# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 110656

Received 28th September 2016

Accepted 15th November 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra26278c

## Transition metal-free cross-dehydrogenative coupling acylation of coumarins by the K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/ Aliquat 336 catalytic system: a versatile strategy towards 4-aroylcoumarin derivatives<sup>†</sup>

Mehdi Adib,\*<sup>a</sup> Rahim Pashazadeh,<sup>a</sup> Saideh Rajai-Daryasarei,<sup>a</sup> Roya Kabiri<sup>b</sup> and Mehdi Jahani<sup>a</sup>

A new and efficient transition metal-free oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction is described for the preparation of 4-aroylcoumarin derivatives. In this protocol aldehydes have been used as acylating agents for acylation of 3-substituted coumarins through  $C(sp^2)-C(sp^2)$  bond formation using the  $K_2S_2O_8$ /Aliquat 336 system as an inexpensive and environmentally friendly reagent. The reactions proceeded in acetonitrile at 80 °C for 2–3 h to afford the corresponding 4-aroylcoumarin derivatives in high yields.

www.rsc.org/advances high yields. The coumarin moiety is a heterocyclic scaffold that exists widely in a variety of biologically active synthetic and natural products.<sup>1</sup> Notably, coumarin derivatives are well documented as therapeutic agents and possess a variety of biological properties such as monoamine oxidase (MAO)<sup>2</sup> as well as lipoxygenase inhibitory activity and accordingly antioxidant <sup>3</sup> anti-inflam-

inhibitory activity and accordingly antioxidant,<sup>3</sup> anti-inflammatory,<sup>4</sup> antitumour,<sup>5</sup> antimicrobial,<sup>6</sup> antimalarial,<sup>7</sup> anticoagulant<sup>8</sup> and anti-HIV properties<sup>9</sup> (Fig. 1). Moreover, coumarins have been used as sensitizers in older photovoltaic technologies<sup>10</sup> and also as dyes in laser technology,<sup>11</sup> as well as in the preparation of soaps, sunscreen, cosmetics, perfumes and flavorings.<sup>12</sup>

Due to formation of C–C bonds from two C–H bonds, CDC reactions are applied as a high atom-efficient and stepeconomic approach for the construction of complex molecules in organic synthesis.<sup>13</sup> Recently the formation of C–C and C–



Fig. 1 Examples of pharmacologically active coumarin derivatives.

"School of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Tehran, PO Box 14155-6455, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: madib@khayam.ut.ac.ir; Fax: +98 21 66495291; Tel: +98 21 66495291

<sup>b</sup>NMR Lab, Faculty of Chemistry, Tabriz University, Tabriz, Iran

 $\dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Copy of  $^{1}\mathrm{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR spectra of new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra26278c

heteroatom bonds by use of CDC technique in the absence of transition metals has been considered significantly.<sup>14</sup>

Transition metal-free synthetic protocols from simplicity point of view as well as reducing adverse environmental consequences are elegant and robust approaches.<sup>15</sup> On the other hand, tetraalkylammonium halides/oxidants as catalytic systems have been found to be highly effective to replace the toxic heavy metal catalysts and have a significant role in development of C–C, C–N, C–O and C–S bonds formation in organic synthesis.<sup>16</sup>

Over the past decade, acylation of heterocyclic scaffolds using acylating agents such as aldehydes and arylmethanes *via* oxidative CDC reactions under transition metal-free conditions has attracted considerable interest.<sup>17</sup>

Recently, acylation of coumarins *via* CDC approaches using aldehydes as acylating agents has been investigated by our group,<sup>18a</sup> Qu<sup>18b</sup> and Zhou.<sup>18c</sup> In addition, Duan and co-workers reported an Ag-catalyzed diacylation of coumarins using  $\alpha$ oxocarboxylic acids as acyl sources.<sup>18d</sup>

As part of our continuing effort to develop efficient methods for the preparation of biologically active heterocyclic



Scheme 1 Model CDC reaction between 4-methylbenzaldehyde 1a and 3-acetylcoumarin 2a.

Table 1	Condition screening for	the CDC reaction of	4-methylbenzaldehyde	1a with 3-acetylcoumarin 2a
Tuble 1	contaition screening for		1 meenyweenzaachyae	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

Entry	Oxidant <sup>b</sup> (equiv.)	Additive <sup>b</sup> (equiv.)	Solvent	<i>t</i> (°C)	Yield <sup>c</sup> (%)	
1	$K_{2}S_{2}O_{8}(1)$	Aliquat 336 (15)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	r.t.	$\mathrm{NR}^d$	
2	$K_2S_2O_8(1)$	Aliquat 336 (15)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	50	NR	
3	$K_2S_2O_8(1)$	Aliquat 336 (15)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	65	
4	$K_2S_2O_8(1)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	78	
5	$K_2S_2O_8(1)$	Aliquat 336 (40)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	78	
6	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	87	
7	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1.5)	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	83	
8	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	100	82	
9	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	$\text{TBAB}^{e}(30)$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
10	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	$\text{TBPB}^{f}(30)$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
11	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	$NBS^{g}$ (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
12	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	$I_2(30)$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
13	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	KI (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
14	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	CuI (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
15	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	Toluene	80	50	
16	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	Aliquat 336 (40)	Chlorobenzene	80	50	
17	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	1,4-Dioxane	80	30	
18	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	Aliquat 336 (30)	DCE	80	NR	
19	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	DMSO : $H_2O(1:1)$	80	65	
20	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	DMSO	80	55	
21	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	Aliquat 336 (30)	H <sub>2</sub> O	80	40	
22	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	$CH_{3}CN : H_{2}O(1:1)$	80	82	
23	$(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	40	
24	$\mathrm{TBHP}^{h}(1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	60	
25	$H_2 O_2^{\ i} (1.2)$	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
26	DDQ (1.2)	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
27	_	Aliquat 336 (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	
28	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	_	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	NR	

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (1 mmol), **2a** (0.5 mmol), solvent (2 mL) for 2 h. <sup>*b*</sup> In respect to **2a**. <sup>*c*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*d*</sup> NR = no reaction. <sup>*e*</sup> Tetrabutylammonium bromide. <sup>*f*</sup> Tetrabutylphosphonium bromide. <sup>*g*</sup> *N*-Bromosuccinimide. <sup>*h*</sup> 70 wt% <sup>*t*</sup> BuOOH in H<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>*i*</sup> 30 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O.

compounds from readily available precursors,<sup>19</sup> and in continuation of our recent studies on CDC reactions,<sup>20</sup> herein, we describe an efficient approach for the intermolecular double  $C_{sp^2}$ -H functionalization between aromatic aldehydes and 3acetylcoumarins through  $C_{sp^2}$ - $C_{sp^2}$  bond formation leading to 4aroylcoumarin derivatives.

To verify this theory, 4-methylbenzaldehyde **1a** and 3-acetylcoumarin **2a** were chosen as model substrates to optimize the CDC reaction conditions (Scheme 1 and Table 1). In this optimization, the effect of several oxidants, solvents, additives, reaction temperature and time as well as various equivalents of oxidants and additives were investigated. Initially, we tested this reaction in the presence of  $K_2S_2O_8$  (1.0 equiv.) as oxidant and Aliquat 336 (tricaprylmethylammonium chloride)<sup>21</sup> (15 mol%) as additive in acetonitrile at room temperature or 50 °C for 2 h, but no product was detected (entries 1 and 2). By rising the temperature to 80 °C, 4-aroylcoumarin **3a** was obtained in 65%



Scheme 2 CDC reaction between aldehydes 1 and coumarins 2.

yield (entry 3). Subsequently, different quantities of K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and Aliquat 336 were evaluated to improve the yields (entries 4-7). Increasing the amount of additive to 30 mol%, led to the desired product 3a in 78% yield (entry 4). However, increasing the amount of Aliquat 336 to 40 mol% had no effect on the yield (entry 5). Then we employed 1.2 and 1.5 equiv. of K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> in the presence of 30 mol% Aliquat 336. Thus the desired product 3a was obtained in 87% and 83% yields, respectively (entries 6 and 7). However, rising the temperature to 100 °C decreased efficiency of the reaction (entry 8). Some other additives including TBAB, TBPB, NBS (see footnotes in Table 1), I<sub>2</sub>, KI and CuI were also screened in this study, but all were inert to this CDC reaction (entries 9-14). Next, the effect of different solvents such as toluene, chlorobenzene, 1,4-dioxane, DCE, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, v/v), DMSO and H<sub>2</sub>O on the efficiency of the reaction were investigated. However, carrying out the reaction in these solvents 3a was obtained in 40-65% yields and in DCE 3a was not detected (entries 15-21). Also, the reaction was performed in  $CH_3CN/H_2O(1:1, v/v)$ , but the yield decreased to 82% (entry 22). More experiments indicated that by use of  $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$  and TBHP as oxidant the yield of 3a decreased to 60% and 40%, respectively (entries 23 and 24). Furthermore, oxidants such as H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and DDQ were ineffective in this reaction (entries 25 and 26). Without  $K_2S_2O_8$  as oxidant and Aliquat 336 as additive, the CDC reaction could not proceed in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 80 °C after 2 h (entries 27 and 28). Thus, the optimal conditions for the metal-

Table 2 Cross-dehydrogenative coupling of aldehydes 1 with 3-substituted coumarins  $2^a$ 

Entry	1	Ar	2	Х	R	3	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$
$1^c$	1a	4-CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2a	н	$CH_3$	3a	87
2	1b	4-iPrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3b	80
3	1c	3-CH <sub>3</sub> OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3c	85
4	1d	$4-CH_3OC_6H_4$	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3d	88
5	1e	$4-ClC_6H_4$	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3e	79
6	1f	$C_6H_5$	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3f	84
7	1g	2-Thienyl	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3g	73
$8^d$	1f	$C_6H_5$	2b	8-OMe	$CH_3$	3h	71
9	1c	$3-CH_3OC_6H_4$	2b	8-OMe	$CH_3$	3i	75
10	1a	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	2c	6-Br	$CH_3$	3j	77
11	1b	4-iPrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2c	6-Br	$CH_3$	3k	73
12	1c	$3-CH_3OC_6H_4$	2c	6-Br	$CH_3$	31	77
13	1e	$4-ClC_6H_4$	2c	6-Br	$CH_3$	3m	75
14	1g	2-Thienyl	2c	6-Br	$CH_3$	3n	72
15	1f	$C_6H_5$	2d	Н	OEt	30	82
16	1a	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	2d	Н	OEt	3р	79
17	1e	$4-ClC_6H_4$	2d	Н	OEt	3q	82
18	1f	$C_6H_5$	2e	6-Cl	$CH_3$	3r	83
19	1h	$3-CH_3C_6H_4$	2e	6-Cl	$CH_3$	3s	80
20	1b	$4 - i PrC_6 H_4$	2e	6-Cl	$CH_3$	3t	78
21	1d	$4-CH_3OC_6H_4$	2e	6-Cl	$CH_3$	3u	77
22	1i	$4-O_2NC_6H_4$	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3v	NR
23	1f	$C_6H_5$	2f	$6-NO_2$	$CH_3$	3w	NR
24	1a	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	2f	$6-NO_2$	$CH_3$	3x	NR
25	1j	$n-C_4H_9$	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3y	NR
26	1k	$C_6H_5CH_2$	2a	Н	$CH_3$	3z	NR

 $^a$  Reaction conditions: aldehyde (1, 1 mmol), coumarin (2, 0.5 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (1.2 mmol), Aliquat 336 (30 mol%), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2 mL).  $^b$  Isolated yields (in respect to 2).  $^c$  Reaction time for entries 1–7: 2 h.  $^d$  Reaction time for entries 8–26: 3 h.

free CDC acylation of 2a by 1a were determined:  $K_2S_2O_8$  (1.2 equiv.), Aliquat 336 (30 mol%), in acetonitrile at 80  $^\circ C$  for 2 h.

With the optimized conditions available, we investigated the substrate scope (Scheme 2 and Table 2). Aromatic aldehydes with electron-donating alkyl or methoxy groups **1a–d** and **1h** those having electron-withdrawing chlorine group **1e** regardless of their position(s) on the aryl ring as well as benzaldehyde **1f** 



Scheme 3 A putative reaction mechanism for the synthesis of 4aroylcoumarins via CDC reactions mediated by  $K_2S_2O_8$ /Aliguat 336.



Scheme 4 Investigation on the reaction mechanism in the presence of TEMPO.

were coupled with 3-acetylcoumarin, affording the corresponding products 3a-f in 84-88% yields (entries 1-6). Also, with methoxy, chlorine or bromine substituents on acetylcoumarin reaction time was increased and the desired products 3hm and 3r-u were obtained in 71-83% yields after 3 h (entries 8and 18-21). Heteroaromatic aldehyde thiophene-2-13 carbaldehyde 1g was also employed in this CDC reaction, and gave the desired products 3g and 3n in 73 and 72% yields, respectively (entries 7 and 14). To extend the scope of our studies, ethyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-carboxylate 2d was also successfully reacted under the same conditions with aromatic aldehydes to yield the corresponding products 30-q after 3 h in 79-82% yields (entries 15-17). Furthermore, the reaction was tested using 6-nitro-3-acetylcoumarin (2f), 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (1i) as well as aliphatic aldehydes including *n*-butyraldehyde (1j) and phenylacetaldehyde (1k) under the optimum conditions, but, the acylation reaction did not take place (entry 22-26).

Based on the above observations and previous reports on the acylating agents and the heterocycle involved in the reaction,<sup>17b-d</sup> we proposed and outlined a plausible mechanism, as shown in Scheme 3. Initially, Aliquat 336 **A** may be converted to methyltrioctylammonium persulfate **B** by potassium peroxodisulfate ( $K_2S_2O_8$ ). Heating would lead to sulfate radical **C** which could abstract "H" atom of aldehyde **1** to generate the corresponding acyl radical **E**. Next, the acyl radical **E** reacts with coumarin **2** at  $\beta$ -position to give radical **F**. Finally, a hydrogen atom may be removed from radical **F** by another sulfate radical **C** to afford 4-aroylcoumarin **3**.

To gather further insight into our novel  $K_2S_2O_8$ /Aliquat 336 promoted acylation, the reaction between benzaldehyde **1f** and 3-acetylcoumarin **2a** was performed in the presence of 2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl-oxyl (TEMPO) as a radical scavenger (Scheme 4). Under this condition, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino benzoate **4** was isolated in 96% yield and the desired acylated product **3f** was not detected. Thus an acyl radical is involved in the catalytic cycle of the transformation.

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully disclosed an expedient and economical approach for 4-aroylcoumarin derivatives without using expensive transition-metal catalysts. In this paper,  $K_2S_2O_8$ /Aliquat 336 system has been reported as a ubiquitous and inexpensive catalytic combination at moderate temperature for the C4–H acylation of C3-substituted coumarins with aldehydes as acylating agents through oxidative crossdehydrogenative coupling reaction. This new protocol represents an attractive route for a straightforward access to a diverse range of substituted coumarins, a privileged motif found in a number of natural and designed compounds with important biological and physical implications.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Research Council of University of Tehran.

### Notes and references

- (a) R. D. H. Murray, J. Mendez and S. A. Brown, *The Natural Coumarins: Occurrence, Chemistry and Biochemistry*, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1982; (b) *Coumarins: Biology, Applications, and Mode of Action*, ed. R. O'Kennedy and R. D. Thomas, Wiley, New York, 1997; (c) A. Lacy and R. O'Kennedy, *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2004, **10**, 3797; (d) S. Sardari, S. Nishibe and M. Daneshtalab, *Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem.*, 2000, **23**, 335; (e) N. S. Reddy, K. Gumireddy, M. R. Mallireddigari, S. C. Cosenza, P. Venkatapuram, S. C. Bell, E. P. Reddy and M. V. R. Reddy, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **13**, 3141; (f) M. E. Riveiro, N. De Kempe, A. Moglioni, R. Vazquez, F. Monczor, C. Shayo and C. Davio, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **17**, 1325.
- 2 (a) M. J. Matos, S. Vazquez-Rodriguez, E. Uriarte, L. Santana and D. Viña, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 21, 4224; (b)
  D. Secci, S. Carradori, A. Bolasco, P. Chimenti, M. Yañez,
  F. Ortuso and S. Alcaro, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 46, 4846.
- 3 M. Roussaki, K. Zelianaios, E. Kavetsou, S. Hamilakis, D. Hadjipavlou-Litina, C. Kontogiorgis, L. Liargkova and A. Detsi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **22**, 6586.
- 4 S. J. Lee, U. S. Lee, W. J. Kim and S. K. Moon, *Mol. Med. Rep.*, 2011, 4, 337.
- 5 (a) X. Y. Huang, Z. J. Shan, H. L. Zhai, L. Su and X. Y. Zhang, *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.*, 2011, 78, 651; (b) S. Serra, A. Chicca,
  G. Delogu, S. Vazquez-Rodriguez, L. Santana, E. Uriarte,
  L. Casu and J. Gertsch, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, 22, 5791.
- 6 (a) D. A. Ostrov, J. A. Hernandez Prada, P. E. Corsino,
  K. A. Finton, N. Le and T. C. Rowe, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2007, 51, 3688; (b) M. J. Matos, S. Vazquez-Rodriguez, L. Santana, E. Uriarte, C. Fuentes-Edfuf,
  Y. Santos and A. Muñoz-Crego, *Med. Chem.*, 2012, 8, 1140.
- 7 R. Argotte-Ramos, G. Ramírez-Avila, M. d. C. Rodríguez-Gutiérrez, M. Ovilla-Muňoz, H. Lanz-Mendoza, M. H. Rodríguez, M. González-Cortazar and L. Alvarez, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2006, 69, 1442.
- 8 M. J. Fasco, E. F. Hildebrandt and J. W. Suttie, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1982, 257, 11210.
- 9 (a) T. Pengsuparp, M. Serit, S. H. Hughes, D. D. Soejarto and J. M. Pezzuto, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1996, 59, 839; (b) E. B. B. Ong, N. Watanabe, A. Saito, Y. Futamura, K. H. Abd El Galil, A. Koito, N. Najimudin and H. Osada, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, 286, 14049.
- 10 R. O. Loutfy, C. K. Hsiao and J. Sharp, US Pat., 4,175,982, 1979.

- 11 N. Sekar, Colourage, 2003, 50, 55.
- 12 (a) D. Egan, R. O'Kennedy, E. Moran, D. Cox, E. Prosser and D. Thornes, *Drug Metab. Rev.*, 1990, 22, 503; (b)
  J. A. Wilkinson and A. M. G. Brown, *Int. J. Cosmet. Sci.*, 1999, 21, 437.
- 13 (a) C. J. Li and Z. P. Li, Pure Appl. Chem., 2006, 78, 935; (b)
  C. J. Li, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 335; (c) Y. Zhang, J. Feng and C. J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 2900; (d)
  C. J. Scheuermann, Chem.-Asian J., 2010, 5, 436; (e)
  G. Song, F. Wang and X. Li, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 3651; (f) C. S. Yeung and V. M. Dong, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1215; (g) S. H. Cho, J. Y. Kim, J. Kwak and S. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5068.
- 14 (a) M. Uyanik, H. Okamoto, T. Yasui and K. Ishihara, Science, 2010, 328, 1376; (b) E. Faggi, R. M. Sebastian, R. Pleixats, A. Vallribera, A. Shafir, A. Rodriguez-Gimeno and C. R. de Arellano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 17980; (c) V. Chudasama, R. J. Fitzmaurice and S. Caddick, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 592; (d) H. Richter, R. Froehlich, C. G. Daniliuc and O. G. Mancheno, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 8656; (e) T. Morofuji, A. Shimizu and J. Yoshida, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7259; (f) B. Zhang, Y. Cui and N. Jiao, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4498; (g) L. Mchlmann, M. Baar, J. Riess, M. Antonietti, X. Wang and S. Blechert, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 1909; (h) Q. Liu, Y. N. Li, H. H. Zhang, B. Chen, C. H. Tung and L. Z. Wu, Chem.-Eur. J., 2012, 18, 620; (i) T. Dohi, T. Kamitanaka, S. Watanabe, Y. Hu, N. Washimi and Y. Kita, Chem.-Eur. J., 2012, 18, 13614; (j) K. Alagiri, P. Devadig and K. R. Prabhu, Chem.-Eur. J., 2012, 18, 5160; (k) Y. Ye, H. Wang and R. Fan, Synlett, 2011, 923; (l) K. Morimoto, T. Nakae, N. Yamaoka, T. Dohi and Y. Kita, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 6326; (m) T. He, L. Yu, L. Zhang, L. Wang and M. Wang, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 5016; (n) D. P. Hari and B. Kçnig, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 3852; (o) T. Dohi, M. Ito, I. Itani, N. Yamaoka, K. Morimoto, H. Fujioka and Y. Kita, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 6208; (p) T. He, H. Li, P. Li and L. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 8946.
- 15 (a) S. Roscales and A. G. Csákÿ, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 8215; (b) S. S. van Berkel and F. L. van Delft, Drug Discovery Today: Technol., 2013, 10, 45; (c) M. J. Rawling and N. C. Tomkinson, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 1434; (d) S. Yanagisawa, K. Ueda, T. Taniguchi and K. Itami, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 4673; (e) W. Liu, H. Cao, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, K. H. Chung, C. He, H. Wang, F. Y. Kwong and A. Lei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 16737; (f) E. Shirakawa, K. I. Itoh, T. Higashino and T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15537; (g) C. L. Sun, H. Li, D. G. Yu, M. Yu, X. Zhou, X. Y. Lu, K. Huang, S. F. Zheng, B. J. Li and Z. J. Shi, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 1044; (h) O. Vakuliuk, B. Koszarna and D. T. Gryko, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2011, 353, 925.
- 16 (a) T. Froehr, C. P. Sindlinger, U. Kloeckner, P. Finkbeiner and B. J. Nachtsheim, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 3754; (b) Z. Liu, J. Zhang, S. Chen, E. Shi, Y. Xu and X. Wan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3231; (c) H. Li, J. Xie, Q. Xue, Y. Cheng and C. Zhu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 6479; (d) E. Shi,

Paper

Y. Shao, S. Chen, H. Hu, Z. Liu, J. Zhang and X. Wan, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 3384; (e) Q. Xue, J. Xie, P. Xu, K. Hu,
Y. Cheng and C. Zhu, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1365; (f)
G. Majji, S. Guin, A. Gogoi, S. K. Rout and B. K. Patel, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 3031; (g) X. F. Wu, J. L. Gong and X. Qi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 5807; (h) H. Aruri,
U. Singh, S. Sharma, S. Gudup, M. Bhogal, S. Kumar,
D. Singh, V. K. Gupta, R. Kant, R. A. Vishwakarma and
P. Pal Singh, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 1929; (i) N. Yadav
More and M. Jeganmohan, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 3042.

- 17 (a) J. Zhao, P. Li, C. Xia and F. Li, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 4751; (b) K. Matcha and A. P. Antonchick, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2082; (c) L. Yu, M. Wang and L. Wang, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 5391; (d) Y. Siddaraju, M. Lamani and K. R. Prabhu, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 3856; (e) W. Ali, A. Behera, S. Guin and B. K. Patel, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 5625; (f) J. Chen, M. Wan, J. Hua, Y. Sun, Z. Lv, W. Li and L. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 11561; (g) Y. Siddaraju and K. R. Prabhu, Tetrahedron, 2016, 72, 959; (h) J. K. Laha, K. P. Jethava and S. Patel, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 5890.
- 18 (a) M. Adib, S. Rajai-Daryasarei, R. Pashazadeh, M. Tajik and
  P. Mirzaei, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 3701; (b) J. W. Yuan,
  Q. Y. Yin, L. R. Yang, W. P. Mai, P. Mao, Y. M. Xiao and

L. B. Qu, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 88258; (c) W. Zhao, L. Xu, Y. Ding, B. Niu, P. Xie, Z. Bian, D. Zhang and A. Zhou, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 325; (d) H. Wang, S. L. Zhou, L. N. Guo and X. H. Duan, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, **71**, 630.

- M. Adib, N. Ayashi, F. Heidari and P. Mirzaei, Synlett, 2016, 27, 1738; M. Adib, E. Sheikhi, R. Yazzaf, H. R. Bijanzadeh and P. Mirzaei, Tetrahedron Lett., 2016, 57, 841; M. Adib, Z. Yasaei and P. Mirzaei, Synlett, 2016, 27, 383; M. Adib, M. Zainali and I. Kim, Synlett, 2016, 27, 1844; M. Adib, H. Janatian Ghazvini, M. Soheilizad, S. Saeedi, M. Tajbakhsh and M. Amanlou, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2015, 98, 1079; M. Adib, M. Soheilizad, S. Rajai-Daryasarei and P. Mirzaei, Synlett, 2015, 26, 1101; M. H. Sayahi, M. Adib, Z. Hamooleh, L. G. Zhu and M. Amanlou, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2015, 98, 1231.
- 20 (a) M. Adib, R. Pashazadeh, S. Rajai-Daryasarei, R. Kabiri and S. J. A. Gohari, *Synlett*, 2016, 27, 2241; (b) M. Adib, R. Pashazadeh, S. Rajai-Daryasarei, P. Mirzaei and S. J. A. Gohari, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 3071.
- 21 (a) C. M. Starks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 83, 195; (b)
  K. Grudpan and C. G. Taylor, Analyst, 1984, 109, 585; (c)
  J. P. Mikkol, P. Virtanen and R. Sjöholm, Green Chem., 2006, 8, 250.