpha(T)}{V(V+b) + b(V-b)}$$
(7)

where,

$$a = 0.45724 \quad R^2 T_c^2 / P_c \tag{8}$$

$$b = 0.0778 RT_c/P_c$$
 (9)

$$\alpha = \left[1 + m(\omega)\left(1 - T_r^{1/2}\right)\right]^2 \tag{10}$$

$$m(\omega) = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 \left(1 + T_r^{1/2} \right) \left(0.7 - T_r \right)$$
(11)

$$\kappa_0 = 0.378893 + 1.4897153\omega - 0.1713184\omega^2 + 0.0196554\omega^3$$
(12)

where, P_c and T_c are the critical pressure and temperature, respectively, κ is a specific pure compound parameter and ω is the accentric factor. The values of T_c , P_c , ω and κ as

RSC Advances

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

$$a = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_{i} y_{j} (1 - k_{ij}) (a_{i} a_{j})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(13)

$$b = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \left(1 - l_{ij} \right) \left(\frac{b_{i} + b_{j}}{2} \right)$$
(14)

where, l_{ij} and k_{ij} are the single binary interaction parameters, which are used to determine the mixture parameters a, and b in the PRSV–EOS. Values of l_{ij} and k_{ij} were obtained from the literature and are given in Table S2. Assuming that the heat of reaction ΔH_r° is constant within the temperature range of 100–180°C, the equilibrium constant K_{eq} can be related to the T by the classical van't Hoff equation:

$$\ln K_{eq,T} = -\frac{\Delta H_r^o}{RT} + \left(\frac{\Delta H_r^o - \Delta G_r^o}{RT^o}\right)$$
(15)

Equations 6 to 14 were solved simultaneously using the parameters given in the Tables S1 and S2 to calculate the values of K_{eq} at various temperatures. The values K_{eq} at 373, 393 413, 433 and 453 K were found to be 6.811×10^{-8} , 3.629×10^{-7} , 1.713×10^{-7} , 1.823×10^{-8} and 1.327×10^{-9} l/mol, respectively (Table S3). The values of ΔH_r^o and ΔG_r^o (using eq. 15) for Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ using the data points at T=120–180°C were found to be -139.76 kJ/mol and 1.54 kJ/mol, respectively. ΔH_r^o and ΔG_r^o values of -15.259 kJ/mol and 29.583 kJ/mol, respectively have been reported in the literature.⁶² Table 4 compares the equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters as obtained in the present study with those reported in the literature.⁶³⁻⁶⁸ It seems that a direct comparison of these parameters for different catalysts is not possible as these were prepared using different methods and evaluated under different operating conditions.

Conclusions

In this paper, porous and spherically shaped cerium-zirconium catalysts (Ce_x -Zr_{1-x}O₂) with different molar ratios were synthesized using an exo- and endo-templating method using PBSAC as exo-template, and pluronic F-127 as endo-template. XRD pattern showed the reflexes of cubic phase in CeO_2 , tetragonal phase in ZrO_2 and $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$. The synthesized catalysts showed BET surface between 28-112 m²/g and pore volume in the range of 0.2-0.42 cm³/g. An increase in the ceria content was found to decrease the specific surface area of the mixed oxides except for x=0.4-0.5. At these values of x, formation of structurally homogeneous solid solution increased in surface area. These catalysts were tested for direct conversion of CO₂ with methanol for the production DMC in a batch reactor. The Ce_x-Zr_{1-x} (x = 0.5) catalyst was found to posses highest amount of basic and acidic sites among all the catalysts, and gave highest DMC yield. At optimized condition (pressure=150 bar, temperature=120°C, reaction time=24 h, catalysts dose=1.25 g), the activity of the catalysts was in the following order: ZrO_2 (0.912 mmol DMC/g.cat.) < CeO_2 (1.384 mmol DMC/g.cat.) < Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ (2.921 mmol DMC/g.cat.). During five consecutive reuse cycles of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst, only marginal change in DMC yield and methanol conversion was observed. The values of ΔH_r^o and ΔG_r^o for Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst were found to be -139.76 kJ/mol and 1.54 kJ/mol, respectively.

Acknowledgments

One of the authors, namely Praveen Kumar, is thankful to Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst (DAAD), Germany, for providing financial support to carry out this work under a Sandwich Model Scholarship.

References

- Pacheco MA, Marshall CL. Review of dimethyl carbonate (DMC) manufacture and its characteristics as a fuel additive. *Energ. Fuels* 1997;11:2–29.
- Keller N, Rebmann G, Keller V. Catalysts, mechanisms and industrial processes for the dimethyl carbonate synthesis. *J. mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 2010;317:1–18.
- Santos BAV, Silva VMTM, Loureiro JM, Rodrigues AE. Review for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate. *Chem. Bio. Eng. Rev.* 2014;1:214–229.
- 4. Kumar P, Srivastava VC, Mishra IM. Dimethyl carbonate synthesis from propylene carbonate with methanol using Cu–Zn–Al catalyst. *Energy Fuels* 2015;29:2664–2675.
- Zhang P, Wang S, Chen S, Zhang Z, Ma X. The effects of promoters over PdCl₂-CuCl₂/HMS catalysts for the synthesis of diethyl carbonate by oxidative carbonylation of ethanol. Chem. Eng. J. 2008;143:220–224.
- Zhang Z, Ma X, Zhang P, Li Y, Wang S. Effect of treatment temperature on the crystal structure of activated carbon supported PdCl₂-CuCl₂ catalysts in the oxidative carbonylation of ethanol to diethyl carbonate. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007;266:202–206.
- Yan B, Huang S, Meng Q, Shen Y, Wang S, Ma X. Ordered mesoporous carbons supported wacker-type catalyst for catalytic oxidative carbonylation. *AIChE J*. 2013;59:3797-3805.
- Razzaq R, Li C, Amin N, Zhang S, Suzuki K. Co-methanation of carbon oxides over nickel-based Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ catalysts. *Energy Fuels* 2013:27:6955–6961.
- Li C, Zhang X, Zhang S. Environmental benign design of DMC production process. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 2006;84:1–8.
- 10. Zeng HY, Deng X, Wang YJ, Liao KB. Preparation of Mg-Al hydrotalcite by urea method and its catalytic activity for transesterification. *AIChE J*. 2009;55:1229-1235.

- Xu S, Zeng HY, Xheng CR, Duan HZ, Han J, Ding PX, Xiao GF. Mg–Fe mixed oxides as solid base catalysts for the transesterification of microalgae oil. *RSC Adv*. 2015;5:71278-71286.
- Zeng HY, Xu S, Liao MC, Zhang ZQ, Zhao C. Activation of reconstructed Mg/Al hydrotalcites in the transesterification of microalgae oil. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2014;91–92:16–24.
- Honda M, Tamura M, Nakagawa Y, Tomishige K. Catalytic CO₂ conversion to organic carbonates with alcohols in combination with dehydration system. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2014;4:2830–2845.
- Wang S, Shen H, Fan S, Zhao Y, Ma X, Gong J. Enhanced CO₂ adsorption capacity and stability using CaO-based adsorbents treated by hydration. *AIChE J.* 2013;59:3586-3593.
- 15. Svec P, Olejník R, Padelková Z, Ruzicka A, Plasseraud L. C,N-chelated organotin(IV) trifluoromethanesulfonates: Synthesis, characterization and preliminary studies of its catalytic activity in the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and CO₂. J Organometallic Chem. 2012;708-709:82-87.
- Zhang ZF, Liu ZT, Liu ZW, Lu J. DMC formation over Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ prepared by complex-decomposition method. *Catal. Lett.* 2009;129:428–436.
- 17. Lee HJ, Joe W, Song IK. Direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide over transition metal oxide/Ce_{0.6}Zr_{0.4}O₂ catalysts: Effect of acidity and basicity of the catalysts. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2012;29:317-322.
- Ikeda Y, Sakaihori T, Tomishige K, Fujimoto K. Promoting effect of phosphoric acid on zirconia catalysts in selective synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide. *Catal. Lett.* 2000;66:59–62.

- 19. Eta V, Maki-Arvela P, Leino AR, Kordas K, Salmi T, Murzin DY, Mikkola JP. Synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide: Circumventing thermodynamic limitations. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2010;49:9609–9617.
- 20. Chen L, Wang S, Zhou J, Shen Y, Zhao Y, Ma X. Dimethyl carbonate synthesis from carbon dioxide and methanol over CeO₂ versus over ZrO₂: comparison of mechanisms. *RSC Adv.* 2014;4:30968–30975.
- Kumar P, With P, Srivastava VC, Gläser R, Mishra IM. Conversion of carbon dioxide along with methanol to dimethyl carbonate over ceria catalyst. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2015;3:2943–2947.
- 22. Bian J, Xiao M, Wang S, Wang X, Lu Y, Meng Y. Highly effective synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide using a novel copper nickel/graphite-bimetallic nanocomposite catalyst. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2009;147:287-296.

- Zhou Y, Wang S, Xiao M, Han D, Lu Y, Meng Y. Novel Cu-Fe bimetal catalyst for the formation of dimethyl carbonate from carbon dioxide and methanol. *RSC adv*. 2012;2:6831–6837.
- Kumar P, With P, Srivastava VC, Gläser R, Mishra IM. Efficient ceria-zirconium oxide catalyst for carbon dioxide conversions: characterization, catalytic activity and thermodynamic study. *J. Alloys Comp.* 10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.10.293.
- 25. Zhang ZF, Liu ZT, Liu ZW, Lu J. DMC formation over Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ prepared by complex-decomposition method. *Catal. Lett.* 2009;129:428–436.
- 26. Lee HJ, Joe W, Song IK. Direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide over transition metal oxide/Ce_{0.6}Zr_{0.4}O₂ catalysts: Effect of acidity and basicity of the catalysts. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2012;29:317-322.
- 27. Aresta M, Dibenedetto A, Pastore C, Angelini A, Aresta B, Pápai I. Influence of Al₂O₃ on the performance of CeO₂ used as catalyst in the direct carboxylation of methanol to

RSC Advances

dimethylcarbonate and the elucidation of the reaction mechanism. *J. Catal.* 2010;269:44–52.

- 28. Eta V, Mäki-Arvela P, Salminen E, Salmi T, Murzin DY, Mikkola JP. The Effect of alkoxide ionic liquids on the synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from CO₂ and methanol over ZrO₂–MgO. *Catal. Lett.* 2011;141:1254–1261.
- 29. Ballivet-Tkatchenko D, Chambrey S, Keiski R, Ligabue R, Plasseraud L, Richard P, Turunen H. Direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate with supercritical carbon dioxide: Characterization of a key organotin oxide intermediate. *Catal. Today* 2006;115:80-87.
- Allaoui L, Aouissi A. Effect of the Bronsted acidity on the behavior of CO₂ methanol reaction. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2006;259:281–285.
- 31. Cai Q, Lu B, Guo L, Shan Y. Studies on synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide. *Catal. Commun.* 2009:10:605–609.
- 32. Lee HJ, Park S, Jung JC, Song IK. Direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide over H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ catalysts: Effect of acidity of the catalysts. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2011, 28, 1518–1522
- 33. La KW, Jung JC, Kim H, Baeck SH, Song IK. Effect of acid-base properties of H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/Ce_xTi_{1-x}O₂ catalysts on the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide: A TPD study of H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/Ce_xTi_{1-x}O₂ catalysts. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 2007;269:41–45.
- 34. Sheintuch M, Matatov-Meytal YI. Comparison of catalytic processes with other regeneration methods of activated carbon. *Catal. Today* 1999;53:73–80.
- 35. Matatov-Meytal U, Sheintuch M. Activated carbon cloth-supported Pd–Cu catalyst: Application for continuous water denitrification. *Catal. Today* 2005;102–103:121–127.

- 36. Kumar P, With P, Srivastava VC, Gläser R, Mishra IM. Glycerol carbonate synthesis by hierarchically structured catalysts: catalytic activity and characterization. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2015;54:2543–12552.
- 37. With P, Heinrich A, Lutecki M, Fichtner S, Böhringer B, Gläser R. Zirconia with defined particle morphology and hierarchically structured pore system synthesized via combined exo- and endotemplating. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 2010;33:1712–1716.
- 38. Epifani M, Andreu T, Abdollahzadeh-Ghom S, Arbiol J, Morante JR. Synthesis of ceriazirconia nanocrystals with improved microstructural homogeneity and oxygen storage capacity by hydrolytic sol-gel process in coordinating environment. *Adv. Funct. Mat.* 2012;22:2867–2875.
- Bharali P, Saikia P, Katta L, Reddy BM. Enhancement in CO oxidation activity of nanosized Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ solid solutions by incorporation of additional dopants. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2013;19:327–336.

- Zhu H, Razzaq R, Li C, Muhmmad Y, Zhang S. Catalytic methanation of carbon dioxide by active oxygen material Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ supported Ni-Co bimetallic nanocatalysts. *AIChE J*. 2013;59;2567-2576.
- Deshpande AS, Niederberger M. Synthesis of mesoporous ceria zirconia beads. Micropor. Mesopor. Mat. 2007;101:413–418.
- Satyle TXT, Parker SC, Catlow CRA. Surface segregation of metal ions in cerium dioxide. J. Phy. Chem. 1994;98:13625–13630.
- 43. Wu L, Dey S, Gong M, Liu F, Castro RHR. Surface segregation on manganese doped ceria nanoparticles and relationship with nanostability. J. Phys. Chem. C 2014; 118:30187–30196.
- Jacobs JP, Maltha A, Reintjes JGH, Drimal J, Ponec V, Brongersma HH. The surface of catalytically active spinels. *J. Catal.* 1994;147:294–300.

- 45. Sun Z, Wang X, Liu Z, Zhang H, Yu P, Mao L. Pt-Ru/CeO₂/Carbon nanotube nanocomposites: An efficient electrocatalyst for direct methanol fuel cells. *Langmuir* 2010;26:12383–12389.
- 46. Wang L, Wang Y, Liu S, Lu L, Ma X, Deng Y. Efficient synthesis of dimethyl carbonate via transesterification of ethylene carbonate with methanol over binary zinc-yttrium oxides. *Catal. Commun.* 2011;16:45–49.
- 47. Rivas BD, López-Fonseca R, Gutiérrez-Ortiz MA, Gutiérrez-Ortiz JI. Structural characterisation of Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ modified by redox treatments and evaluation for chlorinated VOC oxidation. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 2011;101:317–325.
- 48. Christou SY, Efstathiou AM. The effects of P-poisoning of Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ on the transient oxygen storage and release kinetics. *Top. Catal.* 2013;56:232–238.
- 49. Wang W, Wang S, Ma X, Gong J. Crystal structures, acid–base properties, and reactivities of Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ catalysts. Catal. Today 2009;148:323–328.
- 50. Abir H, Sheintuch M. Atomistic calculation of adsorption in activated carbon with poresize distribution. *J. Coll. Interf. Sci.* 2010;342:445–454.
- Taubert M, Beckmann J, Lange A, Enke D, Klepel O. Attempts to design porous carbon monoliths using porous concrete as a template. *Micropor. Mesopor. Mat.* 2014;197:58– 62.
- 52. Fuentes RO, Baker RT. Synthesis of nanocrystalline CeO₂-ZrO₂ solid solutions by a citrate complexation route: A thermochemical and structural study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009;113:914–924.
- 53. Li Y, Wang L, Yan R, Hana J, Zhang S. Gold nanoparticles supported on Ce–Zr oxides for the oxidative esterification of aldehydes to esters. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015;5:3682– 3692.

- 54. Laosiripojana N, Kiatkittipong K, Assabumrungrat S. Partial oxidation of palm fatty acids over Ce-ZrO₂: Roles of catalyst surface area, lattice oxygen capacity and mobility. *AIChE* J. 2011;57:2861-2869.
- 55. Shotipruk A, Assabumrungrat S, Pavasant P, Laosiripojana N. Reactivity of CeO₂ and Ce–ZrO₂ toward steam reforming of palm fatty acid distilled (PFAD) with co-fed oxygen and hydrogen. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2009;64:459-466.
- 56. Laosiripojana N, Assabumrungrat S. Methane steam reforming over Ni/Ce–ZrO₂ catalyst: Influences of Ce–ZrO₂ support on reactivity, resistance toward carbon formation, and intrinsic reaction kinetics. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 2005;290:200–211
- 57. Liu Z, Yi Y, Zhang S, Zhu T, Zhu J, Wang J. Selective catalytic reduction of NO_x with NH₃ over Mn-Ce mixed oxide catalyst at low temperatures. *Catal. Today* 2013;216:76–81.
- 58. Kumar S, Jain SL. Polyethylene glycol enfolded KBr assisted base catalyzed synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2014;53:15798–15801.
- 59. Stryjek R, Vera JH. PRSV: An improved Peng—Robinson equation of state for pure compounds and mixtures. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 1986;64:323–333.
- 60. Piñeroa P, Garcíaa J, Sokolovab M, Cocero MJ. Modelling of the phase behaviour for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from CO₂ and methanol at supercritical or near critical conditions. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* 2007;39:536–549.
- Bustamente F, Orrego AF, Villegas S, Villa AL. Modeling of chemical equilibrium and gas phase behavior for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from CO₂ and methanol. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2012;51:8945–8956.

- Kongpanna P, Pavarajarn V, Gani R, Assabumrungrat S. Techno-economic evaluation of different CO₂-based processes for dimethyl carbonate production. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 2015;93:496–510.
- 63. Cai Q, Lu B, Guo L, Shan Y. Studies on synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and carbon dioxide. Catal. Commun. 2009:10:605–609.
- 64. Santos BAV, Silva VMTM, Loureiro JM, Barbosa D, Rodrigues AE. Modeling of physical and chemical equilibrium for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate at high pressure conditions. Fluid Phase Equilibria 2012;336:41–51
- 65. Santos BAV, Pereira CSM, Silva VMTM, Loureiro JM, Rodrigues AE. Kinetic study for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and CO₂ over CeO₂ at high pressure conditions. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 2013;455:219–226
- 66. Santos BAV, Silva VMTM, Loureiro JM, Rodrigues AE. Adsorption of H₂O and Dimethyl Carbonate at High Pressure over Zeolite 3A in Fixed Bed Column. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2014;53:2473–2483.
- Santos BAV, Pereira CSM, Silva VMTM, Loureiro JM, Rodrigues AE. Design of a true moving bed reactor for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2015;123:406–419.
- 68. Marin CM, Li L, Bhalkikar A, Doyle JE, Zeng XC, Cheung CL. Kinetic and mechanistic investigations of the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from carbon dioxide over ceria nanorod catalysts. J. Catal. 2016;340:295-301.

$Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$	BET surface area	Pore Volume	Pore diameter		
	(m^2/g)	(cm ³ /g)	(nm)		
x=0.0	112	0.42	15.4		
x=0.1	81	0.42	21.5		
x=0.2	69	0.43	22.9		
x=0.3	71	0.47	24.3		
x=0.4	121	0.33	10.0		
x=0.5	123	0.40	11.9		
x=0.6	59	0.39	24.8		
x=0.7	54	0.35	28.7		
x=0.8	49	0.36	30.1		
x=0.9	31	0.21	30.6		
x=1.0	28	0.20	30.4		

70 11 4 NT		• •	• •	• •	• •	4 1 4
I able 1. Na	» sorption of	cerium	-zirconium	mixed	oxides	catalysts.
					0	

_

_

Catalysts	Nominal value of		Actual valu	es of metals	Chemical formula		
	metals		from ICP-C	DES analysis			
	Ce	Zr	Ce	Zr	-		
Ce _{0.5} Zr _{0.5} O ₂	0.5	0.5	0.48	0.52	$Ce_{0.48}Zr_{0.52}O_2$		
$Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O_2$	0.4	0.6	0.39	0.61	Ce _{0.39} Zr _{0.61} O ₂		

Table 2. Elemental analysis of Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and Ce_{0.4}Zr_{0.6}O₂ catalysts.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Table 3. TPD analysis using absorbed CO₂ and NH₃ for determining basic and acidic

properties of CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and ZrO₂.

	TPD analys	Total	Basic site			
Catalyst	Weak (< 200°C)	Moderate	Strong (2	>450°C)	evolved CC	D ₂ density
		(200-450°C)			(mmol/g)	(µmol/m²)
CeO ₂	0.41 (117)	0		0	0.41	14.64
$C_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$	0.45 (113)	0.17(345)	1.31	(717)	1.93	15.69
ZrO ₂	0.37 (100)	0	0.03	(846)	0.40	3.89
Catalyst	TPD an	otal evolved NH ₃				
	Weak (< 200°C)	Moderate (200-450°C) Strong (>			450°C) (mmol/g)	
CeO ₂	0.81 (110)	0		0.13 (667)		0.94
$C_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$	0.99 (106)	0.27 (294)		1.49 (666)		2.48
ZrO_2	1.31 (156)	0		0.21 (643)	1.52

Temperature (°C) at maxima is given in brackets.

Catalyst	Т	Р	Kinetics	Activatio	Keq	Entrop	Gibbs	Enthalpy	Refere
	(°C)	(bar)	models	n energy, E _a (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	(m ⁻ s ⁻¹)	y (∆S°) (J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)	free energy (∆G°) (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	(∆H°) (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	nce
K ₂ CO ₃ , KOH, CH ₃ OK	80	73	_	_	9.4× 10 ⁻⁸	_	36.31	-28.8	63
CeO ₂	130	200	Soave– Redlich– Kwong equation of state coupled with five different mixing rules	_	0.26± 0.04 × 10 ⁻²		-454.93	−570.70@ 25 ^{°C}	64
CeO ₂	105- 135	150- 200	Langmuir– Hinshelwood and Eley– Rideal mechanisms	106-117	_	_	31.5	-20.1	65
CeO ₂	40-70	200	Mathematical model	_	3.3- 1.1@ 40-70°C	-69 ± 4 ^{@H2O} & -60 ± 4 ^{@DMC}	$-4 \pm 6^{@25^{\circ}C H2O} \& -2 \pm 6^{@25^{\circ}C DMC}$	$-25 \pm 6^{(0)} \& -25 \pm 6^{(0)} DMC$	66
CeO ₂	90- 130	300	Mathematical model using PROMS Model Builder (version 3.6.0)	106	-	$-69 \pm 4^{@H2O}$ & -60 $\pm 4^{@DMC}$	_	$-25 \pm 6^{(0)} \& -25 \pm 6^{(0)} \& 6^{(0)}$	67
Ceria nanorod	125, 140	138	Langmuir– Hinshelwood mechanisms	65 ± 18	_	_	_	_	68
Ce _{0.5} Zr _{0.5} O ₂	100- 180	150	Peng– Robinson– Stryjek–Vera equation with van der Waals one–fluid reaction	_	3.629 ×10 ⁻⁷	_	1.54	-139.76	This paper

Table 4. Literature review of kinetics models compared for kinetics study of chemical equilibrium and thermodynamics analysis.



Figure 1. (a) XRD patterns $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x=0 to 1) with exotemplate, (b) XRD patterns of the $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ with exotemplate and endo-/exotemplate ($n_{TBC}/n_{Ce+Zr}=0.017$).



Figure 2. FE-SEM images and EDX of (a) CeO_2 , (b) ZrO_2 , (c) $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$, (d) EDX spectra of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$.



Figure 3. Surface area of the $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ mixed oxides from the synthesis depending on the CeO_2 -content.



(b)

Figure 4. (a) N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherm CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, ZrO₂; $-\blacksquare$ – ZrO₂, -•- Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, - \blacktriangle – CeO₂. (b) Pore diameter distributions of CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, ZrO₂. (1) CeO₂, (2) Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, and (3) ZrO₂.



Figure 5. (a) CO₂-TPD of the synthesized CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, ZrO₂ catalysts, (b) NH₃-TPD of the synthesized CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, ZrO₂ catalysts. (1) CeO₂, (2) ZrO₂, and (3) Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript



Figure 6. (a) Methanol Conversion and DMC yield over CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and ZrO₂ catalysts; Reaction conditions: (Methanol=25.03 mL, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar, T=120°C, t=24 h); \square DMC Yield (mmol/g cat.), \square % Methanol conversion, $-\blacktriangle - \%$ CO₂ conversion.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript



Figure 7. Mechanism of DMC synthesis from direct conversion of CO₂ and methanol.



(c)

Figure 8. Effect of various parameters for direct conversion of CO_2 with methanol for DMC synthesis; (a) effect of reaction time at Methanol=25.03 ml, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar, T=120°C; (b) effect of catalyst dose at Methanol=25.03 ml, P=150 bar, T=120°C, t=24 h; (c) effect of temperature at Methanol=25.03 ml, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar. $-\bullet$ CO₂ conversion, $-\bullet$ DMC yield, $-\blacktriangle$ Methanol conversion.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript



Figure 9. Reusability of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst DMC synthesis from direct conversion of CO₂ with methanol (methanol=25.03 mL, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar, T=120°C, t=24 h); \square DMC Yield (mmol/g cat.), \square % Methanol conversion, $-\blacktriangle - \%$ CO₂ conversion.

FIGURES CAPTIONS

Figure 1. (a) XRD patterns $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x=0 to 1) with exotemplate, (b) XRD patterns of the $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ with exotemplate and endo-/exotemplate ($n_{TBC}/n_{Ce+Zr}=0.017$).

Figure 2. FE-SEM images and EDX of (a) CeO_2 , (b) ZrO_2 , (c) $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$, (d) EDX spectra of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$.

Figure 3. Surface area of the $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ mixed oxides from the synthesis depending on the CeO_2 -content.

Figure 4. (a) N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherm CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, ZrO₂; $-\bullet-$ ZrO₂, $-\bullet-$ CeO₂, O_2 , $-\bullet-$ CeO₂, O_2 , $-\bullet-$ CeO₂, O_2 , $-\bullet-$ CeO₂, O_2 , O_2 ,

Figure 5. (a) CO_2 -TPD of the synthesized CeO_2 , $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$, ZrO_2 catalysts, (b) NH_3 -TPD of the synthesized CeO_2 , $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$, ZrO_2 catalysts. (1) CeO_2 , (2) ZrO_2 , and (3) $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$.

Figure 6. (a) Methanol Conversion and DMC yield over CeO₂, Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ and ZrO₂ catalysts; Reaction conditions: (Methanol=25.03 mL, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar, T=120°C, t=24 h); \square DMC Yield (mmol/g cat.), \square % Methanol conversion, $-\blacktriangle -\%$ CO₂ conversion.

Figure 7. Mechanism of DMC synthesis from direct conversion of CO₂ and methanol.

Figure 8. Effect of various parameters for direct conversion of CO₂ with methanol for DMC synthesis; (a) effect of reaction time at Methanol=25.03 ml, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar, T=120°C; (b) effect of catalyst dose at Methanol=25.03 ml, P=150 bar, T=120°C, t=24 h; (c) effect of temperature at Methanol=25.03 ml, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar. $-\bullet$ CO₂ conversion, $-\bullet$ DMC yield, $-\blacktriangle$ Methanol conversion.

Figure 9. Reusability of $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ catalyst DMC synthesis from direct conversion of CO_2 with methanol (methanol=25.03 mL, catalyst dose=1.25 g, P=150 bar, T=120°C, t=24 h); DMC Yield (mmol/g cat.), \blacksquare % Methanol conversion, $-\blacktriangle -\% CO_2$ conversion.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript



209x80mm (120 x 120 DPI)