Accepted Manuscript

Host dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)-directed cycloguanil analogues endowed with activity against influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus

Michele Tonelli, Lieve Naesens, Sabrina Gazzarrini, Matteo Santucci, Elena Cichero, Bruno Tasso, Anna Moroni, Maria Paola Costi, Roberta Loddo

PII: S0223-5234(17)30344-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.04.070

Reference: EJMECH 9421

To appear in: European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Received Date: 24 March 2017

Revised Date: 12 April 2017

Accepted Date: 25 April 2017

Please cite this article as: M. Tonelli, L. Naesens, S. Gazzarrini, M. Santucci, E. Cichero, B. Tasso, A. Moroni, M.P. Costi, R. Loddo, Host dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)-directed cycloguanil analogues endowed with activity against influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.04.070.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Graphical abstract

Host dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)-directed cycloguanil analogues endowed with activity against influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus

Michele Tonelli,^{a,*} Lieve Naesens,^b Sabrina Gazzarrini,^c Matteo Santucci,^d Elena Cichero,^a Bruno Tasso,^a Anna Moroni,^c Maria Paola Costi,^d Roberta Loddo^e



Host dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)-directed cycloguanil analogues endowed with activity against influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus

Michele Tonelli,^{a,*} Lieve Naesens,^b Sabrina Gazzarrini,^c Matteo Santucci,^d Elena Cichero,^a Bruno Tasso,^a Anna Moroni,^c Maria Paola Costi,^d Roberta Loddo^e

^aDipartimento di Farmacia, Università di Genova, Viale Benedetto XV 3, 16132 Genova, Italy; ^bRega Institute for Medical Research, KU Leuven, Minderbroedersstraat 10, B-3000 Leuven – Belgium;

^cDepartment of Biosciences and National Research Council (CNR) Biophysics Institute (IBF), University of Milan, Via Celoria 26, 20133 Milan, Italy;

^dDepartment of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Campi 103, 41100 Modena, Italy

^eDipartimento di Scienze Biomediche, Sezione di Microbiologia e Virologia, Università di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato (CA), Italy

In memory of Federica Novelli.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Dr. Michele Tonelli: tel: +390103538867; fax: +390103538399. E-mail: tonelli@difar.unige.it.

Abstract

We have identified a series of 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines, structurally related to the antimalarial drug cycloguanil, as new inhibitors of influenza A and B virus and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) *via* targeting of the host dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme. Most analogues proved active against influenza B virus in the low micromolar range, and the best compounds (**11**, **13**, **14** and **16**) even reached the sub-micromolar potency of zanamivir (EC₅₀= 0.060 μ M), and markedly exceeded (up to 327 times) the antiviral efficacy of ribavirin. Activity was also observed for two influenza A strains, including a virus with the S31N mutant form of M2 proton channel, which is the most prevalent resistance mutation for amantadine. Importantly, the compounds displayed nanomolar activity against RSV and a superior selectivity index, since the ratio of cytotoxic to antiviral concentration was >10,000 for the three most active compounds **11**, **14** and **16** (EC₅₀ ~0.008 μ M), far surpassing the potency and safety profile of the licensed drug ribavirin (EC₅₀= 5.8 μ M, SI>43).

Keywords: 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine derivatives; anti-influenza A and B viruses activity; anti-RSV activity; host (human) DHFR inhibition.

1. Introduction

The *Ortho-* and *Paramyxoviridae* families comprise important respiratory pathogens, i.e. influenza A and B viruses and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), respectively. The acute respiratory illnesses caused by these viruses represent major medical problems, given their significant morbidity and potential mortality, particularly in vulnerable populations such as small infants, elderly people or patients with underlying medical conditions [1]. Besides, the threat for new influenza A virus pandemics (such as that of 2009 [2]) is a reason for global and constant concern. Since the current arsenal of antiviral drugs to treat or prevent influenza or RSV infections is quite limited [1,3], new therapeutics are highly needed. According to a recommendation by the World Health Organization [4], attention should be given to innovative agents with broad activity against diverse respiratory viruses.

Viruses, as obligate intracellular parasites, encode multiple virus-specific proteins essential for replication, which also depends on critical interactions with host cell proteins. Most approved antiviral drugs target unique proteins encoded by one virus or a range of closely related viruses. This strategy is prone to selecting drug-resistance, particularly for viruses, which possess high mutability (such as influenza virus) or require long-term therapy. An alternative and relevant approach is to address host factors involved in the viral life cycle. This type of inhibitors is anticipated to possess a markedly higher barrier for selecting drugresistant viruses and, furthermore, may display broad-spectrum antiviral activity when dealing with a cellular target that is recruited by different viruses. Two host-directed antiviral drugs are maraviroc, a CCR5 receptor antagonist approved for HIV therapy, and alisporivir, a cyclophylin inhibitor that is undergoing Phase III tests for hepatitis C treatment [5]. Specific host proteins were proven to be critical for the replication of diverse unrelated viruses [6], yet the array of possible cellular targets (the 'virus-host interactome') is continuously growing, as recently reviewed for influenza [7] and RSV [8].

The first example of a broadly-acting antiviral drug is ribavirin, a nucleoside analogue that was proposed to act directly at the level of the viral polymerase, although an indirect effect via inhibition of the host-cell IMP dehydrogenase and depletion of the GTP pool seems more plausible [9]. Another enzyme of the purine and pyrimidine pathways is dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) which catalyzes the reduction of dihydrofolate (DHF) to tetrahydrofolate (THF), a crucial cofactor for the biosynthesis of IMP and thymidylate. Folate antagonists interfering with DHFR can be applied in diverse pharmacological (i.e. antimalarial, antibacterial and antineoplastic) settings [10-13]. The licensed antifolates trimethoprim [14], pyrimethamine [15] and cycloguanil are potent inhibitors of bacterial and protozoal DHFR, respectively, but only weak inhibitors of mammalian DHFR enzymes. On the other hand, the drug methotrexate (MTX) is a potent unselective DHFRs inhibitor (Ki= 0.01 to 0.2 nM) [16], because of its close structural similarity with dihydrofolic acid, the natural substrate of the enzyme [17]. MTX shows a binding affinity to human DHFR (hDHFR) 1000-fold higher than that of folic acid [16], explaining its clinical application as anticancer, anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive agent. Indeed, the MTX capability of affecting different intracellular pathways has been very recently described, highlighting a rather complex mechanism of action besides the most important therapeutic activity related to hDHFR inhibition [18]. Ongoing research efforts to develop novel antifolates for cancer chemotherapy and microbial infections continue to be extensively reviewed [19]. Cycloguanil is the active metabolite of the antimalarial drug proguanil (Paludrine® or Malarone®), that is approved for prophylaxis and treatment of infections by *Plasmodium vivax* or *falciparum*. The species-selective activity of cycloguanil (and pyrimethamine) has traditionally been attributed to higher affinity of the drug for Plasmodium bifunctional dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthetase (DHFR-

TS) than for hDHFR [20]. Since 1991, cycloguanil and related 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2dihydrotriazines were studied with the aim at treating *Pneumocystis Carinii* pneumonia [21], searching for more selective inhibitors for *P. Carinii* DHFR over host DHFR (especially human enzyme). Indeed, trimethoprim, the antifolate most widely used for that kind of infection, was a poor inhibitor of *P. Carinii* DHFR (Ki= 280 μ M) and showed about 6-fold greater selectivity for hDHFR (Ki= 48 μ M). Some 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines exhibited a selective *P. Carinii* DHFR inhibition, while cycloguanil and some related analogues (two of them corresponding to our compounds **11** and **14**) were disclosed to bind slightly stronger to hDHFR (cycloguanil, Ki= 43.0 μ M) than to *P. Carinii* enzyme (cycloguanil, Ki= 109.0 μ M). Finally, the Author suggested that not only the expected selective fungal enzyme inhibitors, but even compounds with higher species-selectivity profile for hDHFR showed improvement over agents currently used to treat *P. Carinii* infections.

In our previous studies, we focused on the design of antiviral agents by exploring diverse and original chemotypes [22-23]. In the search of novel promising derivatives, in this manuscript we reported for the first time the intriguing antiviral profile of cycloguanil (1). Based on this information, we deemed interesting to proceed our work directed to the design, synthesis and evaluation of the antiviral activity and cytotoxicity played by further compounds, against a wide range of RNA and DNA viruses. In particular, we explored the most relevant structure-activity relationship (SAR) exhibited within a series of structural analogues of cycloguanil (1), including a number of 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines. These compounds proved to inhibit virus reproduction targeting the host cell DHFR. In particular, this new class of host-directed antiviral agents displayed promising dual activity against influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).

Selected compounds were also tested against hDHFR, in order to gain knowledge on their efficacy versus the recombinant protein. Finally, docking studies were performed, in order to support and disclose the most probable binding mode for these derivatives as hDHFR ligands and foster lead optimization process.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Design of host-directed antiviral agents

The compounds, object of the present study, are characterized by the 1-aryl-4,6diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine scaffold, such as the cited cycloguanil, which was identified by us as prototype (1) of a new class of antiviral agents exploiting a host DHFR inhibition mechanism. In order to better clarify the intriguing effectiveness of tuning an adequate host DHFR inhibition for the development of antiviral compounds endowed with optimized antiviral activity and safety profiles, we proceeded with the design, synthesis and evaluation of a series of cycloguanil (1) analogues. Furthermore, several studies about compound (I) [27], containing a pyridopyrimidine system bioisostere of the (1) triazine core, as hDHFRtargeting derivative, prompted us to deepen some SAR requirements within the series of congeners (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Chemical structure of the reference hDHFR inhibitor **I** and of the investigated series of 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines.

Thus, different functionalized 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines (2-28) were designed (Fig. 2), by exploring the effect on biological activity as a result of the chemical variation of the *para*-Cl substituent (R_3) on the phenyl ring and/or of the two methyl groups (R_1 , R_2) at C(2) of cycloguanil (1) with smaller/bulkier alkyl groups. Five compounds are newly synthesized, while the others have been re-synthesized, to be tested as new antiviral agents capable of inhibiting the host cell DHFR.

We also included in our investigation the cycloguanil isomeric anilinodihydrotriazine **29**; proguanil (**30**), the metabolic precursor of cycloguanil; 1-(4-chlorophenyl)biguanide (**31**), the second major metabolite of proguanil; and two 2,4-diaminopyrimidine planar analogues, pyrimethamine (**32**) and trimethoprim (**33**) which are potent inhibitors of protozoal and bacterial DHFR, respectively.



Fig. 2. Structures of the investigated compounds 1-33.

All the compounds underwent cell culture evaluation for cytotoxicity and antiviral activity against a wide range of RNA and DNA viruses. With the aim of determining if the host DHFR was targeted by our compounds series along the virus replication pathway, the most promising compounds were tested against the recombinant protein of the hDHFR enzyme. Successive docking studies allowed to find a molecular rationale for the mechanism by which our compounds could inhibit the hDHFR.

2.2. Chemistry

We deemed interesting to synthesize and evaluate the antiviral activity of a series of 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines, bearing in position 1 an aromatic ring, variously substituted, and in position 2 one or two alkyl or aromatic moieties (Fig. 2).

Most of the tested dihydrotriazines were already described and re-prepared according to cited references: syntheses of compounds 1-3, 15, 16, 18, 20, 23 and 28 [28], 4 [29], 5 [30], 14 [31], 19 [32] were achieved by a one-step acid-catalyzed cyclocondensation among an aromatic amine, dicyandiamide and a carbonyl compound (3-component syntheses); for 26 and 27 was used the two-component syntheses which progresses in two steps by condensation of a preformed arylbiguanide and a carbonyl derivative [33]. The progress of the reaction was monitored by means of the negative result of colored copper complex formed characteristically by biguanide with a freshly cuprammonium sulphate solution.

By the treatment of cycloguanil hydrochloride with an excess of alkali at reflux, it undergoes an intramolecular rearrangement to the isomeric anilinodihydrotriazine compound (**29**) [28].

Compounds 12 and 17 were known as free base, while in our synthetic route they crystallize directly from the reaction mixture as pure hydrochlorides through the aforementioned three component synthesis, thus they were experimentally described together with the novel compounds 21, 22 and 25 (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. ^aReagents and conditions: a) 1 equiv. conc. HCl, r.t., 24 h, 34-68%.

All new compounds showed excellent analytical and spectroscopic data, in good agreement with their structures (see Experimental Part). In the ¹H NMR spectra the protonated nitrogen of 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine nucleus gives rise to a net singlet near δ 9 to 10, while the other amino groups yield signals in the range δ 7 to 8.

3. Biological evaluation

3.1. Inhibition of influenza virus. The antiviral activities of compounds 1-33 against influenza A (H1N1 subtype) and B viruses are presented in Table 1. Our procedure [34] uses exponentially growing MDCK cells in which the virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE) is monitored by either microscopy or cell viability testing. As shown in Table 1, there was overall correlation between the antiviral EC₅₀ values obtained by either method, indicating the reliability of the observed antiviral effect. On the other hand, microscopic evaluation revealed that several compounds produced cytostatic effect, yielding a minimum cytotoxic concentration (MCC) of, for instance, 4 μ M for cycloguanil (1). By comparison, its CC₅₀ value by cell viability assay was 52 µM, indicating that a true cytotoxic effect with manifest cell killing was only seen at higher concentrations of 1. It is worth noting that cycloguanil is the active metabolite of the prodrug proguanil, an antimalarial drug that is considered as safe even when used during pregnancy [35]. Furthermore, most of the compounds were cytotoxic only in MDCK cells while leaving unaffected (MCC > 100 μ M) the human HeLa (Table 2) and primate Vero cell lines (Table 3) used to grow the other viruses under investigation. In addition, some selected compounds (1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 25 and 32) were assayed for cytotoxicity against human airway epithelial Calu-3 cells confirming MCC values higher than 100 µM (data not shown).

Compound	Anti-influenza activity: EC ₅₀ ^b (µM)							city (µM)
	A/Virginia/ATC	C3/2009 (H ₁ N ₁)	A/Ned/378	3/05 (H ₁ N ₁)	B/Ned	/537/05		
	CPE	MTS	CPE	MTS	CPE	MTS	MCC ^c	CC ₅₀ ^d
1	28	17	33	29	2.2	1.1	≥4	52
2	40	28	43	14	4.0	1.2	≥4	>100
3	53	34	48	34	11	1.2	≥4	>100
4	59	49	63	37	8.2	2.0	14	>100
5	59	36	41	24	8.4	1.5	≥4	>100
6	39	19	21	7.3	2.9	1.0	≥4	53
7	>83	>83	>100	>100	35	7.8	≥ 100	>100
8	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	100	>100
9	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
10	34	>83	>100	>100	56	19	≥ 100	>100
11	1.0	0.67	1.1	0.85	0.10	0.040	≥ 0.2	1.4
12	>100	21	Nt	nt	1.7	0.2	≥ 0.8	>100
13	>100	>100	Nt	nt	0.016	0.015	≥0.03	29
14	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.60	0.015	0.007	≥ 0.2	6.1
15	≥83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
16	1.4	0.72	0.55	0.35	0.080	0.030	≥ 0.2	6.7
17	>100	36	Nt	nt	1.8	0.8	≥ 0.8	>100
18	>83	>83	>100	>100	8.3	2.2	≥ 20	>100
19	33	24	45	68	2.8	1.0	≥4	>100
20	>83	>83	>100	>100	18	6.1	≥ 20	>100
21	>100	49	Nt	nt	4.5	0.4	≥ 0.8	>100
22	>100	>100	Nt	nt	1.6	0.33	≥ 0.8	>100
23	10	49	>100	>100	>83	36	>100	>100
24	>100	>100	Nt	nt	10	3.0	≥20	>100
25	>100	>100	Nt	nt	19	4.4	≥20	>100
26	>83	>83	>100	>100	17	4.9	≥20	>100
27	>83	>83	>100	>100	29	>100	>100	>100

Table 1.	Antiviral ac	tivity of co	mpounds	1-33 in	influenza	virus-i	infected	MDCK ^a	cell	cultures.
				-		L				

28	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	62	>100
29	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
30	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	100	>100
31	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
32	>83	>83	15	35	2.0	1.1	≥4	41
33	>83	>83	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
Amantadine	124	211	2.3	2.2	>400	>400	>400	>400
Rimantadine	>400	>400	0.32	0.050	>400	>400	>400	>400
Ribavirin	8.4	19	10	7.6	4.9	3.9	≥100	>100
Zanamivir	2.3	6.8	0.80	0.20	0.060	0.055	>100	>100

^aMDCK: Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. ^bEC₅₀: 50% effective concentration producing 50% inhibition of virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE), as determined by microscopy or by measuring the cell viability with the colorimetric formazan-based MTS assay. ^cMCC: minimum compound concentration producing a microscopically detectable alteration in normal cell morphology. ^dCC₅₀: 50% cytotoxic concentration, as determined by measuring the cell viability with the colorimetric formazan-based MTS assay. Values shown are the mean of 3-6 determinations. nt = not tested.

CERTE

Compound	Antiviral activity: EC ₅₀ ^a (µM)			Cytotoxicity:	
-	Respiratory	Vesicular	Coxsackie B4	MCC ^b (μM)	
	syncytial virus	stomatitis virus	virus		
1	0.55	>100	>100	>100	
2	1.4	>100	>100	>100	
3	1.2	>100	>100	>100	
4	1.5	>100	>100	>100	
5	1.2	>100	>100	>100	
6	0.16	>100	>100	>100	
7	27	>100	>100	>100	
8	>100	>100	>100	>100	
9	>100	>100	>100	>100	
10	48	>100	>100	>100	
11	0.0080	>100	>100	>100	
12	0.01	>100	>100	≥100	
13	0.08	>100	>100	≥100	
14	0.010	>100	>100	≥100	
15	>100	>100	>100	>100	
16	0.0075	>100	>100	>100	
17	0.27	>100	>100	≥100	
18	5.4	>100	>100	>100	
19	0.80	>100	>100	>100	
20	8.0	>100	>100	>100	
21	0.22	>100	>100	≥100	
22	0.20	>100	>100	≥100	
23	>100	>100	>100	>100	
24	10.2	>100	>100	≥100	
25	8.0	>100	>100	≥100	
26	6.8	>100	>100	>100	
27	>100	>100	>100	>100	
28	>100	>100	>100	20	
29	>100	>100	>100	>100	
30	>100	>100	>100	>100	
31	>100	>100	>100	>100	
32	0.75	>100	>100	≥100	
33	>100	>100	>100	>100	
DS-10,000 ^c	2.0	1.8	20	>100	
Ribavirin	5.8	50	85	>250	

^aEC₅₀: 50% effective concentration producing 50% inhibition of virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE), as determined by microscopy. ^bMCC: minimum compound concentration producing a microscopically detectable alteration in normal cell morphology. ^cFor DS-10,000 (dextran sulphate of MW 10,000) concentrations are in μ g/mL. Values shown are the mean of two determinations.

Compound		Antiviral activity: EC_{50}^{a} (μ M)					Cytotoxicity:
	Para-	Reovirus-1	Sindbis	Coxsackie	Punta	Yellow	MCC ^b (µM)
	influenza-3		virus	B4 virus	Toro virus	fever virus	
	virus	100	100	100	100	100	100
1	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
2	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
3	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
4	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
5	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
6	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
7	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
8	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
9	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
10	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
11	>100	6.8	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥ 100
12	>100	9.5	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
13	>100	2.1	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
14	>100	6.8	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100
15	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
16	>100	3.8	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100
17	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
18	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
19	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
20	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
21	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
22	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
23	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
24	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
25	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
26	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
27	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
28	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
29	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
30	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	20
31	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
32	20	5.4	8.9	46	>100	>100	≥20
33	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
DS-10,000 ^c	>100	>100	2.3	2.3	45	0.40	≥ 100
Ribavirin	112	>250	>250	>250	29	>250	>250
Mycophenolic acid	0.40	1.8	1.4	>100	20	2.3	>100

Table 5. Antiviral evaluation of compounds 1-55 in vero cell cultures.	Table 3. Antiviral evaluation of compounds 1-33 in Vero cell culture
--	---

 ${}^{a}\text{EC}_{50}$: 50% effective concentration producing 50% inhibition of virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE), as determined by microscopy. ${}^{b}\text{MCC}$: minimum compound concentration producing a microscopically detectable alteration in normal cell morphology. ${}^{c}\text{For DS-10,000}$ (dextran sulphate of MW 10,000) concentrations are in µg/ml. Values shown are the mean of two determinations.

Analysis of the data in Table 1 revealed the following trends. Twenty-four out of thirty-three compounds (73%) proved active against at least one influenza virus strain, and 30% displayed activity against all three influenza virus strains.

Cycloguanil (1), the prototype of this class of 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine derivatives, exhibited modest activity against influenza A/H1N1 viruses, while being 15-fold more potent against influenza B virus (EC₅₀ = 2.2μ M). Based on this interesting observation, the study was oriented towards design and synthesis of more effective agents. Firstly, replacement of the chlorine atom in position 4 of the aromatic ring was explored: the introduction of either electronwithdrawing group isosteres of chlorine (F, 5; Br, 6) or electron-donor groups (CH₃, 3; OCH₃, 4) was permitted without changing the activity, whereas polar electron-withdrawing groups (NO₂, COOH and COOEt: 7-9) were detrimental. Having assumed that chlorine is a well-suited substituent, we investigated its influence on the mono-substituted cycloguanil-related 2-Cl (10) and 3-Cl (11) isomers, and on the di-substituted 2,4-dichloro (15) and 3,4-dichloro derivatives (16). Compounds 11 and 16, bearing a lipophilic electron-withdrawing group in position 3 of the aromatic ring, proved to be strong inhibitors with sub-micromolar potency against influenza B virus $(EC_{50} = 0.10 \text{ and } 0.080 \,\mu\text{M}, \text{ respectively})$ and only 2-fold lower activity against influenza A viruses. In contrast, compound 10 was only poorly active against influenza B (EC₅₀ = 56 μ M), while the 2,4-dichloro substitution abolished the activity (15). Since these data clearly indicated that a lipophilic electron-withdrawing atom in position 3 properly modulates the antiviral activity, we next synthesized the 3-F (12), 3-Br (13) and 3-CF₃ (14) analogues. Compound 14 showed an activity profile comparable to that of 11, whereas bromine derivative 13 was found to be the best influenza B inhibitor among the entire series, having an EC_{50} of 0.016 μ M. In the next step, we varied the two methyl groups on C(2) of the 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine scaffold, by introducing bulkier alkyl groups than the two ones derived from acetone. This was achieved by performing condensation with methyl ethyl ketone (for 18), methyl isopropyl ketone (for 19), cyclopentanone (for 20-22), or

cyclohexanone (for **23-25**). The progressive increase in the substituent's size led to a proportional decrease in the activity against influenza A virus, while having much less impact for influenza B, even when combined with 3-Cl or 3-CF₃ substitutions.

Among the three compounds with mono-substitution at position C(2), the CH₃ group (**26**, $EC_{50} = 17 \ \mu M$) was tolerated to keep influenza B inhibition, whilst the *n*-propyl chain (**27**) and phenyl ring (**28**) were detrimental.

Since *in vivo* application of cycloguanil is through its prodrug proguanil (**30**), we checked whether also the prodrug and 1-(4-chlorophenyl)biguanide (**31**) possess anti-influenza activity. The two main metabolites of the antimalarial drug proguanil are the active form cycloguanil and the inactive 1-(4-chlorophenyl)biguanide, which constitute about 30% and 23%, respectively, of the total drug concentration in plasma.³² Both compounds **30** and **31** were shown inactive, alike the anilinedihydrotriazine isomer **29** of cycloguanil. The 2,4-diaminopyrimidines trimethoprim and pyrimethamine were chosen as fully unsaturated cycloguanil analogues: only pyrimethamine (**32**) was able to inhibit one of the two influenza A viruses plus influenza B virus (EC₅₀= 15 and 2.0 μ M, respectively), thus matching cycloguanil in terms of potency.

Of the two influenza A virus strains tested, the A/Ned/378/05 strain carries a wild type M2 protein. Against this strain, the three most active cycloguanil analogues (**11**, **14** and **16**) displayed comparable potency as zanamivir and rimantadine, while surpassing ribavirin and amantadine. The A/Virginia/ATCC3/2009 strain, which has an S31N mutant M2 protein alike most currently circulating influenza A strains, had marginal to no sensitivity to the adamantane-based M2-blockers. This strain was strongly susceptible to compounds **11**, **14** and **16** with EC₅₀ values of about 1 μ M, which is 2-fold and 8-fold better than the values of zanamivir and ribavirin, respectively.

Whereas the antiviral EC_{50} values were nicely comparable for the two influenza A strains tested, all active analogues displayed higher activity (lower EC_{50} value) against influenza B virus. In

fact, 73% of all compounds inhibited influenza B virus with EC_{50} values in the range of 0.016 to 29 μ M. Hence, compared to influenza A, influenza B virus appears more sensitive to a reduction in the THF pool. This could point to a higher sensitivity of influenza B virus to nucleotide imbalances [perhaps related to the slower growth kinetics of influenza B compared to influenza A virus [36], although this seems contradicted by the finding that the GTP-depleting agent ribavirin displayed similar EC_{50} values for influenza A and B viruses (Table 1).

Recently, Balgi et al. [37] used a high-throughput yeast growth restoration assay to screen 250,000 compounds. They identified three tetrahydrotriazines with antiviral activity in a plaque reduction assay and, with only one exception, efficacy (when assessed at 100 μ M) against the wild type A/M2 proton channel in a two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) assay. Based on the chemical resemblance, we deemed interesting to assess if our 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines (1, 14 and 16) were also able to inhibit the A/M2 proton channel expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes using the TEVC assay. At 100 μ M, neither of the three compounds significantly inhibited the wild type or S31N mutant M2 channel [38], thus excluding M2 inhibition as the antiviral mechanism of action in virus-infected MDCK cells (data not shown).

3.2. Inhibition of RSV. Several compounds produced promising antiviral effect in a similar CPE reduction assay for RSV in HeLa cells (Table 2). Since most compounds were not cytotoxic at 100 μ M (the highest concentration tested), the selectivity index, i.e. ratio of MCC to EC₅₀, was calculated to be at least 10,000 for the most active analogues **11**, **14** and **16**. These compounds inhibited RSV replication at nanomolar concentrations, far surpassing the antiviral activity of ribavirin (EC₅₀ = 5.8 μ M, SI> 43). The latter is the only small molecule drug to treat RSV infections, but, as a consequence of its limited efficacy, the need of prolonged aerosol administration and the risk of toxicity, its use is limited to children at high risk [39]. Therefore, effective and safe drugs are strongly needed to treat severe RSV-linked respiratory pathologies

which can also affect adults and, particularly, elderly. Thus, the highly potent compounds **11**, **14** and **16** could be interesting lead compounds for further chemical derivatization and development of improved RSV inhibitors. Similar to what was observed for influenza virus, the drugs cycloguanil (1) and pyrimethamine (**32**) were found to be equipotent RSV-inhibitors with EC_{50} values of 0.55 and 0.75 μ M, respectively, which is nearly one order of magnitude superior to the value of ribavirin.

The compounds with no activity against RSV (i.e. 8, 9, 15, 27-31, 33) were also inactive against influenza. The three most active RSV inhibitors, i.e. 11, 14 and 16 (EC₅₀ ~0.008 μ M; Table 2) also had the best EC₅₀ values (Table 1) for influenza. Hence, it is clear that the same biochemical mechanism explains the inhibitory effect of this class of compounds towards RSV and influenza virus.

As for the other RNA viruses tested in either HeLa (Table 2) or Vero cells (Table 3), **11-14** and **16** also inhibited Reovirus-1, a member of the *Rotaviridae*. They were found active in the low micromolar range without visible cytotoxicity (MCC > 100 μ M). Compound **32** exhibited broader antiviral activity but only at concentrations quite close to those producing cytotoxicity (MCC \geq 20 μ M) (Tables 2 and 3). Neither of the compounds inhibited the replication of yellow fever virus (Table 3), a member of the *Flaviviridae* which was described in another report as sensitive to methotrexate, the prototype inhibitor of mammalian DHFR enzymes [40]. Finally, we evaluated in human embryonic lung (HEL) fibroblast cells, several DNA viruses of the herpes-, adeno- or poxvirus families, plus coronavirus 229E (an RNA virus), but neither of the compounds **1-33** proved active against any of these viruses (data not shown).

3.3. Dihydrofolic acid reverses the antiviral effect. Since host cell DHFR inhibition seemed the plausible explanation for the observed antiviral effect, we performed a combination experiment in which RSV-infected HeLa cells were exposed to compound **14** in combination with different

concentrations of the natural DHFR substrate dihydrofolic acid. The antiviral EC_{50} value of 14 gradually increased in function of the concentration of added dihydrofolic acid, this increase being as high as 267-fold when 14 was combined with 100 μ M dihydrofolic acid. Intriguingly, the cytotoxicity of 14 was not affected, since the MCC value was 20 μ M independent of whether dihydrofolic acid was present or not. This suggests that disruption of the host DHFR reaction has a much higher impact on virus replication than on cell growth, which concurs with the promising antiviral selectivity of our host-directed DHFR inhibitors.

Concentrationof	Antiviral activit	ty of compound 14	Cytotoxicity of compound 14
dihydrofolic acid (µM)	$E{C_{50}}^a(\mu M)$	Fold-increase ^b	MCC ^c (µM)
400	0.80	267	20
40	0.40	133	20
16	0.090	30	20
6.4	0.030	10	20
2.6	0.006	2	20
1.0	0.004		20
0.4	0.003	1	20
0	0.003	1	20

Table 4. Drop in anti-RSV activity of compound 14 when combined with dihydrofolic acid

^aEC₅₀: 50% effective concentration producing 50% inhibition of virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE), as determined by microscopy. ^bRatio of EC₅₀(i) to EC₅₀(o); ^cMCC: minimum compound concentration producing a microscopically detectable alteration in normal cell morphology. Values shown are the mean of three determinations.

3.4. Inhibition of hDHFR enzyme. Finally we assayed some selected compounds (1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 25 and 32) against hDHFR in order to confirm that the observed antiviral activity against RSV was clearly influenced by this enzyme inhibition. Concerning the inhibition experimental data of the test compounds on hDHFR (Table 5), SARs moved with the same trend compared to antiviral activity in cell-based assays: the Ki values ranged between 0.07-0.13 μ M for the best antiviral compounds (13, 11, 16, 14 in decreasing order) while the bulkier spiro-compound 25 was less effective with Ki

value equal to 13.17 μ M. Cycloguanil (1) and pyrimethamine (32) displayed the same degree of potency, about 5-fold lower than that of the most potent compounds (11, 13, 14 and 16). Interestingly, for almost all the compounds tested (1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 25 and 32) a similar trend can be observed between the Ki against hDHFR and the antiviral activity against Influenza B virus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus, thus supporting the concept that the observed antiviral effect is sustained also by the hDHFR inhibition (Fig. 3).

Moreover, kinetic inhibition studies of cycloguanil (1) were performed and a competitive inhibition pattern was observed towards the substrate for binding to the human enzyme (Fig. 1S). Thus, these 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine derivatives proved to efficiently work weakening the virus replication machinery by a direct inhibitory effect versus the host (human) DHFR.

Compound	Ki (µM)	
1	0.41	
11	0.10	
13	0.07	
14	0.13	
16	0.11	
25	13.17	
32	0.47	
Compound I ^a	0.011	

Table 5. Inhibition constant (K_i) of compounds 1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 25 and 32 on hDHFR enzyme.

^aPyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolate (I) (Figure 1) co-crystallized with hDHFR [27] (pdb code = 4QHV; see molecular modelling studies).



Fig. 3. Scatter Plot of Ki values (hDHFR) versus EC_{50} towards Influenza B virus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus. Data plotted are reported in Table 1 and Table 2. All the values are expressed in logarithm scale.

4. Molecular modelling studies

Molecular modelling studies were performed on the hDHFR inhibitors identified (1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 25 and 32) to explore the structural basis of the interaction between the mentioned compounds and the human enzyme. The docking studies were performed using the X-ray crystallographic structure of the hDHFR, in complex with a pyridopyrimidine-based inhibitor (I) (pdb code = 4QHV; resolution = 1.61 Å) [24]. The human DHFR inhibitor, compound I, was considered as positive control (Fig. 1).

The main issues to be addressed were to clarify, through docking studies of **1**, **11**, **13**, **14**, **16** and **25**, the role played by the 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine nucleus and of the hydrophobic framework, including the phenyl ring and the R1-R3 substituents, with respect to the 2,4-diaminopyrimidine core and to the phenyl-substituted pyridine-3-amine moiety of **I**. In addition, pyrimethamine (**32**) was also submitted to docking calculations, having shown a similar antiviral behaviour to cycloguanil.

As shown in Figure 4A, I was engaged in H-bonds between the two NH₂ substituents placed onto the pyrimidine core and the I7 and E30 carbonyl group and side-chain, respectively. The whole, planar bicyclic ring was involved in π - π stacking with Y33 and F34, while the isopropyl phenyl ring was projected towards F31, I60, P61, displaying Van der Waals contacts.

As consequence, these kinds of contacts efficiently stabilized **I** within the hDHFR binding site, leading the complex to a favourable value of the estimated binding affinity (hDHFR-I ΔG = - 28.0 kJ/mol), in harmony with the high compound potency profile (Ki= 11 nM, Table 5).



Fig. 4. A) details of the X-ray crystallographic complex hDHFR - inhibitor I (*C* atom; yellow); B) Docking pose of cycloguanil (1) (*C* atom; white) within the X-ray crystallographic structure of the human DHFR in complex with the inhibitor I (*C* atom; yellow). The most important residues are labelled and coloured by atom type.

Based on our docking calculations (Table 6), when small bulky alkyl groups are chosen for R1 and R2, the presence of a *meta* substituent placed in R3, rather than at the *ortho* and *para* positions of the phenyl ring, proved to be preferred. Accordingly, cycloguanil (1) was characterized by weak H-bonds with I7 and E30, while the 4-chlorophenyl ring moved towards T56 and Y121, on the opposite side of the cavity occupied by the isopropyl phenyl ring of the reference inhibitor (Fig.

4B). A comparable docking mode was observed for pyrimethamine (**32**). As shown in Table 6, the related complexes displayed quite adequate and comparable values of predicted binding affinity energies (hDHFR-1 ΔG = -7 kJ/mol; hDHFR-**32** ΔG = -8 kJ/mol), turning in moderate affinity toward the human enzyme (**1** Ki = 0.41 μ M; **32** Ki = 0.47 μ M).

Interestingly, the most promising derivatives **11**, **13**, **14**, **16** shared a common docking mode, exhibiting the required H-bonds with I7 and E30, by means of the two NH₂ groups of 1,2dihydrotriazine scaffold (as shown for compound **13** in Fig. 5A). In addition, the dimethyl substitution in R1 and R2 of **13**, and also the presence of a 3-Br-phenyl ring, proved to be particularly effective to properly mimic the same positioning displayed by **I** within the X-ray crystallographic structure of hDHFR.



Fig. 5. A) Docking pose of compound 13 (*C* atom; pink) within the X-ray crystallographic structure of the human DHFR in complex with the inhibitor I (*C* atom; yellow); B) Docking pose of compound 25 (*C* atom; tan) within the X-ray crystallographic structure of the human DHFR in complex with the inhibitor I (*C* atom; yellow). The most important residues are labelled and coloured by atom type.

As consequence, all of them were efficiently characterized by the most important and crucial bonds with the biological target, as previously discussed for compound **I**, and therefore experienced the most favourable predicted binding affinity profiles, being in agreement with the experimental data. In particular, compound **13** (hDHFR-**13** Δ G= -19 kJ/mol) proved to be the most promising (Ki = 0.07 μ M).

Conversely, the presence of bulky group or the introduction of a cycloalkyl ring in R1 and R2, moved the derivative toward a quite turned docking mode (if compared with **I**), as shown for compound **25** (Fig. 5B). Indeed, only one of the two NH₂ groups onto the dihydrotriazine ring was able to mimic the role played by those of **I**, detecting only one H-bond with E30. In addition, the bioisosteric replacement of the pyridopyrimidine scaffold with the smaller dihydrotriazine one, inevitably impaired the ability of the compound to display the same pattern of hydrophobic contacts, previously mentioned for **I**. This compound was the only one of the series here proposed to exhibit this kind of docking mode, losing key-contacts such as one H-bond with I7 and several Van der Waals and π - π stacking. Conceivably, this positioning compromises the ability of compound **25** to gain effective and crucial bonds with the other congeners (**1**, **11**, **13**, **14**, **16**: Ki = 0.07-0.41 μ M). A perspective of the predicted binding affinity values for the enzyme in complex with any selected docking pose also supports the experimental data since the hDHFR-**25** complex exhibited the worse predicted value (hDHFR-**25** Δ G= -1 kJ/mol) with respect to those including **1**, **11**, **13**, **14**, **16** (Δ G from -20.0 to -7.0 J/mol).

On all these basis, it was expected that the 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine nucleus, properly decorated with small, rigid and planar groups, could represent an interesting scaffold able to fulfil the minimal pharmacophore requirements to exert hDHFR inhibition.

Receptor-Ligand Complex (LeadIT)	Binding Affinity Energy	Receptor-Ligand Complex (LeadIT)	Binding Affinity Energy
		1 . ,	
hDHFR-1	-7.0	hDHFR-16	-20.0
hDHFR-11	-15.0	hDHFR-25	-1.0
hDHFR-13	-19.0	hDHFR- 32	-8.0
hDHFR-14	-17.0	hDHFR-I	-28.0

Table 6. Binding affinity values obtained by molecular docking studies of compounds 1, 11, 13, 14,16, 25, 32.

5. Conclusions

This report describes the discovery of a new class of host-directed antiviral agents characterized by a 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine scaffold, responsible for a host (human) DHFR inhibition mechanism. Host-targeting antivirals represent an alternative and emerging strategy to address host factors involved in virus life cycle. This type of inhibitors could show a markedly higher barrier for selecting drug-resistant viruses and, furthermore, display broad-spectrum antiviral activity when interacting with a common cellular target that is recruited by different viruses. The interesting dual activity of the 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines against influenza and respiratory syncytial viruses, via inhibition of the cellular (human) DHFR enzyme, points to this host factor as a new therapeutic target for these two respiratory viruses. In fact, reversal effect on antiviral activity has been demonstrated in RSV-infected HeLa cells exposed to compound 14 in combination with different concentrations of dihydrofolic acid, such as natural DHFR substrate. The most promising compounds, tested against the recombinant protein of the hDHFR, also confirmed to bind this enzyme in the sub-micromolar range. Kinetic inhibition studies of cycloguanil showed a competitive inhibition behavior, and docking studies disclosed the most probable binding mode for this class of compounds as hDHFR ligands. Notably, the antiviral activity against RSV and influenza B viruses in cell-based assays, the Ki values on the recombinant hDHFR enzyme and, also the estimated binding affinity (ΔG) shared an interesting comparable SAR trend.

The possibility to suppress influenza virus by interfering with the purine or pyrimidine pathway was proposed for a few other enzymes [9,41] but our study is the first to identify the relevance of host (human) DHFR in antiviral therapy.

Most of the compounds proved effective inhibitors of influenza B virus, giving submicromolar activity in case of the most potent analogues **11**, **13**, **14** and **16**, which compare favorably with the licensed antiviral drugs zanamivir, even exceeding the antiviral efficacy of ribavirin. Compounds **11**, **14** and **16** also possessed low micromolar activity against influenza A virus and, even more importantly, nanomolar activity against RSV with a selectivity index of at least 10,000, far surpassing the antiviral activity of the reference drug ribavirin.

These novel host-directed 1-aryl-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine derivatives combine promising antiviral activity with low cost and easily accessible chemical synthesis. Therefore, our results provide the foundation to further explore the structure-activity relationships of this class of compounds *versus* DHFR (especially human enzyme), and the apparently important role of this host enzyme in influenza and RSV virus replication.

6. Experimental section

6.1. Chemistry.

6.1.1. General methods. Chemicals, solvents and commercially available compounds [Proguanil (30), 4-chlorophenylbiguanide (31), pyrimetamine (32) and trimethoprim (33)] were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Mps: Büchi apparatus, uncorrected. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini-200 instrument at 200 and 50 MHz, respectively; DMSO-*d6*; δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si as internal standard. J in Hz. Elemental analyses were performed on a Carlo Erba EA-1110 CHNS instrument in the Microanalysis Laboratory of the Department of Pharmacy of Genoa University.

6.1.2. General method for the synthesis of 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine derivatives.

A solution of the substituted aniline hydrochloride (4.36 mmol) in 40 mL of acetone and 5 mL of MeOH was reacted at r.t. with dicyandiamide (4.58 mmol, 1.05 equiv.) with stirring for 24 h. Compounds separated directly from reaction mixture, thus they were collected by filtration, washed with acetone and recrystallized from the same solvent. Only in the case of compound **17**, the solution was evaporated to dryness *under vacuum* and the residue was recrystallized from MeOH.

6.1.3. 4,6-Diamino-2,2-dimethyl-1-(3-fluorophenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriazine hydrochloride (12)

Yield 68%. Mp 209-210°C (acetone). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- d_6): 9.45 (s, 1H, ⁺NH, exchanges with D₂O), 7.92-7.17 (m, 4 arom H and 7.74 br s, 3H, amino groups, exchange with D₂O, superimposed signals), 6.46 (br s, 1 H, amino group, exchanges with D₂O), 1.34 (s, 6 H, 2Me). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- d_6): 164.6, 159.7, 157.4, 156.6, 136.0, 135.8, 131.3, 131.1, 126.0, 117.5, 117.0, 116.7, 116.3, 69.3, 26.8. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₄FN₅ • HCl: C, 48.62; H, 5.56; N, 25.77. Found: C, 48.70; H, 5.70; N, 25.96.

6.1.4. 4,6-Diamino-2,2-dimethyl-1-(3,5-ditrifluoromethylphenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriazine hydrochloride (17)

Yield 51%. Mp 195-198°C (MeOH). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- d_6): 9.50 (s, 1H, ⁺NH, exchanges with D₂O), 8.26 (s, 1 arom H), 8.20 (s, 2 arom H), 7.96-7.20 (br s, 3H, amino groups, exchange with D₂O), 6.76 (br s, 1H, NH₂, exchanges with D₂O), 1.38 (s, 6 H, 2Me). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- d_6): 157.3, 156.6, 136.6, 132.0, 131.6, 131.3, 125.2, 123.4, 119.7, 69.6, 27.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₃F₆N₅ • HCl: C, 40.06; H, 3.62; N, 17.97. Found: C, 39.77; H, 3.91; N, 18.30.

6.1.5. 1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2,2-cyclotetramethylene-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazine hydrochloride (21)

Yield 38%. Mp 241°C (acetone). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- d_6): 9.34 (s, 1H, ⁺NH, exchanges with D₂O), 7.90-7.05 (m, 4 arom H and 3H, amino groups, exchange with D₂O), 6.56 (br s, 1H, amino group, exchanges with D₂O), 1.94-1.20 (m, 8 H, (CH₂)₄). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 157.7, 157.4, 136.0, 133.8, 131.4, 129.6, 128.5, 78.6, 35.8, 20.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆ClN₅ • HCl: C, 49.69; H, 5.45; N, 22.29. Found: C, 49.42; H, 5.55; N, 22.09.

6.1.6. 2,2-Cyclotetramethylene-4,6-diamino-1-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriazine hydrochloride (**22**)

Yield 37%. Mp 225-226°C (acetone). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 9.44 (s, 1H, ⁺NH, exchanges with D₂O), 7.98-7.23 (m, 4 arom H and 3H, amino groups, exchange with D₂O), 6.61 (br s, 1H, amino group, exchanges with D₂O), 1.98-1.25 (m, 8 H, (CH₂)₄). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 157.8, 157.5, 135.5, 134.0, 131.1, 126.7, 126.3, 78.6, 35.9, 20.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆F₃N₅ • HCl: C, 48.35; H, 4.93; N, 20.14. Found: C, 48.11; H, 5.15; N, 20.33.

6.1.7. 2,2-Cyclopentamethylene-4,6-diamino-1-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriazine hydrochloride (**25**)

Yield 34%. Mp 225-226°C (acetone). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 9.26 (s, 1H, ⁺NH, exchanges with D₂O), 8.05-7.40 (m, 4 arom H and 3H, amino groups, exchange with D₂O), 6.51 (br s, 1H, amino group, exchanges with D₂O), 2.08-0.80 (m, 10 H, (CH₂)₅). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 157.6, 157.0, 135.2, 134.3, 131.0, 126.9, 126.3, 71.2, 34.4, 23.5, 20.3. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₈F₃N₅ • HCl: C, 49.80; H, 5.29; N, 19.36. Found: C, 49.89; H, 5.48; N, 19.52.

6.2. Biological procedures

6.2.1. Antiviral assays. The compounds' antiviral activity in cell culture was determined with a broad panel of viruses and using cytopathic effect (CPE) reduction assays described in detail elsewhere [42]. Human influenza A/H1N1 and B viruses were examined on Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells [34]. Human cervix carcinoma HeLa cells were used to study respiratory syncytial virus (RSV; strain Long); vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV); and Coxsackie B4 virus. African Green Monkey Vero cells were used for para-influenza-3 virus; reovirus-1; Sindbis virus; Coxsackie B4 virus; Punta Toro virus and yellow fever virus. The following viruses were investigated in human embryonic lung fibroblast cells: herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2; vaccinia virus; human adenovirus type 2; VSV; and human coronavirus 229E. Finally, the activity against human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2 was assessed in human MT-4 lymphoblast cells.

Semiconfluent cell cultures in 96-well plates were infected with the virus at a multiplicity of infection of 100 CCID₅₀ (50% cell culture infective dose) or 20 PFU (plaque forming units) per well. Simultaneously with the virus, serial dilutions of the test or reference compounds were added. The plates were incubated at 37°C (or 35°C in the case of influenza and coronavirus) until clear CPE was apparent, i.e. during 3 to 6 days, or 10 days in the case of Ad2. Then, microscopy was performed to score the CPE and determine the antiviral activity [expressed as 50% effective

concentration (EC_{50})] and cytotoxicity [expressed as minimum cytotoxic concentration (MCC)]. In the case of influenza virus and HIV, virus-induced CPE was also monitored by a colorimetric formazan-based cell viability assay.

6.2.3. DHFR inhibition assay

The capability of synthesized chemical library to inhibit the hDHFR protein was evaluated by spectrophotometric assay performing the DHF substrate (dihydrofolate) time-reading enzymatic consumption at 340 nm for 180 secs. Each inhibitor compound was dissolved in DMSO in order to have an initial concentration equal to 50 mM. First, an inhibition assay at one concentration point (50 μ M) has been performed in order to determine the best concentrations range to calculate the IC₅₀ value. The inhibitor assay was performed considering a final volume equal to 600 μ L. In details, the several reagents were added in this following order: DDW, hDHFR enzyme at concentration of 0.36 μ M, inhibitor compound at each single evaluated concentration, DHF substrate at concentration of 50 μ M, TES buffer, and, at the end, NADPH was added to the reaction mixture, at concentration value equal to 120 μ M, for starting the kinetic enzyme reaction. Based on the obtained inhibition data, the concentrations range for the IC₅₀ evaluation have been selected. Each inhibitor compound was assayed at 50-100-200-400-1000 μ M. Based on the resulting inhibition data, IC₅₀ values for each compound by Michaelis-Menten kinetic in steady-state conditions have been calculated.

6.3. Molecular modeling studies

All the compounds were built, parameterized (Gasteiger-Huckel method) and energy minimized within MOE using MMFF94 forcefield [43]. All ligands were used in their protonated state.

Docking calculations within the X-ray structure of human DHFR (pdb code = 4QHV) were performed using the LeadIT 2.1.8 software suite (www.biosolveit.com) including the FlexX scoring algorithm which is based on calculation of the binding free energy by means of Gibbs-Helmholtz equation [44-47]. The software detects the binding site defining a radius of 10 Å far from the cocrystallized ligand, in order to set up a spherical search space for the docking approach.

The standard setting as docking strategy was followed, choosing the so-called Hybrid Approach (enthalpy and entropy criteria), the related scoring function evaluation is described in the literature [44]. The derived docking poses were prioritized by the score values of the lowest energy pose of the compounds docked to the protein structure. All ligands were refined and rescored by assessment with the algorithm HYDE, included in the LeadIT 2.1.8 software. The HYDE module considers dehydration enthalpy and hydrogen bonding [48,49].

Finally, the reliability of the selected docking poses was assessed using a short ~1 ps run of molecular dynamics (MD) at constant temperature, followed by an all-atom energy minimization (LowModeMD implemented in MOE software). This kind of module allowed to perform an exhaustive conformational analysis of the ligand-receptor binding site complex, as we previously discussed about other case studies [50-52].

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported (PRA2014, 100006-2014-TM-PRA_001) by the University of Genoa. MT thanks Professors V. Boido and F. Sparatore, Department of Pharmacy - University of Genoa, for their valuable teachings. LN acknowledges the dedicated technical assistance of Talitha Boogaerts, Kristien Erven, Leentje Persoons and Lies Van den Heurck. MT thank O. Gagliardo for performing elemental analysis.

Supplementary data

Competitive inhibition graph of cycloguanil (1) in Fig. 1S and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the newly synthesized compounds are reported.

References

- A.C. Hurt, D.S.Hui, A. Hay, F.G. Hayden, Overview of the 3rd isirv-Antiviral Group Conference--advances in clinical management, Influenza Other Respir. Viruses 9 (2015) 20-31.
- [2] H.V. Fineberg, Pandemic preparedness and response--lessons from the H1N1 influenza of 2009, N. Engl. J. Med. 370 (2014) 1335-1342.
- [3] M. Tonelli, E. Cichero, Fight Against H1N1 Influenza A Virus: Recent Insights Towards the Development of Druggable Compounds, Curr. Med. Chem. 23 (2016) 1802-1817.
- [4] BRaVe Research agenda, World Health Organization 2013: http://www.who.int/influenza/patient_care/clinical/brave/en/
- [5] Z. Lou, Y. Sun, Z. Rao, Current progress in antiviral strategies, Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 35 (2014) 86-102.
- [6] A. Brai, R. Fazi, C. Tintori, C. Zamperini, F. Bugli, M. Sanguinetti, E. Stigliano, J. Esté, R. Badia, S. Franco, M.A. Martinez, J.P. Martinez, A. Meyerhans, F. Saladini, M. Zazzi, A. Garbelli, G. Maga, M. Botta, Human DDX3 protein is a valuable target to develop broad spectrum antiviral agents, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113 (2016) 5388-5393.
- [7] T. Watanabe, Y. Kawaoka, Influenza virus-host interactomes as a basis for antiviral drug development, Curr. Opin. Virol. 14 (2015) 71-78.
- [8] C. Dapat, H. Oshitani, Novel insights into human respiratory syncytial virus-host factor interactions through integrated proteomics and transcriptomics analysis, Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther. 14 (2016) 285-297.

- [9] A. Stevaert, L. Naesens, The influenza virus polymerase complex: an update on its structure, functions, and significance for antiviral drug design, Med. Res. Rev. 36 (2016) 1127-1173.
- [10] M. Sharma, P.M. Chauhan, Dihydrofolate reductase as a therapeutic target for infectious diseases: opportunities and challenges, Future Med. Chem. 4 (2012) 1335-1365.
- [11] E. F. da Cunha, T.C. Ramalho, E. R. Maia, R. Bicca de Alencastro, The search for new DHFR inhibitors: a review of patents, January 2001 – February 2005, Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 15 (2005) 967-986.
- [12] T.S. Patel, S.F. Vanparia, U.H. Patel, R.B. Dixit, C.J. Chudasama, B.D. Patel, B.C. Dixit, Novel 2,3-disubstituted quinazoline-4(3H)-one molecules derived from amino acid linked sulphonamide as a potent malarial antifolates for DHFR inhibition, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 129 (2017) 251-265.
- [13] A. Singh, M. Maqbool, M. Mobashir, N. Hoda, Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase: A drug target for the development of antimalarials, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 125 (2017) 640-651.
- [14] W.A. Jr. Petri, Sulfonamides, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, quinolones, and agents for urinary tract infections, in: L.L. Brunton, J.S. Lazo, K.L. Parker (Eds.), The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 11th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, pp 1116-1119.
- [15] A.T. Shapiro, D.E. Goldberg, Chemotherapy of Protozoal Infections: Malaria, in: L.L. Brunton, J.S. Lazo, K.L. Parker (Eds.), The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 11th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, pp 1029-1031.
- [16] B.A. Chabner, P.C. Amrein, B.J. Druker, M. Dror Michaelson, C.S. Mitsiades, P.E. Goss, D.P. Ryan, S. Ramachandra, P.G. Richardson, J.G. Supko, W.H. Willson, Antineoplastic agents, in: L.L. Brunton, J.S. Lazo, K.L. Parker (Eds.), The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 11th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, pp 1335-1339.
- [17] D.S. Goodsell, Dihydrofolate reductase, RCSB PDB-101, 2002, doi: 10.2210/rcsb_pdb/mom_2002_10

- [18] M. Sramek, J. Neradil, R. Veselska, Much more than you expected: the non-DHFR-mediated effects of methotrexate, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1861 (2017) 499-503.
- [19] A.C. Lele, D.A. Mishra, T.K. Kamil, S. Bhakta, M.S. Degani, Repositioning of DHFR inhibitors, Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 16 (2016) 2125-2143.
- [20] P.J. Rosenthal, Antimalarial chemotherapy: mechanisms of action, resistance and new directions in drug discovery, Humana Press 2001, ISBN 0-896-03670-7.
- [21] D.V. Santi, C.K. Marlowe, Inhibitors of pneumocystis carinii dihydrofolate reductase. Patent 1991, WO91/08668.
- [22] M. Tonelli, P. Paglietti, V. Boido, F. Sparatore, F. Marongiu, E. Marongiu, P. La Colla, R. Loddo, Antiviral activity of benzimidazole derivatives. I. Antiviral activity of 1-substituted-2-[(benzotriazol-1/2-yl)methyl]benzimidazoles, Chem. Biodiversity 5 (2008) 2386-2401.
- [23] M. Tonelli, V. Boido, C. Canu, A. Sparatore, F. Sparatore, M.S. Paneni, M. Fermeglia, S. Pricl,
 P. La Colla, L. Casula, C. Ibba, D. Collu, R. Loddo, Antimicrobial and cytotoxic arylazoenamines. Part III: Antiviral activity of selected classes of arylazoenamines, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 16 (2008) 8447-8465.
- [24] M. Tonelli, G. Vettoretti, B. Tasso, F. Novelli, V. Boido, F. Sparatore, B. Busonera, A. Ouhtit,P. Farci, S. Blois, G. Giliberti, P. La Colla, Acridine derivatives as anti-BVDV agents,Antivir. Res. 91 (2011) 133-141.
- [25] M. Tonelli, F. Novelli, B. Tasso, I. Vazzana, A. Sparatore, V. Boido, F. Sparatore, P. La Colla, G. Sanna, G. Giliberti, B. Busonera, P. Farci, C. Ibba, R. Loddo, Antiviral activity of benzimidazole derivatives. III. Novel anti-CVB-5, anti-RSV and anti-Sb-1 agents, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 22 (2014) 4893-4909.
- [26] R. Loddo, F. Novelli, A. Sparatore, B. Tasso, M. Tonelli, V. Boido, F. Sparatore, G. Collu, I. Delogu, G. Giliberti, P. La Colla, Antiviral activity of benzotriazole derivatives. 5-[4-

(benzotriazol-2-yl)phenoxy]-2,2-dimethylpentanoic acids potently and selectively inhibit Coxsackie Virus B5, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 23 (2015) 7024-7034.

- [27] V. Cody, J. Pace, O.A. Namjoshi, A. Gangjee, Structure-activity correlations for three pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolates binding to human and Pneumocystis carinii dihydrofolate reductase, Acta Crystallogr. F Struct. Biol. Commun. 71 (2015) 799-803.
- [28] E.J. Modest, Chemical and biological studies on 1,2-dihydro-s-triazines. II. Three-component synthesis, J. Org. Chem. 21 (1956) 1-13.
- [29] H.L. Bami, Studies in dihydrotriazines: 1-aryl-2,4-diamino-1,6-dihydro-6,6-dialkyl-1,3,5triazines, J. Sci. Ind. Res. 14C (1955) 231-236.
- [30] C. Hansch, S.W. Dietrich, J.Y. Fukunaga, Inhibition of bovine and rat liver dihydrofolate reductase by 4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-1-(4-substituted-phenyl)-s-triazines, J. Med. Chem. 24 (1981) 544-549.
- [31] M.W. Fisher, 1-m-Trifluoromethylphenyl-4,5-diamino-1,2-dibydro-2,2-dimethyl-1,3,5triazine, Patent 1961, DE 1118790.
- [32] S. Kamchonwongpaisan, R. Quarrell, N. Charoensetakul, R. Ponsinet, T. Vilaivan, J. Vanichtanankul, B. Tarnchompoo, W. Sirawaraporn, G. Lowe, Y. Yuthavong, Inhibitors of multiple mutants of plasmodium falciparum dihydrofolate reductase and their antimalarial activities, J. Med. Chem. 47 (2004) 673-680.
- [33] E.J. Modest, P. Levine, Chemical and biological studies on 1,2-dihydro-s-triazines. III. Twocomponent synthesis, J. Org. Chem. 21 (1956) 14-20.
- [34] E. Vanderlinden, F. Göktas, Z. Cesur, M. Froeyen, M.L. Reed, C.J. Russell, N. Cesur, L. Naesens, Novel inhibitors of influenza virus fusion: structure-activity relationship and interaction with the viral hemagglutinin, J. Virol. 84 (2010) 4277-4288.

- [35] A.T. Shapiro, D.E. Goldberg, Chemotherapy of protozoal infections: malaria, in: L.L. Brunton, J.S. Lazo, K.L. Parker (Eds.), The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 11th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, pp 1031-1032.
- [36] M. Breen, A. Nogales, S.F. Baker, D.R. Perez, L. Martínez-Sobrido, Replication-competent influenza A and B viruses expressing a fluorescent dynamic timer protein for in vitro and in vivo studies, PLoS One 11 (2016) e0147723.
- [37] A.D. Balgi, J. Wang, D.Y. Cheng, C. Ma, T.A. Pfeifer, Y. Shimizu, H.J. Anderson, L.H. Pinto, R.A. Lamb, W.F. DeGrado, M. Roberge, Inhibitors of the influenza A virus M2 proton channel discovered using a high-throughput yeast growth restoration assay, Plos One 8 (2013) e55271.
- [38] E. Torres, R. Leiva, S. Gazzarrini, M. Rey-Carrizo, M. Frigolé-Vivas, A. Moroni, L. Naesens,
 S. Vázquez, Azapropellanes with anti-influenza a virus activity, ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 5 (2014) 831-836.
- [39] E. De Clercq, Chemotherapy of respiratory syncytial virus infections: the final breakthrough, Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 45 (2015) 234-237.
- [40] M.A. Fischer, J.L. Smith, D. Shum, D.A. Stein, C. Parkins, B. Bhinder, C. Radu, A.J. Hirsch,
 H. Djaballah, J.A. Nelson, K. Früh, Flaviviruses are sensitive to inhibition of thymidine synthesis pathways, J. Virol. 87 (2013) 9411-9419.
- [41] J. Kirui, V. Tran, A. Mehle, Host factors regulating the influenza virus replication machinery, in: Q., Wang, Y.J. Tao (Eds), Influenza: Current Research, Caister Academic Press, 2016, pp 77-100.
- [42] D. Rogolino, M. Carcelli, A. Bacchi, C. Compari, L. Contardi, E. Fisicaro, A. Gatti, M. Sechi,A. Stevaert, L. Naesens, A versatile salicyl hydrazonic ligand and its metal complexes as antiviral agents, J. Inorg. Biochem. 150 (2015) 9-17.

- [43] MOE: Chemical Computing Group Inc. Montreal. H3A 2R7 Canada. http://www.chemcomp.com
- [44] H.J. Böhm, The computer program LUDI: a new method for the de novo design of enzyme inhibitors, J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 6 (1992) 61-78.
- [45] H.J. Böhm, The development of a simple empirical scoring function to estimate the binding constant for a protein–ligand complex of known three-dimensional structure, J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 8 (1994) 243-256.
- [46] M. Rarey, B. Kramer, T. Lengauer, G. Klebe, A fast flexible docking method using an incremental construction algorithm, J. Mol. Biol. 261 (1996) 470-489.
- [47] L. Bichmann, Y.T. Wang, W.B. Fischer, Docking assay of small molecule antivirals to p7 of HCV, Comput. Biol. Chem. 53 (2014) 308-317.
- [48] I. Reulecke, G. Lange, J. Albrecht, R. Klein, M. Rarey, Towards an integrated description of hydrogen bonding and dehydration: decreasing false positives in virtual screening with the HYDE scoring function, Chem.Med.Chem. 3 (2008) 885-897.
- [49] N. Schneider, S. Hindle, G. Lange, R. Klein, J. Albrecht, H. Briem, K. Beyer, H. Claußen, M. Gastreich, C. Lemmen, M. Rarey, Substantial improvements in large-scale redocking and screening using the novel HYDE scoring function, J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 26 (2012) 701-723.
- [50] P. Fossa, E. Cichero, In silico evaluation of human small heat shock protein HSP27: homology modeling, mutation analyses and docking studies, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 23 (2015) 3215-3220.
- [51] S. Franchini, L.I. Manasieva, C. Sorbi, U.M. Battisti, P. Fossa, E. Cichero, N. Denora, R.M. Iacobazzi, A. Cilia, L. Pirona, S. Ronsisvalle, G. Aricò, L. Brasili, Synthesis, biological evaluation and molecular modeling of 1-oxa-4-thiaspiro- and 1,4-dithiaspiro[4.5]decane derivatives as potent and selective 5-HT1A receptor agonists, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 125 (2016) 435-452.

[52] V. Deiana, M. Gómez-Cañas, M.R. Pazos, J. Fernández-Ruiz, B. Asproni, E. Cichero, P. Fossa,
E. Muñoz, F. Deligia, G. Murineddu, M. García-Arencibia, G.A. Pinna, Tricyclic pyrazoles.
Part 8. Synthesis, biological evaluation and modelling of tricyclic pyrazole carboxamides as potential CB2 receptor ligands with antagonist/inverse agonist properties, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 112 (2016) 66-80.

Highlights

- 4,6-Diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines were found active against influenza A and B viruses
- 4,6-Diamino-1,2-dihydrotriazines displayed high antiviral activity against RSV virus
- Their mechanism of action was related to the inhibition of the host (human) DHFR