

Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Cheng, C. Klein and I. Tonks, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0SC01576H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

Synthesis of Pentasubstituted 2-Aryl Pyrroles from Boryl and Stannyl Alkynes via One-Pot Sequential Ti-Catalyzed [2+2+1] Pyrrole Synthesis/Cross Coupling Reactions

Yukun Cheng, Channing K. Klein and Ian A. Tonks*

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Multisubstituted pyrroles are commonly found in many bioactive small molecule scaffolds, yet the synthesis of highly-substituted pyrrole cores remains challenging. Herein, we report an efficient catalytic synthesis of 2-heteroatom-substituted (9-BBN or SnR_3) pyrroles via Ti-catalyzed [2+2+1] heterocoupling of heteroatom-substituted alkynes. In particular, the 9-BBN-alkyne coupling reactions were found to be very sensitive to Lewis basic ligands in the reaction: exchange of pyridine ligands from Ti to B inhibited catalysis, as evidenced by in situ ^{11}B NMR studies. The resulting 2-boryl substituted pyrroles can then be used in Suzuki reactions in a 1-pot sequential fashion, resulting in pentasubstituted 2-aryl pyrroles that are inaccessible via previous [2+2+1] heterocoupling strategies. This reaction provides a complementary approach to previous [2+2+1] heterocouplings of TMS-substituted alkynes, which could be further functionalized via electrophilic aromatic substitution.

Introduction

Pyrroles are important heterocycles found in diverse applications from pharmaceuticals to conducting materials.^{1–6} However, their ubiquity belies significant challenges in the facile synthesis of highly substituted pyrrole cores. Many of the synthetic routes such as the Paal-Knorr condensation require multi-steps backbone synthesis, which add difficulties to late-stage substituent diversification.^{7,8} Multicomponent reactions provide a shortcut to the construction of structures with high complexity. A series of pioneering studies has been reported by Odom on Ti-catalyzed multicomponent pyrrole synthesis based on hydroamination and iminoamination.^{9–11} Following a slightly different strategy, we recently developed a multicomponent [2+2+1] Ti-catalyzed pyrrole forming reaction that yields the heterocycle in a single step.¹² Chemo- and regioselective intermolecular reactions can be achieved via the heterocoupling of trialkylsilyl-protected alkynes, which selectively engage in migratory insertion into a key azatitanacyclobutene [2+2] cycloadduct intermediate.¹³ (Figure 1, top).

Although the TMS-substituted pyrrole heterocoupling products were good candidates for further diversification through electrophilic aromatic substitution of the electron-rich silylpyrrole, we were not able to directly install aryl groups into either the 2- or 5-position around the pyrrole. This limitation arises from the polarization of the Ti-imido bond in [2+2] cycloaddition, as well as the limited utility of TMS-substituted

arenes in $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-C}_{\text{sp}^2}$ bond forming reactions. Thus, we envisioned that the development of other heteroatom-substituted alkyne heterocoupling reactions would lead to alternative strategies for pyrrole diversification.

Given the enormous library of well-established group to metal-catalyzed cross coupling reactions, we were interested in the direct synthesis of pyrroles with heteroatoms that could potentially serve as good transmetalation partners.^{14–21} Herein, we report the application of B-alkynyl 9-borabicyclo[3,3,1]nonanes and alkynyl stannanes in selective Ti-catalyzed [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis (Figure 1, bottom). These reactions provide efficient methods for the construction of versatile polysubstituted pyrrole building blocks, and also provide the opportunity for further diversification into otherwise-inaccessible 2-arylpyrroles through one-pot alkyne cyclization/Suzuki coupling reactions. Preliminary results with other heteroatom-substituted alkynes such as B-alkynyl pinacolborane and copper acetylides are also presented.




Figure 1. Heterocoupling strategies for selective [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis.

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, 207 Pleasant St SE, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States.


† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and spectroscopic data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x











Results and Discussion

First, several potential heteroatom-substituted alkynes were examined as candidates for the [2+2+1] reaction, focusing on heteroatomic groups that could later be good transmetallation partners in cross-coupling catalysis (Table 1). The functional groups involved in the initial screen included boronic acid pinacol ester (Table 1, entry 1, **1a-Bpin**) and the THF adduct of 9-borabicyclo[3,3,1]nonane (Table 1, entry 2, **1a-BBN**), SnMe_3 (Table 1, entry 3, **1a-SnMe₃**), and Cu (Table 1, entry 4, **1a-Cu**). Initial reaction conditions were based off from previously successful conditions for TMS-substituted alkyne substrates,¹³ using chloride-based Ti catalysts that are typically most robust for [2+2+1] reactions.^{22,23} All new heteroatom-substituted reactions resulted in significantly lower yields than the corresponding TMS-substituted alkyne reactions, highlighting the challenges of conserving a reactive transmetallating agent through another organometallic transformation.

Table 1. Examination of potential heteroatom-substituted alkyne partners in Ti-catalyzed [2+2+1] heterocoupling.^a



Entry	X	Product	Yield	Selectivity ^b
1 ^d			19%	2.5:1 (1.1:1) ^d
2 ^e			7%	22.3:1 (12.5:1)
3 ^e			51%	6.4:1 (4.5:1)
4 ^d			7%	n.d. ^f

^aConc. = 0.2 M. ^bSelectivity with respect to all heterocoupling pyrrole regioisomer products. Selectivity = **3a-M** / (**4a-M** + **5a-M**). In parenthesis: selectivity with respect to all possible pyrrole products. Selectivity in parenthesis = **3a-M** / (**4a-M** + **5a-M** + homocoupled products of **2**). ^dSelectivities calculated for major heterocoupling product **5a-M** instead of **3a-M**. ^et = 16 h. ^ft = 20 h. ^fOther pyrrole products cannot be quantified due to their low yield and peak overlapping.

The reaction of PhCCBpin (**1a-Bpin**) with PhCCMe yielded 3-Bpin-substituted pyrrole **5a-Bpin** as the major product of the

reaction (Table 1 entry 1); however, the heterocoupling selectivity with respect to **3a-Bpin** and **4a-Bpin** (2.5:1) and overall selectivity toward **5a-Bpin** (1.1:1) was poor. Additionally, there was obvious decomposition of the Bpin moiety, indicated by the observation of white Ti-oxo precipitates. This leads us to speculate that oxophilic Ti may be transmetallating or otherwise reacting with the Bpin B-O bonds.²⁴ Further optimization attempts with Bpin-substituted alkynes were unsuccessful (Figure S11). Although alkynyl borates exhibited compatibility issues with our catalytic system, a recent report from Schafer has demonstrated a borane-functionalized alkyne as a hydroamination substrate in Ti hydroamination catalysis.²⁵ Thus, we next examined PhCC-BBN-THF (**1a-BBN**) (Table 1, entry 2). Although **1a-BBN** gave a low yield of **3a-BBN** as the major product, both the heterocoupling selectivity and overall selectivity of the reaction were very high. Retention of the 9-BBN moiety in this reaction was encouraging, given the diverse modes of reactivity and transmetallation of the boryl unit with transition metals and unsaturated species.^{26–31} In fact, there are no reports of organometallic reactions of 9-BBN-substituted alkynes that retain the 9-BBN group. Similar to **1a-BBN**, reaction of PhCCSnMe₃ (**1a-SnMe₃**) resulted in the chemo- and regioselective formation of **3a-SnMe₃** (Table 1, entry 3). **3a-SnMe₃** is also stable to aqueous workup, making stannyl alkynes another attractive candidate class for optimization and method development. Regioselectivity in these reactions results from the polarized C-C triple bond (Figure 2).^{32–34} In the case of 9-BBN, polarization is a result of the B mesomeric effect,²⁶ while for SnMe₃ polarization results from hyperconjugation between $\sigma_{\text{Sn-R}}$ and $\pi^*_{\text{C-C}}$ in a manner similar to TMS-protected alkynes.¹³




Figure 2. Alkyne polarization results in high regioselectivity for 2nd insertion into the putative azatitanacyclobutadiene intermediate.

[PhCCCu]_n (**1a-Cu**) exhibited excellent regioselectivity for the formation of **3a-Cu** (Table 1, entry 4; Figure S10); however, the yield and overall chemoselectivity for the heterocoupled product was very low owing to the insolubility of polymeric **1a-Cu**. Further, significant protodecupration occurred in all attempts with **1a-Cu**, hampering potential utility. Despite these initial challenges with Cu, a recent report from Schafer on Ti-catalyzed hydroamination of NHC-Cu alkynes indicates that alkynylcuprates could yet be good candidates for [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis.³⁵


Having identified 9-BBN- and Sn-substituted alkynes as potential heterocoupling candidates, we next optimized these reactions and explored their substrate scope. Optimization experiments for PhCC-BBN-THF (**1a-BBN**) are presented in Table 2, while optimization of PhCC-SnMe₃ (**1a-SnMe₃**) are presented in Table S2. Increasing Ti catalyst loading to 10% and changing the solvent from PhCF₃ to C₆D₅Br resulted in significant



increases to the yield of **3a** without erosion of the overall selectivity. Under these optimized conditions, the reactions were completed within 0.5 h (Table 2, entry 8).

Surprisingly, the yield of **3a** dropped from 74% to 65% upon increasing the catalyst loading from 10 mol% to 15 mol% (Table 2, entries 3 and 4). We hypothesized that B and Ti may be undergoing dative ligand (THF or py) exchange and that the resulting B-L/Ti-L speciation may be impacting catalysis. Thus, several experiments were conducted where the L donor identities and molar ratios were changed (Figure 3). First, reaction of **1a-BBN** with pyridine-free catalyst $[\text{TiCl}_2(\text{NPh})]_n$ (Figure 3A) resulted in dramatically lower yields, indicating that pyridine is needed for productive catalysis (in part, at least, due to catalyst solubility). Excess **1a-BBN** (Figure 3B) resulted in a lower yield of **3a**, and monitoring by ^{11}B NMR (Figure S73) indicated that remaining **1b** had abstracted pyridine from the catalyst forming PhCC-BBN-py (**1a-BBN-py**). Reaction of preformed **1a-BBN-py** resulted in very slow conversion to **3a** (Figure 3C). *In situ* ^{11}B NMR analysis of the optimized reaction of **1a-BBN** (Table 2, entry 8) and the reaction of **1a-BBN-py** are shown in Figure 4. In both cases, **1a-BBN-py** is evident at $t = 0$ and is not fully consumed at the end of the reaction at $t = 0.5$ h. These results indicate that a careful stoichiometric balance of pyridine must be struck with these Lewis acidic substrates: the Ti catalyst needs py bound for productive catalysis, but py-bound **1a-BBN-py** undergoes significantly slower reaction than THF-bound **1a-BBN** or free PhCC-BBN (Figure 3D).

Table 2. Optimization of the Ti-catalyzed [2+2+1] heterocoupling of **1a-BBN** with **2**.^a



Entry	%[Ti] ^b	Solvent	[py] equiv. ^c	Yield ^d (Selectivity ^e)
1	5	PhCF_3	0.2	7% (12.5:1)
2	5	$\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Br}$	0.2	22% (6.2:1)
3	10	$\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Br}$	0.4	74% (17.1:1)
4	15	$\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Br}$	0.6	65% (13.2:1)
5	10	PhCH_3	0.4	67% (19.6:1)
6	10	PhCF_3	0.4	55% (15.7:1)
7	10	PhOCH_3	0.4	20% (9.6:1)
8 ^f	10	$\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Br}$	0.4	66% (22.7:1)

^aConc. = 0.2 M. ^b[PhNNPh] was adjusted coordinatively to the change in [Ti] to keep the nitrene equivalent as 1, on basis of the relationship $[\text{Nitrene}] = [\text{Ti}] + 2[\text{PhNNPh}]$. ^cTotal equivalent of pyridine in the reaction. ^dYield determined by GC-FID. ^eSelectivity with respect to all possible pyrrole products. ^f $t = 0.5$ h.




Figure 3. Control reactions studying the effect of L donor on the Ti-catalyzed [2+2+1] heterocoupling of **1a-BBN** with **2**. A: reaction with 0 equiv pyridine. B: excess **1a-BBN** acts as a pyridine scavenger. C: pyridine-bound **1a-BBN-py** reacts significantly slower than **1a-BBN**. D: schematic demonstrating pyridine coordination equilibrium effects.







Figure 4. ^{11}B NMR study demonstrating pyridine-bound **1a-BBN-py** (bottom) reacts more slowly than **1a-BBN** (top).

Next, a small scope of 9-BBN-substituted and SnR_3 -substituted alkynes was examined in heterocoupling with **2** (Table 3). Reactions of the alkynes examined resulted in good selectivity and yield of the corresponding 2-borylpyrroles and 2-stannylpyrroles, which were hydrolyzed with HCl in methanol to simplify analysis. Neither electronics or sterics on the arylalkyne significantly impacted yield and selectivity: electron-rich (**1b-BBN**, **1b-SnMe₃**) and electron-deficient (**1c-BBN**, **1c-SnMe₃**) arylalkynylboranes reacted equally well, as did the more sterically encumbered *o*-tolyl-alkynylborane (**1d-BBN**, **1d-SnMe₃**). Lastly, the reaction of alkyl-substituted alkynes $^n\text{BuCC-BBN-THF}$ (**1e-BBN**) and $\text{MeCC-Sn}^n\text{Bu}_3$ (**1f-Sn}^n\text{Bu}_3**) were also highly selective.

Further, we investigated the [2+2+1] heterocoupling reactions with different hydrocarbon alkynes (Table 4). Various symmetric internal alkyne (**2g-j**) demonstrated productive heterocoupling reactivity. The non-polarized nature of the $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$ bond of these symmetric alkynes led to lower reactivity in [2+2] cycloaddition, resulting in lower yields. However, these less reactive alkynes were also less prone to competitive insertion chemistry, contributing to the higher overall selectivity (> 50:1 in the case of **3j**) of these reactions. Lastly, a terminal alkyne (**2k**) was also tested, which resulted in mostly alkyne trimerization instead of productive reactivity (see Supporting Information pg S126).

Table 3. Substrate scope of 9-BBN- and R_3Sn -alkynes in [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis. Online
DOI: 10.1039/D0SC01576H


1a-e-BBN^a	1a-d-SnMe₃^b 1f-SnⁿBu₃^b
2	2
3a-e Yield ^c Selectivity ^d	3a-d,f Yield ^c Selectivity ^d
9-BBN 73% 11.7:1 SnMe₃ 53% 5.4:1	9-BBN 60% 5.2:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 SnMe₃ 68% 11.5:1
9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1	9-BBN 60% 6.5:1 SnMe₃ 54% 5.3:1
9-BBN 65% 10.9:1 SnMe₃ 60% 7.7:1	9-BBN 65% 4.3:1 Sn

Table 4. Alkyne scope in B- and Sn-functionalized [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis.

^aConc. = 0.2 M. ^bConc. = 0.8 M. ^cYield determined by NMR. ^dSelectivity with respect to all possible pyrrole products. ^eYield determined by GC.

Though 9-BBN is frequently used in Suzuki cross coupling reactions between Csp³-9-BBN and various C-X electrophiles,^{36–40} the sp²-sp² Suzuki cross coupling of aryl-9-BBN nucleophiles is rare.⁴¹ Nonetheless, we sought to develop a one-pot sequential [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis and arylation procedure (Table 5). Reaction of 1a-BBN with 2 in PhCH₃ *in situ* produces 3a-BBN; after formation of the pyrrole, addition of *p*-iodofluorobenzene (6a), 10% Pd(PPh₃)₄, and 2.5 equiv NaO^tBu generates the pentasubstituted pyrrole product 7aa in good (58%) overall yield. Since these Ti redox catalytic reactions are tolerant of aryl halide functional groups, the reaction can also be carried in the desired aryl halide solvent in similar overall yield (40% for 7aa) and shorter [2+2+1] reaction time. This one-pot procedure provides convenient access to unsymmetrical pentasubstituted 2-aryl pyrroles that cannot be accessed via previous [2+2+1] heterocoupling protocols, which could only install aryl groups at the N-, 3-, and 4-positions. Further exploration on the scope of the one-pot pyrrole synthesis/arylation revealed that productive chemistry can be performed on a broad scope of alkynylborane substrates and aryl halides, giving moderate to good yields over the two-step sequence. In general, the substrate scope revealed limited effect on the yield of [2+2+1] step (as seen in Table 3), but large effects on the arylation step. For example, the arylation step is very sensitive to steric hindrance: formation of 7da, which requires transmetalation⁴² of a sterically encumbered 3-tolyl-2-(9-BBN)pyrrole, resulted in large amount of protodeborylated 3d (Figure S123) and only 19% 7da. In contrast, in the formation

of 7ac (where the aryl and tolyl groups are transposed, resulting in a less bulky 3-tolyl-2-(9-BBN)pyrrole), there was a smaller amount of protodeborylated 3a observed (Figure S141). Similarly, the arylation to form 7ea is much higher yielding, with only trace amount of 3e formed (Figure S130). The aryl ether substrate 6b underwent coupling to form 7ab with moderate yield, although some demethoxylation was evident. Other oxygenated substrates such as 6d and 6e were poor cross coupling partners. Although nitro groups and esters are commonly tolerated in Suzuki reactions,¹¹ B NMR spectroscopic evidence indicates that deleterious chemistry with the 9-BBN group may be taking place (Figure S150). In addition to sp²-sp² Suzuki cross coupling, we also attempted Csp³-C-X cross couplings with aryl-9-BBN. These reactions are also rarely studied, although Fu has several demonstrations with aryl and vinyl 9-BBN substrates.^{18,43} Unfortunately, rapid protodemetalation of the 9-BBN pyrrole was observed in all attempts.

Table 5. One-pot sequential pyrrole synthesis/arylation.^a

^aConc. = 0.2 M. Yields determined by ¹H NMR. ^bIn parenthesis: reaction solvent = 6a, time = 0.5 h (1st step), 20 h (2nd step).

Finally, given that 9-BBN and Sn alkynes undergo coupling with similar chemo- and regioselectivity to TMS-protected alkynes,¹³ intramolecular competition experiments were conducted to determine the relative directing ability of the two functional groups compared to TMS (Figure 5). There are few points of comparison for the regioselectivity of insertion into these types



of doubly-functionalized alkynes. Studies of protodemetallation of TMS-CC-M (M = Si, Ge, Sn) indicate that β -hyperconjugative stabilization of putative vinyl carbocation intermediates increases $\text{Si} < \text{Ge} < \text{Sn}$,⁴⁴ which could potentially also stabilize the building δ^+ on the β -C during 1,2 insertion of the alkyne into the Ti-C bond of the azatitanacyclobutadiene intermediate. If this were the dominant mechanism of regiocontrol, Sn would be a stronger director than Si. Reaction of TMS-CC-BBN-THF (**1g-BBN**) with **2** resulted in formation of 10% **3g-BBN** and 25% **4g-BBN** (Figure 5, top), while reaction of TMS-CC-SnⁿBu₃ (**1g-SnⁿBu₃**) with **2** resulted in the formation of 6% **3g-SnⁿBu₃** and 12% **4g-SnⁿBu₃**. Thus, TMS is a stronger directing group for insertion than both 9-BBN and SnⁿBu₃ (Figure 5, bottom). Thus, the β -stabilization from the alkyne substituent does not play a dominant role in determining relative selectivity, and other factors such as the relative strength of the forming Ti-C_{Si} bond vs Ti-C_M bond may also be involved: for example, Micalizio has demonstrated that insertion into 2-silyl-3-stannyltitanacyclopropenes will occur on the Ti-C_{Sn} bond compared to the Ti-C_{Si} bond.^{45,46}




Figure 5. Directing group strength comparisons.

Conclusions

In summary, both alkynyl boranes and stannanes are efficient alkyne heterocoupling partners in titanium-catalyzed [2+2+1] pyrrole synthesis, generating the corresponding heteroatom-substituted pyrroles with high chemo- and regioselectivity. The resulting products are candidates for further functionalization through cross coupling, as demonstrated by a 1-pot sequential [2+2+1] boryl pyrrole synthesis/Suzuki coupling reaction. These 1-pot sequential reactions provide access to unique, highly decorated pentasubstituted pyrroles that are otherwise inaccessible via [2+2+1] heterocoupling protocols or classical pyrrole synthetic strategies.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (1R35GM119457), and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

(I.A.T. is a 2017 Sloan Fellow). Instrumentation for the University of Minnesota Chemistry NMR facility was supported from a grant through the National Institutes of Health (S10OD011952). We thank B. J. Foley and Prof. O. V. Ozerov (Texas A&M University) for providing an iridium catalyst used for the synthesis of Bpin-substituted alkynes.

Notes and references

- 1 M. Baumann, I. R. Baxendale, S. V. Ley and N. Nikbin, An overview of the key routes to the best selling 5-membered ring heterocyclic pharmaceuticals, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **7**, 442–495.
- 2 M. K. Kathiravan, A. B. Salake, A. S. Chothe, P. B. Dudhe, R. P. Watode, M. S. Mukta and S. Gadhwe, The biology and chemistry of antifungal agents: A review, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **20**, 5678–5698.
- 3 C. T. Walsh, S. Garneau-Tsodikova and A. R. Howard-Jones, Biological formation of pyrroles: Nature's logic and enzymatic machinery, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2006, **23**, 517.
- 4 P. S. Sharma, A. Pietrzyk-Le, F. D'Souza and W. Kutner, Electrochemically synthesized polymers in molecular imprinting for chemical sensing, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, **402**, 3177–3204.
- 5 A. Loudet and K. Burgess, BODIPY Dyes and Their Derivatives: Syntheses and Spectroscopic Properties, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4891–4932.
- 6 G. W. Gribble, in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II*, Elsevier, 1996, pp. 207–257.
- 7 V. Estévez, M. Villacampa and J. C. Menéndez, Recent advances in the synthesis of pyrroles by multicomponent reactions, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 4633–4657.
- 8 A. V. Gulevich, A. S. Dudnik, N. Chernyak and V. Gevorgyan, Transition Metal-Mediated Synthesis of Monocyclic Aromatic Heterocycles, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 3084–3213.
- 9 B. Ramanathan, A. J. Keith, D. Armstrong and A. L. Odom, Pyrrole Syntheses Based on Titanium-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Diynes, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 2957–2960.
- 10 E. Barnea, S. Majumder, R. J. Staples and A. L. Odom, One-Step Route to 2,3-Diaminopyrroles Using a Titanium-Catalyzed Four-Component Coupling, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 3876–3881.
- 11 C. M. Pasko, A. A. Dissanayake, B. S. Billow and A. L. Odom, One-pot synthesis of pyrroles using a titanium-catalyzed multicomponent coupling procedure, *Tetrahedron*, 2016, **72**, 1168–1176.
- 12 Z. W. Gilbert, R. J. Hue and I. A. Tonks, Catalytic formal [2+2+1] synthesis of pyrroles from alkynes and diazenes via TiII/TiIV redox catalysis, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 63–68.
- 13 H. C. Chiu and I. A. Tonks, Trimethylsilyl-Protected Alkynes as Selective Cross-Coupling Partners in Titanium-Catalyzed [2+2+1] Pyrrole Synthesis, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6090–6094.
- 14 N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions of Organoboron Compounds, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 2457–2483.
- 15 S. Kotha, K. Lahiri and D. Kashinath, Recent applications of



- the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction in organic synthesis, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 9633–9695.
- 16 F. Liron, C. Fosse, A. Pernolet and E. Roulland, Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-Coupling of 1,1-Dichloro-1-alkenes with 9-Alkyl-9-BBN, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 2220–2223.
- 17 Z. Lu and G. C. Fu, Alkyl-Alkyl Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Unactivated Secondary Alkyl Chlorides, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6676–6678.
- 18 S. L. Zultanski and G. C. Fu, Nickel-Catalyzed Carbon–Carbon Bond-Forming Reactions of Unactivated Tertiary Alkyl Halides: Suzuki Arylations, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 624–627.
- 19 I. Nakamura and Y. Yamamoto, Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Reactions in Heterocyclic Synthesis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 2127–2198.
- 20 V. Farina, V. Krishnamurthy and W. J. Scott, in *Organic Reactions*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1997, pp. 1–652.
- 21 G. Evans, N. Blanchard and M. Toumi, Copper-Mediated Coupling Reactions and Their Applications in Natural Products and Designed Biomolecules Synthesis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 3054–3131.
- 22 Z. W. Davis-Gilbert, X. Wen, J. D. Goodpaster and I. A. Tonks, Mechanism of Ti-Catalyzed Oxidative Nitrene Transfer in [2 + 2 + 1] Pyrrole Synthesis from Alkynes and Azobenzene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 7267–7281.
- 23 X. Y. See, B. Reiner, X. Wen, T. A. Wheeler, C. Klein, J. Goodpaster and I. Tonks, Iterative Supervised Principal Component Analysis-Driven Ligand Design for Regioselective Ti-Catalyzed Pyrrole Synthesis, <https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.12284378.v1>.
- 24 H.-C. Chiu, X. Y. See and I. A. Tonks, Dative Directing Group Effects in Ti-Catalyzed [2+2+1] Pyrrole Synthesis: Chemo- and Regioselective Alkyne Heterocoupling, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 216–223.
- 25 H. Hao, K. A. Thompson, Z. M. Hudson and L. L. Schafer, Ti-Catalyzed Hydroamination for the Synthesis of Amine-Containing p-Conjugated Materials, *Chem. - A Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 5562–5568.
- 26 S.-W. Leung and D. A. Singleton, Reactions of Alkynyldihaloboranes with 1,3-Dienes. 1,4-Alkynylborations and Stepwise Diels–Alder Reactions, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 1955–1960.
- 27 M. Yamaguchi and I. Hirao, A synthesis of alkynyl azacycloalkanes by the coupling reaction of alkynyl boranes and lactams, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, **24**, 1719–1722.
- 28 F. Ge, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc and G. Erker, Borole Formation by 1,1-Carboboration, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 68–71.
- 29 G. Kehr and G. Erker, 1,1-Carboboration, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1839–1850.
- 30 O. Ekkert, O. Tuschewitzki, C. G. Daniliuc, G. Kehr and G. Erker, Reaction of strongly electrophilic alkenylboranes with phosphanylalkynes: rare examples of intermolecular 1,1-alkenylboration reactions, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6992.
- 31 G. Kehr and G. Erker, Advanced 1,1-carboboration reactions with pentafluorophenylboranes, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 56–65.
- 32 D. A. Singleton and S. W. Leung, An unprecedented electronic preference for the ‘meta’ product in Diels–Alder reactions of ethynyldialkylboranes. [(Trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]-9-BBN as a reactive and versatile dienophile, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 4796–4797.
- 33 M. A. Silva, S. C. Pellegrinet and J. M. Goodman, A DFT Study on the Regioselectivity of the Reaction of Dichloropropynylborane with Isoprene, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 4059–4066.
- 34 C. Cauletti, C. Furlani, G. Granozzi, A. Sebald and B. Wrackmeyer, The σ - π interactions in alkynyltin(IV) compounds studies by UV photoelectron spectroscopy and pseudopotential ab initio calculations, *Organometallics*, 1985, **4**, 290–295.
- 35 H. Han and L. L. Schafer, Ti Catalyzed Hydroamination: A Direct Functionalization of Cu Acetylide, <https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.11455269.v1>.
- 36 N. Miyaura, T. Ishiyama, H. Sasaki, M. Ishikawa, M. Sato and A. Suzuki, Palladium-catalyzed inter- and intramolecular cross-coupling reactions of B-alkyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivatives with 1-halo-1-alkenes or haloarenes. Syntheses of functionalized alkenes, arenes, and cycloalkenes via a hydroboration-coupling sequence, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 314–321.
- 37 T. Ishiyama, S. Abe, N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, Palladium-Catalyzed Alkyl-Alkyl Cross-Coupling Reaction of 9-Alkyl-9-BBN Derivatives with Iodoalkanes Possessing β -Hydrogens, *Chem. Lett.*, 1992, **21**, 691–694.
- 38 A. Fürstner and A. Leitner, General and User-friendly Method for Suzuki Reactions with Aryl Chlorides, *Synlett*, 2001, **2001**, 0290–0292.
- 39 S. D. Walker, T. E. Barder, J. R. Martinelli and S. L. Buchwald, A Rationally Designed Universal Catalyst for Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling Processes, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 1871–1876.
- 40 S. L. Zultanski and G. C. Fu, Catalytic Asymmetric γ -Alkylation of Carbonyl Compounds via Stereoconvergent Suzuki Cross-Couplings, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15362–15364.
- 41 To the best of our knowledge, there are only three reports on sp^2 - sp^2 Suzuki cross coupling of aryl-9-BBN nucleophiles: (a) M. Ishikura, I. Oda and M. Terashima, A Simple and Regioselective Preparation of 2- or 3-Substituted Quinoline Derivatives via Dialkylquinolylboranes, *Heterocycles*, 1985, **23**, 2375. (b) P. Rocca, F. Marsais, A. Godard and G. Queguiner, Connection between metalation and cross-coupling strategies. A new convergent route to azacarbazoles., *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 49–64. (c) M. Lee, J. B. Rangisetty, M. R. Pullagurla, M. Dukat, V. Setola, B. L. Roth and R. A. Glennon, 1-(1-Naphthyl)piperazine as a novel template for 5-HT₆ serotonin receptor ligands, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **15**, 1707–1711.
- 42 A. J. J. Lennox and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, Selection of boron reagents for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 412–443.
- 43 M. R. Netherton, C. Dai, K. Neuschütz and G. C. Fu, Room-Temperature Alkyl-Alkyl Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Bromides that Possess β Hydrogens, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 10099–10100.



ARTICLE

Journal Name

- 44 C. Dallaire and M. A. Brook, The β -Effect with Vinyl Cations: Kinetic Study of the Protodemetalation of Silyl-, Germyl-, and Stannylalkynes, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 2332–2338.
- 45 H. Mizoguchi and G. C. Micalizio, Synthesis of Highly Functionalized Decalins via Metallacycle-Mediated Cross-Coupling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6624–6628.
- 46 G. C. Micalizio and H. Mizoguchi, The Development of Alkoxide-Directed Metallacycle-Mediated Annulative Cross-Coupling Chemistry, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2017, **57**, 228–238.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D0SC01576H



TOC Figure

