Kazumasa Funabiki,\*\* Masashi Nagamori,\* Masaki Matsui,\* Dieter Endersb

<sup>a</sup> Department of Materials Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering, Gifu University, 1-1 Yanagido, Gifu 501-1193, Japan Fax +81(58)2301893; E-mail: kfunabik@apchem.gifu-u.ac.jp

<sup>b</sup> Institut für Organische Chemie, Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule, Professor-Pirlet-Straße 1, 52074 Aachen, Germany *Received 2 September 2002* 

Abstract: An efficient asymmetric synthesis of both enantiomers of  $\alpha$ -trifluoromethylated homoallylamine via nucleophilic allylation of trifluoroacetaldehyde SAMP- or RAMP-hydrazone, followed by benzoylation and SmI<sub>2</sub>-promoted nitrogen-nitrogen single bond cleavage is described.

**Key words:** asymmetric synthesis, fluorine, hydrazone, allylation, amines

The asymmetric nucleophilic allylation of imino derivatives has received increasing attention in organic synthesis,<sup>1,2</sup> because the resulting enantio-enriched homoallylamines or hydrazines are promising precursors of  $\beta$ amino substituted aldehydes, ketones, epoxides, and calboxylic acid derivatives. Although some reports recently described the asymmetric synthesis of  $\alpha$ -alkyl, aryl or vinyl substituted  $\alpha$ -trifluoromethylamines,<sup>3</sup> to the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the stereoselective allylation of  $\alpha$ -trifluoromethylated imines or hydrazones leading to enantiopure a-trifluoromethylated homoallylamines despite their synthetic utility.<sup>4,5</sup> As a part of our studies directed towards the asymmetric synthesis of organofluorine compounds by means of the SAMP- or RAMP-hydrazone method,<sup>6</sup> we describe herein the first asymmetric synthesis of both enantiomers of a-trifluoromethylated homoallylamine (R)- or (S)-4a using trifluoroacetaldehyde SAMP- or RAMP-hydrazone (S)- or (R)-1a as a starting substrate (Scheme 1).



### Scheme 1

For the screening of the allylation reaction of trifluoroacetaldehyde hydrazones, the reaction between trifluoroacetaldehyde morpholinohydrazone (**1b**) and tetraallyltin

Synthesis 2002, No. 17, Print: 02 12 2002. Art Id.1437-210X,E;2002,0,17,2585,2588,ftx,en;c04902SS.pdf. © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York ISSN 0039-7881 was first examined (Scheme 2). The results are summarized in Table 1.



#### Scheme 2

**Table 1**Screening of the Reaction Conditions for the Allylation ofTrifluoroacetaldehyde Morpholinohydrazone (1b)

Entry <sup>a</sup>	Tetraallyltin (equiv)	PhLi (equiv)	Yield of <b>2b</b> (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	3	3	7 (38)
2	1	4	21 (35)
3	2	8	47
4	3	12	62
5	3°	3	20 (15)
6 <sup>d</sup>	0.3	0	0 (quant)

<sup>a</sup> The reaction was performed with hydrazone **1b** (1 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  (11 mL).

 $^{\rm b}$  Yields of isolated products. Values in parentheses stand for the recovered 1b.

<sup>c</sup> Allyltriphenyltin was used in place of tetraallyltin.

<sup>d</sup> The reaction was carried out in the presence of 5 mol% of Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub> in MeCN at r.t.

As shown in Scheme 2, treatment of tetraallyltin (3 equiv) with phenyllithium (12 equiv) at room temperature, followed by addition of trifluoroacetaldehyde morpholinohydrazone (**1b**) at -78 °C, gave the corresponding trifluoroacetaldehyde morpholinohydrazine **2b** in 62% yield (entry 4). The reaction of **1b** with three equivalents of tetraallyltin and PhLi, respectively, was extremely sluggish, providing only a trace amount of **2b** (entry 1). Employing tetraallyltin (1–2 equiv) and PhLi (4–8 equiv) produced **2b** in 21–47% yields along with recovered **1b** (entries 2 and 3). The use of allyltriphenyltin (3 equiv) in place of tetraallyltin with PhLi (3 equiv) was also ineffective, giving **2b** in 20% yield together with 15% of **1b** (entry 5). Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed (5 mol%) allylation<sup>7</sup> with 0.3 equivalent of tetraallyltin in acetonitrile at room tempera-

ture did not occur at all, and **1b** was recovered in quantitative yield (entry 6). With other allylic nucleophiles e.g., allylmagnesium bromide (3 equiv, -78 °C to r.t., overnight), only trace amount of **2b** was formed with 67% recovery of **1b**.

Next, in order to synthesize diastereo- and enantiomerically pure hydazines, the stereoselective allylation of trifluoroacetaldehyde SAMP- or RAMP-hydrazone (*S*)- or (*R*)-**1a** was carried out (Scheme 3).<sup>8</sup>



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (a) tetraallyltin, PhLi, r.t., then -78 °C; (b) catalytic DMAP, Et<sub>3</sub>N, PhCOCl, r.t.; (c) SmI<sub>2</sub>, THF/DMPU, r.t.

When SAMP-hydrazone (S)-1a was treated with a mixture, obtained by the reaction between 3 equivalents of tetraallyltin and 12 equivalents of PhLi at room temperature, in Et<sub>2</sub>O at -78 °C for 4 hours, the allylated hydrazine (2*R*,2'S)-2a was produced with high diastereoselectivty (93:7), and diastereomerically pure 2a was easily obtained by flash column chromatography in 80% yield. Hydrazone (*R*)-1a also nicely underwent the allylation reaction to give (2*S*,2'*R*)-2a (>98% de) in 67% yield after column chromatography.

The obtained diastereomerically pure hydrazines **2a** were easily converted to the enantiopure homoallylamines. After benzoylation of SAMP- or RAMP-hydrazine **2a** with an excess amount of triethylamine and benzoyl chloride in the presence of a catalytic amount of DMAP at room temperature, respectively, SmI<sub>2</sub>-induced cleavage of the nitrogen–nitrogen single bond of the hydrazides **3a** gave the corresponding enantiopure  $\alpha$ -trifluoromethylated homoallylamines (*R*)- and (*S*)-**4a** in 75–98% yield with >99% ee without any detectable epimerization or racemization.<sup>9</sup> The benzoyl group is essential for the cleavage of nitrogen–nitrogen single bond of **3a**. Thus, treatment of SAMP-hydrazine **2a** with SmI<sub>2</sub> under the same conditions gave only a trace amount of homoallylamine **4a**, and hydrazine **2a** was recovered quantitatively.

In summary, we have achieved the first efficient asymmetric synthesis of both enantiomers of  $\alpha$ -trifluoromethy-

lated homoallylamine based on the nuleophilic allylation of trifluoroacetaldehyde SAMP- or RAMP-hydrazone (ee >99%).

Optical rotaions were measured in Uvasol grade CHCl<sub>3</sub> (Merck) on a HORIBA SEPA-300 instrument. HPLC was carried out using Daicel CHIRALCEL OD (Daicel, 0.46 cm  $\phi \times 25$  cm) column on a Shimadzu LC-4A liquid chromatograph. Melting points were obtained on a Yanagimoto MP-S2 micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FT-IR 8100A spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured with a JEOL α-400 (400 MHz) FT-NMR spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL α-400 (100 MHz) FT-NMR spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL  $\alpha$ -400 (376 MHz) FT-NMR spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution using trifluoroacetic acid as the external standard. Mass spectra were taken on a Hitachi QP 1000 spectrometer (70 eV). HRMS were measured on a JEOL JMS-700 mass spectrometer. SmI<sub>2</sub> (0.1 M THF solution) and tetraallyltin were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co.

# Allylation of Trifluoroacetaldehyde SAMP-Hydrazone (S)-1a; (2R,2'S)-2-[2'-(Methoxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1'-yl]amino-1,1,1-trifluoropent-4-ene [(2R,2'S)-2a]; Typical Procedure

A solution of PhLi (5.7 mL of a 1.06 M cyclohexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O solution, 6 mmol) was slowly added to an anhyd Et<sub>2</sub>O solution (10 mL) of tetraallyltin (0.424 g, 1.5 mmol) at r.t. under argon. After the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h and cooled to -78 °C, an anhyd Et<sub>2</sub>O solution (1 mL) of trifluoroacetaldehyde SAMP-hydrazone (*S*)-**1a** (0.105 g, 0.5 mmol) was slowly added at -78 °C. After stirring at -78 °C for 4 h, the resulting mixture was quenched with a cold sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (50 mL), and the precipitate formed was removed by suction filtration with hexane (30 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 30 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After the isomer ratio of the products was determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 10:1) to give hydrazine **2a** (80%, 0.102 g); yield: 80%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.28 (hexane– Et<sub>2</sub>O, 10:1); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>21</sup>–34.8 (*c* = 0.97, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3293, 2977, 1645, 1458, 1379, 1273, 1173, 1125, 920, 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.50-1.59$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>CH), 1.64–1.77 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>A</sub>·H<sub>B</sub>·CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>CH), 1.86– 1.95 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>·H<sub>B</sub>·CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.25–2.33 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 2.42– 2.49 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>·H<sub>B</sub>) 2.43 (q, J = 8.78 Hz, 1 H, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 2.63– 2.70 (m, 1 H, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 2.70 (m, 1 H, CF<sub>3</sub>CH), 3.30 (br s, 1 H, NH), 3.35 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.38 (AB quartet, J = 9.27, 5.37 Hz, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>O), 3.44–3.49 (m, 1 H, NCH), 3.47 (AB quartet, J = 9.27, 5.37 Hz, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>O), 5.12 (ddt, J = 9.75, 1.71, 1,71 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 5.16 (ddt, J = 17.08, 1.71, 1.71 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 5.85 (ddt, J = 17.08, 9.75, 7.31 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 20.92 (s), 26.19 (s), 32.61 (s), 57.45 (s), 58.98 (s), 61.09 (q,  $J_{CF}$  = 25.91 Hz), 66.58 (s), 75.14 (s), 126.21 (q,  $J_{CF}$  = 282.30 Hz), 133.60 (s).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.41 (d,  $J_{\text{FH}}$  = 7.63 Hz, 3 F).

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 252.0 (M<sup>+</sup>, 7.9), 207.0 (M<sup>+</sup> – CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, 100.0), 129.0 (M<sup>+</sup> – CF<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>, 8.1).

HRMS (CI): m/z calcd for  $C_{11}H_{19}ON_2F_3$  (M + H), 253.1529; found, 253.1520.

### (2*S*,2′*R*)-2-[(2′-Methoxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1′-yl]amino-1,1,1trifluoropent-4-ene [(2*S*,2′*R*)-2a]

This compound was prepared staring from (*R*)-**1a** according to the above typical procedure; yield: 67%;  $R_f 0.25$  (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 10:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +43.62 (*c* = 0.96, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

# 2-(Morpholin-1'-yl)amino-1,1,1-trifluoropent-4-ene (2b)

This compound was prepared starting from **1b** following the above typical procedure; yield: 62%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.23 (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 10:1).

IR (KBr): 3278, 2859, 1646, 1453, 1358, 1275, 1113, 878 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.09 (ddd, *J* = 14.64, 9.50, 9.50 Hz, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 2.38 (br, 1 H, NH), 2.40–2.45 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 2.54–2.59 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.17–3.24 (m, 1 H, CF<sub>3</sub>CH), 3.58–3.65 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.12–5.15 (m, 2 H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.65–5.73 (m, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 31.96 (s), 56.32 (s), 58.28 (q,  $J_{CF}$  = 28.12 Hz), 66.12 (s), 120.55 (s), 125.62 (q,  $J_{CF}$  = 284.50 Hz), 132.42 (s).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.85 (d,  $J_{\text{FH}}$  = 6.11 Hz, 3 F).

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 224.0 (M<sup>+</sup>, 8.7), 101.0 (M<sup>+</sup> – CF<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>, 100.0).

HRMS (CI): m/z calcd for  $C_9H_{16}ON_2F_3$  (M + H), 225.1216; found, 225.1210.

## Benzoylation of SAMP-Hydrazine 2a; (2*R*,2'*S*)-*N*-[2'-(Methoxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1'-yl]]-*N*-(1,1,1-trifluoropent-4-en-2yl)benzamide [(2*R*,2'*S*)-3a]; Typical Procedure

A mixture of SAMP-hydrazine (2*R*,2'*S*)-2*a* (0.179 g, 0.709 mmol), benzoyl chloride (0.996 g, 7.09 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.716 g, 7.09 mmol), and a catalytic amount of DMAP (1 crystal) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) was stirred at r.t. for 3 d under argon. The resultant mixture was quenched with a sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (50 mL), extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 30 mL), washed with a sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (2 × 30 mL), and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 20:1, followed by hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5:1) to give the hydrazide **3a** (68%, 0.173 g); yield: 68%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.13 (hexane– Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ –39.06 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 2979, 1667, 1601, 1447, 1348, 1321, 1173, 1120, 924, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.52-1.90$  (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.77–2.88 (m, 1 H, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.07 (s, 1 H, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.14–3.20 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.22–3.27 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.36 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.44–3.53 (m, 1 H, NCH), 3.48 (AB quartet, J = 9.52, 4.15 Hz, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>O), 3.79–3.83 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>O), 4.19 (m, 1 H, CF<sub>3</sub>CH), 5.13 (dd, J = 16.34, 1.22 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 5.17 (dd, J = 9.27, 1.22 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 5.59 (ddt, J = 16.34, 9.27, 6.83 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.32–7.51 (m, 5 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 24.31 (s), 27.95 (s), 30.48 (s), 54.87 (s), 58.60 (s), 62.43 (q,  $J_{CF}$  = 28.67 Hz), 63.46 (s), 74.65 (s), 124.31 (q,  $J_{CF}$  = 265.20 Hz), 126.13 (s), 128.60 (s), 129.65 (s), 131.65 (s), 136.33 (s), 171.09 (s).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.66 (d,  $J_{\text{FH}}$  = 7.63 Hz, 3 F).

MS (EI) m/z (%) = 356.0 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1.4), 311.0 (M<sup>+</sup> – CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, 100.0), 251.0 (M<sup>+</sup> – PhCO, 23.6), 105.0 (PhCO, 78.4).

## (2*S*,2′*R*)-*N*-[2′-(Methoxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1′-yl]-*N*-1,1,1-trifluoropent-4-en-2-yl)benzamide [(2*S*,2′*R*)-3a]

This compound was prepared starting from (2S,2'R)-**2a** following the above typical procedure; yield: 61%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.16 (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +45.40 (c = 0.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

## SmI<sub>2</sub>-Induced Cleavage of the N–N Single Bond of SAMP-Hydrazide 3a; (*R*)-*N*-(1,1,1-Trifluoropent-4-en-2-yl)benzamide [(*R*)-4a]; Typical Procedure

A THF solution of SmI<sub>2</sub> (13.6 mL, 0.1 M, 1.4 mmol) was slowly added to a THF solution (3.1 mL) of SAMP-hydrazide (2*R*,2'*S*)-**3a** (0.161 g, 0.45 mmol) in the presence of DMPU (0.78 mL) at r.t. under argon. After 30 min the reaction mixture was quenched with a sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (50 mL), extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 30 mL), and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5:1) to give the amide **4a** (75%, 0.082 g); yield: 75%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.13 (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5:1); mp 153.0–154.5 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +6.65 (*c* = 1.01, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3281, 1647, 1537, 1375, 1264, 1179, 1103, 924, 708 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.35-2.43$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 2.56–2.63 (m, 1H, CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 4.83–4.93 (m, 1 H, CF<sub>3</sub>CH), 5.13 (ddt, J = 8.05, 1.47, 1.47 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 5.15 (ddt, J = 14.40, 1.47, 1.47 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 5.73 (ddt, J = 14.40, 9.76, 8.05 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.04 (d, J = 9.27 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.38–7.41 (m, 2 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-H<sub>m</sub>), 7.46–7.50 (m, 1 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-H<sub>p</sub>), 7.69–7.72 (m, 2 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-H<sub>o</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 32.87 (s), 49.88 (q,  $J_{CF} = 30.32$  Hz), 119.68 (s), 125.08 (q,  $J_{CF} = 243.15$  Hz), 127.05 (s), 128.65 (s), 131.43 (s), 131.07 (s), 133.41 (s), 167.33 (s).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.60 (d,  $J_{\text{FH}}$  = 7.63 Hz, 3 F).

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 243.0 (M<sup>+</sup>, 13.6), 202.0 (M<sup>+</sup> – CH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>, 0.8), 105.0 (PhCO, 100.0).

# (S)-N-(1,1,1-Trifluoro-4-penten-2-yl)benzamide [(S)-4a]

This compound was prepared staring from (2S,2'R)-**3a** according to the above typical procedure; yield: 98%; R<sub>f</sub> 0.13 (hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5:1); mp 148.8–151.0 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ –3.36 (c = 1.02, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

# Acknowledgments

K.F. is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship (2000–2001). This work was supported by the Saijiro Endo Foundation. We thank Professors Hiroki Yamana-ka and Takashi Ishihara as well as Dr. Tsutomu Konno of the Kyoto Institute of Technology for the HRMS measurements of **2a**,**b**.

# References

- For recent reviews on allylic metals, see: (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2207. (b) Kleinman, E. F.; Volkmann, R. A. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 2; Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: New York, **1991**, 975.
- (2) For reviews on the 1,2-addition to the carbon-nitrogen double bond, see: (a) Denmark, S. E.; Nicaise, O. J.-C. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 999. (b) Enders, D.; Reinhold, U. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1997**, 8, 1895. (c) Bloch, R. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, 98, 1407. (d) Kobayashi, S.; Ishitani, H. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, 99, 1069. (e) Merino, P.; Franco, S.; Merchan, F. L.; Tejero, T. *Synlett* **2000**, 442. (f) Alvaro, G.; Savoia, D. *Synlett* **2002**, 651.
- (3) For a review on functionalized α-trifluoromethylated amines using the sulfinyl or 1-phenylethyl group as chiral auxiliary, see: (a) Bravo, P.; Zanda, M. In *Enantiocontrolled Synthesis* of Fluoroorganic Compounds; Soloshonok, V. A., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, **1999**, 107. (b) Bravo, P.; Bruche, L.; Crucianell, M.; Viani, F.; Zanda, M. In Asymmetric Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Application, and

Future Directions; Ramachandran, P. V., Ed.; American Chemical Society: Washington DC, 1999, 98.
(c) Soloshonok, V. A.; Bravo, P.; Bruche, L.; Crucianell, M.; Viani, F.; Zanda, M. In Asymmetric Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Application, and Future Directions; Ramachandran, P. V., Ed.; American Chemical Society: Washington DC, 1999, 74. (d) Pirkle, W. H.; Hauske, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2436. (e) Wang, Y.; Mosher, H. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 987. (f) Soloshonok, V. A.; Ono, T. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3030. (g) Ishii, A.; Higashiyama, K.; Mikami, K. Synlett 1997, 1381. (h) Ishii, A.; Miyamoto, F.; Higashiyama, K.; Mikami, K. Chem. Lett. 1998, 119. (i) Ishii, A.; Miyamoto, F.; Higashiyama, K.; Mikami, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1199. (j) Prakash,

G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Olah, G. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 589. (k) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Olah, G. A. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2847. (l) Gong, Y.; Kato, K.

*Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 2121. (m) Demir, A. S.; Sesenoglu, O.; Gerçek-Arkin, Z. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 2309.

- (4) For the nucleophilic allylation of achiral trifluoromethylated ketoimines, see: Felix, C.; Laurent, A.; Lesniak, S.; Mison, P. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1991, 32.
- (5) For allylation of chiral trifluoromethylated aminal, see:
  (a) Billard, T.; Langlois, B. R. *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, *67*, 997.
  (b) Lebouvier, N.; Laroche, C.; Huguenot, F.; Brigaud, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 2827.
- (6) (a) Enders, D.; Funabiki, K. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1575.
  (b) Enders, D.; Faure, S.; Potthoff, M.; Runsink, J. Synthesis 2001, 2307. (c) Enders, D.; Potthoff, M.; Raabe, G.; Runsink, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2362. (d) For a recent review on the SAMP-/RAMP-hydrazone method, see: Job, A.; Janeck, C. F.; Bettray, W.; Peters, R.; Enders, D. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2253.
- (7) Manabe, K.; Oyamada, H.; Sugita, K.; Kobayashi, S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8025.
- (8) For the allylation of fluorine-free aldehyde SAMP-/RAMPor other hydrazones, see: (a) Enders, D.; Schankat, J.; Klatt, M. Synlett 1994, 795. (b) Friestad, G. K.; Ding, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4491.
- (9) For the SmI\_2-induced cleavage of the nitrogen–nitrogen single bond, see Ref.  $^{\rm Ga}$