

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 12 (2002) 2501-2505

Peptide Inhibitors of CDK2-cyclin A that Target the Cyclin Recruitment-Site: Structural Variants of the C-Terminal Phe

Gail E. Atkinson,^a Angela Cowan,^b Campbell McInnes,^b Daniella I. Zheleva,^b Peter M. Fischer^b and Weng C. Chan^{a,*}

^aSchool of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK ^bCyclacel Ltd., James Lindsay Place, Dundee DD1 5JJ, UK

Received 17 May 2002; accepted 4 July 2002

Abstract—A focused series of octapeptides based on the lead compound H-His-Ala-Lys-Arg-Arg-Leu-Ile-Phe-NH₂ **1**, in which the C-terminal phenylalanine residue was replaced by α and/or β -modified variants, was synthesized using solid-phase chemistry. Both the L-*threo*- β -hydroxy-phenylalanine (β -phenylserine, Pse) and (2S)-phenylalaninol derivatives, as competitive binders at the cyclin-recruitment site, displayed potent inhibitory activity towards the CDK2-cyclin A complex. Unexpectedly, the D-*threo*-Pse derivatives also showed inhibitory activity. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Progression through the eukaryotic cell cycle is driven by the orderly activation of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) in association with appropriate cyclin subunits and phosphorylation by CDK-activating kinase at a conserved Thr residue.^{1,2} Each phase of the cell cycle is characterized by the presence and activity of different CDK-cyclin complexes, for example, CDK2-cyclin A during the S phase. Crucially, the functions of these complexes are negatively regulated by a host of regulatory proteins, including members of the CIP/KIP family, p21^{WAF1} and p27^{KIP1}.^{3,4} Loss or mutation of one or more of these negative-regulatory proteins would result in cellular neoplastic phenotypes.^{2,4}

The recognition of CDK-cyclin complexes by p21^{WAF1} occurs in part through interaction with a binding groove in the cyclin subunit. This groove is centrally involved in the recruitment or initial binding of macromolecular CDK substrates such as pRb and E2F.^{3,4} Thus, selective blockade of the cyclin recruitment-site would prevent recognition and subsequent phosphorylation of CDK substrates, and therefore offers a therapeutic approach towards restoration of p21^{WAF1}-like tumour suppression. Our recent efforts led to the discovery of an octapeptide ¹⁵²HSKRRLIF¹⁵⁹ located C-terminal in p21^{WAF1}, which displayed potent CDK-cyclin inhibitory activity due to its capacity to bind to the cyclin

recruitment-site (C-RS). Comprehensive SAR studies resulted in the identification of the lead compound 1, in which the native Ser is replaced by an Ala residue.⁵ In addition, the C-terminal Phe residue appeared to be a crucial determinant in the binding competence of 1, since dramatic loss of activity was observed upon truncation or even conservative replacement with Tyr or Trp.



We herein report unexpected SAR data derived from a focused series of peptides, in which the Phe residue in **1** is replaced by both α -modified and χ -constrained Phe analogues. These unique peptide derivatives were synthesized using robust solid-phase chemistry and our recently reported polymer-supported linker **2**.⁶

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-115-9515080; fax: +44-115-9515078; e-mail: weng.chan@nottingham.ac.uk

A molecular model of the complex between 1 and cyclin A was constructed,^{5,7} which showed that the LIF motif, especially the Phe aromatic group, makes complimentary contacts with the C-RS hydrophobic groove. In order to gain further insights and to refine our understanding of the structure–activity profile of 1, conformationally χ -constrained derivatives of Phe were installed and evaluated for their binding capacity and kinase-inhibitory activity towards CDK2-cyclin A.

 χ -Constrained aromatic amino acids, typically β-methyl or-isopropyl analogues, have been successfully applied to unravel the bioactive conformation of peptides.⁸ In this context, our approach is to use the commercially available (2*S*,3*R*;2*R*,3*S*)-Pse as χ -constrained surrogates of Phe, in which the side-chain has a preferred *trans* conformation. Moreover, the flexibility of this approach allows us to exploit the installed *threo*-Pse residue as synthetic precursor to *Z*-dehydrophenylalanine (Dhp) and *O*-modified peptide derivatives.

The synthetic strategy (Scheme 1) is based on the selective and efficient condensation (>98%) of Fmoc-DL-Pse-OH to the polymer-bound 4-alkoxy-4'-methylbenzhydryl chloride linker 2^6 to afford the tethered derivative 3. The peptide chain was then assembled using standard Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis conditions,⁹ and the N-terminus was capped by treatment with di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (Boc₂O) to give the polymer-bound peptide 4 in typically >90% yield.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) FmocPseOH, DIEA, CH_2Cl_2 , 24 h; (ii) piperidine-DMF (1:4); (iii) Fmoc-amino acid-TBTU-HOBt-DIEA (1:1:1:2; 4–8 equiv); (iv) Boc₂O (4–8 equiv), DMF; (v) TFA-H₂O-*i*Pr₃SiH (90:8:2), 2 h; (vi) separation using RP-HPLC using Kromasil C8 preparative column (10×150 mm).

As anticipated, no acylation of the exposed β -hydroxyl functionality was evident. Acidolytic treatment of **4** using a TFA-based cocktail afforded the peptide diastereoisomers **5** and **6** in high yield and purity. Gratifyingly, the two diastereoisomers were readily separated and purified by RP-HPLC. The identity and purity of peptidic products were established using two different reversed-phase silica HPLC columns¹⁰ and ES–MS.

The stereo-identities of the synthesized peptides were established via the chymotrypsin-mediated resolution of Ac-DL-Pse-OMe 7,¹¹ outlined in Scheme 2. Thus, a fine suspension of the methyl ester 7 in phosphate buffer was exposed to α -chymotrypsin for 20 h, after which the unreacted Ac-D-Pse-OMe 9 was extracted from the reaction mixture using EtOAc. Following work up of the aqueous phase, the desired product Ac-L-Pse-OH 8 was obtained as a white solid. Next, each derivative 8 and 9 was subjected to acid hydrolysis, lyophilization and, without further purification, was N-protected using FmocOSu under mild basic conditions to afford the pure enantiomers **10** (mp 127–129 °C, $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +27.9° (*c*=1.0, MeOH) and **11** (mp 127–129 °C, $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ -29.0° (c=1.0, MeOH).¹² The stereo-identity of peptide 6 was then established by its co-elution on RP-HPLC with the peptide synthesized using Fmoc-(2R,3S)-Pse-OH 11 as the initial building block.

The polymer-tethered peptide **4** is an ideal intermediate for selective chemical modification, for example, acylation, of the Pse⁸-residue—this would allow convenient access to peptides that could probe the effect of substituents at the β -position. Following initial evaluation of a series of *O*-acylation conditions, it was established that acetylation was readily accomplished using Ac₂O in the presence of DMAP (Scheme 3). Acidolytic treatment, followed by RP-HPLC purification provided the Pse(Ac)-peptide derivatives **12** and **13**.

We next focused our attention on the regio-selective dehydration of the polymer-bound peptide 4. This chemical modification would result in the formation of an α , β -dehydrophenylalanine (Dhp) residue, thus

DL-threo-Phenylserine+H2O



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: $HCl_{(g)}$, MeOH, 5°C to rt, 18 h; (ii) Ac₂O (3 equiv), aq AcONa (4 equiv), 5°C to rt, 16 h; (iii) α -chymotrypsin (Type II from bovine pancreas), 0.05 M aq phosphate buffer (pH 8), rt, 20 h; (iv) 6 M aq HCl, reflux, 5 h; (v) FmocOSu, aq Na₂CO₃, THF, 5 h.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) Ac₂O (10 equiv), DIEA (1.3 equiv), DMAP (0.1 equiv), DMF, 24 h; (ii) TFA-H₂O-*i*Pr₃SiH (90:8:2), 2 h; (iii) RP-HPLC using Kromasil C8 preparative column.

introducing elements of conformational rigidity and unique conjugative properties. Recent studies⁶ revealed that a polymer-bound *N*-acyl-*threo*- β -hydroxy-aminoacyl entity, on treatment with SOCl₂, underwent intramolecular cyclization to a cyclic sulfamidite,¹³ which, under mild basic condition, can undergo stereospecific E1-elimination to afford a *Z*- α , β -dehydroaminoacyl residue. We have successfully applied this methodology to effect in situ dehydration of the *threo*-Pse⁸residue within the polymer-bound peptide **4** to furnish, following acidolysis, the desired *Z*-Dhp-containing peptide derivative **14** (Scheme 4). Peptide **14** was purified by RP-HPLC and obtained in an overall yield of 24%.

Using the procedures outlined in Schemes 1–4, a corresponding series of Ser²-peptide derivatives **17–22** (see Table 1) was also synthesized. Though the Ser²-derivative of **1** is known to be ~ 100 -fold less active as an inhibitor of CDK2-cyclin A,⁵ these derivatives **17–22** are necessary to establish that the observed structure–activity profile is due to localized and not long-range structural effects.

To date, we are not aware of any studies that appraise the effect of C-terminal homochiral β -amino alcohols on the bioactivity of peptides that target C-RS. Hence, the (2*S*)-phenylalaninol⁸-derivatives **16** and **22** were synthe-



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (i) Et_3N (30 equiv), $SOCl_2$ (2×4 equiv), THF-CH₂Cl₂, -78° to $5^{\circ}C$; (ii) TFA-H₂O-*i*Pr₃SiH-EtSMe (88:8:2:2), 2 h.

sized by solid-phase procedure (Scheme 5), which relies on the highly efficient condensation of *N*-Fmoc-phenylalaninol (FmocPhe[ψ CH₂OH]) to the linker-resin **2** (96%) and the chemical robustness of the benzhydryl methyl ether bond in **15**.

All the synthetic peptide derivatives were screened in vitro for their ability to inhibit the kinase activity of CDK2-cyclin A using retinoblastoma protein (pRb) as substrate,⁵ and in competitive binding assay⁵ using the CDK2-cyclin A-p21^{WAF1} peptide system (Table 1). Both sets of screening results revealed similar structure–activity profiles and consistency.

The (2S,3R)-Pse⁸ peptide **5** showed similar competitive binding potency as 1 but was a better inhibitor of kinase function. Analysis of the molecular model generated for the cyclin A-peptide 1 complex (Fig. 1) suggests that the (2S,3R)-Pse residue can be accommodated since the Phe pro-S β-hydrogen projects into an unoccupied cyclin groove volume that is large enough for a hydroxyl group. Moreover, the steric constraint in the χ_1 -space imposed by the β -OH group may impose more favorable interaction of the phenyl ring with the cyclin groove. We propose that the Pse side-chain makes excellent complimentary contact with the cyclin groove resulting in an enhancement of activity. This is profoundly obvious with the (Ser²,L-Pse⁸)-derivative 17, which showed a considerable enhancement in activity compared to the corresponding Ser²-peptide.

Table 1. Inhibition $(IC_{50} \mu M)^a$ of CDK2-cyclin A complex determined using competitive binding assays and a functional kinase assay (pRb as substrate)

Peptide derivatives	Competitive binding assays		Kinase assay
	Cyclin A	CDK2/cyclin A	
1	0.043 ± 0.010	0.041 ± 0.011	0.039 ± 0.012
L-Pse ⁸ -OH, 5	0.074 ± 0.014	0.028 ± 0.007	0.002
D-Pse ⁸ -OH, 6	0.12 ± 0.04	0.11 ± 0.08	0.30
$L-Pse(Ac)^8-OH$, 12	0.23 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.11	0.32 ± 0.09
$D-Pse(Ac)^8-OH, 13$	0.19 ± 0.05	0.16 ± 0.07	0.20 ± 0.25
Z-Dhp ⁸ -OH, 14	0.060 ± 0.008	0.054 ± 0.019	0.070 ± 0.011
L-Phe ⁸ [ψ CH ₂ OH], 16	0.022 ± 0.001	0.018 ± 0.005	0.024 ± 0.011
Ser ²	4.3	n.d.	3.4
Ser ² ,L-Pse ⁸ -OH, 17	0.25 ± 0.06	0.19 ± 0.13	0.56 ± 0.45
Ser ² , D-Pse ⁸ -OH, 18	0.76 ± 0.06	0.71 ± 0.87	1.20
Ser ² ,L-Pse(Ac) ⁸ -OH, 19	1.32 ± 0.16	0.80 ± 0.14	n.d.
Ser ² , D-Pse(Ac) ⁸ -OH, 20	1.82 ± 0.59	0.60 ± 0.28	n.d.
Ser ² , Z-Dhp ⁸ -OH, 21	0.20 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.17	1.3 ± 0.6
Ser ² ,L-Phe ⁸ [ψ CH ₂ OH], 22	n.d.	0.31 ± 0.16	0.43 ± 0.12

^aValues are means of three experiments; n.d. = not determined.



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (i) $FmocPhe[\psi CH_2OH]$, DIEA, ClCH₂CH₂Cl-THF (3:1), 24 h; (ii) piperidine-DMF (1:4); (iii) Fmocamino acid-TBTU-HOBt-DIEA (1:1:1:2; 4–8 equiv); (iv) Boc₂O (4–8 equiv), DMF; (v) TFA-H₂O-*i*Pr₃SiH (90:8:2), 2 h.

The most striking and unexpected observation is that the (2R,3S)-Pse⁸-, i.e., D-*threo*-Pse-containing peptides **6** and **18** retained good potency, although they are somewhat less potent compared to the (2S,3R)-Pse⁸ peptides **5** and **17**, respectively. We are currently exploring the implications of these results.

Furthermore, the above hypothesized complimentary interactions between the peptide ligand and C-RS is highly fastidious, since the presence of a bulky β -acetoxy group within Pse⁸-residue in peptides **12**, **13**, **19** and **20** resulted in decreased binding competency and kinase inhibitory activity. Interestingly, the potency order appears to be reversed for the (2*S*,3*R*)- and (2*R*,3*S*)forms in both pairs of peptide derivatives, although the differences may not be significant. Nevertheless these results suggest that the β -acetoxy group precludes the complementary interactions more in the context of the L-derivatives.



Figure 1. Molecular model of cyclin A-peptide 1 complex.^{5,7} To aid viewing of the model, only a portion of the cyclin groove is shown. The *pro-S* β -H is highlighted in yellow, the cyclin groove Arg²⁵⁰ residue's side-chain in blue.

The introduction of Dhp residue (peptides 14 and 21) led to a potency enhancement in the context of the Ser² peptide. This appeared to indicate that a reduction in the degree of rotational freedom of the phenyl ring is tolerated. The other significant observation was that the introduction of C-terminal 2*S*-phenylalaninol produced a small improvement in potency. Here, it is likely that the α -hydroxyl group makes a unique H-bond contact with the guanidino function of Arg²⁵⁰ in cyclin A (see Fig. 1).

These encouraging results not only highlight a generic methodology for probing structure–activity relationships using β -hydroxyamino acid building blocks, but also provide a framework for the design of peptidomimetics and small molecules that target the cyclin recruitment-site.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the BBSRC, UK and Cyclacel Ltd. for the funding of a CASE studentship (to GEA).

References and Notes

1. (a) Poon, R. Y. C.; Yamashita, K.; Adamczewski, J. P.; Hunt, T.; Shuttleworth, J. *EMBO J.* **1993**, *12*, 3123. (b) Fischer, R. P.; Morgan, D. O. *Cell* **1994**, *78*, 713. (c) Morgan, D. O. *Nature* **1995**, *374*, 131.

- 2. (a) Malumbres, M.; Barbacid, M. *Nature Rev. Cancer* **2001**, *1*, 222. (b) Dynlacht, B. D. *Nature* **1997**, *38*, 149.
- 3. (a) Polyak, K.; Lee, M. H.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Koff, A.; Roberts, J. M.; Tempst, P.; Massagué, J. Cell 1994, 78, 59.
 (b) Russo, A. A.; Jeffrey, P. D.; Patten, A. K.; Massagué, J.; Pavletich, N. P. Nature 1996, 382, 325. (c) Ball, K. L.; Lain, S.; Fåhraeus, R.; Symthe, C.; Lane, D. P. Curr. Biol. 1997, 7, 71.
 (d) Brown, N. R.; Noble, M. E. M.; Endicott, J. A.; Johnson, L. N. Nature Cell Biol. 1999, 1, 438. (e) Rank, K. B.; Evans, D. B.; Sharma, S. K. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 2000, 271, 469.
- 4. (a) Endicott, J. A.; Noble, M. E. M.; Tucker, J. A. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **1999**, *9*, 738. (b) Pavletich, N. P. J. *Mol. Biol.* **1999**, *287*, 821.
- 5. Fischer, P. M.; Zheleva, D. I.; McInnes, C.; Gavine, A.; Zhelev, N. Z.; Lane, D. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2001**, 7 (Suppl), 3821. S. McInnes, C.; Andrews, M. J. I.; Zheleva, D. I.; Lane, D. P.; Fischer, P. M. *Curr. Med. Chem. Anti-Cancer Agents* **2002**, submitted for publication.
- 6. Atkinson, G. E.; Fischer, P. M.; Chan, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5048.
- 7. The model was generated using standard molecular docking techniques and the structure of CDK2-cyclin A- $p27^{KIP1}$ complex (RCSB file 1JSU) ^{3(b)} as the starting point.
- 8. (a) Gibson, S. E.; Guillo, N.; Tozer, M. J. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 585. (b) Hruby, V. J.; Balse, P. M. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *7*, 945.
- 9. Chan, W. C.; White, P. D., Eds.; *Fmoc Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis: A Practical Approach*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2000.

10. Hypersil PepC18, linear elution gradient 20-60% **B** in 25 min at 1.2 mL min^{-1} ; Vydac C8, linear elution gradient 10-

60% **B** in 20 min at 1.3 mLmin^{-1} . Columns dimension: $4.6 \times 150 \text{ mm}$; effluent was monitored at 220 nm; **A**: 0.06% aq TFA; **B**: 0.06% TFA in MeCN–H₂O (9:1). 11. Chenevert, **R**.; Letourneau, **M**.; Thiboutot, S. *Can. J.*

11. Chenevert, R.; Letourneau, M.; Thiboutot, S. Can. J. Chem. **1990**, 68, 961.

12. Compound 10: δ_H ([²H₆]-DMSO, 250 MHz) 7.88 (2H, d,

J=7.5 Hz), 7.67 (1H, d, J 7.2 Hz), 7.63 (1H, d, J 7.5 Hz), 7.31–

- 7.43 (9H, m): aromatic *H*s; 5.80 (1H, br s, O*H*); 5.16 (1H, d, *J* 3.3 Hz, $C^{\beta}H$); 4.29 (1H, dd, *J* 3.3, 9.4 Hz, $C^{\alpha}H$); 4.01–4.16 (3H,
- m, Fmoc CH, CH₂).
- 13. Stohlmeyer, M. M.; Tanaka, H.; Wandless, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 6100.