Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



View Article Online

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 220

Received 3rd November 2019, Accepted 3rd December 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9ob02377a rsc.li/obc

Base-mediated intramolecular one-pot double-cyclization of epoxide-tethered 2-fluorobenzenesulfonamides: an avenue to 1,4-benzoxazine-fused benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides†

Jonali Das, Biraj Jyoti Borah 🕩 and Sajal Kumar Das 🕩 *

Herein, we describe the synthesis of hitherto unknown 1,4-benzoxazine-fused benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides by a NaH-promoted intramolecular one-pot double-cyclization of epoxide-tethered 2-fluorobenzene sulfonamides. Mechanistically, the reactions proceed *via* an intramolecular epoxide ring-opening followed by an intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution. The high yields, mild conditions, complete regio- and diastereoselectivity, and a wide substrate scope render this protocol well suited for drug discovery efforts.

Nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) is one of the most frequently used reactions that stand tall amongst many recent innovative reactions.¹ Epoxide ring-opening reaction is another major workhorse in synthetic organic chemistry.² Despite these attributes, however, a major drawback is that these two reactions in the intermolecular mode often suffer from one or more of the following drawbacks: harsh reaction conditions, excess of reagents, low product yields and long reaction times. On the other hand, their intramolecular counterparts not only circumvent these hurdles, but also often enjoy high to complete regio- and stereocontrol to furnish ring systems in a straightforward fashion.^{3,4} In this context, intramolecular one-pot double-cyclization involving epoxide ringopening followed by S_NAr would be a very interesting and useful method for accessing fused ring systems. Considering the too frequent usage of S_NAr and epoxide ring-opening reactions, one would expect a number of synthetic reports based on this concept. However, to the best of our knowledge, such an idea is unprecedented in the chemical literature.

As members of the privileged N-heterocycles, the 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazine and benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-

dioxide scaffolds are ubiquitous in a wide range of natural and/or synthetic compounds.^{5,6} Fig. 1a and b show some prominent representative examples of compounds based on these two core structures. Owing to their demonstrated pharmacological uses, extensive efforts have been devoted to the development of synthetic methods for diverse 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazines and benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides.^{7,8}



Fig. 1 (a) Representative bioactive 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazines. (b) Representative bioactive benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides. (c) Designed fused 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazine-benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxide hybrids.

Department of Chemical Sciences, Tezpur University, Napaam, Tezpur, Assam, India-784028. E-mail: sajalkd@tezu.ernet.in

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, X-ray crystallographic data, figures of ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectra, and HRMS. CCDC 1966259. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c90b02377a

However, the synthesis of hybrid molecules by the combination of these two privileged scaffolds remains unreported, despite holding great potential to furnish compounds of new biological profiles. More specifically, synthetic studies to construct benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxide fused 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazines such as **1** (Fig. 1c) remain elusive. From the vantage point of interest in both synthetic and medicinal chemistry settings, access to such hybrid compounds is highly desirable.

Meanwhile, in 2010, the research groups of Hanson and Cleator almost simultaneously reported the synthesis of diverse benzosultams 4 using 2-fluoroarenesulfonamides 2 as ambiphilic synthons and terminal epoxides 3 as masked ambiphilic synthons under harsh reaction conditions and without addressing the issues of regio- and diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1a).^{9,10} We felt that this 2-fluoroarenesulfonamide– epoxide pairing strategy should be studied further in organic synthesis to explore the unaddressed issues and could be implemented in an intramolecular one-pot double cyclization fashion to access fused benzosultams like 1 from glycidyl ether-tethered 2-fluoroarenesulfonamide 5 (Scheme 1b). Herein, we present the realization of this novel concept.

At the beginning, we focused on the development of an effective synthetic route for the double-cyclization precursors 5. However, in contrast to the synthesis of substrates for the



- uncertainty in the regio- and stereoselectivity with 2,3-disubstituted epoxides.
- formation of only one ring





intermolecular 2-fluoroarenesulfonamide-epoxide pairing strategy (Scheme 1a), we believed that accomplishing this task for its intramolecular counterpart (Scheme 1b) would not be as simple as it might seem, since it would need tethering of two reactive ambiphilic synthons in a single molecule. For example, when we attempted the synthesis of 5t from compound 6 using epoxy tosylate 7 under typical K₂CO₃mediated monoalkylation reaction conditions, we were disappointed to obtain a complex product mixture (Scheme 2). This failure to obtain 5t as a major isolated product might be attributed to the non-selective generation of sulfonamide N and phenoxide anions as nucleophiles, resulting in the formation of both N- and O-alkylated products (5t and 8), which in turn might have undergone further intramolecular cyclization reactions to provide compounds 9 and 10. Thus, the complex mixture possibly consisted of unreacted 6, and compounds 5t and 8-10. Moreover, changing the base and other reaction parameters also did not bring any synthetically acceptable result. Therefore, at this stage, we were forced to use an alternative strategy involving a late-stage epoxidation strategy. Starting from 2-nitrophenol 11a, first we conducted a three step reaction sequence involving sequential allylation/prenylation, chemoselective reduction of the NO2 group and 2-fluorobenzenesulfonylation of the NH₂ group to swiftly generate epoxide-precursor 12a in a high overall yield of 75% (Table 1, entry 1, column 7). However, epoxidation of 12a using m-CPBA (1.15 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ at rt failed miserably, resulting in a complete recovery of 12a (not shown in Table 1). This observation is consistent with the absence of literature reports (to our knowledge) on the epoxidation of aryl allyl ethers bearing an ortho-benzenesulfonamide moiety with m-CPBA. The exact reason for the observed unreactivity is unclear at present, but possibly the sulfonamide moiety has a detrimental effect. Delightfully, however, epoxidation of 12a using excess m-CPBA (2.5 equiv.) and under more forcing reaction conditions in 1,2dichloroethane (DCE) at 80 °C generated 5a, albeit in a moderate yield of 65% (Table 1, entry 1, column 8). Nevertheless, additional substrates 5b-p, bearing substituents at different positions of the two benzene rings, were prepared following the optimized route (Table 1, entries 2-16).



Scheme 2 Attempted synthesis of 5t.

 Table 1
 Synthesis of epoxide substrates of 5^a



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: (a) (i) allyl/prenyl bromide, K_2CO_3 , acetone, 65 °C, 12 h; (ii) Fe powder, NH₄Cl, EtOH/H₂O, reflux, 2 h; (iii) 2-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chlorides, pyridine, 12 h. (b) *m*-CPBA (2.5 equiv.), DCE, 80 °C, 12 h. ^{*b*} The values in parentheses indicate the isolated yields.

From here, we chose compound **5a** as the model substrate for screening bases and optimizing the reaction conditions required for probing the envisioned double cyclization reaction. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 Screening of the reaction conditions for the one-pot double-cyclization of $5a^{a}$

		F base	e, solvent ■ np, time		
Entry	Base (equiv.)	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Yield ^{b} (%)
1	$K_2 CO_3 (1.5)$	MeCN	rt	16	nr^{c}
2	$K_2 CO_3 (1.5)$	MeCN	80	16	20
3	$K_2 CO_3 (1.5)$	Dioxane	80	16	22
4	$K_2 CO_3 (1.5)$	DMF	80	16	24
5	Cs_2CO_3 (1.5)	Dioxane	80	16	35
6	Cs_2CO_3 (1.5)	DMF	80	16	42
7	NaH (1.5)	DMF	rt	4	85
8	NaH (1.5)	DMF	80	4	67
9	NaH (0.5)	DMF	rt	6	40

^{*a*} All reactions were conducted with 0.2 mmol of 5a in 2.0 mL of solvent. ^{*b*} The values in parentheses indicate the isolated yields. ^{*c*} No reaction.

The reaction was initially conducted in the presence of anhydrous K₂CO₃ (1.5 equiv.) as a base in acetonitrile (MeCN) at room temperature for 16 h. The desired product 1a was not detected under these conditions (Table 2, entry 1). However, increasing the reaction temperature to 80 °C afforded 1a, albeit in a poor yield of 20% (entry 2). Changing the solvent to 1,4-dioxane (entry 3) or DMF (entry 4) failed to show any significant improvements. At 80 °C, the use of stronger and more soluble but expensive base Cs₂CO₃ (1.5 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane (entry 5) or DMF (entry 6) resulted in improved but still synthetically unsatisfactory yields. Next, we attempted to utilize strong bases to facilitate the present domino transformation in a more efficient way. Delightfully, the treatment of 5a with NaH (1.5 equiv.) in DMF at rt for 4 h gave 1a in 85% yield (entry 7). It is worth mentioning that with NaH (1.5 equiv.) as the base, increasing the reaction temperature to 80 °C (entry 8) decreased the yield, as did decreasing the amount of NaH to 0.5 equiv. at rt (entry 9). Thus, the use of NaH (1.5 equiv.) as the base in DMF at rt for 4 h (Table 2, entry 7) proved to be the best choice among all the conditions that we employed for the optimization studies.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we set out to investigate the substrate scope of the reaction using the remaining substrates 5b-p (Table 3). The electronic effect of the substituent on the Ar¹-ring did not significantly affect the reaction efficiency as evidenced by the fact that substrates 5g-n bearing an electron-donating methyl or methoxy group or substrates 50 and 5p with an electron-withdrawing bromo substituent on the Ar¹-ring were transformed smoothly into the corresponding tetracyclic hybrid compounds (Table 3, products 1g-p). We also observed similar efficiency of the reaction with the introduction of additional F or Br atoms on the Ar²-ring (products 1b and 1c, 1e and 1f, 1h, 1j-l, and 1n and 1p). Products bearing a Br-substituent (1l, 1o and 1p) hold great potential for further derivatization using diverse palladiumcatalyzed coupling chemistry. Similarly, products bearing a fluoro-substituent at the ortho- or para-position with respect to the electron withdrawing sulfonamide group (products 1b and 1c, 1e and 1f, 1h, 1j and 1k, and 1n and 1p) should be useful in further elaboration via the intermolecular S_NAr reaction protocol.8 Nevertheless, when the H atoms at the alkene terminus were changed to methyl groups, no significant changes in the product yields were observed (products 1d-f and 1i-p). This indicates that the reaction efficiency was insensitive to the steric hindrance of the geminal methyl groups around the in situ generated alkoxy moiety.

Meanwhile, to demonstrate the synthetic practicality of this intramolecular one-pot double-cyclization method, a scale-up experiment with 5.0 mmol of **5d** was performed, affording the desired product **1d** in 78% yield.

It is to be mentioned that the structures of tetracyclic products **1a–p** were confirmed by the ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and HRMS data. X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis of representative compound **1**I was performed to further confirm their structures (Fig. 2).

Table 3 Substrate scope of the intramolecular one-pot double-cyclization of $5a^{a,b}$



^{*a*} Reactions were conducted with 0.2 mmol of **5b-p** in 2.0 mL of DMF at rt under a N_2 atmosphere. ^{*b*} The values in parentheses indicate the isolated yields. ^{*c*} 78% yield was observed when the reaction was conducted with 5 mmol of **5d**.

Now, our methodology in principle should be applicable to benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides fused to various other azaheterocycles such as pyrrolidines, piperidines, indolines, tetrahydroquinolines *etc.* To delineate one of many such possibilities, we further extended the strategy to the synthesis of indoline-fused benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides (Scheme 3). Thus, compound **13** was converted into epoxides **5q**-**s** *via* intermediate alkenes **12q**-**s** following a sequential chemoselective NO₂-reduction–2-fluorophenylsulfonylation–epoxidation reaction sequence (Scheme 3). The intramolecular one-pot double-cyclization of **5q**-**s** proceeded efficiently under the standard conditions, affording indoline-fused benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides **12q**-**s** in high isolated yields.

View Article Online

Communication

Although we could successfully carry out the double cyclization, we sensed that the incorporation of a stereodefined epoxide moiety into the substrate might be troublesome owing to the already-described difficulty in the late stage epoxidation process. Thus, despite our initial failure as shown in Scheme 1, the focus of our study then turned back again towards the desire of using a preformed epoxide building block in the synthesis. Along this line, we performed alkylation of 11a with epoxy tosylate 7 furnishing 14 in excellent yield (Scheme 4). Chemoselective reduction of the NO_2 group of 14 under transfer hydrogenation conditions (Et₃SiH, Pd-C)¹¹ followed by 2-fluorobenzenesulfonylation of the resulting crude amine provided the corresponding glycidyl ethertethered 2-fluoroarenesulfonamide 5t which, without purification, was treated with NaH under the optimized reaction conditions to afford the corresponding diastereomerically pure tetracyclic product 1t in a high overall yield.

In summary, we have established a base-mediated one-pot double cyclization of glycidyl ether-tethered 2-fluoroarenesulfonamides to furnish 1,4-benzoxazine-fused benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides as a new class of hybrid compounds. Mechanistically, the reaction involves an intramolecular epoxide ring-opening followed by an intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution – a scenario unprecedented in the chemical literature. The notable features of this protocol com-



Fig. 2 ORTEP diagram of compound **1**l as determined by X-ray analysis (ellipsoid contour probability, 35%).



Scheme 3 Synthesis of indoline-fused benzothiaoxazepine-1,1dioxides.



Scheme 4 Diastereoselective synthesis of 1t.

prise mild conditions, a broad substrate scope (multiple diversification points), complete regio- and diastereoselectivity, and high yields.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support provided by the Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi as a DBT-Twinning project grant (BT/PR25173/NER/95/1055/2017). JD is also thankful to Tezpur University for providing a research and innovation grant (2018–19).

Notes and references

- 1 D. G. Brown and J. Boström, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **59**, 4443–4458.
- 2 (a) L. P. C. Nielsen and E. N. Jacobsen, Catalytic Asymmetric Epoxide Ring-Opening Chemistry, in *Aziridines and Epoxides in Organic Synthesis*, ed. A. K. Yudin, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006, ch. 7, pp. 229–270; (b) B. Olofsson and P. Somfai, *Aziridines and Epoxides in Asymmetric Synthesis*, ed. A. K. Yudin, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006, ch. 9.
- 3 For selected examples demonstrating various advantageous features of intramolecular S_NAr reactions, see:
 (a) C. Adouama, M. E. Budén, W. D. Guerra, M. Puiatti, B. Joseph, S. M. Barolo, R. A. Rossi and M. Médebielle, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 320–324; (b) H. Borgohain, R. Devi, D. Dheer, B. J. Borah, R. Shankar and S. K. Das, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 6671–6679; (c) J. K. Loh, N. Asad, T. B. Samarakoon and P. R. Hanson, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80(20), 9926–9941; (d) T. Fekner, J. Gallucci and

M. K. Chan, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, 5, 4795–4798; (e) T. Temal-Laïb, J. Chastanet and J. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 583–590 and references cited therein.

- 4 For selected reviews demonstrating various advantageous features of intramolecular epoxide-ring opening reactions, see: (a) J. He, J. Ling and P. Chiu, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 8037–8128; (b) S. K. Das, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2017, 6, 243–256; (c) C. J. Morten, J. A. Byers, A. R. Van Dyke, I. Vilotijevic and T. F. Jamison, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 3175–3192; (d) S. H. Krake and S. C. Bergmeier, Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 7337–7360.
- ⁵ For selected recent examples of the biological activities of 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazines, see: (a) G. Sonia, K. K. Thachil, M. K. Parameswaran and R. T. Kochupappy, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 23, 1320–1326; (b) A. N. Matralis, M. G. Katselou, A. Nikitakis and A. P. Kourounakis, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 54, 5583–5591; (c) X. Li, N. Liu, H. Zhang, S. E. Knudson, R. A. Slayden and P. J. Tonge, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 20, 6306–6309; (d) A. Wang, C. P. Prouty, P. D. Pelton, M. Yong, K. T. Demarest, W. V. Murray and G.-H. Kuo, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 20, 1432–1435; (e) E. N. Koini, P. Papazafiri, A. Vassilopoulos, M. Koufaki, Z. Horvath, I. Koncz, L. Virag, G. J. Papp, A. Varro and T. Calogeropoulou, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 52, 2328–2340.
- 6 For selected recent examples of the biological activities of benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides, see: (a) D. McKerrecher, K. G. Pike and M. J. Waring, Preparation of Heteroaryl Benzamide Derivatives for Use As Glucokinase Activators in the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes, PCT Int. Appl WO2006125972A120061130, 2006; (b) L. Campbell, K. G. Pike, A. Suleman and M. J. Waring, Preparation of Benzoyl Amino Heterocyclyl Compounds as Glucokinase Activators for Treating Type 2 Diabetes and Other Diseases Mediated PCTInt. By GLK, Appl WO2008050101A220080502, 2008; J.-P. Rocher, (*c*) Preparation of Diarylsultam Derivatives as Antipsychotic Agents, PCT Int. Appl WO9730038A119970821, 1997.
- 7 For reviews on the synthesis of 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazines, see: (a) M. Śmist and H. Kwiecień, *Curr. Org. Synth.*, 2014, 11, 676–695; (b) J. Ilaš, P. S. Anderluh, M. S. Dolenc and D. Kikelj, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, 61, 7325–7348.
- 8 For reviews on the synthesis of benzosultams, see:
 (*a*) R. Szostak and M. Szostak, *Molecules*, 2019, 24, 274;
 (*b*) M. Szostak and J. Aubé, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, 113, 5701–5765;
 (*c*) K. C. Majumdar and S. Mondal, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 111, 7749–7773.
- 9 A. Rolfe, T. B. Samarakoon and P. R. Hanson, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 1216–1219.
- 10 E. Cleator, C. A. Baxter, M. O'Hagan, T. J. C. O'Riordan, F. J. Sheen and G. W. Stewart, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, 51, 1079–1082.
- 11 P. K. Mandal and J. S. McMurray, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 6599–6601.