Inorganic Chemistry

Phosphorescent Zinc Probe for Reversible Turn-On Detection with Bathochromically Shifted Emission

Seung Yeon Ryu,[†] Mijoung Huh,[†] Youngmin You,^{*,‡} and Wonwoo Nam^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Nano Science and [‡]Division of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Phosphorescent molecules are attractive complements to fluorescent compounds for bioimaging. Timegated acquisition of the long-lived phosphorescence signals provides an effective means to eliminate unwanted background noises due to short-lived autofluorescence. We have previously investigated the molecular principles governing modulation of photoinduced electron transfer in phosphorescence zinc probes that were based on biscyclometalated Ir(III) complexes



(Woo, H. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4771–4787). The studies established that phosphorescence turn-on responses would be attainable for Ir(III) complexes with high triplet-state energies. This sets an upper limit to an emission wavelength, restricting the development of red- or near-IR-phosphorescence turn-on probes. To address this challenge, we designed and synthesized a new phosphorescent probe having an electron-deficient 2-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine diimine ligand tethering a di(2-picolyl)amine (DPA) zinc receptor. This ligand control led to red phosphorescence emission ($\lambda_{ems} = 596$ nm), with an excited-state reduction potential (E^*_{red}) retained as high as 1.44 V versus standard calomel electrode (SCE). The E^*_{red} value was more positive than the ground-state oxidation potential of DPA (1.05 V vs SCE), permitting an occurrence of photoinduced electron transfer at a rate of $2 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Zinc binding at DPA abolished the electron transfer to produce phosphorescence turn-on signaling. The probe was capable of detecting zinc ions selectively over other competing biological metal ions in aqueous buffer solutions (pH 7.4, 20 mM piperazine- N_N '-bis(2-ethanesulfonic aid)) with the zinc dissociation constant of 109 pM. Finally, bioimaging utility of the probe has been successfully demonstrated by visualizing exogenously supplied zinc ions in live HeLa cells. The research described in this paper demonstrates that judicious ligand control enables retention of turn-on responses in the low-energy phosphorescence region.

I. INTRODUCTION

Phosphorescence modality offers unique advantages in bioimaging, as the long-lived emission facilitates utilization of time domains.¹⁻³ Among the various classes of phosphorescent molecules, cyclometalated Ir(III) complexes have been most successful for bioimaging purposes.^{4–16} In particular, a range of phosphorescent sensors that reversibly report the spatiotemporal fluctuations of biometals have been developed.^{3,17-21} Photoinduced electron transfer (PeT) is utilized to create the metal ion probes, because the nonradiative PeT is several orders faster than phosphorescence transition.²²⁻²⁹ Metal ion binding abolishes the PeT, restoring phosphorescence emission. We investigated the effectiveness of PeT for creation of phosphorescence zinc probes, based on biscyclometalated Ir(III) complexes and a di(2-picolyl)amino (DPA) zinc receptor.^{1,2,30} The studies established that PeT from DPA to the Ir(III) complexes adhered to the Rehm-Weller behavior with $-\Delta G_{\text{PeT}} = e \cdot [E_{\text{ox}}(\text{DPA}) - E^*_{\text{red}}(\text{Ir})] + w_p (-\Delta G_{\text{PeT}})$ driving force for PeT; e, elementary charge; E_{ox} , the groundstate oxidation potential, $w_{\rm p}$, the ion-pairing term; $E^*_{\rm red} = E_{\rm red} + \Delta E_{\rm T}$, where $E_{\rm red}$ and $\Delta E_{\rm T}$ are the ground-state reduction potential and the triplet-state energy, respectively).²⁴ Further mechanistic studies revealed that the PeT was located in the

Marcus-normal region of electron transfer. On the basis of these findings, it has been predicted that phosphorescence emission of Ir(III) complexes with small $\Delta E_{\rm T}$ cannot be affected by PeT (Scheme 1a). Indeed, we observed the absence of PeT for Ir(III) complexes exhibiting phosphorescence emission at peak wavelengths longer than 517 nm (i.e., $-\Delta G_{\rm PeT} < 0$ when $\Delta E_{\rm T} < 2.4$ eV).¹ This lower bound of $\Delta E_{\rm T}$ is obviously greater than the case for PeT in fluorescence probes. This thermodynamic loss is ascribed to exchange energy ($\Delta E_{\rm ST}$) existing between singlet excited state (S₁) and triplet state (T₁) (Scheme 1b).

There are two potential approaches to address the challenge: One is to increase the E^*_{red} value of an Ir(III) complex, and the other is to decrease the E_{ox} value of a receptor. In the case of the former approach, the modulation of E^*_{red} should rely on control over E_{red} rather than ΔE_T to keep the emission in the long-wavelength regions. We envisioned that such control could be accomplished by incorporating an electron-deficient diimine (N^N) ligand into biscyclometalated Ir(III) complexes.

Received: April 29, 2015



^{*a*}(a) The driving force for photoinduced electron transfer $(-\Delta G_{PeT})$ in blue- and red-phosphorescence sensors. (b) Comparison of $-\Delta G_{PeT}$ in a fluorescence sensor and a phosphorescence sensor. ¹CS, singlet charge-separated species; ³CS, triplet charge-separated species.

To validate this hypothesis, we chose to employ 2-(2pyridyl)pyrazine for the N^N ligand. The DPA zinc receptor was introduced to the N^N ligand through an amide linkage.^{31,32} We found that this ligand control indeed enabled red phosphorescence emission with a large E^*_{red} value (1.44 V vs standard calomel electrode (SCE)). Herein, we report the design, synthesis, characterizations, and bioimaging applications of a novel phosphorescence zinc probe (ZIrdap in Scheme 2). The sensor produced zinc-induced phosphorescence turn-on responses in the red-emission regions ($\lambda_{ems} = 596$ nm) in aqueous buffer solutions (pH 7.4, 20 mM piperazine-*N*,*N*'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES)). Spectroscopic and electrochemical investigations revealed the occurrence of exoergic PeT ($-\Delta G_{PeT} = 0.39$ eV), which was reversibly modulated by zinc binding. Finally, bioimaging utility of the probe was successfully demonstrated by phosphorescence visualization of exogenously supplied zinc ions in live HeLa cells.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of the Phosphorescence Zinc Sensor. The synthetic route to the phosphorescence zinc sensor (ZIrdap) is depicted in Scheme 2. The Pd(0)-catalyzed Stille reaction between 5-amino-2-bromopyrazine and 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine yielded the N^N ligand scaffold. Amidation of the primary amine in the N^N ligand with α -bromoacetyl bromide, followed by substitution of the α -bromide with di(2-picolyl)amine, yielded the DPA-appended N^N ligand (dap ligand, hereafter). Finally, chelation of the dap ligand to the biscyclometalated Ir(III) core produced the desired complex in an overall 16% yield. Spectroscopic characterization data obtained using multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry were fully consistent with the proposed structure. Reference complexes devoid of DPA and the amido DPA moiety were also synthesized to investigate the origin of the phosphorescence zinc responses (Irp and Irap; see Scheme 2 for the structures). Synthetic details and spectroscopic identification data are summarized in the Experimental Section.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the Phosphorescent Zinc Sensor (ZIrdap) and Its Reference Compounds (Irp and Irap)





Figure 1. (a) Phosphorescence spectra (dotted lines, CH₃CN; solid lines, aqueous 20 mM PIPES solution at pH 7.4) of 10 μ M zinc sensor (ZIrdap) and its reference compounds (Irap and Irp) in the absence of zinc ion. Photoexcitation wavelength = 345 nm (ZIrdap and Irap) and 363 nm (Irp). The asterisks (*) indicate peaks resulting from the second harmonic of the excitation beams. (b) The Lippert–Mataga plot of the phosphorescence peak wavelengths of 10 μ M ZIrdap, 10 μ M Irap, and 10 μ M Irp as a function of the solvent polarity parameter ($f = (\varepsilon - 1)/(2\varepsilon + 1) - (n^2 - 1)/(2n^2 + 1)$; ε and *n* are the dielectric constant and refractive index of a solvent, respectively).

Mechanism for Phosphorescence Responses of the Zinc Sensor. UV-vis absorption spectra of ZIrdap and its reference compounds carry the bands characteristic of biscyclometalated Ir(III) complexes (Supporting Information, Figure S1).^{1,33} ZIrdap (10 μ M) exhibits orange phosphorescence in acetonitrile solutions with a peak emission wavelength (λ_{ems}) at 554 nm under photoexcitation at 345 nm (Figure 1a). Phosphorescence peak wavelengths of the two reference compounds are found in the similar region (555 and 551 nm for Irap and Irp, respectively), while Irp possesses pronounced vibronic structures. Of particular interest is the bathochromic shifts of the phosphorescence spectra of ZIrdap $(\lambda_{\rm ems}$ = 596 nm) and Irap $(\lambda_{\rm ems}$ = 591 nm) in aqueous buffer solutions (20 mM PIPES, pH 7.4). Such a shift is not observed for Irp. As shown in Figure 1b, Lippert-Mataga plots of the phosphorescence peak wavelength energies as a function of the solvent polarity parameter demonstrate the strong positive solvatochromism for ZIrdap and Irap.^{34,35} These results suggest that the amidation of the N^N ligand confers significant chargetransfer character in the triplet state. Quantum chemical calculations based on time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT; CAM-B3LYP/LANL2DZ:6-311+G(d,p))// B3LYP/LANL2DZ:6-311+G(d,p) predict an occurrence of ligand-to-ligand charge-transfer transition, supporting this analysis (Supporting Information, Figure S2).

The phosphorescence quantum yield ($\Phi_{\rm p}$) of ZIrdap (0.016) is smaller than those of Irap (0.079) and Irp (0.10) in deaerated CH₃CN solutions, indicating that phosphorescence-quenching processes are operative in ZIrdap. As shown in Figure 2, addition of zinc ions (10 equiv in the form of $Zn(ClO_4)_2$) to the solution containing 10 μ M ZIrdap produces a ca. sixfold enhancement in the phosphorescence intensity. Corresponding $\Phi_{\rm p}$ value for the zinc-bound ZIrdap approaches to 0.10. Of interest is development of the distinct vibronic structures in the phosphorescence emission of the zinc-bound form of ZIrdap. This spectral signature is reminiscent of Irp (Figure 1a), suggesting that zinc binding evokes structural changes in the amide group. Actually, TD-DFT calculations show that N^N ligand-centered $\pi - \pi^*$ transition becomes dominant in the lowest triplet state upon zinc binding (Supporting Information, Figure S2). Subsequent addition of the strong zinc chelator, N,N,N',N'-tetrakis(2-picolyl)ethylenediamine (TPEN), readily



Figure 2. Phosphorescence spectra (deaerated acetonitrile solutions) of 10 μ M ZIrdap in the absence (black) and presence (red) of 10 equiv of zinc ions and after the subsequent addition of 50 equiv of TPEN (blue). The asterisk (*) indicates a peak resulting from a harmonic of the excitation beam (345 nm).

restores the original spectrum, demonstrating excellent reversibility. As expected, the reference complexes without the DPA zinc receptors do not show zinc responses. Taken together, the solution behaviors suggest that modulation of PeT is most likely responsible for the phosphorescence turn-on responses to zinc ions. Photophysical data for the Ir(III) complexes are compiled in Table 1.

To estimate $-\Delta G_{\text{PeT}}$, electrochemical measurements using cyclic and differential pulse voltammetry were performed (Figure 3). The voltammograms of ZIrdap display two anodic peaks at $E_{\text{ox}} = 1.05$ and 1.60 V versus SCE, which correspond to oxidation of the dap ligand and the Ir(III/IV) redox process, respectively.^{1,2} The former and the latter waves are also found in the dap ligand and Irap, respectively, confirming the assignments. A reversible wave at $E_{1/2} = -1.12$ V versus SCE due to the one-electron reduction of the N^N ligand is also observed. The E^*_{red} value for the phosphorescent moiety in ZIrdap can thus be calculated to be 1.44 V versus SCE, using the ΔE_{T} value of 2.56 eV that was determined at the

Table 1. Photophysical Data for the Phosphorescent Sensor and Its Reference Compounds

| | $\lambda_{\rm abs} ({\rm nm}; \ \varepsilon 	imes 10^{-4} \ { m M}^{-1} \ { m cm}^{-1})^a$ | $\lambda_{ems} \ (nm)$ | $\Phi_p^{\ b}$ | E _{ox} (V vs SCE) ^c | $(V \text{ vs SCE})^{c}$ | $(V \text{ vs SCE})^d$ | $\stackrel{	au_{ m obs}}{(\mu m s)^e}$ | $(\times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1})^f$ | $(\times 10^{5} \mathrm{s}^{-1})^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $(\times 10^5 \mathrm{s}^{-1})^{k}$ |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| ZIrdap | 360 (1.98) | 554, ^a 596 ⁱ | 0.016 | 1.05 (ir), 1.60 (qr) | -1.12 (r) | 1.44 | 0.050 ^j | 32 | 200 | 200 |
| + Zn^{2+k} | 354 (2.26) | 556, ^a 572 ⁱ | 0.10 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 6.5 ¹ | 1.5 | 1.5 | N.A. |
| Irap | 357 (1.65) | 555, ^a 591 ⁱ | 0.079 | 1.63 (r) | -1.16 (r) | 1.39 | 1.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | N.A. |
| Irp | 376 (1.66) | 551, ^a 552 ⁱ | 0.10 | 1.49 (ir) | -1.40 (qr) | 1.12 | 40 | 0.25 | 2.3 | N.A. |

^{*a*}10 μ M phosphorescent compound in deaerated acetonitrile; 298 K. ^{*b*}Phosphorescence quantum yield relatively determined by employing fluorescein as the standard (0.1 N NaOH; $\Phi_f = 0.79$). ^{*c*}Determined by cyclic voltammetry. Conditions: scan rate = 100 mV/s; 1.00 mM in Arsaturated acetonitrile containing 100 mM Bu₄NPF₆ supporting electrolyte; a Pt wire counter and a Pt disc working electrodes; and a Ag/AgNO₃ couple for the pseudo reference electrode. (r) = reversible wave, (qr) = quasi reversible wave, (ir) = irreversible wave, and (N.A.) = not applicable. ^{*d*}Excited-state reduction potential, $E^*_{red} = E_{red} + \Delta E_T$. ^{*c*}Phosphorescence lifetime for 50 μ M compound in deaerated acetonitrile observed at $\lambda_{ems} = 555$ nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 377$ nm). ^{*f*}Radiative rate constant (k_r) = Φ_p/τ_{obs} . ^{*s*}Nonradiative rate constant (k_{nr}) = (1 – Φ_p)/ τ_{obs} . ^{*h*}Rate constant for PeT (k_{PeT}), $k_{PeT} = 1/\tau_{obs} - 1/\tau_{obs}$ (Zn), where τ_{obs} and τ_{obs} (Zn) are the observed phosphorescence lifetimes in the absence and presence of zinc ions, respectively. N.A. indicates not applicable. ^{*i*}10 μ M phosphorescent compound in air-equilibrated aqueous buffer solution (20 mM PIPES, pH = 7.4); 298 K. ^{*j*}Weighted-average phosphorescence lifetime of biexponential decays: zinc-free ZIrdap, 0.031 μ s (53) and 0.79 μ s (0.054); zinc-bound ZIrdap, 0.070 μ s (0.58) and 7.2 μ s (0.46). ^{*k*}5 equiv of zinc ions.



Figure 3. Cyclic (solid lines) and differential pulse (dotted lines) voltammograms of ZIrdap (blue), the dap ligand (gray), Irap (red), and Irp (black). Conditions: scan rate = 100 mV s⁻¹ (cyclic voltammetry) and 4.0 mV s⁻¹ (differential pulse voltammetry); 1.0 mM in Ar-saturated acetonitrile containing a 0.10 M Bu_4NPF_6 supporting electrolyte; a Pt wire counter electrode and a Pt disc working electrode; a Ag/AgNO₃ couple as the pseudo reference electrode.

intersection between the UV–vis absorption and phosphorescence spectra. It is noted that ZIrdap retains the E^*_{red} value similar to that (1.33–1.47 V vs SCE) of the previous bluephosphorescent zinc probes,¹ despite the significant bath-ochromic shift of 2450 cm⁻¹ in the phosphorescence emission. In addition, the E^*_{red} value is more positive than those of biologically useful fluorophores, including fluorescein (1.15 V vs SCE) and rhodamine B (1.25 V vs SCE),³⁶ providing improved margins for the occurrence of PeT from DPA. Another notable feature is the high-lying oxidation potential of the DPA moiety in ZIrdap (i.e., 1.05 V vs SCE).¹ This value is cathodically shifted from the oxidation potential of DPA in the previous zinc sensor (1.28 V vs SCE), making PeT in ZIrdap more exoergic.

The above electrochemical data reveal thermodynamic allowance ($-\Delta G_{PeT} = 0.39 \text{ eV}$) for PeT in the zinc-free form of ZIrdap. To monitor the PeT process, we acquired phosphorescence decay traces at 555 nm of 50 μ M ZIrdap after nanosecond pulsed excitation at 377 nm (Figure 4). In the



Figure 4. Photoluminescence decay traces of 50 μ M Irp (\Box), 50 μ M Irap (\triangle), and 50 μ M ZIrdap (\bigcirc) in the absence (empty symbols) and presence (filled symbols) of 5 equiv of zinc ions after nanosecond pulsed photoexcitation at 377 nm. Conditions: deaerated CH₃CN solutions.

zinc-free state of ZIrdap, the transient phosphorescence signals follow a biexponential decay model with time constants of 0.031 μ s (53) and 0.79 μ s (0.054) (values in the parentheses are pre-exponential factors). Corresponding weighted-average lifetime (τ_{obs}) is 0.050 μ s. This lifetime value is significantly shorter than those of 50 μ M Irp (40 μ s) and 50 μ M Irap (1.2 μ s). Addition of 5 equiv of zinc ions elongates the weightedaverage lifetime to 6.5 μ s, implying that PeT is abolished by the zinc binding. The PeT rate (k_{PeT}) is estimated to be 2.0 × 10⁷ s⁻¹ from the relationship $k_{\text{PeT}} = 1/\tau_{\text{obs}} - 1/\tau_{\text{obs}}(\text{zinc})$, where $\tau_{\rm obs}$ and $\tau_{\rm obs}({\rm zinc})$ are the phosphorescence lifetimes of ZIrdap in the absence and presence of zinc ions, respectively. This k_{PeT} value is comparable with the rates for electron transfer occurring between phenanthrene and dialkylamido groups in the Z conformation.³⁷ Note that the k_{PeT} value is identical to the overall nonradiative rate $(k_{\rm nr}, k_{\rm nr} = (1 - \Phi_{\rm p})/\tau_{\rm obs} = 2.0 \times$





 10^7 s^{-1}) within the experimental error. This finding supports the notion that PeT is the dominant quenching process in the zinc-free state of ZIrdap. In addition, the similarity between the $k_{\rm nr}$ values of Irap (6.6 × 10^5 s^{-1}) and the zinc-bound form of ZIrdap (1.5 × 10^5 s^{-1}) provides evidence for complete suppression of PeT by zinc coordination.

Zinc Detection in the Aqueous Milieu. The phosphorescence intensity in the zinc-free form of 10 μ M ZIrdap in airequilibrated aqueous buffer solutions at pH 7.4 (20 mM PIPES) is higher than the case in acetonitrile. This increased basal intensity can be ascribed to protonation of DPA. Indeed, phosphorescence titration experiments demonstrate that the integrated phosphorescence intensity starts to increase with decreasing pH (Figure 5). The phosphorescence intensity remains relatively large in the rage of pH 6-9, and decreases at pH < 6. The lower phosphorescence intensities at acidic pHs may be ascribed to decoordination of the dap ligand, because returning the pH to 7.4 does not restore the original spectrum. Actually, the electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI MS; positive) spectrum of ZIrdap incubated at pH 4.0 for 1 h displays peaks corresponding to the free dap ligand and the biscyclometalated Ir complex fragment devoid of the dap ligand (i.e., $[Ir(C^N)_2]^+$; Supporting Information, Figure S3). The decoordination accompanies an appearance of a new hypsochromically shifted emission band at 380-480 nm. The spectral position and the photoluminescence lifetime of the new emission coincide with those of the dap ligand (Supporting Information, Figures S4 and S5), pointing to decoordination. These data demonstrate that ZIrdap loses its ability to detect zinc ions under prolonged exposure to acidic environments. Decoordination dynamics appears to be slow, but further investigations would be necessary to establish the kinetics of decoordination. Still, addition of 5 equiv of ZnCl₂ produces twofold enhancements in the phosphorescence intensities in the range of pH 5.0-8.0 (Figure 6).

The phosphorescence zinc responses could be distinguishable from the proton-induced changes, because a hypsochromic shift of 700 cm⁻¹ is evoked by zinc binding (Supporting Information, Figure S6; zinc-free state, $\lambda_{em} = 596$ nm; zincbound state, $\lambda_{em} = 572$ nm). The zinc-induced hypsochromic shift in the phosphorescence spectrum may originate from amido-to-iminol tautomerization and binding of HO⁻ ion at the zinc center, as recently reported by Shiraishi and co-workers.³² Actually, ESI MS (positive mode) spectra of the zinc-bound form of ZIrdap contained a peak at m/z = 1146.0 that



Figure 6. Phosphorescence turn-on responses of 10 μ M ZIrdap to 5 equiv of zinc ions at various pH values.

corresponded to $[Zn(ZIrdap-H^+)(OH)(CH_3CN)_2]^+$ (calcd 1146.2), supporting this hypothesis (Supporting Information, Figure S7). Furthermore, TD-DFT results for this structure predicted a hypsochromic shift (645 cm⁻¹) similar to the experimental observation (Supporting Information, Figures S2). Such a hypsochromic shift upon zinc binding is not observed in acetonitrile solutions.

Phosphorescence zinc titration for 10 μ M ZIrdap was performed with increasing the total zinc concentration from 0 to 15 μ M at a 0.05 μ M interval (Figure 7a). The phosphorescence intensity increases in proportion with the added zinc concentration and levels off after 1.0 equiv of ZnCl₂.

Job's plot analysis supports this 1:1 binding stoichiometry (Supporting Information, Figure S8). Corresponding zinc titration isotherm was fit to the analytical equations of the phosphorescence intensity and the free zinc concentration (eqs 1 and 2 in the Experimental Section). Iterative nonlinear least-squares fit to the equations returns the zinc dissociation constant (K_d) of 109 pM (Figure 7b). This value is 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the K_d value of the previous phosphorescence zinc sensor, indicating tight zinc binding of ZIrdap.² The stronger binding capability may originate from participation of the amide group in zinc coordination.^{31,32}

As shown in Figure 8, the phosphorescence response of ZIrdap is selective to zinc ions over other biological metal ions. Presence of 100 mM Na, 100 mM Mg, 100 mM K, 100 mM Ca, 50 μ M Cr, 50 μ M Mn, and 50 μ M Fe(III) ions has no

Ε



Figure 7. (a) Phosphorescence zinc response of 10 μ M ZIrdap at pH 7.4 (air-equilibrated aqueous solution buffered with 20 mM PIPES). The asterisk (*) indicates a peak resulting from the second harmonic of the excitation beam (345 nm). (inset) Titration isotherm of the integrated phosphorescence intensity as a function of the total zinc concentration. (b) Titration isotherm of the integrated phosphorescence intensity as a function of free zinc. Red curve is the nonlinear least-squares fit of the titration data to eq 1 in the Experimental Section.

influence on the phosphorescence zinc (50 μ M) response of 10 μ M ZIrdap. Weaker responses are observed when 50 μ M Fe(II) ions are present, and paramagnetic ions, including Co, Ni, and Cu, abolish the ability of ZIrdap for phosphorescence zinc detection. Similar to other zinc probes,^{38–54} Cd binding produces turn-on responses. However, the interferences by these metal ions will be minimal due to low concentrations of the chelatable forms in the biological milieu.

Comparison of Zinc and Cadmium Binding Behaviors. Many zinc probes experience interferences by cadmium ions. ZIrdap also displays a phosphorescence turn-on response to Cd ions. However, as shown in Figure 9, the phosphorescence spectrum of the cadmium-bound form differs from those of zinc-free and -bound forms. The peak wavelength of the phosphorescence emission of the cadmium-bound form is 561 nm, which shifts hypsochromically from the zinc-free state by 1200 cm^{-1} . The extent of the blue shift is greater than the case for zinc binding (700 cm⁻¹). Another notable feature in the phosphorescence spectrum for the cadmium-bound form is the presence of a shoulder peak at 526 nm. This spectral signature is indicative of a Cd-binding mode different from a Zn-binding



Figure 8. Phosphorescence zinc selectivity of 10 μ M ZIrdap over other competing metal ions at pH 7.4 (20 mM PIPES containing 100 mM KCl): 100 mM Na, Mg, and Ca, and 50 μ M Cr, Mn, Fe(II), Fe(III), Co, Ni, Cu, and Cd. 50 μ M ZnCl₂ was added to the ZIrdap solution containing the competing metal ions.



Figure 9. Phosphorescence spectra of 10 μ M ZIrdap (20 mM PIPES, pH 7.4) in the absence (black) and presence (blue) of 1.5 equiv of CdCl₂ and 1.5 equiv of ZnCl₂ (red). λ_{ex} = 345 nm. The asterisk is due to the second harmonic of the excitation beam.

motif. Indeed, UV-vis absorption titration of ZIrdap (20 mM PIPES, pH 7.4) with increasing either $ZnCl_2$ or $CdCl_2$ concentrations revealed different spectral profiles. As shown in Figure 10, the metal-free ZIrdap exhibits the lowest-energy absorption band at 346 nm. The additions of CdCl₂ and ZdCl₂ lead to bathochromic shifts of the absorption band with multiple isosbestic points (CdCl₂, 358, 318, and 303 nm; ZnCl₂, 365, 301, and 273 nm). These red shifts are opposite to the blue shifts in the phosphorescence spectra (Figure 9), suggesting that polar ground states are formed upon metal binding. In the case of the cadmium titration, the absorbance at 337 nm decreases in a monotonic manner and reached a plateau after 1 equiv of Cd ions (Figure 10a,c). Similar linear behaviors are observed in the phosphorescence cadmium titration experiments (Figure 11). In sharp contrast, zinc titration produces two-stage spectral changes (Figure 10b,c). The incremental addition of ZnCl₂ up to 0.5 equiv yields absorption changes identical to the spectral signatures of the cadmium titration. Further addition of ZnCl₂ evokes the second absorption responses with a hypsochromic shift in the peak wavelength. An isosbestic point at 349 nm is observed, indicating that the second response is not due to instrumental



Figure 10. UV-vis absorption titration of 10 μ M ZIrdap with CdCl₂ (a) and ZnCl₂ (b) in 20 mM PIPES buffer at pH 7.4, and corresponding titration isotherms for cadmium and zinc monitored at 337 nm (c).



Figure 11. (a) Phosphorescence cadmium response of 10 μ M ZIrdap at pH 7.4 (air-equilibrated aqueous solution buffered with 20 mM PIPES). The asterisk (*) indicates a peak resulting from the second harmonic of the excitation beam (345 nm). (inset) Titration isotherm of the integrated phosphorescence intensity as a function of the total cadmium concentration. (b) Titration isotherm of the integrated phosphorescence intensity as a function of the integrated phosphorescence intensity as a function of the integrated phosphorescence intensity as a function of the calculated concentration of free cadmium. Red curve is the nonlinear least-squares fit of the titration data to eq 1 in the Experimental Section.

drifts. The titration isotherm plotting the absorbance at 337 nm versus the added ZnCl_2 concentration reveals the zinc response that is different from the cadmium case (Figure 10c).

To obtain insight into the binding geometries, ¹H NMR spectra were obtained for ZIrdap in the absence and presence

of zinc and cadmium ions (Supporting Information, Figure 9). Although the complexity of the NMR spectra hampers complete assignments, the NMR spectra may suggest that the Cd-bound form retains the amido tautomer of the metal-free ZIrdap, whereas zinc binding induces the amido-to-iminolate tautomerization. Several attempts to obtain crystals for the metal-bound forms were not successful. On the basis of the results, it is proposed that ZIrdap adopts a predominant 2:1 (ZIrdap/Zn) binding geometry at low zinc concentrations (i.e., <0.5 equiv), where the amido form of ZIrdap is involved. At high zinc concentrations, a 1:1 complex having the iminolate form becomes the dominant species. This hypothesis is supported by the ESI MS and TD-DFT results for the zincbound ZIrdap (Supporting Information, Figures S2 and S7). However, further investigations should be performed to fully elucidate the structure-photophysical response relationship, because the phosphorescence zinc titration exhibits the onestage (i.e., monotonic) increase in the phosphorescence intensity (Figure 7).

Finally, the cadmium dissociation constant (K_d) was determined using the phosphorescence titration results. Nonlinear least-squares fit of the titration data to eqs 1 and 2 in the Experimental Section yields a K_d value of 82 pM. This value is comparable to the K_d for zinc binding, implying that ZIrdap binds cadmium ions as strong as zinc ions.

Visualization of Intracellular Zinc. Having established the ability of ZIrdap for phosphorescence zinc detection, we sought to demonstrate bioimaging utility of the zinc probe (Figure 12). HeLa, human cervical cancer cells, were employed for the intracellular zinc imaging experiments. ZIrdap is permeable through the membrane of HeLa cells, as evidenced by phosphorescence halos ($\lambda_{ex} = 405$ nm and $\lambda_{ems} = 441-691$ nm) in the cytosol of HeLa cells pretreated with 10 μ M ZIrdap (10 min). The spectral profile of the intracellular phosphorescence signals resembles the phosphorescence spectrum of the zinc-bound form of ZIrdap recorded in acetonitrile (Figure 12c), confirming that the ZIrdap is responsible for the photoemission.55 The non-negligible phosphorescence intensity might be due to protonation or endogenous zinc levels in HeLa cells comparable to the K_d value of ZIrdap.⁵⁶ Addition of the ionomeric form of zinc ions (50 μ M ZnCl₂ + 100 μ M sodium pyrithione) leads to a prompt increase in the phosphorescence intensity (Figure 12a). The corresponding



Figure 12. Phosphorescence visualization of exogenously supplied zinc ions in the form of ZnPT (ZnCl₂/sodium pyrithione = 1:2, mol/mol). (a) From the left: bright field image, HeLa cells incubated with 10 μ M ZIrdap for 10 min, cells subsequently treated with 50 μ M ZnPT, after the successive treatment with 100 μ M TPEN. $\lambda_{em} = 441-691$ nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 405$ nm). Scale bar = 100 μ m. (b) Average photoluminescence intensities of the HeLa cells treated with 10 μ M ZIrdap (left) and after subsequent treatment with 50 μ M ZnPT (middle), followed by 100 μ M TPEN addition (right). The photoluminescence intensities of the ZnPT-treated cell images were normalized to 1. Averages of 11 experiments. (c) Comparison of the phosphorescence spectrum (gray line) of the zinc-bound ZIrdap (CH₃CN) and the λ -profiles of the photoluminescence emission from the ZIrdap-treated HeLa cells before (\bullet) and after (\triangle) the ZnPT addition. More photoluminescence cell images are included in the Supporting Information, Figure S10.

phosphorescence turn-on ratio as quantitated by an average of 11 dishes is 1.5 (Figure 12b), barely enabling zinc imaging. Validity of the phosphorescence turn-on responses was assessed by the fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analyses (Supporting Information, Figure S11). Subsequent addition of 100 μ M TPEN reduces the phosphorescence intensities, confirming zinc responses.

One drawback of using ZIrdap in live cell imaging is noted: ZIrdap is cytotoxic to HeLa cells upon prolonged exposure (>30 min) to the photoexcitation beam. We speculated that this phototoxicity might result from photosensitization of singlet dioxygen (${}^{1}O_{2}$) by ZIrdap.⁵⁷ Indeed, the quantum yields for singlet oxygen photogeneration (Φ_{Δ}) of both zinc-free and zinc-bound forms of ZIrdap, determined using methylene blue ($\Phi_{\Delta} = 0.52$)⁵⁸ and 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran⁵⁹ as the standard and the ${}^{1}O_{2}$ -selective substrate, respectively, approach unity (Supporting Information, Figure S12). The Φ_{Δ} values for Irap and Irp are also as high as 0.89 and 0.68, respectively, indicating that the cyclometalated Ir(III) complexes are responsible for the ${}^{1}O_{2}$ photosensitization. These results present an additional challenge to the establishment of molecular strategies to attenuate phototoxicity of phosphorescent probes.

III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

It has remained as a significant challenge to develop redphosphorescent probes that can reversibly detect biological zinc ions. This difficulty stems from the thermodynamic forbiddance of PeT in the probes with low-energy phosphorescence emission. We have developed a zinc probe (ZIrdap) that is capable of producing fully reversible turn-on responses in the low-energy phosphorescence regions. The molecular construct for the phosphorescent probe involved the biscyclometalated Ir(III) complex and the DPA-appended 2-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine N^N ligand. An amide linkage was employed for bridging DPA and 2-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. This ligand structure had two effects on the thermodynamic parameters of PeT: One was increasing E^*_{red} of the phosphorescent center, and the other was decreasing E_{ox} of DPA. The net result of these two effects was exothermicity of PeT ($-\Delta G_{PeT} = 0.39 \text{ eV}$) at a significantly small phosphorescence energy ($\lambda_{ems} = 596$ nm). We monitored the occurrence of the intramolecular PeT and determined the PeT rate to be 2.0 \times 10⁷ s⁻¹, by employing transient photoluminescence techniques. This PeT rate was virtually identical to the overall rate of nonradiative transition in the zinc-free form of ZIrdap, indicating the effectiveness of PeT in the phosphorescence modulation. The zinc probe produced turn-on responses, which were fully reversible and selective to zinc ions over other biological metal ions. However, similar turn-on phosphorescence signaling was evoked by cadmium ion, while titration experiment results suggested different metalbinding modes. Finally, the probe was capable of visualizing exogenously supplied zinc ions in live HeLa cells. Despite the advance, however, one challenge to attenuation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ mediated phototoxicity remains. This calls for future studies to establish molecular strategies for the minimization of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ sensitization.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Synthesis. *Caution! Perchlorate salts of metal complexes are potentially explosive. Only small quantities of material should be handled with care.* The chloride-bridged Ir(III) precursor $[(dfppy)_2Ir(\mu-CI)]_2$ (dfppy = 2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)pyridinate) was synthesized according to a literature method.⁶⁰ Commercially available chemicals were used as received. All glassware and magnetic stirring bars were thoroughly dried in a convection oven. Reactions were monitored using thin layer chromatography (TLC). Commercial TLC plates (silica gel 254, Merck Co.) were developed, and the spots were visualized under UV light at 254 or 365 nm. Silica gel column chromatography was performed with silica gel 60 G (particle size

0.063–0.200 mm, Merck Co.). ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were collected with Bruker Ultrashield 300 and 400 Plus NMR spectrometers and referenced to residual proton peaks of the deuterated solvent. Mass spectra were recorded using a Thermo Electrons Co. Finnigan LCQ Advantage Max spectrometer and a JEOL JMS-600W mass spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed using CE Instrument EA1110 and EA1112 for C, H, and N.

2-Amino-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. 2-Amino-5-bromopyrazine (2.60 g, 17.0 mmol), 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine (6.19 g, 19.0 mmol), and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (1.76 g, 1.70 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (120 mL), and the solution was refluxed for 1 d under an Ar atmosphere. After it cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated and subjected to chromatographic purification on silica gel column (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH = 49:1 to 9:1, v/v). Yellowish-brown powder (2.30 g, 78%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ : 4.71 (s, 2H), 7.23–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.79 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 9.06 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ : 119.73, 122.79, 130.83, 136.83, 140.81, 141.71, 149.17, 154.16, 154.98. HR MS (EI, positive): Calcd for C₉H₈N₄, 172.0749; found: 172.0746. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₈N₄: C, 62.78; H, 4.68; N, 32.54. Found: C, 62.71; H, 4.72; N, 32.21%.

2-(Bromoacetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. 2-Amino-5-(2pyridyl)pyrazine (0.500 g, 2.90 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.480 g, 3.50 mmol) were dissolved in an anhydrous acetonitrile (50 mL). Bromoacetyl bromide (276 μ L, 3.20 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the reaction mixture, which was stirred additionally for 16 h at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the concentrated mixture was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂ to $CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH = 9:1$, v/v) to give a yellow powder (0.460 g, 54%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 4.08 (s, 2H), 7.33-7.36 (m, 1H), 7.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 9.36 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 9.53 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 100 MHz) δ : 31.12, 61.94, 122.22, 125.80, 135.43, 141.58, 148.05, 149.58, 150.95, 165.46, 172.57. HR MS (EI, positive): Calcd for C11H9N4OBr, 291.9960; found: 291.9957. Anal. Calcd for C11H9N4OBr: C, 45.07; H, 3.09; N, 19.11. Found: C, 44.82; H, 3.06; N, 19.29%.

2-((Di(2-picolyl)amino)acetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. An acetonitrile solution (50 mL) containing 2-(bromoacetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine (0.194 g, 0.662 mmol), di(2-picolyl)amine (0.120 g, 0.602 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (0.128 g, 0.927 mmol) was stirred for 1 d at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered to remove residual K₂CO₃, and the filtrate was concentrated. Chromatographic purification on silica gel column (CH_2Cl_2 to $CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH = 9:1$, v/v) gave a brown powder (0.220 g, 88%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 3.59 (s, 2H), 4.04 (s, 4H), 7.17–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 8.70 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 9.40 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 9.54 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H).¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ : 59.06, 60.80, 120.92, 122.56, 123.15, 123.71, 135.32, 136.77, 137.05, 140.88, 146.42, 148.34, 149.29, 149.48, 154.47, 157.94, 171.18, 207.01. HR MS (FAB, positive, m-NBA): Calcd for C₂₃H₂₁ON₇, 411.1808; found: 411.1818.

Zirdap. An anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) solution containing the chloride-bridged Ir(III) dimer ($[(dfppy)_2Ir(\mu-Cl)]_2$; 0.350 g, 0.290 mmol) and 2-((di(2-picolyl)amino)acetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine (0.200 g, 0.490 mmol) was refluxed for 6 h under an Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and NH₄PF₆ (15 equiv) was slowly added to the solution. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove NH4PF6 and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was subjected to flash column chromatography on silica gel with CH_2Cl_2 to $CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH = 19:1$ (v/v). Further purification by preparative TLC techniques was performed to isolate an orange powder (0.290 g, 44%). ¹H NMR $(CD_3CN, 300 \text{ MHz}) \delta$: 3.50 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (s, 4H), 5.71 (m, 2H), 6.68–6.76 (m, 2H), 7.07–7.20 (m, 4H), 7.26 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (m, 1H), 7.62 (m, 3H), 7.73 (m, 1H), 7.90-7.99 (m, 3H), 8.17 (td, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.52 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (m, 2H), 8.85 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 9.50 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ : 59.56, 60.50, 114.04, 122.73, 122.80, 123.83, 124.01, 124.77, 127.70, 134.98, 136.96, 139.32, 140.43, 144.50, 145.85, 148.84, 149.03, 149.51, 149.82, 151.99, 152.76, 154.22, 157.72, 172.69, 206.97. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 376 MHz) δ : -108.25 (m, 2F), -105.02 (m, 2F), -73.00 (d, *J* = 771 Hz, 6F). HR MS (FAB, positive, *m*-NBA): Calcd for C₄₅H₃₃F₄IrN₉O ([M–PF₆]⁺), 984.2373; found: 984.2370.

Irp. The identical method for the synthesis of ZIrdap was employed, except using 2-amino-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine instead of 2-((di(2-picolyl)amino)acetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. 0.210 g (29%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz) δ : 5.65 (s, 2H), 5.74 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 5.8Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, I = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.85–7.89 (m, 3H), 8.08 (t, I = 8.0Hz, 1H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.31–8.37 (m, 2H), 9.10 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz) δ : 99.38, 99.65, 99.94, 100.21, 114.18, 114.36, 114.49, 114.66, 122.21, 124.13, 124.21, 124.30, 124.42, 124.63, 126.93, 127.93, 128.12, 132.15, 138.92, 139.78, 139.87, 140.10, 145.90, 148.99, 149.20, 150.52, 152.72, 153.51, 155.28, 158.20, 160.72, 164.31, 164.83, 165.47. ^{19}F NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 376 MHz) $\delta:$ –108.69 (m, 2F), -106.13 (m, 2F), -73.03 (d, J = 768 Hz, 6F). HR MS (FAB, positive, *m*-NBA): Calcd for $C_{31}H_{20}F_4IrN_6$ ([M-PF₆]⁺), 745.1315; found: 745.1312.

2-(Acetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. An anhydrous acetonitrile solution (30 mL) containing 2-amino-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine (0.150 g, 0.870 mmol) and K₂CO₂ (0.220 g, 0.159 mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Acetyl bromide (97.0 μ L, 1.30 mmol) was added to the solution, which was stirred for additional 4 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and subjected to column purification on silica gel (CH2Cl2 to CH2Cl2/ $CH_{3}OH = 19:1, v/v)$. Yellowish-white powder (0.0690 g, 37%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 2.28 (s, 3H), 7.31-7.34 (m, 1H), 7.85 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 8.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (d, J = 4.8Hz, 1H), 9.29 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 9.55 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ: 24.50, 120.99, 121.86, 123.92, 135.12, 137.11, 140.45, 146.98, 147.51, 149.28, 149.63, 168.40. HR MS (CI, positive): Calcd for $C_{11}H_{11}N_4O$ ([M + H]⁺), 215.0933; found: 215.0938. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₀N₄O: C, 61.67; H, 4.71; N, 26.15. Found: C, 61.43; H, 4.68; N, 25.97%.

Irap. The identical method for the synthesis of ZIrdap was employed, except using 2-(acetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine instead of 2-((di(2-picolyl)amino)acetylamino)-5-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine. 0.560 g (37%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz) δ : 2.11 (s, 3H), 5.64–5.70 (m, 2H), 6.52-6.62 (m, 2H), 6.97-7.04 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.84 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 9.33 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz) δ : 24.74, 99.93, 114.61, 124.42, 124.54, 128.76, 135.87, 140.02, 140.11, 140.72, 145.35, 145.49, 149.25, 149.42, 151.18, 152.55, 154.32, 169.67. ¹⁹F NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 376 MHz) δ: -108.74 (m, 2F), -106.08 (m, 2F), -73.02 (d, J = 770 Hz, 6F). HR MS (FAB, positive, m-NBA): Calcd for C₃₃H₂₂F₄IrN₆O ([M-PF₆]⁺), 787.1420; found: 787.1421. Anal. Calcd for C33H22F10IrN6OP: C, 42.54; H, 2.38; N, 9.02. Found: C, 42.82; H, 2.45; N, 9.04%.

Spectroscopic Measurements. Milli-Q grade water (18.2 MΩ· cm) was used to prepare solutions for spectroscopic measurements. PIPES (≥ 99%) was purchased from Aldrich. A pH 7.4 buffer solution was prepared by dissolving PIPES (20 mM) in milli-Q water and adjusting the pH with standard KOH solution (45 wt %, Aldrich) or concentrated HCl (Aldrich). The buffer solution was further treated with Chelex 100 resin (BIO-RAD) to remove trace metal ions, filtered through a membrane (pore size = 0.45 µm), and its pH was reexamined prior to use. Fresh metal stock solutions (typically, 0.10 or 0.010 M except for CrCl₃·6H₂O) were prepared in milli-Q water using the corresponding chloride salts: CuCl₂ (99.999%, Aldrich), NaCl (≥99.5%, Aldrich), KCl (puratonic grade, Calbiochem), MgCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich), CaCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich), CrCl₃·6H₂O (98%, Aldrich), MnCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich), FeCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich), CoCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich), NiCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich), CdCl₂ (99.99%, Aldrich),

and ZnCl₂ (99.999%, Aldrich). A TPEN solution was prepared by dissolving N, N, N', N'-tetrakis(2-picolyl)ethylenediamine (\geq 99%, Sigma) in DMSO (99.9%, Aldrich). Zn(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (Aldrich) was dissolved in CH₃CN (spectrophotometric grade, Aldrich) to 1.0, 10, and 100 mM concentrations. The Ir(III) complex solutions were prepared by dissolution in CH₃CN to concentrations of 10 mM, 1.0 mM, 100 μ M, and 10 μ M. The 10 μ M solutions were used for spectroscopic measurements, otherwise stated. For aqueous solutions, 3.0 mL of the PIPES buffer and 3 μ L of the Ir(III) complex solution (10 mM in DMSO) were mixed to give a 10 μ M solution. A 1 cm \times 1 cm fluorimeter cell (Hellma) with a rubber cap was used for the steady-state optical measurements. UV-vis absorption spectra were collected on a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer at room temperature. Phosphorescence spectra were obtained using a Quanta Master 40 scanning spectrofluorimeter at room temperature. The photoluminescence quantum yields (Φ) were relatively determined according to following standard equation: $\Phi = \Phi_{\rm ref} \times (I/I_{\rm ref}) \times$ $(A_{\rm ref}/A) \times (n/n_{\rm ref})^2$, where A, I, and n are the absorbance at the excitation wavelength, integrated photoluminescence intensity, and the refractive index of the solvent, respectively. Fluorescein as an aqueous 0.1 N NaOH solution was used as the external reference ($\Phi_{ref} = 0.79$). The refractive index of the 0.1 N NaOH solution was assumed to be identical to the value for pure water. The 10 μ M solutions were deaerated by bubbling Ar prior to performing the measurements. Arsaturated 50 μ M solutions (CH₃CN) were used for the determination of the phosphorescence lifetimes (τ_{obs}). Photoluminescence decay traces were acquired based on time-correlated single photon counting techniques using a FluoTime 200 instrument (PicoQuant, Germany). A 377 nm diode laser (PicoQuant, Germany; pulse energy = 35 pJ) with a repetition rate of 125 kHz was used as the excitation source. The signals at 555 nm were obtained using an automated motorized monochromator, and recorded with a NanoHarp unit. The decay profiles were analyzed (OriginPro 8.0, OriginLab) using a single or double exponential decay model.

Electrochemical Measurements. Cyclic and differential pulse voltammetry experiments were performed using a CHI630 B instrument (CH Instruments, Inc.) using three-electrode cell assemblies. A Pt wire and a Pt disc were used as the counter and working electrodes, respectively. A Ag/AgNO₃ couple was used as a pseudo reference electrode. Measurements were performed in Arsaturated CH₃CN (3 mL) using tetra-*n*-butylammonium hexafluor-ophosphate (Bu₄NPF₆) as the supporting electrolyte (0.10 M) at scan rates of 100 mV s⁻¹ (cyclic voltammetry) and 4 mV s⁻¹ (differential pulse voltammetry). The concentration of the Ir(III) complex was 1.0 mM. A ferrocenium/ferrocene reference was employed as the external reference.

Determination of K_d . An approach previously reported by us^{2,61} was used to determine K_d values for Zn(II) and Cd(II) binding to ZIrdap. An equilibrium model for formation of a 1:1 ligand/metal complex was applied. Mass balance equations for the total concentrations of sensor and metal ions (M^{2+} ; M = Zn or Cd) can be expressed by eqs 1 and 2.

phosphorescence intensity(P. I.)

$$= [\operatorname{ZIrdap}]_{\text{total}} \times (\alpha_1 K_d + \alpha_2 [M^{2+}]_{\text{free}}) / (K_d + [M^{2+}]_{\text{free}})$$
(1)

$$[M^{2+}]_{\text{free}}^{2} + ([ZIrdap]_{\text{total}} + K_{\text{d}} - [M^{2+}]_{\text{total}})[M^{2+}]_{\text{free}} - K_{\text{d}}[M^{2+}]_{\text{total}} = 0$$
(2)

In eq 1, $\alpha_1 = P.I./[ZIrdap]_{total} = 1.82 \times 10^{11} M^{-1}$ (without metal ions ions) and $\alpha_2 = P.I./[ZIrdap]_{total} = 3.20 \times 10^{11} M^{-1}$ (in the presence of an excess amount of zinc ions) and $3.17 \times 10^{11} M^{-1}$ (in the presence of an excess amount of cadmium ions) were employed. A nonlinear least-squares method was applied to fit the metal ion titration data to eq 1 for the determination of K_d , which subsequently gave a set of free metal ion concentrations ($[M^{2+}]_{free}$) by applying eq 2. The free metal ion concentration was used to revise the K_d . This process was iterated (i.e., K_d and $[Mn^{2+}]_{free}$) until the r^2 value of the nonlinear least-squares fit could not be improved. A nonlinear curve fitting module embedded in OriginPro 8.5 (OriginLab) was used for this purpose.

Cell Culture. HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin (100 units/mL) at 37 °C in a humidified incubator under 5% CO₂. One day before imaging, cells were passed and plated onto poly(D-lysine)-coated glass-bottom culture dishes.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy. After the cells were washed with fresh DMEM, a 10 μ M ZIrdap in DMSO (biotech grade, Aldrich) was added to the culture media. The cells were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C. The incubated cells were washed twice with fresh DMEM (serum-free), and photoluminescence micrographs were taken using a Carl Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal laser scanning microscope using a Newport MaiTai eHP DeepSee multiphoton excitation system. An excitation beam (405 nm) was focused onto the dish, and the signals were acquired through 30 emission channels covering the range of 441-691 nm. The cells were imaged after subsequent treatment with 50 μ M ZnCl₂/NaPT. Finally, 100 μ M TPEN (DMSO) was introduced. ZnPT and TPEN were added directly into the culture media. Exposure to the excitation beam was kept as short as possible (<10 min) to minimize photoinduced cell death. Photoluminescence images and mean intensities were processed using the ZEN and ImageJ software, respectively.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorg-chem.5b00967.

¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra, UV–vis absorption spectra, a summary of TD-DFT calculation results, pH titration results, FACS analysis data, phosphorescence zinc responses at different pH values, ESI MS spectrum of the zinc-bound from of ZIrdap, ¹H NMR titration with varying the zinc concentration, additional ¹H NMR spectra of ZIrdap in different solvents, phosphorescence Job's plot, phosphorescence responses to cadmium ions, more cell images, Cartesian coordinates of optimized geometries, and determination of the quantum yields for ¹O₂ photosensitization. (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: odds2@ewha.ac.kr. (Y.Y.)

*E-mail: wwnam@ewha.ac.kr. (W.N.)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge the financial support from CRI (NRF-2012R1A3A2048842 to W.N.), GRL (NRF-2010-00353 to W.N.), GFP (CISS-2012M3A6A6054204 to Y.Y.), and the Ewha Womans Univ. (1-2015-0447-001-1 to Y.Y.).

REFERENCES

(1) Woo, H.; Cho, S.; Han, Y.; Chae, W.-S.; Ahn, D.-R.; You, Y.; Nam, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 4771–4787.

(2) You, Y.; Lee, S.; Kim, T.; Ohkubo, K.; Chae, W.-S.; Fukuzumi, S.; Jhon, G.-J.; Nam, W.; Lippard, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18328–18342.

(3) Ru, J.; Chen, X.; Guan, L.; Tang, X.; Wang, C.; Meng, Y.; Zhang, G.; Liu, W. Anal. Chem. 2015, 87, 3255–3262.

(4) Ulbricht, C.; Beyer, B.; Friebe, C.; Winter, A.; Schubert, U. S. *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 4418–4441.

(5) You, Y.; Nam, W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 7061-7084.

- (6) Zhao, Q.; Li, F.; Huang, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3007–3030.
- (7) Baggaley, E.; Weinstein, J. A.; Williams, J. A. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2012, 256, 1762–1785.
- (8) Ruggi, A.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Velders, A. H. Bioinorg. Med. Chem. 2011, 383-406.
- (9) Fernandez-Moreira, V.; Thorp-Greenwood, F. L.; Coogan, M. P. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 186–202.
- (10) Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 638-655.
- (11) Zhao, Q.; Huang, C.; Li, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2508-2524.
- (12) Lo, K. K.-W.; Louie, M.-W.; Zhang, K. Y. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 2603–2622.
- (13) Lo, K. K.-W. Topics in Organometallic Chemistry **2010**, 29, 115–158.
- (14) Lo, K. K.-W.; Zhang, K. Y. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 12069–12083.
- (15) Lo, K. K.-W.; Zhang, K. Y.; Li, S. P.-Y. Pure Appl. Chem. 2011, 83, 823–840.
- (16) Lo, K. K.-W.; Li, S. P.-Y.; Zhang, K. Y. New J. Chem. 2011, 35, 265–287.
- (17) Guerchais, V.; Fillaut, J.-L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 2448-2457.
- (18) You, Y. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2013, 17, 699-707.
- (19) You, Y.; Cho, S.; Nam, W. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 1804-1815.
- (20) Ru, J.-X.; Guan, L.-P.; Tang, X.-L.; Dou, W.; Yao, X.; Chen, W.-
- M.; Liu, Y.-M.; Zhang, G.-L.; Liu, W.-S.; Meng, Y.; Wang, C.-M. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 11498–11506.
- (21) Wang, Q.; Franz, K. J. Inorg. Chem. Biol. 2014, 233-274.
- (22) de Silva, A. P.; Gunaratne, H. Q. N.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher, J. T.; Rice, T. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1515–1566.
- (23) Kavarnos, G. J.; Turro, N. J. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 401-449.
- (24) Rehm, D.; Weller, A. Isr. J. Chem. 1970, 8, 259-271.
- (25) Fahrni, C. J.; Yang, L.; VanDerveer, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3799-3812.
- (26) Miura, T.; Urano, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Nagano, T.; Ohkubo, K.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8666–8671.
- (27) Suppan, P. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1986, 82, 509-511.
- (28) Weller, A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1968, 16, 115-123.
- (29) Closs, G. L.; Miller, J. R. Science 1988, 240, 440-447.
- (30) You, Y.; Cho, S.; Nam, W. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 1804–1815.
- (31) Xu, Z.; Baek, K.-H.; Kim, H. N.; Cui, J.; Qian, X.; Spring, D. R.;
- Shin, I.; Yoon, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 601-610.
- (32) Sumiya, S.; Shiraishi, Y.; Hirai, T. J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117, 1474–1482.
- (33) Lee, P.-K.; Liu, H.-W.; Yiu, S.-M.; Louie, M.-W.; Lo, K. K.-W. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 2180–2189.
- (34) Mataga, N.; Kaifu, Y.; Koizumi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1956, 29, 465-470.
- (35) Von Lippert, E. Z. Electrochem. 1957, 61, 962-975.
- (36) Koide, Y.; Urano, Y.; Hanaoka, K.; Terai, T.; Nagano, T. ACS Chem. Biol. 2011, 6, 600–608.
- (37) Lewis, F. D.; Burch, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1159–1160.
- (38) Taki, M.; Wolford, J. L.; O'Halloran, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 712-713.
- (39) Yuasa, H.; Miyagawa, N.; Izumi, T.; Nakatani, M.; Izumi, M.; Hashimoto, H. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1489–1492.
- (40) van Dongen, E. M. W. M.; Dekkers, L. M.; Spijker, K.; Meijer, E. W.; Klomp, L. W. J.; Merkx, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 10754–10762.
- (41) Park, S. Y.; Yoon, J. H.; Hong, C. S.; Souane, R.; Kim, J. S.; Matthews, S. E.; Vicens, J. J. Org. Chem. **2008**, 73, 8212–8218.
- (42) Zhang, X.-a.; Hayes, D.; Smith, S. J.; Friedle, S.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15788–15789.
- (43) Xue, L.; Liu, Q.; Jiang, H. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3454-3457.
- (44) Vinkenborg, J. L.; van Duijnhoven, S. M. J.; Merkx, M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11879–11881.
- (45) Xue, L.; Li, G.; Liu, Q.; Wang, H.; Liu, C.; Ding, X.; He, S.; Jiang, H. Inorg. Chem. **2011**, *50*, 3680–3690.

- (46) Ciupa, A.; Mahon, M. F.; De Bank, P. A.; Caggiano, L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 8753-8757.
- (47) Li, M.; Lu, H.-Y.; Liu, R.-L.; Chen, J.-D.; Chen, C.-F. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3670–3673.
- (48) Xue, L.; Li, G.; Yu, C.; Jiang, H. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 1050-1054.
- (49) Mikata, Y.; Sato, Y.; Takeuchi, S.; Kuroda, Y.; Konno, H.; Iwatsuki, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 9688–9698.
- (50) Song, E. J.; Kang, J.; You, G. R.; Park, G. J.; Kim, Y.; Kim, S.-J.; Kim, C.; Harrison, R. G. Dalton Trans. **2013**, 42, 15514–15520.
- (51) Zhang, L.-K.; Wu, G.-F.; Zhang, Y.; Tian, Y.-C.; Tong, Q.-X.; Li, D. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 21409–21412.
- (52) Choi, J. H.; Ryu, J. Y.; Park, Y. J.; Begum, H.; Park, H.-R.; Cho, H. J.; Kim, Y.; Lee, J. Inorg. Chem. Commun. **2014**, 50, 24–27.
- (53) Gogoi, A.; Samanta, S.; Das, G. Sens. Actuators, B 2014, 202, 788-794.
- (54) Yao, P.-S.; Liu, Z.; Ge, J.-Z.; Chen, Y.; Cao, Q.-Y. Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 7470-7476.
- (55) Similar dual emission behavior has been also observed for biscyclometalated Ir(III) complexes having 1,10-phenanthroline ligand (ref 1).
- (56) Qin, Y.; Miranda, J. G.; Stoddard, C. I.; Dean, K. M.; Galati, D. F.; Palmer, A. E. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *8*, 2366–2371.
- (57) Li, S. P.-Y.; Lau, C. T.-S.; Louie, M.-W.; Lam, Y.-W.; Cheng, S. H.; Lo, K. K.-W. *Biomaterials* **2013**, *34*, 7519–7532.
- (58) Adarsh, N.; Avirah, R. R.; Ramaiah, D. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5720–5723.
- (59) Aubry, J.-M.; Pierlot, C.; Rigaudy, J.; Schmidt, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 668–675.
- (60) Nonoyama, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1974, 47, 767-768.
- (61) You, Y.; Han, Y.; Lee, Y.-M.; Park, S. Y.; Nam, W.; Lippard, S. J.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11488–11491.